



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>





2511
Clarendon Press Series

THE ORATIONS OF
DEMOSTHENES AND AESCHINES
ON THE CROWN

With Introductory Essays and Notes

BY

GEORGE AUGUSTUS SIMCOX, M. A.

FELLOW OF QUEEN'S COLLEGE

AND

WILLIAM HENRY SIMCOX, M. A.

FORMERLY FELLOW OF QUEEN'S COLLEGE, OXFORD

Oxford

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

1872

[All rights reserved]

Digitized by Google

247900
213.

P R E F A C E.

FELICES PROAVORUM ATAVOS: happy the editors who lived before text-books. There were giants in the earth in those days: there could be no question for them what should be the aim of an edition of a classic. A Casaubon or a Lipsius had only to tell all that he knew; the bearing of some particular piece of erudition on a given passage of Athenaeus or Tacitus might be doubtful, but the editor was the only man who possessed it, and the scores or hundreds of scholars who composed the Republic of Letters were always eager to feed on the crumbs that fell from the rich man's table. Even when the vast stores of learning of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries had begun to be drafted off into separate collections, and their results were beginning to pass into common property, there was still much to be done which only great commentators could do. It is interesting, for instance, to observe how much even in recent commentaries is superseded by a great lexicon—which is only another way of saying how many commentaries were needed to make the great lexicon possible; or, to come closer to our special subject, how much of Dissen and Winiewski has passed into Thirlwall. The study of classical antiquity has hardly ceased to advance, but classical editions are no longer the principal organ of its progress. Tact

1551
1551

rather than knowledge, judgment rather than insight, tend increasingly to become the distinctive qualities of the ideal editor. Of the questions which the Orations on the Crown have hitherto suggested, the greater part have upon the whole been adequately answered: only long and wide experience in tuition can supply an answer to the further questions, what an editor ought to expect from the reader, and what he may leave to be supplied by the teacher; when to quote and when to refer, what to repeat and what to assume. Even with this training it is easy to say too little or too much; and, as other helps multiply, it becomes safer every day to err, if at all, in the direction of saying too little, to avoid repetition as much as possible, and simply to add one's own gleanings to the rich store gathered by the labourers of earlier days.

Viewed in this way, the task of a modern editor comes more and more to resemble that of an ancient scholiast, whose readers were satisfied with an explanation of the text, as they had not to familiarise themselves with Greek grammar, while their needs or desires were satisfied by the most cursory reminder on all subjects connected with history and geography. The scholiast and his readers had of course one advantage, if it is to be called so, over their modern successors—the language of their authors was their own mother tongue. To realise completely the meaning of Demosthenes, it was sufficient to comprehend the relation of the Greek of the fifth century of our era, to the Greek of nine hundred years earlier; we have to compare the ways in which two languages, differing almost as widely as kindred languages can differ, vary not the expression only, but the substance of a thought. And this suggests another difference. Almost every reader of a foreign language translates involuntarily

sometimes: many readers translate often: it can hardly be doubted that an editor ought if possible to translate always. This discipline carries home, as nothing else will, a sense of the ambiguity of even such a perfect language as Attic Greek. There are all manner of possible shades of meaning and connection between which any translator has to decide; and the grounds upon which he has to decide are so shadowy, that he may well hesitate to obtrude his decision upon the reader, and where he feels compelled to state an opinion, will have little confidence in its commending itself to any judgment but his own. Such questions, though their importance is for the most part secondary, are likely to give careful readers increasing trouble for some time to come. Their difficulty, perhaps, may be held to excuse some hesitation in the language of an editor (and *a fortiori* in the language of two editors). The habit of seeking light increasingly in a microscopical inspection of the text, has an undeniable tendency—which we fear may be visible in our own work—to foster a temper of over-refinement.

It is hardly necessary to say more of what we have tried to do in the exegetical notes, for which, with insignificant exceptions, we are jointly responsible. The different chapters of the introductions are signed by their respective authors. It seemed best, as far as possible, to leave all questions of chronology to specialists. If anything like a consent existed, it would be of course desirable to put the results of enquiry before the tiro; but, when such cardinal dates as the birth of Demosthenes and the battle of Tamyndae are still uncertain, to attempt to deal with the subject as a whole would have placed us between the alternatives of fatiguing the student with an exposition of conflicting evidence, which we felt incompetent to sum up, or of inviting him to burden his memory

with a column of provisional dates. Leaving chronological difficulties on one side, it seemed possible to bring out some points bearing on the character and policy of the rival orators, which have hardly found an adequate place in the tradition of antiquity, or attracted the full attention at least of English historians. Of course a volume would have been too little to treat adequately of the practical politics of the age of Demosthenes, which have been studied much too exclusively from 'the world-historical stand-point.' It is so easy for posterity, for whom Philip and Demosthenes are the only important and significant figures of their time, to write as if their contemporaries had seen nothing else. When we remember how little we know of men like Eubulus and Demades and Lycurgus—some of whom stood higher with their contemporaries than Aeschines at any time, or Demosthenes during the greater part of his career—we see how fragmentary our knowledge of the period still is, and how imperfect it is likely to remain. Meanwhile, any one who has had occasion to read the Attic Orators carefully, will probably form a few impressions about the conditions and aims of public life in the fourth century B.C., which may serve (at least for hurried readers) to supplement the more solid information to be found in standard historians like Grote, or standard constitutional writers like Boeckh and Schoemann.

This may be the place to apologise for an inelegance in the notes upon Aeschines. The list of various readings was originally intended to appear in a shorter form, at the end of the little history of the text: it was placed in its present position at the suggestion of the Delegates of the Press. Unfortunately the commentary, where the readings which affect the sense were sometimes noticed, had been sent off separately, so that more than once the critical and exegetical

notes overlap; while a more serious inconvenience accidentally arose from this change of plan, several readings, including most of those of Schultz and the MSS. collated by him, not being, for a considerable part of the speech, given in the same place with those taken from Bekker. These are of course too important to be omitted, so are inserted in a separate list.

Among previous editions, we have made most use of Bremi, Franke, and Schultz, for Aeschines; of Dindorf (one of the most valuable of variorum editions) and Dissen for Demosthenes. It would be presumption on our part to praise either, especially Dissen, whose anatomy of the rhetorical arrangement of the whole speech, and of every individual paragraph, is worthy to rank with his own analysis of Pindar: while he left little to be added in the way of historical illustration. Besides the histories of Grote and Thirlwall, which of course are indispensable, we found Mitford suggestive: with all his imperfect knowledge and grotesque unfairness, he brings out not a little which has fallen into the shade since his time; one reason is that he wrote from the historians rather than from the orators. Of the German writers upon the Orators, we have found most benefit in Westermann, in spite of his over ingenuity, and Droysen, who has written the history of the period covered by the Documents quoted in the Speech on the Crown, to prove that the documents in our present text do not fit. Boehnecke is rather a diffuse and perplexing writer; and it is perhaps hardly doing him injustice to take his results from continuous histories, which are largely constructed out of his materials.

While feeling the full extent of our obligations to these and to others, we have avoided crowding our pages with references. The class of readers whom all editors must expect, who simply want to make out their author with as little trouble as possible,

are apt to find references simply an encumbrance. The class of readers whom all editors would desire, do not confine themselves to a single edition: when they read an author, they read the best books that bear upon him. To this class we have always thought that references, except to recondite sources of information, must seem an interruption and an impertinence. This consideration applies with especial force to subjects connected with grammar. Those who require to have a phrase or a construction explained, are surely better guided to a clear perception of its force by translation or paraphrase, or by the suggestion of an equivalent English idiom, than by being pointed to its place in a classification which, though elaborate, is not final, and instructed to distinguish it by a name selected from a terminology more complete than significant.

In conclusion, we have to express our thanks to the Master of Balliol for many valuable suggestions upon the way of approaching the questions which came before us in the course of our work; and to the Delegates of the University Press, from whom we received great courtesy and kindness, together with many useful criticisms in detail from the Dean of Christ Church and the Rev. G. W. Kitchin. We owe much also to the great kindness of the Rev. E. L. Hicks, of Corpus Christi College, who, amidst the pressure of his various engagements, has found time to read our proof sheets—a labour always thankless, though we trust not fruitless.

G. A. SIMCOX.

W. H. SIMCOX.

May, 1872.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
Preface	v
Life of Aeschines	xiii
Life of Demosthenes	xxv
Practical Politics of the age of Demosthenes	lxvii
The Documents quoted in the Oration on the Crown	xciv
Present state of the Text of the two Orations on the Crown	cxii
Supplementary Notes of Various Readings in Aeschines in Ctesiphontem, §§ 1-164	cxxxix
Aeschines in Ctesiphontem	i
Demosthenes de Corona	131
Indices :—	
I. Of Words and Phrases	257
II. Of Proper Names	260

LIFE OF AESCHINES.

It seems from Aeschines' own statements that he can scarcely have been of genuine Attic descent: he nowhere mentions his paternal grandfather, and his claim (De F. L. p. 47, § 153) that his father belonged to a *φρατρία*, which had the same family rites as the Eteobutadae, can only be intended to mislead. We know that slaves partook in the family rites of their masters, and it is probable that freedmen would also. At the same time it is obvious that he was connected in some way with an Attic family, and therefore the charge that he was the slave of the Corinthian Elpias (Dem. de Cor. § 164) falls to the ground. The date of his birth can be fixed within a year, by the statement of his son (l. c.) that he was ninety-four at the time Aeschines delivered (or published) his speech *De Falsa Legatione*. This would throw his birth to 437 or 436 B.C., so that he would be quite a young man at the time of the disastrous expedition to Syracuse. After that calamity, many slaves and denizens were admitted to the Attic franchise, and the father of Aeschines may well have been among them. This would fall in with Aeschines' account of his father's recollections of politics between the defeat of the expedition to Syracuse and the establishment of the Thirty, and would give room for the rest of the narrative of his athletic distinctions, of his service in Asia, and of his co-operation in the restoration of the Commons. At this time he must have been already a citizen, for Lysias, who rendered far greater services, was kept through a constitutional scruple in the condition of an *ισοτελής*. It is admitted that he lived in a very shabby condition, first as the assistant and then as the successor of Elpias, teaching letters and the elements of literature. He believed in his profession, to judge by his son's somewhat obtrusive enthusiasm for *παιδεία*, which in

his mouth includes, or rather is equivalent to, what we mean by culture and civilisation. He married a person whose name was Glaucothea, when Aeschines and his brothers had given the family a respectable position: till then her work-a-day name was Glaucis. Demosthenes, who asserts that till then everybody called the father Tromes, which is at any rate a possible name, says the mother was called Empusa (*ἐπὶ τοῦ πάντα ποιεῖν καὶ πάσχειν*, D. de Cor. § 166), which can only be a nickname. It is stated, and not denied, that she made a trade of initiating the lower orders into mysteries, apparently connected with the worship of Sabazius. Phryne and other women of her profession organised mysteries of their own (Baïter and Sauppe, *Attic Orators*, p. 362), and it is possible that the mother of Aeschines was accused of belonging to the profession of Phryne, because she organised mysteries. At any rate we should remember that in his Speech on the Crown, where we find the most picturesque imputations on these points, Demosthenes is retailing gossip at least forty, if not fifty years old. It is to be remembered also, that during the period between the restoration of the democracy and the restoration of the Long Walls, Athens and most of the Athenians were extremely poor; and that until the battle of Naxos, B.C. 378, the process of recovery was extremely slow, so that it is hard to say how far such gossip, even if true, would affect the relative respectability of its subject.

Aeschines was born B.C. 389–88, for he was in his forty-fifth year when he prosecuted Timarchus (Ae. in Tim. p. 7, c. 49). Demosthenes (De Cor. § 322 sqq.) tells a long story of how he helped as a boy in his father's school, and in his mother's incantations. He himself seems to imply that Demosthenes had called him a schoolmaster, and that he was not ashamed of it (Ae. in Ct. § 217). If this interpretation of *τὰς ἐν τοῖς γυμνασίοις μετὰ τῶν νεωτέρων μου διατριβάς* be right (but vid. ad loc.), it gives an explanation of the ostensible occupation of Aeschines, who was never an active politician. At any rate the phrase points to a charge which Demosthenes did not think it worth while to press when preparing his speech for publication.

When he was enrolled as an Athenian citizen, he served for two years among the *περίπολοι*, which we might almost translate 'coastguard,' which Demosthenes omits to mention, as Aeschines omits all mention of his connection with the stage. His duties as a 'coastguard' can hardly have been very arduous, and it is at least conceivable that he combined them with occasional exhibitions at country festivals. He left the stage after an unlucky fall (*αἰσχρῶς πσεῖν*, Demochares ap. Vit. Aesch. anon., might be synonymous with *ἐκπίπτειν*, but *κατέπεσεν* in Apollonius' life hardly can) in the character of Oenomaus. Before this he had been

under-clerk to some petty officials (D. de Cor. § 325, p. 314), a discreditable office, as its chief value to the holder was the small perquisites he could earn by conniving at small frauds, being a rascal for two or three drachmas, as Demosthenes puts it (De F. L. p. 403, § 222). He may have left the stage when elected clerk to the Assembly; according to Demosthenes, he took his election with becoming gratitude (D. de F. L. § 360, p. 442). The anonymous life says he was clerk first to Aristophon and then to Eubulus, which would mean that these orators having the official control of public business, the Assembly naturally confirmed their choice of subordinates. This falls in with Demosthenes' statement that Aeschines supported Aristophon's accusation of Eubulus (D. de F. L. p. 434, § 333), while in a later speech (De Cor. § 207, p. 280) he charges him with flattering both, and with the fact that Eubulus treated him as having a claim to protection when prosecuted by Demosthenes.

The author of the life says that in this capacity he became familiar with laws and decrees, and so was led to enter upon politics. Certainly his frequent references (In Ct. §§ 75, 104, etc.) to the value of public records, may be set down to the pride of the ex-official, as his references to *παιδεία* may be set down to the pride of the ex-schoolmaster. The writer of the twelfth of the letters which have come down to us under the name of Aeschines, makes him say that he entered political life at the age of thirty-three, i. e. B.C. 356-55. There is nothing else to fix either the date or the motive of his change, except that it may have been before the battle of Tamynae in 349, where he distinguished himself, and was sent home with the despatch which announced the victory, and must have been before his embassy in 347 to the Arcadian Assembly in Megalopolis. Embassies to important states on important occasions, generally were assigned to men of weight and position; but embassies which would take a good deal of time, and were sent on a mere chance of doing good, were reckoned as early as the time of Aristophanes (Ach. vv. 65-90) among the minor prizes of professional politics. The ostensible object of this particular embassy was to enlist Megalopolis in a league against Philip. Thirlwall (vol. v. p. 429, c. 44) very probably supposes that Eubulus, who always (De Cor. § 207) advocated the Theban alliance, hoped by this means to detach Thebes from Philip, since Megalopolis always leant on Thebes. If this could have been accomplished, it would have been easy to settle the Sacred War by supporting the party opposed to the *δυραστεία* of Philomelus and his successors, Thebes would have been strong enough to support her partisans in Peloponnese, Philip would have had no opportunity for intervention south of Thermopylae, and it would have been preposterous to attempt to divert the theoric fund

to military purposes. Aeschines came back (D. de F. L. §§ 9-11, p. 343) full of the fine speech he had made, of the evidence he had obtained of Philip's intrigues in Peloponnese, and of the miseries of the Olynthian captives. He proposed (Dem. l. c.) that ambassadors should be sent all over Greece to enlist allies against the common enemy; and, unfortunately for his reputation and that of Athens, the proposal was adopted. Meanwhile Philip was throwing out the hints of friendly intentions by which he secured the peace of Philocrates. When the first embassy was sent, Aeschines was chosen among nine others, partly no doubt as a not undeserving public servant, partly as an honest and hearty opponent of Philip. He was the youngest except Demosthenes, who messed with him, and said he was warned by him against 'that brute' Philocrates (Ae. de F. L. § 21, p. 360), which is likely enough, as Philocrates was the only one of the party definitely pledged to peace, and Demosthenes and Aeschines had both made themselves conspicuous as opponents of Philip, while Aeschines might suspect Demosthenes of flagging in the good cause, since he had supported Philocrates when prosecuted. On their arrival there was a formal audience, where all the envoys were to make set speeches. The only thing they had to do at this stage was to give as good an impression as they could, and make the case of Athens look as well as possible. They spoke in order of age: the two youngest were the only famous speakers. Aeschines dilated to his great satisfaction on all the claims Athens had to the gratitude and good offices of Macedon and Philip, and glossed over all recent acts which might be thought unfriendly. To our notions, such a manifesto would have been more in place at the beginning than at the end of a war; but Philip had expressed a desire not only for peace, but for friendship with Athens, and professed to be anxious to serve her, so that an harangue of this kind might serve to strengthen his good intentions if sincere, if not, to shame him into sincerity. Aeschines says he left the special point of Amphipolis to Demosthenes, and that Demosthenes broke down, and begged his colleagues to screen him by saying he had spoken to it. Philip made an elaborate reply to the ambassadors, in which he paid special attention to the arguments of Aeschines (Ae. de F. L. § 41, p. 33). All the ambassadors were very much struck by Philip's intelligence and by his fascinating manners; and this was the only tangible result of the mission, except that it was arranged that Philip should send ambassadors with full powers to Athens, and should abstain from all attacks upon the Chersonese until the Athenians had considered the terms to which they were authorised to agree. Perhaps it had been arranged that Philocrates should propose a peace in accordance with those terms.

Two assemblies were held to consider the question. Aeschines says (De F. L. p. 36, §§ 67, 68) that it was intended that the first should be for debate, and the second for voting only. As there were no means of enforcing this understanding, we may believe Aeschines when he speaks as if Demosthenes had disregarded it, and Demosthenes when he speaks as if Aeschines had disregarded it, without quite disbelieving that it existed. According to Demosthenes (De F. L. §§ 15-17, p. 345), at the first assembly Aeschines spoke shortly and reasonably on the necessity of peace, in the second he spoke violently on the necessity of Athens securing her own interests, and rejected all appeals to the brighter days of Athenian history. Aeschines (l.c.) professes that both these sets of topics formed part of a single speech, and maintains, with more plausibility, that his opponent caricatured his tone, he had only warned the people against the indiscriminate imitation of the worst precedents of the past; for instance, the fatal obstinacy of Cleophon, to which he was fond of appealing in later speeches. In fact, after the virtual conclusion of an armistice, practical politicians had no choice; it was morally certain that the renewal of the war meant the loss of the Chersonese, which the Athenians valued more than their honour. It was out of the question to delay the peace till the last roving embassy had returned, or to risk it for the sake of Cersobleptes or the Phocians.

We now come to the most important epoch in Aeschines' life, the period of his second embassy, upon which he honestly endeavoured to serve Athens, but gradually drifted, through Philip's ascendancy and his own selfish vanity, into a position which made him worse than useless to his country. Aeschines believed sincerely in Philip's professions of goodwill. He, like the majority of his colleagues, concurred with the general appointed to escort them in ignoring their instructions dictated by Demosthenes, which bound them to go and look for Philip in Thrace, in hopes of being able to stop his conquests. They had some reasons for disregarding them: Cersobleptes had not been admitted to swear to the peace as an ally of Athens; before they started they heard Philip had already taken Holy Mount, where Cersobleptes kept his treasure. On the other hand, a good many small posts, some important, were still held against Philip, partly by the troops of Chares: it was not impossible that by energetic action some of these might have been retained as outposts of the Chersonese.

We are to remember that for Aeschines the great business of the embassy was to secure the benefits of the alliance; for Demosthenes, to put an end to the war with the minimum of loss. The first object would have been seriously compromised by a long journey to press a doubtful

theory of secondary interest. When Philip came back he found the ambassadors at Pella, and set to work to fool them to the top of their bent. He contracted Xenia with them, he pressed magnificent presents upon them: perhaps this was the time that Aeschines received his estate in Olynthus, and Philocrates one of twice the value (D. de F. L. p. 386, § 158). It would be interesting to know whether Philip measured his gifts by his estimate of the importance of the receivers, or by his estimate of their services to him. Meanwhile Aeschines improved his intimacy at court, and lost no opportunity of urging Philip to settle the affairs of Phocis in such a way as to secure Athens and humble Thebes. Philip listened, professed goodwill more largely than ever: perhaps hinted at confidential promises. The situation helped him; if he was sincere, it was essential that he should keep his intentions secret. Still, he was so intimate and so encouraging, that the gossip of the court came to echo the private boasts, perhaps not too private, of Aeschines and his colleagues. They aided Philip to deceive them; practically, they quite admitted that Athens was to co-operate with Philip, that Philip might co-operate with Athens, and they were quite as earnest in persuading Philip to put them in a position to manage Athens, as in persuading him to help Athens under their management. Aeschines was vain enough to hope to manage Athens by his interest with Philip, and Philip by his interest with Athens: meanwhile he was proud of the honour of Philip's friendship, and this vanity fed both the others. Like a good many people, he was given to professing that democracy was admirable if well and strictly administered; and in his mind the profession covered a belief that as democracies were always ill and laxly administered, affairs had better be in the hands of a limited and responsible number. During the few weeks that his promises were believed, he let his secret convictions appear (D. de F. L. § 361, p. 442). He gave himself the airs of a cultivated gentleman, and people could always annoy him by talking of the 'ex-town-clerk' (D. de F. L. p. 42, § 361). He complains that he was falsely accused of revolutionary designs, and the suspicion clung to him, as we see by his pedantic parade of democratic loyalty in the speech against Ctesiphon (§§ 166-168 sqq., etc.) When Phalaecus had secured his own safety by sacrificing Phocis, Aeschines was sent with some of his former colleagues to remind Philip of the promises they said they had received. Instead of going or swearing off, he sent his brother and a doctor to swear that he was too ill to move. The result was, that he was free to stay at Athens to do what could be done towards soothing public opinion at first, and could claim a sort of title to address Philip in the name of Athens, when the people were likely to be thankful for small concessions. When the Phocian townships had capitulated, and the

irritation of Athens had taken the form of the affectation of unwise alarm (D. de Cor. § 45 sqq.), Aeschines actually set off and exerted himself heartily and with ostensible effect, both to obtain assurances of Philip's goodwill, and to combat the fanaticism of the little tribes of Oeta who urged the extermination of the Phocians. Indeed he actually induced the Phocians to send representatives to support him on his trial, by a profession of their public gratitude for his valuable services. That trial only came into court, if it came, three years after the embassy on which the alleged offence was committed. Timarchus, an energetic underling of the war party, gave notice of a prosecution: it is not clear whether Demosthenes was to have been his *συρίγγος*, or whether he simply took up the prosecution *de novo* when Timarchus was disfranchised. That Aeschines should have been able to disfranchise Timarchus is a proof that, even after the Phocian expedition, those who wished to be on good terms with Philip were still the strongest party at Athens. There is some doubt as to the motive of the prosecution. Aeschines of course represents it as a simple measure of defence against a sycophant, Demosthenes (De F. L. § 527, p. 433) represents that the real provocation was that Timarchus had *πέποι* proposed a decree to prohibit the exportation of arms to Philip. The interpretation of *πέποι* depends partly on the date we assign to Demosthenes' De Fals. Leg., and this date depends again on the interpretation of *πέποι*. The ordinary date for the speech, and the ordinary meaning of *πέποι*, would place the date of the decree after the peace of Philocrates. If so, it would be perhaps the earliest measure of the policy of distrust and irritation which destroyed any possible advantages that might have been hoped from the Macedonian alliance. Whatever the motive for the prosecution, Timarchus was disqualified for public life. Aeschines convicted him of an offence against good feeling (the offence against morality was scarcely denied) by an appeal to common fame, and to the suspicious absence of the witnesses who were called to testify in ambiguous language to the worst charges. The commonest cases (Dem. adv. Naus. p. 981 § 7, In Mid. § 114, p. 543) could be left pending for years; and, after this interruption of the trial, it is not surprising it remained in suspense till 343 B.C., when it seems to have been revived as a simple engine to aggravate the public discontent with the peace.

Philocrates was already in exile: he had displayed his wealth too cynically, and avowed too frankly that it was the reward of his services to Philip in carrying the treaty (D. de F. L. § 27, p. 417). Aeschines had a plausible theory to allege in defence of his acts, and the eagerness of Demosthenes to carry the treaty, perplexed him in the management

of the prosecution. After all, the only argument of Aeschines' corruption was that when his promises proved fallacious, instead of admitting that he had been duped by Philip, he chose to throw the blame upon Athens, who had failed to secure the advantages of the treaty by prompt and loyal co-operation. He was acquitted (Idomeneus ap. Plut. Vit. Dem. c. 15) by thirty votes, and this acquittal was so far from honourable, that he never ventures to allude to the trial. Demosthenes (De Cor. § 181, p. 275) expresses a fear that the jury may repeat the mistake made after the Phocian war, and regard Aeschines as too insignificant to be guilty of serious mischief, which is an unmistakable allusion to his former acquittal. But the reticence of both the rival orators led even in antiquity (Plut. ubi sup.) to a suspicion that the pleadings on both sides were never delivered or meant to be delivered, and this has been repeated so often by modern writers, that it has almost become a certainty, though it is more than doubtful whether pamphlets in the form of unspoken speeches would have been as effective in the days of Demosthenes as in those of Cicero. After this trial the activity of Aeschines reduced itself mainly to a more or less questionable correspondence (D. de Cor. § 168, p. 271) with Philip until Demosthenes' reform of the trierarchical law, when he was retained with a fee of two talents (D. de Cor. § 368, p. 321) to argue that the law would reduce the number of ships for which trierarchs were then available, and that therefore the existing law should be maintained under which the *ἡγεμόνες τῶν συμμοριῶν* managed to evade the whole expense. Dinarchus (I. § 42) speaks of the frequent changes made by Demosthenes in the terms of his law; Demosthenes (l. c.) speaks of Aeschines having mangled it;—so that the opposition probably told, though not to an extent to interfere with the efficiency of the measure.

In his office as Pylagoras, Aeschines exercised an absolutely decisive influence in Greek history, or rather was the occasion of an absolutely decisive event. According to his own account of the matter, which is sufficiently credible, the Amphissian Locrians had it in contemplation to propose a fine of fifty talents to be levied on Athens for an alleged irregular dedication of some Theban spoils in the new temple at Delphi. The charge was brought out of compliment to Thebes, on whose protection the Amphissians relied to screen their own encroachments. If pressed, the charge might have been indefinitely troublesome; it was exceedingly probable that if backed by Thebes it would be backed by Philip, and then Athens would have been involved in the peril of an Amphictyonic war. If, as Demosthenes (De Cor. § 192) implies, a citation to Athens was an indispensable preliminary to any action, it was probably judicious to wait, for it was clear that no citation had arrived.

It would have certainly been safe, perhaps not very undignified, to plead, if the citation came, and, if necessary, pay. Aeschines preferred the chance of playing a brilliant part; he called attention with immense theatrical effect to the sin of the Amphissians, at least the leaders of the Theban party then in possession of affairs, in trespassing on the consecrated territory of Cirrha. Considering the extreme insignificance of the Amphissians, it was possible for the Amphictyons to deal with them without calling for the full force of any powerful member of the league. It was certainly no service to Philip to set Thebes and the Amphictyons at variance: to be the acknowledged protector of both was what he had gained by his Phocian expedition. If Athens had sent her delegates to the extraordinary meeting which was very properly summoned, as otherwise the Amphissians would have had time to prepare for resistance, it is hardly likely they would have resisted at all. If either Aeschines or Demosthenes had had complete control of Athenian policy from the first nomination of pylagori, Philip's opportunity would not have come: it came because Aeschines committed the Amphictyons to a conflict with Amphissa, and because Demosthenes made it impossible for them to bring it to an end without the help of Philip. If Aeschines was sent to Delphi as a party measure, the only party object was to find him a place and keep things pleasant. It is curious that the result of his manoeuvre to exalt Athens by punishing a dependent of Thebes was to throw Thebes into the arms of Athens. Without the unwilling, unconscious co-operation of Aeschines, the Theban alliance could not have been effected by Demosthenes. As Demosthenes (*De Cor.* § 242, etc.) boasts that his rival was entirely passive during the interval between the occupation of Elatea and the battle of Chaeroneia, it may be doubted whether even the indictment against Ctesiphon was seriously intended to be pressed: it served its original purpose if it kept Demosthenes from receiving the crown.

Neither the battle of Chaeroneia nor the revolt and reduction of Thebes did anything to place Aeschines in a position of political influence or ascendancy. After the peace of Philocrates, we hear from his rival of his estate in Olynthus; after the destruction of Thebes, we hear again of his estate in Boeotia (*D. de Cor.* § 54); but the real managers of the Macedonian interest in Athens were Demades for the assembly and Phocion for the administration. Aeschines was at once a vain man and an indolent man: he only cared to exert himself when he could make a figure, which accounts for his being rewarded and not employed. In 330 B.C., when the Lacedaemonians had been decisively defeated in Peloponnese, when their king had been killed in battle, and their surviving leaders sent up to Asia to be disposed of at the good pleasure of

Alexander, who it was now known had overcome all the organised power of the Persian empire, Aeschines thought that at last he might hope to inflict an irreparable mortification on his rival, who had rashly counted on the success of Persia to deliver Greece. As Demosthenes said (*Ae. in Ct.* § 217), he wished to shew off to Alexander, at a moment when, if ever, opinion had definitely accepted Alexander as the champion and the arbiter of Greece. Between 338 and 330 B.C., no such moment occurs; and it is unnecessary to suppose with Westermann, that because Aeschines undoubtedly recast much of his speech after the trial, he also brought its historical allusions up to the date of publication, and that this date was later than the trial. The other members of the Macedonian party had no motive for supporting him: if he succeeded he would only divide the favours which Demades was anxious to monopolise; besides, Demades and Demosthenes were personally on good terms, as it was convenient for political opponents to be. Accordingly, Demosthenes was supported by the whole strength of his party (*Ae. in Ct.* § 258), while Aeschines, for want of advocates, was reduced to appeal (*l.c.*) to the memory of great citizens of better days. The result was what might have been expected when the forces were so unequal. It is clear, indeed, that an illegality had been committed: it is certain that Demosthenes' accounts could not have been examined without prejudice, if the vote of thanks for his generosity had passed: it may be doubted whether the proclamation in the theatre was lawful or no. But it is clear that both the certain and the possible illegality had been condoned in exactly similar circumstances, and if the spirit of legality had been strong enough to enforce the letter of the law in political trials, it would have been strong enough to give the cases Demosthenes appeals to the force of binding precedents. Besides, the perverse ingenuity which staked the decision between the two great orators on the trial of an insignificant partisan, throws an air of coldness and unreality over an able and elaborate pleading. The latter half of the speech is a very clever and plausible statement of what there was to say against Demosthenes, but the cleverness only embarrasses the argument. It is probable the ancient critics were right who thought that if Aeschines had been content to treat Ctesiphon as the real as well as the ostensible criminal, he might have convicted him as well as Timarchus; and even this, if it had not recommended him to Alexander, would have mortified Demosthenes.

After his crushing defeat, Aeschines may be said to disappear from history. All we know is, that after the trial, how long after is not clear, he left Athens and went to Rhodes and to Ephesus, in the hope that Alexander would restore him. This expectation was disappointed by

Alexander's death, and Aeschines settled at Rhodes. He died in exile at the age of 75. There was a consistent tradition that the Rhodian school of eloquence dated from his visit. The anecdotes of his exile have come down to us in a form that cannot be tested. As Westermann points out, the most famous of all, which relates that Demosthenes supplied him with money to escape from Athens, is at variance with all that we know from other sources of Aeschines' pecuniary position, and probably originated in the rhetorical exercises on the lives of orators, which under the form of μεταποιήσεις Δημοσθενικῶν χωρίων began as early as Demetrius Phalereus. Perhaps we may believe, on the authority of the letters composed in imitation of those which circulated as early as the time of Dionysius of Halicarnassus, under the name of Demosthenes, that on his arrival at Rhodes he was indebted to the hospitality of Cleocrates, a descendant of the Damagetus celebrated by Pindar, though the information is thrown into the grotesque form of letters to Ctesiphon, who it is implied had taunted him with his misfortunes, and then given him an introduction, which proved useless, to somebody else. Perhaps after all the most characteristic anecdote of his exile is that the Rhodians asked him to teach rhetoric, and that he replied that he did not know it himself. Whether the story is true or no, it fits in very well with the fastidious indolence and contempt for his surroundings which there seems reason to attribute to him.

To judge by his bust and by the taunts of his rival, Aeschines was exceedingly handsome: he was also physically robust, and had a magnificent voice, but it seems (D. de F. L. p. 442, § 361) that in spite of his pompous bearing he was short. He tells us himself that at forty-five, when he prosecuted Timarchus, he had got quite grey, and looked more than his age (In Tim. p. 7, § 49). He admits (Ibid. p. 19, § 135 sq.) that he had made himself unpleasantly ridiculous by his importunate attentions to handsome boys; but he had the support of public opinion in regarding these episodes as blots on his discretion rather than on his respectability. In other ways he seems to have satisfied the ordinary requirements of opinion better than his rival: he was married, he had legitimate children, he shared the common opinions and prejudices and habits, and the Athenians could no longer affect to despise a man for the shifty and precarious way in which he got his living. Then he had managed to pass through life without making personal enemies, or being involved in disreputable personal quarrels. He himself believed devoutly in respectability as the sum of all ordinary routine observances, and naturally his keen sense of personal respectability was compatible with a very low sense of national honour. Like Demosthenes, he was in a way a religious man: he believed in the Gods as a part of the historical

traditions of Greek culture; it was the mission of the countrymen of Solon to vindicate the honour of the shrine of Delphi. Perhaps we may infer from the pretentious peroration of the speech against Ctesiphon, that sophistical deified abstractions of the order which Euripides had brought into fashion, had a stronger hold upon his personal conscience.

G. A. S.

LIFE OF DEMOSTHENES.

DEMOSTHENES was the son of a wealthy manufacturer of the same name, who had a cutlery which employed thirty slaves, and an upholstery which employed twenty. Theopompus, who did not admire the son, says (Plut. Vit. D, § 4) that the father was τῶν καλῶν καγαθῶν ἀνδρῶν. He was certainly rich, and probably took rank as a gentleman; but it seems there were those who contrasted his position with that of the old landed aristocracy. There is an unmistakable sneer in the concession of Aeschines, 'to tell the truth he was a freeman,' and Demades is said by Tzetzes, who had access to some good traditions (Chil. 6. 129-135), to have taunted Demosthenes with assuming the airs of a Critias, when he was only a cutler's son after all. Demosthenes the elder married Cleobule, the daughter of Gylon, and this marriage involved his family in a cloud which enemies could always exaggerate with effect. Demosthenes (Contra Aphobum 2, §§ 2, 3, p. 836) admits that Gylon was at one time a debtor to the state, but contends that the debt was paid before his death: Aeschines asserts that he had betrayed Nymphaeum, a post in the Black Sea which paid the Athenians a yearly tribute of a talent, and in his absence had been condemned to death. The two stories do not hang well together, and if we had to choose between them, we ought certainly to prefer Demosthenes' statement, if only because it was thirty years nearer the facts. It would account for both stories, if we suppose that at the time of the loss of Nymphaeum Gylon was already a state debtor, and that the fact of the debt may have been a principal proof of his treason. In that case he may have had reason to think that the sentence of death and confiscation would be allowed to remain a dead letter after the original

debt was paid. It is certain that he remained in the Black Sea, and settled at Bosporus, where the dynasty gave him the township of Cepi. There he married a Scythian lady. It appears that so far as language went the Scythians were already Hellenised, for Aeschines (In Ctes. § 172) gives a barbarian who speaks Greek as the definition of a Scythian. It is quite certain that this marriage was irregular. It is not clear that it was incurably null. In all probability it took place before the archonship of Euclides after the anarchy, and it appears (Demos-thenes contra Eubulidem, § 34, p. 1307) the children of Athenian citizens by foreign mothers born before that date were admitted to the franchise, while the strict law was henceforward to be enforced by the ordinary means. If so, the question whether Gylon's daughters could lawfully marry Athenian citizens, would lie *inter apices juris*. Cleobule brought her husband fifty minae, according to Demosthenes. Demosthenes was born B.C. 385-84. This would make him just over sixty years old at the time of his banishment, which Hyperides expressly states was the case (Frag. 17, ed. Sauppe), and would coincide with the indications Demosthenes gives of his own age. He represents himself as just eighteen in 367-66, and thirty-two at the time of the affair of Midias, which it seems possible to place in 353-52, though it is possible to argue from it in defence of the opinion of those who bring down the birth of Demosthenes with Dionysius to 381-80. Two years after Demosthenes, a sister was born, who married Laches, and became the mother of Demochares. When Demosthenes was seven years and some months old, his father died, leaving a capital of fourteen or fifteen talents. The disposition he made of his property seems to shew that he felt it to be insecure: at least he seems to have tried to bribe three of his most influential relations to protect what was left, by giving them a hold over nearly a third of the property. Aphobus, his sister's son, was to marry Cleobule with a dower of eighty minae, and the use of the house and furniture till Demosthenes came of age; Demophon, his brother's son, was to have two talents and marry the sister as soon as she was old enough; and Therippides was to have the use of seventy minae till Demosthenes should be of age. They realised their legacies at once, did not trouble themselves about either marriage, though Aphobus declined to support the widow until she had put her gold ornaments into his possession. When Demosthenes came of age, they handed over thirty minae and fourteen slaves and an empty house. It is clear that the property had been maladministered in some way. It is a curious question what became of it; how much was downright embezzled, how much simply wasted. We have five orations of Demosthenes upon the whole business (counting the *πρὸς Ἀφόβου ψευδομαρτυρίας*) which might be

expected to resolve the difficulty, but we cannot form even an approximate idea from them of what the guardians' case was like, or even of Demosthenes' own theory of the embezzlement, though we have to remember that he designedly withholds two-thirds of his case. In fact, it is hard to imagine that they could have had a case at all; yet it is clear that there was enough to be said on their side to get up a prejudice against Demosthenes, which could be appealed to with effect many years after. The property was much of it of a very precarious character; for instance, the slaves in the upholstery were pledged by one Moeriades, as security for forty minae (In Aphobum 1, §§ 31-33, pp. 1021, 1022), and it seems (though Aphobus allowed him to borrow five minae more on the same security, which moderate sum was duly repaid) that Moeriades was a very untrustworthy debtor, and as the security disappeared without the debt having been paid, it is quite possible that the guardians were right in stating that the creditors had established a better title to the security. The only arguments urged by Demosthenes in reply to this theory are that the guardians ought to have defended an action to maintain their lien on the slaves, and that his father was not a fool, which is backed up by some makeshift evidence of the solvency of Moeriades. Then two of the guardians had claims of one kind or another against the estate (In Aphobum 1, § 56, p. 828), and it seems were paid what they chose to ask, without even giving receipts for a specified amount. Again, Demosthenes gives an estimate of the materials in hand in both manufactories, which there were no means of checking at the time of the trial; for he makes no profession of producing accounts or vouchers, he only produces the evidence of those who had had ten or twelve years ago the means of knowing what the case was then. It is probable that we have only Milyas'¹ opinion of what was left, and Demosthenes' opinion of what became of it; though Demosthenes makes out clearly that Aphobus ought to have accounted for the stock-in-trade, and did not. Also bottomry, in which more than a talent was placed, was a very hazardous investment, and there were sums of money amounting to a talent and forty or fifty minae lying at call at different bankers, which were more likely if drawn out to be paid away than to be invested. Also we know that Demosthenes all his life was a man of expensive tastes, so that it is hardly necessary to discredit entirely a plea put forward by Aphobus, that there had been considerable advances before the pupilage came to an end. This would be supported by the ambiguous taunt of Aeschines (In Ctes. § 73, p. 78), that Demosthenes had squandered his heritage in a ridiculous way.

¹ The confidential freedman of the elder Demosthenes.

Moreover, it is very possible that there actually was a concealed treasure, especially if we believe that Gylon's representatives were liable to have their property attacked for his debts. This liability was put forward as the reason why the guardians did not find some one to farm the whole property, get in the debts, invest the assets, and carry on the business. Demosthenes produces evidence that this had been done in other cases, and had answered admirably, though he does not say whether quite such a complicated or hazardous business had been successfully farmed. He states, further, that the plea of Gylon's debt to the state was put in at the last moment, too late to allow him to bring forward evidence that the debt had been paid, as he asserts it had. At first sight it seems a strong presumption against their sincerity in putting forward this plea, that they had returned Demosthenes as possessing fifteen talents, and liable to contribute on an assessment of three. But as the particulars of his estate were not given in the return, they may have easily made themselves believe that it was safest for their ward to avert, even at a sacrifice, the suspicion of concealed resources, which might have led to enquiry where and what they were. Again, Demosthenes says that as the thirty slaves in the cutlery brought in thirty minae a year, when half of them were sold he reckons that the rest should have brought in fifteen, whereas the return was only eleven minae according to his guardians. It is not very surprising that the profits of a business resumed on a reduced scale, after being almost at a standstill for two years, should exhibit a falling off of something like twenty-seven per cent. If it were certain that the will was suppressed before Demosthenes began to be troublesome, this would be a clear proof of deliberate malversation; as it is, it would almost account for the facts, to suppose that the property was shamefully, indolently, timidly mismanaged, by men who from first to last thought only of their own ease, profit, convenience, and security, and systematically postponed their ward, who perhaps exaggerated his destitute condition, since Aphobus offered before the trial either to prove that he was worth ten talents, or to make him so (In Aph. I, § 57, p. 829). We have also to remember that Milyas enjoyed opportunities of speculation not so very inferior (on a small scale) to those of the guardians, while Demosthenes had a much better chance of recovering from them than from him.

Demosthenes was a delicate child, and had an impediment in his speech; between the two he got the nickname of Βάταλος, or Βάτταλος. Demosthenes acknowledged the former (D. de Cor. § 281, Ae. in Tim. § 186) as given by his nurse, perhaps in consequence of his stammering, which implies that the other at any rate was a calumny: it conveyed some kind of obscene imputation. He neglected the ordinary physical education of respectable Greeks; but he received the common intellectual training.

He says (De Cor. § 320, p. 312) that he went to the schools that became his rank, and complains (In Aph. 1, § 53, p. 828) that his guardians left his teachers unpaid. This falls in with the rather untrustworthy story that he asked Isocrates to teach him a fifth of his art for two minae, as he could not pay ten to learn all. As Isocrates taught his Athenian pupils gratis (Vitt. X Oratorum, p. 838), the story cannot be true as it stands, though it would be likely to be told of a man whose first form of extravagance had been a taste for expensive lessons. There are other stories which it is less easy to test, of his passion for Thucydides, and his having studied under Plato, and having been electrified by a speech of Callistratus, in which he invited the Athenians to recover Oropus. The first of these derives some confirmation from the harshnesses of Demosthenes' style, of which we have sufficient evidence, though the traces have disappeared from his published speeches. The second rests on less authority, and is intrinsically improbable: when Demosthenes was old enough to learn from him, Plato's influence was almost entirely limited to the circle of professed philosophers. The third may easily be true, but it is curious that Demosthenes, who all his life was a strong partisan of Thebes, should have been electrified as a boy by an anti-Theban orator; and the story was of a kind to pass easily into a legend, and perhaps it is only the legend that has reached us. The only point that we can take as proved in his education, is that he studied under Isaeus, whose influence the ancients traced in his earliest speeches: and on such a point ancient criticism may be trusted implicitly. We do not know, however, whether he consulted him until he had a personal motive in the conduct of his guardians. Demosthenes was enrolled at the age of eighteen. Aeschines implies that there were, or might have been, difficulties raised, since he claims that Philodemus, his own father-in-law (De F. L. § 159, p. 48), smoothed them away. Just before this Aphobus had definitively violated the conditions of his legacy by marrying the sister of Onetor, and Demosthenes, as soon as he was of age, lost no time in urging his grievances. For two years the business remained in the stage of negotiation and arbitration; at last the arbitrators decided against Aphobus, apparently (In Aph. 1, § 57, p. 829) on the ground that the capital had been frittered away in expenses that ought to have been met out of revenue. Demosthenes assessed damages of ten talents against each of his guardians, and apparently had provided himself with evidence that money which would have amounted to that sum under his father's management, had passed through each of their hands, and that they were answerable for the whole of it. We have his opening speech in the action against Aphobus, and his reply, in which we trace something of the line of the

defence, which made little impression on the court, for Demosthenes obtained a verdict for the full amount of his claim.

The trial had nearly been frustrated by a curious incident so convenient to the guardians, as to make Demosthenes' charge that they prepared it plausible. Thrasylochus came with his brother Midias to insist (with a good deal of insolence, related at length in the speech against Midias, and not mentioned at all in the speech against Aphobus) that Demosthenes should either relieve him of the expense of a trierarchy, or exchange properties. If the exchange had been accepted, Thrasylochus would have had a right to compound all the actions, which he might have done on very easy terms and yet have been a gainer, as his estate, by Demosthenes' own shewing, was only worth about seven talents. Demosthenes calls no evidence in support of his theory, that the exchange was maliciously and vexatiously proposed. It is even possible that he accepted it freely from a desire to have two strings to his bow, and not risk everything on the success of his action. He accepted, subject to a decision of a court on the comparative value of the two properties, pending which he insisted on retaining possession. The day of the other trial was fixed, and Demosthenes, having refused Thrasylochus possession, was liable for the cost of the trierarchy. Consequently he had to pledge the assets which he acknowledged having received from the guardians, for a sum which enabled him to pay the expenses of the trierarchy, after which he brought an action for slander against Midias, who was condemned in damages of ten minae, which he did not pay (*Mid. p. 543, § 114*), and spun out for eight years the suit in which Demosthenes tried to recover.

Aphobus, like the other guardians, was a man of wealth and influential position; but he obviously took the verdict against him as a serious danger. He put all his property out of reach (*Adv. Aph. §§ 5, 6, p. 845*): he paid caution money as a denizen at Megara: after which precautions he came into court again with what was practically a motion for a new trial. His last word (*In Aph. 1, § 60, p. 830*) both before the arbitration and before the court had been, that after all there was a private treasure of four talents committed to the care of Cleobule, and that Milyas could be made to say where it was. Demosthenes had met this allegation upon the trial by the evidence of three witnesses that Milyas had been emancipated, and could not be made to speak under the only conditions under which his evidence would have been trusted. Aphobus replied by prosecuting Phanus, one of the three, for perjury. If he had gained his verdict, he would not have been legally entitled to a new trial. Perhaps he could have recovered from Phanus; certainly the conviction of Phanus would have discredited the former verdict enough to create a serious

prejudice against Demosthenes in any future attempts to enforce it. Moreover, he and his colleagues had wisely reserved the best part of their case till Demosthenes was unprepared to meet it: at this point of the proceedings they brought forward a mass of evidence in support of their own story of the guardianship, doubtless as a presumption that as Demosthenes was pledged to a vexatious prosecution, he had suborned perjury to carry it through¹.

Apparently the prosecution failed, and Aphobus was thrown back on the system of passive defence which he had prepared. Among other precautions, he had sent his wife back to Onetor, and so became his debtor for the amount of her dowry. As security for this he placed his house and landed property, the only asset which could neither be moved nor hidden, in the hands of her brother, and sent Demosthenes to get his remedy from him. The second speech against Onetor implies that since the first Demosthenes had obtained possession of the house (Adv. On. 2. § 18, p. 877), and there we have to leave the story. It appears that the suits against the other guardians never came into court: Demosthenes, some ten years after, says that he could not recover οὐδὲ πολλοὺς μίπος. One ancient biographer says that he let some of his guardians off for money and others for goodwill, which must be understood of all the people against whom he brought suits in connection with this family quarrel, for three guardians could not be divided into 'some' and others. This seems the place for an action brought against his cousin Demomeles for wilful wounding, and compromised, according to Aeschines (Ae. de F. L. § 99, p. 40, In Ctes. § 213, p. 84), for a pecuniary consideration. Lastly, Zosimus (p. 147, Reiske) gives the matter a sentimental turn, and pretends that Demosthenes, after shewing his skill by getting verdicts for thirty talents, the full amount claimed, shewed his sense of justice by taking only the fourteen talents which were due. It is clear from the way in which Demosthenes speaks of his public and private liberalities, both in the *Midias* (§§ 196-200) and in the *De Corona* (§ 332), that he had always money within reach. Probably he converted the fourteen slaves left in the cutlery into ready money, and with what he got from his guardians and their allies, he had a capital of several talents, on which he could live from hand to mouth. He was reproached in antiquity with lending money upon bottomry, which was too insecure

¹ The speech *πρὸς Ἀφόβου* has been discredited on three grounds: firstly, the preparations for evading the decision of Attic courts mentioned there and in the speeches against Onetor, could not precede a fresh appeal to Attic jurisdiction; secondly, the special appeal was useless, since it could not set aside the verdict in the former trial; thirdly, Demosthenes tells the old story to a new audience in the old words. All three difficulties may be met, as they are raised, *a priori*.

an investment, and led to too many disputes to be quite respectable; though of course it enabled a small capitalist to turn his money often. Aeschines, a much better authority (In Ctes. § 173), implies that his main dependence was upon his rhetorical skill, which he exercised both in composing speeches for sale, and instructing those of his clients with whom he had become personally intimate. Beyond this general outline, the years between the trial of the guardians and his entrance into public life, are the most obscure in the life of Demosthenes.

Perhaps this is the time of life to which we should especially refer the sneer of Theopompus which puzzled Plutarch (Vit. Dem. § 13), that Demosthenes could never keep to the same things or the same men. The constancy of his opposition to Philip and Alexander gives at this distance an appearance of unity to his conduct and character, which escaped the appreciation of a splenetic, impartial, and intelligent contemporary. It seems to have been, especially in its latter part, a time of effusive and hardly creditable intimacies, importunate, probably interested, and yet not insincere. By the report of his enemies (Ae. de F. L. § 177, In Tim. §§ 170-176), Demosthenes was the oracle of a fluctuating circle of heady, rich young men, each of whom expected to become the first man in Athens, thanks to his own position and abilities, and above all, to the training of his gifted friend, who meanwhile made the connection serve his own convenience. The earliest and the most lasting, the most business-like, and perhaps the most questionable of these intimacies was with Apollodorus, the son of Pasion, a wealthy banker and naturalised Athenian, who was in a state of constant collision with Phormion, his father's confidential freedman and successor in business, who, like his master, had been naturalised. Apollodorus, forgetting that Pasion had been a slave himself, was angry that Phormion should be better off than himself, and passed a long series of years in disputes with him, which gradually culminated in lawsuits. Apollodorus employed Demosthenes in five cases unconnected with his quarrel with Phormion, which are placed on more or less satisfactory evidence at various dates between 361-60 and 351-50 B.C. As Demosthenes made his first mark as a speaker in 359-58 (Euseb. Chron. p. 345, ed. Mai), Apollodorus, who was some ten years older, must have been his earliest and most consistent patron; but in 351-50 there must have been something of a quarrel, for Demosthenes composed a speech to be delivered, apparently by himself, in behalf of Phormion, in which Apollodorus is very severely handled. Phormion got his verdict, probably thanks to this speech, which begins with a graphic description of the pitiful way in which the poor man had fumbled his own case. Apollodorus, like Aphobus, replied by prosecuting a witness for perjury: the speech he delivered was supplied by

Demosthenes. The transaction was regarded in antiquity as highly questionable; and it would certainly be strange for a barrister now to call a witness on one trial, and accept a brief to prosecute him for perjury on another. It is hardly clear whether this was the whole of the story as Plutarch knew it; he speaks as if Demosthenes had written a speech for Phormion to deliver, which certainly cannot be the *ὑπὲρ Φορμίωνος* that we have.

So far he may be thought to support the far worse charge of Aeschines, put plainly in the *περὶ παραπρεσβείας*, § 171, and in a more abstract form in the *In Ctesiphontem*, § 173, that Demosthenes allowed one side a sight of the speech he was engaged to compose for the other. It is clear that in the speech to which Demosthenes, in behalf of Phormion, composed a reply, Apollodorus had dwelt much on the fact of Phormion having been his father's slave, and as the reply professes to give a full account of their quarrels, and mentions no trial in which Apollodorus could be said to prosecute Phormion *περὶ σώματος*, it is on the whole most probable that Aeschines was exaggerating; that he presumed that Apollodorus claimed Phormion as a slave, because he taunted him with having been one. At the same time it is hardly probable that if Demosthenes did no more than Plutarch says, it would have damaged him, as Aeschines says his conduct did. Aeschines professes that Demosthenes got a reputation for bad faith: by the time of Plutarch this had dwindled to an imputation of bad taste. On such evidence we could not convict any man, especially a great man, and not an unscrupulous man of deliberate treachery; and there are many ways in which we can imagine the charge to have arisen, without imputing this: e.g. Demosthenes may have shewn Apollodorus the speech after the trial, to prove that it was less offensive than he thought; and the charge was one which might easily become obscure when the people who spread it were dead.

We know of two other intimates of Demosthenes, Ctesippus, the son of Chabrias, with whom he was closely connected in 355-54, and whose mother he was expected to marry (Plut. Vit. Dem. c. 15); Aristarchus, the son of Moschus, a violent young man, who killed Nicodemus, apparently for reflecting in the public assembly on his relations with Demosthenes, 'by knocking out his eyes,' Aeschines says, 'and slitting the tongue that dared to speak out to you.' Demosthenes was accused of having instigated the crime: he himself professed to believe in the innocence of Aristarchus, who fled to avoid a trial. Aeschines talks of three talents which Aristarchus had lodged with Demosthenes to provide for his necessities in exile, and which the latter had embezzled (De F. L. § 177). We may perhaps believe that money of Aristarchus' passed

through Demosthenes' hands; perhaps also that he had or made reasons for detaining some or all of it.

This seems the place to say something of Demosthenes' personal habits and private character. All his life he seems to have been accused by his enemies of two faults, which seem at first sight to exclude each other: one being an austere morosity, the other profuse, effeminate luxury. We hear of his being ridiculed as a water drinker (*D. de F. L.* § 51), and of his speeches smelling of the lamp (*Plut. Vit.* § 8): *Hyperides* (*Frag.* 17, p. 19, *Sauppe*) makes it an aggravation of his crime in embezzling the treasure of *Harpalus*, that he had rebuked the harmless indulgences of the young men who were bringing him to justice. It is chiefly from *Aeschines* that we hear of the other side,—of the thin clothes, like a fine lady's, in which he wrote his speeches against his friends (*In Tim.* § 131, p. 18), of the excesses which made it credible that he had to find a friend to make him a father (*Ae. de F. L.* § 158, p. 118), of the extravagance which was sure to exhaust the treasures of Persia at last (*In Ctes.* § 173, p. 78). The second charge is supported to some extent by the number of anecdotes which *Athenaeus* has collected, of his expensive favourites of both sexes; and perhaps by another story, that when brought to trial in the matter of *Harpalus*, he had no children to exhibit to move the pity of the court, except those of his Samian concubine, and was ashamed to produce the mother. About the worst charges Demosthenes' enthusiastic admirers were silent throughout antiquity, probably from prudence, not from contempt. It is happily impossible to examine them in detail; they must be passed by with the admission, 'where there is smoke there is fire,' not dismissed with the contemptuous phrase, 'throw mud enough and some is sure to stick.' As we never hear from *Aeschines* the remotest hint of excesses of the table, it is probable that Demosthenes' temperament was like that of Mahomet and the early Caliphs, abstemious in one direction and voluptuous in another. It is harder to decide why he was so particular to have his clothes spun fine, except on the ground of delicate health; perhaps, too, his timid, jealous, suspicious temper, may be taken as the outcome of a sensitive, irritable organisation, to which the mere physical fret of ordinary flannel might be intolerable. This also would account in some measure for the charge of extravagance: to a timid, nervous man, the act of spending money gives for the moment a pleasant sense of power; and a nature not naturally joyous, needs to be assured that a pleasure is pleasant by its cost. Besides, a man who spends at rare intervals, finds it easiest when he does spend to be lavish; and lastly, it should be remembered that Demosthenes was habitually munificent both in public and private.

We have many details, but no dates, for his severe and thorough self-education as an orator. We know how on his first appearance he broke down; and how he was encouraged and guided by the actor Satyrus; how he declaimed, while marching up hill and by the sea shore, with pebbles in his mouth; how he shaved one side of his head, and shut himself up to meditate. We have more questionable information, that even his first unfortunate attempt was pronounced by old men¹ to be in the spirit of Pericles, and that at last when his lisp was conquered, he was able to appear in the public assembly with the following grotesque piece of exultation, *ἦκα φέρων ἐς ὑμᾶς τὸ ῥῶ καταρβηροτροπυμένον*. His perseverance in overcoming difficulties is the point on which our authorities have insisted most; and this has given greatest prominence to the shortcomings of his oratory, which were connected with physical defects, because most resolution was required to conquer these. But it seems that besides being ill-delivered, his first speeches were harsh and obscure, and that the sentences were too long not merely for his voice, but for human ears. Another fault, of which Aeschines has preserved specimens ranging from 346 to 330 B.C., was indulgence in violent and unpleasant metaphors. This has not been noticed by ancient critics, so that it is probable Demosthenes himself removed any traces of it from his finished compositions. After all, however, he never attained to perfect clearness and ease, except in the beautiful speech against Leptines; his eloquence rushes, and does not flow; his great speeches are a little monotonous in their intensity; they are overweighted with arguments and earnestness, everything is enforced and 'inculcated;' we look in vain for the repose of secure and confident exposition, of unembarrassed narrative. The ancients were conscious of the want of relief in his astonishing eloquence (Plut. Vit. Dem. § 11), and cultivated contemporaries took unfavourable notice of another consequence of his absorbed and pathetic seriousness. They contrasted his artificial manner, his forced passion, his deliberate pathos, which electrified the groundlings, with the reserve and self-possession of older speakers, 'who discoursed with the multitude in a stately, magnificent way,' and who, if their speeches as compositions were comparatively cold and empty, never merged their own dignity and personality in a torrent of factitious enthusiasm (Plut. Vit. § 11).

On the whole, it seems we ought to place the greater part of this laborious training comparatively late in Demosthenes' life, just before the first speeches on public affairs that have come down to us, the earliest of which is dated 355-54, even if we are to assume that it was over then. Probably the lawsuit with his guardians left him no leisure while it lasted;

¹ How old? Aeschines thought it a great thing to have a father who remembered Cleophon.

after the compromise, there would still be four or five years before we can suppose that he had much practice as a speech writer, and these would be occupied in part with rhetorical studies: it appears there were already books on rhetoric, from which it seems, from the ancient guesses that have come down to us, he learnt more than from living teachers. But when he had learned to write a speech he still had to become an orator. He had still as much to learn as a chancery lawyer, who comes to face public meetings, and has to gain the ear of the House of Commons, and in his case the change was peculiarly difficult. Aeschines (In Ctes. § 173, p. 78) asserts that he took to politics because he had no choice after his unprofessional conduct towards Phormion and Apollodorus; the insinuation is without visible or probable foundation,—we have public speeches before the case came on, and private speeches after it was decided. Demosthenes himself (In Zenothemin, ad fin.) gives us a much more valuable clue to the relation between the two sides of his career: he makes his client and relation, Demon, swear to the court that he, Demosthenes, has refused him all support at the trial; because as a politician he finds it impossible to take part in private causes, since this would prejudice those against whom he appeared, against his public measures. Demon refers to his kinsman as a speaker of established reputation, but beyond this we cannot fix the date. Demosthenes' first considerable move was the speech against the law of Leptines, which was delivered 355–54. It was to a considerable extent the choice of a party: the declaration both of the principles on which he would act, and of the persons with whom he would act. Leptines had proposed to resume all exemptions from liturgies, except those granted to the descendants of the tyrannicides, on the common-sense grounds that a good many were enjoyed by very unworthy persons, and that several very rich men were exempted from burthens which there were few rich men left to bear. The proposal was so popular, that the first attempt to combat it was allowed to fall through in consequence of the death of one of the speakers selected by the holders of exemptions to defend their cause. And though the opposition was revived, it was too late to involve the mover in penal consequences. In fact, the opponents felt themselves compelled to bring forward a counter proposal of their own, professing to remedy the undeniable scandals which they admitted to be the strong point of Leptines' case. One of the most curious and amusing parts of Demosthenes' speech, is that (§§ 151–153, pp. 498, 499) where he insists on the means Leptines had for obliging him to go on with this alternative proposal, or at all events for carrying it through himself, when his own was definitively got rid of. The people who were in enjoyment of this grotesque privilege were principally rich denizens or

aliens with a business connection with Athens, and naturalised citizens. All had made large presents to the Athenian people of money or money's worth : the naturalised citizens had made many such presents, and been rewarded first with exemption from the denizens' special burdens, then with citizenship, then with exemption from the festival taxes. The son of Chabrias, indeed, enjoyed the exemption as a reward for his father's military services, but his father had raised armies on his own credit, and had enriched the treasury. In point of fact, to maintain the permanence of such exemptions on principle, as essential conditions of Athenian honour and greatness, really implied that Athens was to pursue an ambitious policy in reliance on casual resources, that she was to depend upon large 'benevolences,' and encourage them by shewing that such benevolences were a secure investment; that her foreign policy was to be directed with the concurrence of a crowd of cosmopolitan adventurers and potentates, rather than by the free determination of the ancient race of Erechtheus. Perhaps it may be thought, that as we never hear of Demosthenes' paternal grandfather, and do hear of his Scythian grandmother, Demosthenes himself had little old Athenian blood in him, and belonged himself to the cosmopolitan set. On this occasion Demosthenes was successful : Leptines' project was got out of the way, and Demosthenes' counter project fell through, as it was probably meant to fall.

The orator tells us himself that there were many lines of political life at Athens, and that, as might be expected from his speech against Leptines, he had chosen foreign politics for his own. Within three years he had delivered five, if not six, important speeches, of which one only was on a subject of vital interest. In fact, all are the production of a young man in whom legitimate personal ambition quite kept pace with his high abilities and lofty patriotism; it is quite as much his object to bring himself favourably before the public, as to procure the adoption of the definite measures he recommends, as in fact a young man could scarcely expect the public to give immediate practical effect to his plans. The first two of this group of speeches may be placed in 354. One of them was delivered in consequence of a foolish panic, that the danger of Persian invasion was not at an end with the abrupt termination of the Social war, and that the best way of meeting it was to appeal to Panhellenic patriotism, and attack the great king at home by an united effort. Demosthenes points out, that by all experience it would be much easier for Persia, if provoked, to direct a coalition of all Greece against Athens, than for Athens to organise such a coalition against Persia. But the principal object of the speech is to shew that Athens has much to do in order to be ready for war, to which end he has prepared a schedule calculated to set the direct contributions on a better footing.

The other has not come down to us. It was directed against the expedition to Euboea, which resulted in the battle of Tamynae; and as Demosthenes' opposition cannot be set down to a pacific temper, it is safe to take it as the first proof of the partiality for Thebes with which he was often afterwards reproached, with the more plausibility, because during Demosthenes' political life a good understanding with Thebes could only be maintained at the price of bearing a good deal from the Thebans. The speech in favour of Megalopolis explains the reasons of his unpopular determination; he regarded it as an axiom, that no power ought to be allowed to preponderate in Greece, because such a power could be appealed to to thwart the enterprises of Athens, while if matters on the mainland of Greece were balanced and divided, Athens would have free scope to pursue her career of maritime adventures. It required great boldness to deliver such a speech so soon after the disastrous termination of the Social war, which must have made all schemes of maritime dominion look unpromising, especially as the Athenians had a chance of solid advantage by a hearty co-operation with Sparta. Thebes was exhausted by the struggle with Phocis, and if the Athenians had thrown their weight into the opposite scale, they might certainly have recovered Oropus, at the price of assisting Sparta to regain a modified and precarious ascendancy in the Peloponnese. Between anti-Spartan feeling and anti-Theban feeling, between the counsels of prudence and the stimulus of resentment and ambition, the Athenians did nothing, and most probably did not even decree anything. The Phocian war just then languishing, the Thebans managed to send a contingent into Peloponnese: Oropus was not recovered, and Megalopolis was relieved. The fourth speech of the group shews more clearly than any of the rest, the kind of results Demosthenes thought Athens ought to aim at, the kind of action for which he wished her to keep herself free. The Rhodians appear to have been the prime movers of the coalition which brought on the Social war, while they themselves were set in motion by Mausolus, the hereditary prince of Caria. When the war had terminated to the advantage of the allies, the great Rhodian families who had been in correspondence with the Carian dynasty, thought the time had come to repay themselves and their patrons. Accordingly a Carian garrison was admitted, and the commons were put down. Demosthenes thought that it was possible to take a generous revenge upon the Rhodians, by granting the request of some envoys more or less accredited by a party which desired a counter revolution, delivering the commons from the yoke of their own nobility and the Carian dynasty, and of course restoring the island to its old connection with Athens, which, if strictly interpreted, was hardly very

onerous to one party or profitable to the other. In all four orations, there is as yet no trace of any paramount practical object which the speaker had before him. Athens is to guide herself by maxims and traditions, for want of a scheme of comprehensive policy. She is to avoid the risk of a hostile coalition, to put the war taxes on a sound footing, to watch against the growth of any power capable of dominating Greece, to place herself at the head of the democratic interest in maritime Greece, and, if opportunity offer, in continental Greece also; in this way she will be able to maintain and increase her prestige, and to secure the largest share of the small advantages of current politics, which are concisely summarised under the head of influence. It must be admitted that in the absence of results, this policy embodies much generous sentiment and one sound maxim.

At the time when the speeches in favour of the commons of Rhodes and the first speech against Philip were delivered, it would have been unreasonable to require either the orator or his audience to decide which speech contained the prophecy of his career. Both might have reasonably imagined that the decline of democracy was as grave a danger to Athens as the progress of Macedon to Hellenism; in fact, Philip as yet had only appeared as the benefactor of his two nearest Greek neighbours: he had enlarged the territory of Olynthus, he had delivered the great houses of Thessaly from the pressure of the dynasty of Pherae, he had driven back the hordes of mercenaries collected by the Delphic treasure. True he had established his supremacy in towns that had belonged to the Athenian confederacy, and established himself in the important position of Amphipolis; but he had definitely asserted the ascendancy of his own power, which, if not Greek, was in intimate relation with Greek states, over the unmistakable barbarism of the Thracian and Illyrian hordes. Greece had seen Athens lose Rhodes and the Bosphorus with great equanimity, as a result of the Social war: Athens herself had recovered the loss. Those who believed that Greece and Athens would lose little if the war with Philip ended in the loss of the precarious footing Athens still maintained on what was called 'the Thracian frontier,' might be pardoned for their shortsightedness, especially as Demosthenes contributed something to fulfil his prophecies by his vigorous and judicious efforts to falsify them. The speech has three main points, the causes of Philip's undeniable ascendancy in the long war, the remedies for this ascendancy by improved administration at Athens, and above all by a reform in the moral tone of the citizens. This last is the key-note to all the speeches prior to the Peace of Philocrates; the Athenians must take the management of their own affairs into their own hands; they must break through the routine established

by the public men who persuaded the commons that the regular supply of their doles depended upon it; if they took the wages of the state, they must hold themselves ready for the service of the state in time of war; the attempt to secure the good management of affairs by the criminal accountability of the generals was futile or worse; if the generals were properly supported, they would succeed, or, if they failed, then it would be time to punish them. These doctrines were maintained by Demosthenes throughout his career, with one important omission and one important addition. After the capture of Olynthus, he no longer seriously exerted himself to urge his countrymen to serve in person, and long before that catastrophe, he began to attribute everything that went wrong to the ubiquitous agency of Philip's gold. The latest in date of the series is also questionable in point of authenticity, and in fact has generally been rejected on the ground that it is a cento made up from the Second Olynthiac. Indeed, if we maintain its genuineness, we must also maintain that after the speech had served its turn, Demosthenes went back to it as a quarry for the materials of the Second Olynthiac. In itself the oration is perfectly coherent and exceedingly clever, and fits very well into history, though we can only fix its real or supposed date approximately. It must be later than the revolution in Rhodes, 352 B.C., and before the law of Eubulus, which made it a capital offence to apply the theoric fund to military purposes. We know that at one time it was the habit of Demosthenes to compose speeches for a debate he had just heard; we do not know how long this habit continued, or if it was left off gradually. These questions are all so uncertain, that perhaps the point may almost be decided by observing that one of the parallel passages is completed with a happy trait in the speech *περὶ συντάξεως* (§ 23, p. 172), which is omitted in the Second Olynthiac. The object of the speech is to prove that the Athenians lost much in dignity, and even in profit, by allowing themselves to be managed by cliques of politicians, and compounding for a few small and certain advantages, instead of the great results that might be expected from vigorous and self-sacrificing action. The speech, if Demosthenes wrote it, and if it was ever delivered, produced little effect; it is chiefly remarkable as a manifesto against the system of Eubulus, upon the whole the most influential and the most respectable statesman of the generation which was passing away, a sort of minor Pericles in his conservative policy and his consistent and successful endeavours to bring home to all classes a sense of their material interest in democratic institutions, though without the palest reflection of his magnificence of conception or of his imposing personality.

At the time of the expedition to Euboea, which is identified by Demosthenes (*Adv. Mid.* §§ 205-207, p. 567) with that which led to the battle

of Tamynae, placed by Westermann 354-53, three years earlier than the ordinary date, on the ground of the testimony of Hyperides, Demosthenes volunteered to serve as Choregus, as otherwise his tribe would have been unrepresented in the competition at the Dionysia, as it had been the year before. Of course this exempted him from service in the expedition, and it is possible, without any imputation on his courage, that this consideration stimulated his munificence, just as Midias, though commandant of the cavalry, chose to fit out a trireme at his own expense, and serve aboard of her. Besides his dislike to the disagreeables of campaigning, Demosthenes had an additional motive in his dislike to all interference in Euboea, as tending to embroil Athens with Thebes. He made his preparations with perhaps something of his usual extravagance, ordering a magnificent ceremonial dress for himself, with a gold crown : perhaps this revived the spleen of Midias, who had an old quarrel with Demosthenes, going back to the time of the lawsuit with the guardians. It is possible, too, that he was connected with Nicodemus, who, according to Aeschines (De F. L. p. 292), prosecuted Demosthenes as a deserter, and had to be bought off; who also spoke freely against Demosthenes on *some* ground, and was murdered about this time by an intimate of Demosthenes. However, he had some motive for annoying Demosthenes, and he had the power of doing so with impunity. He was rich and well-born, he was closely connected with other rich and influential men; he had no ambition, a strong and rational contempt for his countrymen, a certain shrewdness and a certain courage, and his only use for all these resources was to set himself above the law; he desired nothing of his countrymen, except that they should be afraid of him. The night before the Dionysia he succeeded in spoiling the orator's finery, and, according to Demosthenes, he succeeded by terrorism in depriving him of the prize; it is certain that after the decision he assaulted Demosthenes with such violence, that twenty years after the event Aeschines could taunt him with having the marks still about him. He was some twenty years younger than his assailant, and could therefore plausibly claim credit for his forbearance in not killing him upon the spot, though probably Midias was the more powerful man of the two. Immediately after the performance, an assembly was held in the theatre to decide upon all the complaints which had arisen during the festival, and Demosthenes brought his case before it and obtained an enthusiastic decision, that, beside the common assault, Midias had been guilty of a public offence, by an attack on a public officer during a public festival. As Demosthenes set forth in his speech, there were ample precedents for taking the severest view of such conduct; but there were precedents also of respectable citizens who had allowed powerful men to insult them at

their own price, though perhaps not under such aggravated circumstances. In fact, the question at issue was the value of Demosthenes' personal dignity, and this explains the tenacity with which he maintained the prosecution, in spite of threats and bribes and accusations got up against himself by men of straw, who were never meant to press them. At last the question was put before him in this form: that even, if he gained his verdict for the public offence, the influence of Midias would be certain to secure the adoption of the reduced penalty which was due to a common assault, and that he only had the choice whether the half talent (some hundred and twenty guineas) which Midias would pay in any case, should be paid to him or to the state. Whatever happened, Demosthenes was certain to be ridiculous, for the prosecution would be a fiasco if Midias was allowed to fix the penalty. Perhaps a fiasco would have been forgotten sooner than a compromise; at any rate, Demosthenes was never forgiven for taking the only satisfaction he was likely to get. The inflexible civic virtue of his speech, which was not delivered, has enabled the spiteful taunts of contemporaries to find an echo in posterity.

Demosthenes was compensated to some extent for this personal mortification, by an event which gave him an opening to attain something nearer political influence than he had hitherto enjoyed. Much of the mismanagement of the war with Philip had been due to a sceptical indifference at Athens. The Athenians did not see how they were to act with energy north of the Aegean without allies on the spot, as they indeed never had acted before. At last Olynthus declared against Philip, and solicited an alliance with Athens, and there was a chance that the Athenians would attend seriously to the subject which Demosthenes was anxious to make his own. We have three speeches on behalf of Olynthus, which it is generally assumed were delivered at three assemblies, to which another supposition is frequently added, that each assembly had to consider the demands of an Olynthian embassy, and each voted an expedition. The order of the three speeches is an insoluble question, for none of the three speeches dates itself absolutely or relatively; the external evidence reduces itself to balancing the authority of Dionysius, perhaps of Philochorus, against that of antiquity in general; the internal evidence reduces itself to a comparison which of three urgent speeches can be considered the most urgent; any one of the three speeches might have been delivered at any point in the war, and though there are degrees of appropriateness, they are far from being indisputably plain. Confining ourselves to the internal evidence, it is pretty safe to say that the third oration seems later than the other two. There is a keener tone of apprehension for the safety of Olynthus, with broader hints at the necessity of making the festival fund available for military purposes, and

there are specific reflections on the inadequacy of past efforts, and this last consideration is almost decisive. The first and second stand upon a different footing: if they came to us without an indication of their author, and the age in which he lived, it would certainly be simplest to take them as alternative speeches composed for the same occasion. The situation is the same in both: the Athenians have long desired such aid as Olynthus can give, let them make the best use of it now that Olynthus presents herself unsolicited, let the most be made of the opportunity. But in the first speech this thought is enforced chiefly by dwelling on alarmist topics; in the second the motive is hope, not fear. Philip is disparaged with singular audacity as a mushroom potentate, whose power is only a parasite of the neglect of Athens, while the Athenians themselves are taunted with their degeneracy, and with the paltriness of the improvements on which they were inclined to hug themselves. That Demosthenes should have written two speeches and only delivered one (if, indeed, he delivered either), would not after all be more extraordinary than that Cicero, when he had a much more prominent and assured position, should have written seven speeches against Verres and only delivered two. It would prove at most that he did not consider his oratorical education over; for we must remember that, besides being a patriot, Demosthenes was an artist, and might choose to treat the subject of Olynthus twice over, as Pope did with the *Dunciad* and Tasso with *Jerusalem*. Again, we do not know the real relation of Demosthenes' published speeches on public affairs to those which he actually delivered. It was not his rule to compose an harangue and learn it by heart and then deliver it. Of course it is possible that the public speeches which have come down to us were precisely the exceptions to this rule: it is possible also that they represent the final ideal and shape of the speeches which in their delivery had pleased him best. If so, it is not unlikely that he may have perceived that each class of motives introduced into a single speech on behalf of Olynthus, would have been more effective separately than both had been together. Perhaps this conjecture on the order of the Olynthiacs may take its place with others. Nothing can be cleverer than the way in which Demosthenes urges (*Ol.* 3, § 14, p. 31) that those who have had the popularity of starving the war and nursing the festival fund, ought to bear the unpopularity of repealing the securities they had taken during times of less pressure, for the exclusive enjoyment of the surplus. And we are not undervaluing the seriousness of the first two speeches, at any rate, when we praise their ingenuity: the speaker wishes to induce his countrymen to fight Philip heartily, and he is uncertain whether the way to attain this is by overrating the danger to be feared from him, or by underrating the solidity of his power. It is

obvious that he wishes to establish an influence as well as to carry a measure, for if he desired the measure exclusively for its own sake, he would have been content to advocate it on its own proper grounds, and convince others as he had been convinced himself. In pursuit of the influence due to his political talents and earnest patriotism, Demosthenes was destined to make an even greater display of versatility than that implied in the contrast between the Second Olynthiac and the First Philippic.

After some successes, which the orator warned his countrymen not to overrate, the head of the Philippising party at Olynthus came into power; probably he was expected to procure tolerable terms for the city, but he failed to do anything, whether through Philip's severity or his own incapacity or treachery. First he surrendered the cavalry (perhaps his own partisans) and then the city, which altogether lost its political existence, while many of the inhabitants were reduced to slavery. Curiously enough, Philip, after this success, unprecedented both in its extent and the severity with which it had been used, made overtures through various channels for a cordial accommodation with Athens. Demosthenes, after having made himself as prominent as he could in opposition to Philip, determined to make himself more prominent in advocacy of an intimate understanding with Philip. It is quite true that, as he argued (*De Cor.* § 291, p. 305, *De F. L.* § 260, p. 415) when he passed again into opposition, after the peace of Philocrates had disappointed both himself and public opinion, that his action had no serious influence upon the result, and that his influence did no harm. But even if the position of Demosthenes had been simply that of the fly on the wheel, it is curious that he should have elected to perch upon that particular cart. In fact, when Philocrates was impeached for a cautious attempt to reciprocate Philip's cautious advances, Demosthenes went as much out of his way to defend him as Horace Greeley went when he offered himself as a bailsmen to liberate Jefferson Davis; and in the case of Horace Greeley the generosity was its own object, in the case of Demosthenes it was the first step of a series. The truth is, that the power of Philip was such a new anomalous fact in Greek experience, that it was impossible for any statesman to take in its full bearings. Even after the victories of Alexander, there was no one who realised their effect upon Greece; those who had looked to Persia to deliver Greece, misconceived the situation almost as much as those who thought that Greece at last had conquered Persia. In 347 B.C. the situation was still more perplexing than in 330 B.C. Demosthenes has left us no explanation of his acts, which he endeavours to reduce to insignificance, and his denials of the specific statements of Aeschines are too perfunctory to be very important. Aeschines' account is very amusing, and on the

whole consistent and not incredible, though it is doubtless heightened and somewhat distorted by his natural anxiety to make out that Demosthenes would have sold himself to Macedonia if he could. According to him, Demosthenes annoyed all his colleagues on the first journey to Macedonia, by his overweening confidence in a great diplomatic triumph: he was going to stop Philip's mouth with a sound cartrope, without a single flaw or splice in it (*Ae. de F. L.* § 22, p. 31). It is true, that as Hegesander had expressed his fear that Philip would have the best of the discussion of the merits (as he had certainly the material ascendancy), Demosthenes may have only meant that he might promise a verbal victory for what it was worth. In the presence of Philip, Demosthenes was cowed. Still according to Aeschines, he broke down ignominiously, and when he tried to recover himself, he only blundered into spasms of fulsome flattery (*Ae. de F. L.* § 373, pp. 32, 33). Henceforward Aeschines represents Demosthenes as actuated simply by an anxiety to propitiate Philip, and by jealousy of his colleagues, for whom he was perpetually laying traps. The first display of this feeling was against Aeschines, whom he reviled for imperilling the peace by the energetic tone of his speech, as if, said Demosthenes, we should ever man the fifty triremes which we voted to keep ready. The fifty triremes were specially intended to defend Thermopylae, as suggested by Demosthenes himself (*Ib.* § 40, p. 33), and it was certainly natural for him to give vent to his spleen when he saw that others relied upon carrying out his policy, when he perceived that it had irrevocably broken down by the fault of others. Besides, the attitude of rebuke came easily to him; the fault to be rebuked was a secondary consideration. He had rebuked the assembly for desiring war with Persia, before he had rebuked them for their slackness in the war with Philip. After this ebullition, according to Aeschines, Demosthenes next endeavoured to screen his break down by getting his colleagues to report that he had supported the claim for the restoration of Amphipolis, as previously arranged. After this the envoys began to express their admiration of Philip personally, and Demosthenes dared them to repeat their compliments at Athens (*Ib.* § 46, p. 33). Considering that Philip had as yet no party, and that most of the citizens revenged themselves in the only way they could, by despising him, it is probable that the challenge was only meant as a taunt, though Aeschines says it was meant as a trap. At all events it seems to have acted as one; the ambassadors gravely proceeded to arrange who was to dilate upon each of Philip's accomplishments. When they came to carry out this programme, Demosthenes, who had probably forgotten the whole affair, was disgusted with their behaviour, as, to say the least, unbusinesslike; accordingly he turned all their compliments into ridicule, and wound up

by giving a specimen of the style in which necessary and unpleasant business ought to be despatched (Ib. § 53, p. 34). Still he persevered in his attentions to Macedonia, which were the more significant because they were unnecessary: he moved that an assembly should be held to consider the proposals of the ambassadors, even before the ambassadors arrived, and that the ambassadors themselves should be treated with special and elaborate distinction in the theatre; he even arranged that during their stay they should be his guests. It is obvious that if he was not anxious to begin a new career as a Macedonian agent, he wished to leave no trace of his old career as an opponent of Macedon.

What happened at the two assemblies in which these proposals were under consideration, and in connection with the ratification of the treaty by Athens and her allies, is one of the most perplexing questions in the whole history. We have practically to choose between the authority of Aeschines and that of Demosthenes. The only two indisputable facts are, that Demosthenes went out of his way to defend Philocrates and to court the Macedonian ambassadors; these facts have a meaning in the story of Aeschines, they present themselves as isolated anomalies in the story of Demosthenes. In fact, when the speeches on the embassy were composed, Demosthenes had a strong interest in misrepresenting his former attitude, and it seems he did so. It seems probable that Demosthenes was quite as impatient as Aeschines of the delays that would have resulted from any attempt to act in conjunction with the whole body of Greek states. When the peace had become unpopular, those concerned in it naturally bandied the blame about among one another, and fastened upon things to which they had not dreamed of objecting at the time, as the origin of all the mischief. So far as we can see, the only incidental consequence of the peace which Demosthenes seriously endeavoured to prevent at the time, and which he was seriously displeased to see accomplished in spite of him, was the extension of Philip's Thracian frontier in the direction of the Chersonese. So far as we can make out, the original draught of the treaty as moved by Philocrates, stipulated for peace and alliance between Athens and her allies on the one part, except the Halians and Phocians, and Philip and his allies on the other. No doubt this exclusion was copied from the instructions of Philip's ambassadors, and so was put more plainly and offensively than need required. The self-respect of the Athenians revolted against admitting in the same breath that the Phocians were their allies, and excluding them from the treaty; but it still had to be determined whether the Phocians, though not excluded by name, were to be admitted in fact. This was in the first instance a question for the administration, and it was quietly decided against the Phocians. It is true that they had a treaty which they had

not violated; but the alliance had been made with the nation, not with the dynasty, and the dynasty had recently affronted Athens by declining to profit by it. Demosthenes does not claim to have protested; as a partisan of Thebes he had no reason for doing so. Cersobleptes stood in rather a different position; he and his predecessors had been the great obstacles to the tranquil enjoyment by Athens of their establishments in the Chersonese, and anything like a stable alliance with the Thracian kings was out of the question; but at the moment an alliance existed on parchment, and had not been recently violated upon either side. On the other hand, Philip's ambassadors had orders not to include Cersobleptes in the treaty, and an alliance with Cersobleptes would certainly be an obstacle to an intimate alliance with Philip, such as many at Athens were anxious to conclude, including Demosthenes, if we may trust Aeschines. Besides, it is to be remembered that the question was whether Athens should make peace on behalf of the Phocians and Thracians, which neither the Phocians nor Thracians had authorised her to do. While the terms of peace were under discussion, there was no mention of Cersobleptes; but, after the peace had passed the vote, one Critobulus of Lampsacus presented himself, and claimed to be sworn as representing Cersobleptes, who was very hard pressed, and in need of help from any quarter. Demosthenes was chairman for the day, and resented the intrusion in characteristic language (*Ae. de F. L.* § 90, p. 39). He said Critobulus was sponging for a share of the peace like a parasite at a sacrifice, and that another assembly was fixed for the consideration of such claims, and his must wait till then. However, he was forced to put a motion for swearing him to the vote, and so it was probably carried he should be sworn. He came for this purpose into the generals' office, but it is evident that he was not sworn, for Demosthenes accused Aeschines (*Ae. ubi sup.* § 92) of turning him out; and no doubt Aeschines, like Demosthenes, wished to exclude him. So, according to the writer of Philip's letter (*ap. Dem. p.* 160), did the Athenian generals; and no wonder, for we do not hear one word of his credentials. It seems the matter was staved off to the next assembly, as Demosthenes originally proposed, and that there Philocrates carried a motion, which virtually excluded Cersobleptes, that those states should be sworn as Athenian allies which had representatives then in session at Athens (*Ae. in Ct.* § 74). This assembly seems to have been held on the twenty-fourth or twenty-fifth of Elaphebolion. On that very day Philip had taken Holy Mount and the treasure of Cersobleptes. Chares at once sent the news to Athens, and on the third of Munychion, Demosthenes carried a motion in the senate, that the ambassadors should set off at once to find Philip in Thrace, with the avowed intention of stopping his conquests. The

ambassadors had to go as far as Oreus, where there was an Athenian commander, who had orders to convoy them; but there they halted, with the full consent of Proxenus. Demosthenes was helpless; he could do nothing but fret and suspect the worst, especially of Philocrates and Aeschines, who got on so much better than he with Philip. Perhaps it was he who inserted the clause in the instructions to the ambassadors, forbidding private interviews with Philip. After a time they went on to Pella, and waited there till Philip came back, during all which time the suspicions of Demosthenes were naturally aggravated, for the reason of the delay in Philip's return was obviously due to the fresh conquests he was making in Thrace, and his colleagues had doubtless assured him that with the capture of Holy Mount all was over, and Philip might be expected back from one day to another. At last Philip came, and Aeschines dilated upon the duty of the ambassadors, enforcing a clause which he had doubtless had inserted in the instructions, that the ambassadors were to do all the good they could. Demosthenes was not in a temper to take uncongenial advice; he declared he would know nothing about Philip's expedition to Phocis; the only thing the ambassadors had to do was to receive the oaths of Philip and his confederates, and get home without compromising the city by a series of ambitious intrigues. It was agreed that each ambassador should speak for himself, which is a sign that neither Aeschines nor Demosthenes was sufficiently trusted by his colleagues to induce them to silence the other, and to quiet Demosthenes, if possible, it was agreed he should speak first. By this time Demosthenes had worked himself into a belief that the other ambassadors were in a conspiracy to betray himself and his country to Philip. Accordingly, while he shewed extravagant deference, or what Aeschines chose to consider such, to Philip, he contrasted his own language about Philip at Athens with that of his colleagues, very much to their disadvantage. Aeschines spoke next, and the Macedonians, who had not witnessed all the bickerings of the last six or seven weeks, naturally thought his speech more appropriate to the occasion, as well as more dignified. While the embassy remained at Pella, Demosthenes' position grew more and more uncomfortable; his colleagues were in confidential intercourse with Philip, to which he was not admitted; they boasted, on grounds which he could not control, of having obtained advantages in which he did not believe; they would not allow him to send home despatches embodying his alarm; they persuaded Philip to prevent him from returning alone. Then Philip persuaded them to an open violation of their instructions. Demosthenes had intended that they should go first to the Hellespont, and swear Philip and his allies in that quarter, and then swear his other allies on their way home; but when they were

at Pella, and had been waiting there for weeks, the inconvenience of setting off to the Hellespont was so obvious, that they might be pardoned for receiving the oaths of Philip's allies at Pella, or on the road from thence to Athens. During all this time Demosthenes had been unavoidably in a ludicrous position, and on his return he felt its effects. His colleagues for the moment enjoyed an enormous popularity, on the strength of the good news they brought, and probably believed: Demosthenes professed that he had no knowledge that their promises were true, and that he disbelieved them (*De Pace*, § 10, p. 59); and he was laughed at for his pains. It does not appear that even now he appealed to the Athenians to defend the Phocians against Philip, though he denied that Philip was coming to defend Phocis against Thebes; he contented himself with urging the Athenians not to send a force of their own to support Philip in his ambiguous expedition, as such a force would only be hostages for their assent to its objects when declared. It was only when Philip had declared himself in favour of Thebes that Demosthenes saw his way to avenge himself on his colleagues for their ill-earned popularity. It was quite certain that if Athens had been disposed to defend the Phocians, she had lost her chance of doing so, thanks to Aeschines and his colleagues; moreover, the alarm created by Philip's measures in Phocis had made the peace for a moment very unpopular, and Demosthenes was, by his own shewing (*De F. L.* § 228, p. 415), a timid politician who never ventured to intrude on an unwilling audience. From this, it was not a difficult step to the desire to clear himself at the expense of his colleagues. He even persuaded himself that he would never have gone on the second embassy at all, but for his engagement to aid some Athenian prisoners to ransom themselves, which he made on his first visit to Macedonia (*D. de F. L.* § 190). Meanwhile Demosthenes began for the first time to be taken up by a powerful political combination. The war party proclaimed that every one else had sold themselves, that he was the only man the city could trust (*Ae. in Ctes.* § 81, p. 65). Still he for some time maintained an attitude of reserve; after the ruin of Phocis, after the decree to put Attica in a state of siege, he delivered an oration in favour of recognising Philip's claims to Amphictyonic rights, which rested on the decision of an assembly whose legitimacy at Athens was considered questionable (*De Pace*, § 14, p. 60), and which Demosthenes did not care to endorse. His arguments rested upon the old thesis, that Athens could not afford to give occasion for an attack from a Greek coalition. Supposing it were possible to renew the war with Philip alone, under favourable conditions, Demosthenes was ready to do so, though the peace with which he had done his best to connect his name was not yet a year old; but he was still far from the

temper, that if free Hellenism was to perish, it became Athens to perish as its champion. Meanwhile Athens still retained so much elasticity, that the peace of Philocrates had something of the same effect as the peace of Nicias; her resources, though no longer adequate to war, were more than sufficient for a peace establishment (D. de F. L. § 101); the surplus began to accumulate and the revenue to rise, and public spirit rose with it. There was no Alcibiades to divert the reviving ambition of the city to oblique and distant enterprises: it was inevitable that the peace should be discussed, and except as a *pis-aller* it would not bear discussion. When it was concluded, a sense of exhaustion had been general at Athens; but the city was still too prosperous for such a sense to continue long; and Philip, when he manœuvred for the peace, had not trusted exclusively to the lassitude of Athens; he had held out promises which, though vague, were not ambiguous, and had not done anything that could even be called a commencement of execution. The consequence was, that the politicians of the war party began to be active and aggressive, while the politicians of the peace party had nothing to do, and did it. As early as 344 B.C., Philip sent a letter, backed by embassies from his Peloponnesian allies, to remonstrate against the hostile attitude into which Athens was gradually drifting; not that the majority of the people were yet prepared for war, but they were too sore to repress the anti-Macedonian party, which began to speak much and act a little in their name. In reply to this remonstrance, Demosthenes delivered the oration now known as the Second Philippic. He had a difficult case; for though the Athenians had undoubtedly been misled, there were no colourable grounds for maintaining that Philip had broken the treaty; in fact, if he had not given them a right to judge his conduct by his professions, it might have seemed positively friendly when judged by the situation. Demosthenes meets the difficulty by insisting that every act of Philip tending to extend his influence in Greece was an act of hostility to Athens, by harping on the fact that he had continued his conquests in Thrace after the treaty had been ratified at Athens, and saying that Philip ought to address his complaints not to Athens, but to his own partisans, whose representations, authorised or unauthorised, had exposed him to comments which singularly resembled insults. It seems that Demosthenes spoke often in this tone during the negotiations, which grew angrier and angrier while the peace was still observed. He did not propose to abrogate the treaty, but he inflamed the popular discontent with it, and he had already persuaded himself, and exerted himself to persuade his countrymen, that it was Philip's intention to take and destroy Athens, as he had taken and destroyed Olynthus. Still he was timid, and he was not popular; he was probably right in

thinking that he could speak most effectively if he spoke irresponsibly. About a year before the Second Philippic was delivered, Timarchus had undertaken to prosecute Aeschines, and thus exposed himself to a counter prosecution. In this Demosthenes was the leading counsel for the defence, and, by the report of Aeschines, seems to have arranged his case with great ingenuity. Timarchus himself was to speak to the evidence, some general, probably Chares, was to speak to the veniality of the charge, and Demosthenes was to speak to the motives of the prosecutor.

In 343, Demosthenes took up the prosecution against Aeschines himself, in the worst and vulgarest and most tedious of his authentic speeches. It reads less like a speech than like a series of captious and petulant notes upon some statement of Aeschines' case; and even the one strong point, that Aeschines had been a most mischievous tool of Philip's Phocian policy, must have lost much of its effect at the time when men still remembered that Demosthenes himself had done nothing to oppose that policy. Still the growth of angry feeling had been so great, that Aeschines, who, on the strength of his influence as having secured good relations between Athens and Macedon, had been able to procure the conviction of an opponent almost without evidence, was now within thirty votes of being convicted, on evidence scarcely stronger, by an opponent who did not come into court with clean hands. The principal occupation of Demosthenes at this time was a series of embassies, whose object and order it is difficult to ascertain. We hear of him in Leucas, in Ambracia, in Thessaly, in Messene, at Argos, and at Megalopolis, where he set a dyke against the torrent of Python's eloquence. According to his own account (*De Cor.* § 304) he was uniformly successful, and this is certainly probable, for to most of his audiences the Macedonian alliance was still one of the speculative questions of politics; in each state there was a small knot of ambitious men who thought to promote their own importance by giving Philip an influence in their native cities, and securing themselves a position as his agents. The advantages of such a course, even where they could introduce a Macedonian ambassador to enforce them, must always have appeared rather problematical, and the sincerity and energy of Demosthenes, even apart from his eloquence, were quite sufficient to rouse Greek jealousy against a foreign king. As the intervention of Philip, armed or unarmed, was generally in support of extra-constitutional powers, it was easy for Demosthenes to represent this vigilant opposition to a power still in alliance with Athens as simply the exposure of unmistakable injustice, which it was impossible for Philip himself to resent. And the same defence applied in the judgment of the orator to his perpetual recurrence to the old grievance about the posts occupied

by Philip in Thrace, after the ratification of the treaty by Athens, the recognition of Cardia on the isthmus of Chersonese as an independent ally of Macedon, and especially the occupation of Halonnesus. Upon the first point the Athenians had certainly no case whatever as against Philip; their ambassadors had received the oath of the representative of Cardia, and though Demosthenes' complaints on other grounds had been loud, he tells us nothing of his protests about this. About Halonnesus the case was little better: the sovereignty of the island had never been in debate between Philip and Athens during the war, so that the terms of the peace based on the principle of *uti possidetis* were a virtual recognition of the title of Athens. Unfortunately the Athenian title had not been effectively asserted, and the island was actually in possession of a pirate chief, who insulted the coasts of Macedon. The Athenians were of course the parties to whom Philip should have applied for redress, though we do not know how long they had tolerated the usurpation of the pirate, nor whether they had a more definite title to the island than the general one derived from their command of the sea, nor whether they had a reason for attaching more value to the island than they had hitherto done in practice. However, Philip took the law into his own hands, and the war party made the most of their grievance. Philip's ambassadors were authorised to cede the island, but the war party insisted he should restore it. The distinction was invented by Demosthenes. Philip then offered to submit the question of the original title to arbitration, which looks as if the Athenians had been as careless of their claim to Halonnesus as of their claim to Amphipolis. If the arbitrators decided in Philip's favour, the island was to be ceded; if not, it was to be restored: but the offer was declined. Demosthenes seems to have given two reasons for the refusal—one political, the other diplomatical. The political reason was, no impartial arbitrator could be found (probably because every possible arbitrator had been or would be bribed by Philip); the diplomatical reason was, that Athens could not treat her maritime supremacy or its incidents as matter for arbitration. The same embassy was charged with a commission to invite modifications of the treaty if the Athenians were dissatisfied with it; of course any proposals made were intended to be subject to discussion. The war party put forward three. Each party was to enjoy its rightful possessions (instead of what each actually held at the date of the treaty: i. e. Philip was to cede Amphipolis). The treaty was to be general, and include all Greece, and both Athens and Philip were to have the right of interfering to protect any Greek state attacked by anysoever contrary to the provision. (This clause would give Athens a valid claim to intervene between Philip and his discontented allies.) Lastly, the Thracian posts were to

be evacuated. All the proposals seem to have been accepted by the Macedonian envoys, at least *ad referendum*; and an embassy was sent from Athens, with Hegesippus, the boldest, perhaps still the most influential of the war party, at its head, who had carried the proposal to ask for Amphipolis. Of course the first proposal was rejected at once, and the ambassadors who had entertained it were disavowed. The whole proceedings of the embassy were very stormy, and the ambassadors were put in a sort of moral quarantine; which is not surprising if Hegesippus told Philip to hang himself, when he asked what more he could do to please the Athenians, after reciting the concessions, as he considered them, which he had made or was ready to make. The second of the proposals suited Philip's ambition to be the recognised leader and head of Greece in one way, if it suited the jealousy of Athens in another, and therefore was agreed to at once. The third demand Philip was willing to refer to arbitration, which perhaps was as much as could be expected after he had been distinctly and repeatedly charged with violation of a solemn treaty. The negotiation went off on the first point, and the embassy returned to Athens with a new text for Demosthenes' perpetual sermon, that Philip was really carrying on the war against Athens under the forms of peace. This theory had soon to be put upon hard service. Diopithes was stationed in the Chersonese, and the war party had pushed their theory of the treaty so far that he found himself engaged in a war with the Cardians, who had been permitted to swear alliance with Athens. Nor does this appear to have been regarded by Philip or his partisans as amounting to a breach of the treaty. On the other hand, Philip assumed that he violated the treaty even less by defending sworn allies than Athens by attacking them. Diopithes on his part determined to make reprisals, and overran the new Macedonian possessions and the Thracian coast while Philip himself was engaged in the interior. Such violation of the immediate territory of a nominally friendly power was regarded as a clear *casus belli* in the time of the Peloponnesian war. But then the Athenians had been engaged in actual warfare against the Lacedaemonians before they committed this technical and unpardonable breach of peace, and therefore the Lacedaemonians had no motive for deferring the formal renewal of the war. Philip wished still to maintain the treaty, at any rate as a form, which hampered Athens more than it embarrassed him. He sent a formal remonstrance to Athens, and apparently demanded that Diopithes should be put on his trial, which would have involved the break up of the armament raised and kept together by his influence, and so have exposed the Chersonese. Demosthenes was equal to the occasion: he fell back on his old principle that the people

ought to deal with their enemies before calling their own servants to account. If Diopithes had done wrong, he said, it might be well to recall him and punish him afterwards, but not to do so at a time when, besides the material advantage to Philip, it would discourage all their commanders everywhere, and deprive Diopithes himself of his power of levying black mail on the Asiatic coast. Moreover, he denied that Diopithes was to blame at all: Cardia itself was Athenian territory, being included within the legitimate boundary of the Chersonese, and Philip was even technically the aggressor, since his aid to the revolted subjects of Athens was really an invasion of Athenian soil. The speech is singularly clever and spirited, though it is surprising that Demosthenes still thought it worth while to address a nation in which it was necessary to support such a cause by such measures and such arguments. Diopithes was neither punished nor recalled, and Philip determined to take reprisals by sea. What he did is not precisely clear; he stopped some ships upon some definite occasion, and in the oration *On the Crown* Demosthenes expressly asserts that it was this act which led, though not on his motion, to the formal renewal of the war.

If we could trust the compiler or compilers of the papers purporting to be the documents quoted by Demosthenes, it would be possible to give a complete history of the transaction up to Philip's last attempt to settle the matter peaceably by giving up the squadron, after complaining of the secret instructions the commander had received unofficially at Athens; but if this account was accurate, it would be difficult to understand how Demosthenes could have treated the seizure of the ships as the one unpardonable, decisive outrage. Moreover, as Philip's fleet had to pass the Hellespont towards Byzantium, he sent his land force through the Chersonese to protect it from the dangers of annoyance or surprise. Though the precaution was not needless, it was an unquestionable violation of Athenian sovereignty; and to make the matter worse, the troops were permitted to do damage by the way, so that the march could be styled by the speakers of the war party a raid upon Chersonese. The independence and power of Byzantium were incompatible with Philip's designs against Persia, which we may safely admit on the authority of the *Third Philippic*, for as soon as he was engaged in the interior of Asia Minor his communications would be at the mercy of Athens and Byzantium. Accordingly he seems to have demanded the co-operation of the Byzantines in his measures against Athens, though he still abstained from a formal declaration of hostilities. The Byzantines resolved to maintain their liberty, and accepted the Athenian alliance, which Demosthenes was empowered to press upon them. An expedition was sent to support them under Phocion, and Philip was

compelled to raise the siege of Byzantium and Perinthus, and finally to conclude a separate peace with the Byzantines and their insular allies, which it seems was not at variance with their alliance with Athens, for the Byzantines at any rate were sincerely grateful, and voted all kinds of honours to the Athenian people, for which Demosthenes not unjustly takes credit. If the decree of the Byzantines inserted in the text is genuine, as seems not improbable, their gratitude expressed itself in privileges more substantial than honours. Athenians were to be capable of acquiring landed property in Byzantium, though this right had been expressly renounced at the time when the Athenian confederacy had been reorganised in 378. They were to be capable of intermarrying with Byzantines, and the Olynthians are represented by Xenophon as trusting to the effect of intermarriages and this reciprocal right of acquiring landed property to reconcile the rest of the Chalcidic cities to the position of Olynthian Perioeci. If the event of the battle of Chaeroneia had been different, Athens might have begun a new career of prosperous energy, and then Byzantium would have gravitated surely and contentedly into the condition of a dependent ally, and Demosthenes would have had the merit of paving the way by honourable action to an important acquisition. It is clear that Athens continued the war alone : though it no longer had a definite object on either side, it was impossible for either Philip or Athens to propose a peace without confessing that they had been in the wrong. Diodorus indeed, who knows nothing of the peace of Philocrates, introduces a peace between Philip and Athens at this period ; but he is decisively contradicted by Demosthenes, who speaks of the inconvenience Philip experienced from the war, badly as it was conducted, up to the occupation of Elatea.

Meanwhile affairs in southern Greece had gone even worse for Philip than in the Hellespont. Callias, who had got the control of Chalcis, the principal city of Euboea, had pursued his own aggrandisement with little regard to loyalty towards either Thebes or Philip, both of whom had found it convenient to employ him, and both of whom he had courted. Very possibly he broke with Philip when the latter set up independent dynasts in Oreus and Eretria, instead of helping Callias to conquer them for him. At any rate, his appeal to Athens seems to have coincided pretty closely with the Athenian determination to expel the Macedonian garrisons from the island. Though the establishment of those garrisons might be represented as a natural corollary of Philip's legitimate ascendancy in the Pagasæan gulf, it was not the less a menace to Athens, and the garrisons did not take pains to be inoffensive. Consequently, when Callias sent to demand an alliance and to be released from the Athenian confederacy, his petition was favourably received, and an expedition was

sent to Euboea, which expelled the garrisons and made Athens popular. Callias and Demosthenes pursued their advantage; the former visited all Peloponnese, Demosthenes went on missions to Acarnania and Megara. They seem to have returned at about the same time, and both professed to have received pledges, partly public and partly confidential, from the states they had visited. On the strength of these pledges they led the assembly to expect a general coalition against Philip, with specified contingents, and a congress to be ready on a specified day in Anthesterion. As a part of this programme, Callias proposed, and Demosthenes supported him, that Eretria and Oreus should be released, like Chalcis, from the general Athenian confederacy, and that a special league should be formed in Euboea under the presidency of Chalcis, which should be bound to follow the foreign policy of Athens. The proposal was reasonable enough; for the fact that Oreus and Eretria were still enrolled in the Athenian league, still represented in its common council, and still paid contributions to its common fund, had not prevented them from being turned into outposts of Macedonian aggression. But the fact that definite sums of money under Athenian control were to be withdrawn, furnished the Macedonian party with a good text for declamation, especially as the congress and the contingents came to nothing. Probably the pledges which Demosthenes and Callias had received came from groups of influential men who might have been able to redeem them if any very vigorous action on the part of Philip or the Athenians had inspired increased alarm or confidence; as it was, the states visited waited for Athens, and Athens waited for the other states; as Demosthenes said, a little earlier or a little later, they expected that Chalcis and Megara were going to save Greece. When the Athenians recognised that they had drifted into war they recognised the right of the speaker who had advised it to direct their policy. Probably for the first time in his life, Demosthenes became a man whom it was worth the while of foreign states to propitiate by presents. An Athenian embassy was necessary to complete the arrangements for the new Euboean league, to reassure those who were sincerely attached to Athens, and to silence those who shrank from Chalcis, though both at Oreus and Eretria Callias had partisans who were glad to pay for help to get rid of their opponents. According to Aeschines, Demosthenes had a talent from Chalcis, Eretria, and Oreus for his services. Chalcis and Eretria paid at once, but the Oreites were poor, and tried to get off with a statue. The orator was implacable, and pending payment the city had to pawn its revenue to pay the interest. Aeschines produces the public documents of Oreus in support of this piquant anecdote, which contains nothing that, on Demosthenes' own

shewing, the public opinion of his contemporaries condemned, though his reported conduct contrasts painfully with his high professions.

He made a better and more characteristic use of his newly acquired influence by carrying a reform of the trierarchic law, which, at the cost of a slight reduction in the whole number of ships that the trierarchical body could be called upon to equip, made the fitting out of such fleets as were actually voted far more easy, prompt, and certain, while the incidence of the burden was more equally adjusted in proportion to the means of the contributors. The law excited much opposition from the rich, who had contrived to evade their liabilities almost entirely. Under the law of Periander, in ordinary times as many as sixteen members out of a symmoria of sixty contributed equally to the expense of a single trireme, and very commonly the richest of them found a contractor to take the whole expense for the sum which he had received from his partners. Of course the practical effect of the law was that the obligation of trierarchy, instead of a heavy burden, to be met or escaped at comparatively rare intervals, became a moderate charge recurring comparatively often. Demosthenes himself had proposed, three years after the law passed, to improve it by providing that each group of sixty should divide itself into five groups of twelve, each of which was to contain six of the richest and six of the poorest of the members of the symmoria; that each group of twelve so formed should be assessed for as many triremes as the occasion required. It was no doubt intended that within these groups the expense should be shared by private arrangement between the members in some rough proportion to their respective means, but though the law proposed suggested this equitable arrangement more plainly than the law of Periander, it supplied no means for enforcing it. Apparently the law which Demosthenes now carried altogether abandoned the principle of the law of Periander. He took the whole body of property liable to be assessed for trierarchy, and divided it into quotas of ten talents; those properties which fell short of that amount were grouped until they reached it. Each quota of ten talents was liable for one trireme, and if an individual possessed twenty talents he was liable for two, if thirty for three, if more for three and a service boat. It seems probable that the very large intervals at which the liability increased were intended as a concession to the rich opponents of the bill; for Demosthenes never denies having made the concessions which he was frequently accused of selling.

When Philip availed himself of the invitation of the Amphictyons to carry out a project which had been attributed to him ever since his Phocian expedition, and fortified Elatea, Demosthenes was exalted from an influential statesman into the virtual dictator of Athens, almost of

independent Greece. The step seemed the justification of all that he had been preaching for many years to deaf ears, of Philip's purpose to destroy Athens and enslave Greece; and the suddenness of Philip's apparition, the want of visible connection between his action and its apparent object, produced a panic in which all ordinary party distinctions effaced themselves, and which the avowed partisans of Macedonia did not venture to combat. Demosthenes was the only man who could come forward with a theory of the situation, and on this unique and supreme occasion he had all the courage of his convictions. He undertook the responsibility of everything, and overruled all opposition. His first step was to secure Thebes from falling into Philip's hands. Though the fortification of Elatea was clearly intended to bridle Thebes (which, indeed, considering the countenance Thebes had given to the sacrilegious Amphissians, was only the duty of all loyal Amphictyons), the habit of acting with Philip and of being guided by his confidants was still powerful; it coincided with the hatred of Athens, which nothing since the battle of Mantinea had weakened, while the ambitious and ineffectual diplomacy of Aeschines had even contributed to strengthen it. The worst the Thebans had to fear was that they might become dependents of Philip, as the Arcadians had been the dependents of Sparta, and they may well have thought this risk less than that of being conquered by Philip. Though Demosthenes represented the Thebans to the Athenians as in greater danger than themselves, it is obvious that he did not really expect the Thebans to think so, for he makes a merit very legitimately of having overcome their reluctance to accept the very liberal alliance which he had persuaded the Athenians to authorise him to offer, on the express ground that it was very mean to seem to take advantage of the extremity to which the Thebans were reduced. If Aeschines is to be believed, even before Demosthenes had drawn up the terms of the alliance, the Athenian troops were in motion towards Thebes, or had even entered Theban territory. Considering that the attack might be expected from the side of Boeotia in any case, it is not improbable that the Athenians advanced to meet it, even before they knew if they would have to meet it alone. The terms of the alliance were such as to give Demosthenes enormous personal power; all measures were to be concerted with the Boeotarchs, so that the movements of the confederacy were directed from Thebes, and Demosthenes installed himself there as resident ambassador, so that he practically directed them. At the same time his indefatigable activity extended to the rest of Greece: the Achaeans and Corinth, Leucas and Corcyra, and Megara were induced to join the coalition; a mercenary force of fifteen thousand foot and two thousand horse were taken into pay. It seems from Demo-

sthenes' own admissions that the conditions which attracted these allies were onerous to Athens; but the precedent of Salamis, to which Demosthenes appeals to prove that such sacrifices were glorious, proves also that they repaid themselves in the long run. We do not know in detail how Demosthenes wielded the coalition which he organised. It appears that he was jealous of interference, even from generals upon military matters; in fact, in the unhappy condition of affairs, there was no one whom he could trust with any portion of his power. Upon the whole he appears to have been an able war minister; two battles were fought which at Athens were considered victories (D. de Cor. § 274, p. 300); (and the military customs of Greece prevented the honours of the field from being often doubtful); anxious despatches were intercepted from Philip to his Peloponnesian allies (Ib. § 280, p. 302), and he began to profess a desire for peace (Ae. in Ctes. § 148, p. 74). To set against these proofs of ability and success we have vague imputations of speculation and arrogance (Ib. § 146), and two definite and serious charges. The first charge is that Demosthenes hired a body of ten thousand mercenaries to the Amphisians (l. c.), which was overwhelmed separately, as he might have foreseen. The criticism appears to be sound, but we do not know how far what was questionable on grounds of strategy was excused by diplomatic or financial reasons, or even by the military necessities of an unwieldy and heterogeneous armament. It would be certainly absurd to suppose with Aeschines, that Demosthenes knowingly imperilled the success of his combinations for a paltry bribe. The second charge is, that when Philip was ready to treat, the violence of Demosthenes made an honourable accommodation impossible, and forced on a disastrous battle. The story of Aeschines (Ib. §§ 149-157, p. 75), which Demosthenes passes by without notice, is that Philip was going to send embassies (apparently to each of the allies) to propose peace, and that it was known that the Boeotarchs were ready to treat; that Demosthenes hereupon swore in the Athenian assembly that he would arrest the first man who mentioned peace, that notwithstanding this the Thebans began to send the Athenian troops back that the terms might be discussed in a full assembly; that lastly Demosthenes shamed them out of their pacific intentions by threatening to propose that the Athenians should request a passage through Boeotia to fight Philip single handed. Even if the confederacy had been sufficiently united to treat as a single body, Demosthenes would probably have been for war; he would have preferred the chance of annihilating Philip to the certainty of prolonging the *status quo*. Whether he was right or wrong in rejecting or ignoring the chances of treating conjointly, he was certainly right in refusing to allow any of the allies to treat separately, which would have

been fatal even if Philip had been a loyal adversary; and after the Thebans had shown a disposition to withdraw from the war, it was clearly necessary to decide the war at once.

The decisive battle ended in a rout, in which Demosthenes behaved as badly as the rest of the troops who were not killed or taken; there were stories that he had behaved worse. It seems admitted, that physically he was a coward; and it is difficult to see where he should have looked for moral strength to run away in the least disgraceful manner possible. On his return to Athens he had himself appointed to a roving commission to collect funds in the Aegean, and of course his enemies asserted that his motive was rather to be out of danger than to be of use. It appears, for reasons to be more fully examined hereafter, that before he set off on his mission, he had contributed very liberally to an extempore repair of the fortifications, and that it was this for which Ctesiphon proposed that he should receive a crown, at a time when he was still accountable as overseer of fortifications, to which office he had been appointed after the peace, when it was desired to put them in a permanent and thorough state of repair. Public feeling still sustained Demosthenes; he delivered the funeral oration over the dead of Chaeroneia, and the relatives met at his house for the funeral feast. The speech has not come down to us, probably because it was unworthy of the speaker and the occasion, and the result has been that we have an ancient attempt to supply its place, which the ancients rightly pronounced to be contemptible. Yet there appears to have been some strong and not unnatural feeling against him; he found it convenient to have his recommendations moved *pro forma* by Nausicles. On the other hand, we hear of his venturing to support the prosecution of Lysicles, who had been in command at Chaeroneia. That decisive battle had for the time the same effect upon Demosthenes which had been produced by the capture of Olynthus: he accepted its results to their full extent, and advised the Athenians to elect him conservator of the peace (Ae. in Ctes. § 159). The death of Philip, of which Demosthenes probably had early intelligence from Charidemus (Ae. in Ctes. §§ 77, 160, pp. 64, 76), changed the whole tone of the orator's feelings. When the news came he was in mourning for his only daughter, but he immediately put on holiday garments and offered sacrifices of thanksgiving, and set up an altar to worship Pausanias as a hero. It seems that he professed that the news had been revealed to him in a vision by night, and one need scarcely suspect him of insincerity; when the wonderful news came he dreamt of it, and then only he ventured to believe it. While the contest was still pending, he had counted upon the possibility of Philip's death, and the probability that his system would die with him. When the possibility was realised, he had no choice but

to wait hopefully for the probability to realise itself. Until Alexander shewed some sign of sinking under the difficulties, which we are tempted to underrate as much as Demosthenes underrated his abilities, it was hopeless to persuade the Greeks to move, and perhaps the Athenians were the hardest to move of all. Northern Greece, at any rate, was effectually garrisoned; and so when Alexander came down to Corinth, he received the submission of a congress, and was empowered to act as captain-general of the Greek nation against the great king. But as soon as Alexander had crossed the Haemus, and rumours of his death could be circulated, the elements of discontent began to stir. The Theban exiles, who had been protected at Athens, and were in intimate relation with the chiefs of the war party, recovered the whole of the city except the citadel; the Arcadians put themselves in motion, with what object was not certain, and the uncertainty was an unexpected danger to Macedonia. Lycurgus and Demosthenes supplied the Theban leaders with assistance underhand, and vainly endeavoured to commit the state to a renewal of the war. Aeschines and Dinarchus (In Ctes. §§ 239-241, In Dem. §§ 21, 22) tell a story that Demosthenes contrived to lay hold of seventy talents out of three hundred which the king of Persia had sent to Athens, and which the Athenians had declined to accept. This story does not fit in with another story told by Aeschines, that a little before Alexander crossed into Asia, the king sent down an insolent letter, refusing by anticipation all requests for subsidies, and that when 'entangled by the dangers which surround him now,' he sent to offer a subsidy of his own accord. This would imply that the first Persian money which came into Greece came after the battle of Granicus, or even after the battle of Issus, and therefore too late to save Thebes; yet both Dinarchus and Aeschines complain that Demosthenes would not expend fourteen talents out of seventy to induce the Arcadians to pronounce against Alexander, and to bribe the garrison to surrender the Cadmea (Ae. et Din. ut sup.) And what makes the matter more curious still, Demochares, in the decree which he moved to his uncle's honour after his death, recites that he bribed the Arcadian leaders to go home without assisting Macedon. It may safely be supposed that if Demosthenes did receive money from Persia, the king understood that he would apply it in great part to his private purposes; and perhaps we may venture to believe what is intrinsically probable, and was uncontradicted matter of common fame, that he did receive money; but the subject was one which gave great scope to envy and exaggeration, and if a calumny could not be met by a point-blank denial, it could not be met at all. Aeschines might perhaps be made consistent with himself, if we suppose that the seventy talents came into Demosthenes' hands before the battle

of Chaeroneia, and Dinarchus might have made a mistake, being a younger man and writing later; but the evidence is not of a kind to establish even a venial charge. Whatever Demosthenes left undone, he did enough to compromise himself and Athens; the Thessalians actually voted an expedition against the city (Ae. in Ctes. § 71); Alexander demanded, and the terms of the alliance gave some colour to the demand, that Demosthenes, Lycurgus, and eight other leading politicians, should be tried before the congress of allies for high treason against Greece. Though the demand might be plausible, it was impossible for an independent state, such as Athens still claimed to be, to grant it. The military men demanded seem to have left the city; Demades and Phocion appealed to Alexander not to insist upon the extradition; Demosthenes himself, if Aeschines is to be trusted, smuggled a favourite of his own into Alexander's intimacy (In Ctes. § 161), and received through this dishonourable channel a contemptuous assurance of security. During the early vicissitudes of the war, we are told that he measured its probabilities by his wishes, and abandoned himself to the temper which he had rebuked in his countrymen before the peace of Philocrates (Ib. §§ 164-167). He refused to act, because the Macedonian party were too strong, and were training and pruning the city to grow as they pleased; but if he did not act—and it is difficult to see how he could have acted—he boasted the more of the Persian cavalry that would ride over Alexander's whole army, of the terror which he fancied he read in the faces of Aeschines and of his friends, of his own share in the revolt of Thessaly, and of the coalition in Peloponnese; in a word, he lived in the state of unhealthy and undignified exaltation in which the Southern sympathisers in England lived during the last twelve months of the Confederate war. When all was over, both in Greece and Asia, he fell back upon the thought which he had already expressed in the Third Philippic, that a power too strong for men was busy in the world; that a miserable and evil fortune had lighted upon all mankind, and upon Athens among the rest. With these feelings he met the prosecution of Ctesiphon, when Aeschines decided to bring it on at last. After all, the occasion was hardly a worthy one for a great man to sum up a great life upon, and leave his political testament to mankind. Much of the greatest speech of antiquity is devoted to a wrangle with Aeschines, which presents the display of an endless wealth of scorn and sarcasm; but scorn and sarcasm must descend, sooner or later, to the level of their object. Even in the elevation of the speech there is something almost theatrical: we feel that Demosthenes and Athens are posing together for a grand historical tableau. All the unreadiness, all the half-heartedness of Athens, has dropt out of sight; all Demosthenes' own vacillations, all the questionable

and unauthorised manœuvres by which he got together an imposing but unstable coalition, are forgotten too in the glorious picture of Athens falling, sword in hand, as the champion of free Hellenism, and Demosthenes as the minister and expression of her heroism and devotion. Of course it was inevitable: he had a right to his crown; he could not have relinquished it even had he wished; he was compelled to defend it, but as we read the defence we see how pitiful it was that his greatest effort should have been made on account of a personal honour that was only honourable if uncontested, and how far we have travelled from the single-minded sincerity, the impersonal fervour of the Olynthiacs and the First and Third Philippic.

For the next six years we know nothing of Demosthenes, except casual anecdotes which point to a life of morose, unsocial luxury, and personal relations overclouded by jealousy and mistrust. In 324, Harpalus, who had been appointed by Alexander to the rich Satrapy of Babylonia, and had courted popularity by magnificent presents to the Athenian people, and by putting magnificent jobs into the hands of any Macedonian partisan whose loyalty was not above corruption, fled from his Satrapy with a treasure of five thousand talents, and presented himself at Sunium with a formidable squadron. This inspired the idea that he meant to seize Athens, and establish himself there as despot, a result which would have been equally unsatisfactory to every party at Athens. Accordingly he was made to understand that if he advanced further he would be treated as an enemy; whereupon he decided to come with a single ship, and a treasure which he afterwards stated at seven hundred and twenty talents, and appealed to the Athenians to join him in declaring war upon Alexander. Hyperides was not alone in wishing to take the risk: Demades had to remind the people that the money he had provided for holiday making was available, if they chose to divert it, for war. Demosthenes also took the same side, the side of common prudence and of common honesty, and it was not surprising that the people took it also. Meanwhile, as might have been expected, a demand arrived for the surrender of Harpalus. To this no one was disposed to agree; there was a wide difference between not co-operating with Alexander's rebels, and surrendering them to Alexander. On the other hand, the impression in Alexander's camp was, that since Harpalus had gone to Athens, Athens must have set the treaty at defiance, and probably commenced hostilities. It seems that, as a precautionary measure, orders were sent to lay an embargo on the corn fleet. To protect, or even to dismiss Harpalus, was too hazardous. His servants were given up, and he himself and his treasure were detained till Alexander's pleasure should be further known. In giving up the servants, the Athenians shewed that they had no romantic sense of what

was due to Harpalus, who had put himself freely into their power; we may infer that, in keeping the treasure they were not without hopes of keeping it for good. One can hardly suppose that Demosthenes, who proposed the motion, acted without *arrière pensée*; without committing Athens to a war at once, he might have been willing to keep open the possibility of using Harpalus and his treasure, and the larger treasure which he left with his troops in Crete, if a favourable opportunity came. As Harpalus was arrested to keep him out of the hands of Alexander, it was not likely that he would be kept prisoner till Alexander could send for him. Demosthenes, who proposed his arrest, was not improbably privy to the arrangements for his escape. After his escape, it was discovered that only three hundred and fifty talents had reached the treasury out of the seven hundred and twenty which Demosthenes had stated, on the authority of Harpalus, had come from Crete. Demosthenes, it seems, had known of the deficiency at the time that the remains of the treasure were transferred to the Acropolis, and kept his knowledge to himself. The discovery created much alarm when it came to be known; since Harpalus had escaped, there was no excuse for keeping his money, and as it was reasonably assumed that most of the deficiency had gone in bribes to secure the speech, or even the silence of influential politicians, the people naturally thought that the politicians who had had the money should be compelled to refund. The only evidence as to who these politicians were, that would have been received with any confidence, was inaccessible: the servants of Harpalus who could have been tortured were in Asia. Everybody who had been in communication with Harpalus, everybody who could be represented as having acted in his interests, or not having acted against him, was suspected; there was a general wish to punish somebody, while nobody was ready with definite accusation against anybody in particular. Perhaps it was the best that could be done to refer the matter, as Demosthenes proposed, to the Areopagus, that is to say, to chance and time. The Areopagus was a court of so many old men of strict respectability, who were bound together by no ties of party or of rank, nor yet by any political knowledge or experience, but simply by a strong corporate tradition. They were respected, not because they had any means whatever of going right, but simply because they were exempt from almost all the motives which practically made an Athenian court go wrong. They decided not only by the evidence that came before them in court, but upon the personal knowledge of individuals among them (Ae. in Tim. § 92); that is, upon hearsay, which such individuals chose to trust. After listening for six months to anything anybody chose to tell them, they finally drew up a list of persons, among whom Demosthenes was included, who were debited with different sums

of the treasure of Harpalus. These persons had the option of taking their trial for a capital offence, or of paying the sum the Areopagus set down to them. If they elected to defend themselves, they did so at the disadvantage that the one body in Athens which all still respected was the prosecutor. Demosthenes was the first who was brought to trial (Dem. Ep. 1, § 15); the partisans of Alexander and the partisans of Harpalus were between them most probably a majority of the court, and with a hostile majority the authority of the Areopagus would outweigh the eloquence and services of Demosthenes. As for evidence, we do not know whether any prosecutor out of the ten or eleven spoke to it. Dinarchus confines himself to the easy topics, that treason is a great crime, Demosthenes a bad citizen, the Areopagus a venerable court. Hyperides, in his fragments, makes very much the same points, but he also suggests that the line of defence went too much to a mere verdict of 'not proven,' and that Demosthenes' friends had thought of putting forward the dangerous plea, that as treasurer he had made advances out of his own private property, and repaid himself from the money of Harpalus. He was condemned to a fine of fifty talents, and thrown into prison, as he could not or would not pay; after a few days he escaped to Troezen, where he had leisure to reflect on the machinery by which he had had more than one suspected spy of Macedon put out of the way. The few anecdotes of his exile only indicate a keen and natural sense of popular ingratitude, which was the more reasonable if, as the compiler of the letters which circulated in his name believed, he was the only one condemned of those who ventured to stand a trial.

When Alexander was really dead, and the Aetolians and Athenians had renewed the struggle for independence, Demosthenes exerted himself with success in support of the Athenian embassies sent to recruit for the league in Peloponnese. He was recalled with immense enthusiasm, and the assembly voted him fifty talents for a sacrifice, as the only constitutional way in which they could cancel the fine. He lived honourably and usefully while the war lasted, giving diplomatic help to Hyperides, who had the chief conduct of civil affairs. When it was over, he fled with Hyperides and two other citizens of the same party to Aegina; they were sentenced to death by the assembly, which enjoyed the restricted franchise under the constitution of Phocion. They took refuge in separate sanctuaries at Aegina, whither Antipater soon sent to arrest them. Archias, formerly an actor, was employed to remove Demosthenes from sanctuary if possible without violence. He made promises of good treatment, to which Demosthenes is said to have replied, that he had never been impressed by the acting of Archias. There are different accounts of the way in which he poisoned himself;

they all agree that he took care to leave the sacred precinct alive. Demochares, his nephew, took advantage of the discrepancy to believe that he was taken away from the evil to come by the immediate favour of the gods.

There is a good deal of appropriateness in Plutarch's parallel with Cicero. Besides the superficial resemblances, of which he has accumulated enough to be striking, the fundamental tragedy of the situation was the same for both: they saw how to save their country, and with all their matchless eloquence they had no hold on the working political forces of the time. Of the two, Cicero was the more fortunate; he had not to survive the false success of the Philippics so long as Demosthenes survived the false success of the league which was crushed at Chaeroneia. The irreproachable regularity of Cicero's private life may be contrasted with the numerous infirmities of Demosthenes; but the inexhaustible self-complacency of the Roman is heartless compared to the feverish, almost petulant indignation of the Greek, which sprang from a consuming intensity of purpose able to raise a life stained by much weakness, perhaps by some baseness, to the loftiest heights of greatness and heroism.

G. A. S.

PRACTICAL POLITICS OF THE AGE OF DEMOSTHENES.

§ 1. *Authorities—Demosthenes, Theopompus, Isocrates.*

OF the many records of antiquity which have been lost upon their way to us, few are better worth regretting than the copious history of Theopompus. This would have shewn us the age of Philip as it appeared to an acute and disinterested contemporary; and the orations of Demosthenes cannot supply the loss of such a history. A period cannot be understood by the help of the most admirable protests against all that happened in it. If the writings of Mr. Carlyle were our principal authority for what has passed in England during the last forty years, it is conceivable that the inquirer might be guided to the central springs which controlled events; but it is certain that the superficial aspects of the scene, as well as the physiognomy of the actors, would be strangely distorted by the lurid light of first principles. Demosthenes is a less abnormal writer than Mr. Carlyle, and he supplies abundant fragmentary indications which enable us in some measure to check and supplement the first impression produced by the main current of his exhortations. He is as good an authority as a partisan, or rather a practical man, can be; but, after all, he is not as good an authority as Tacitus, and the history of the early Roman empire has always been one-sided, because it has always been written by authors who trusted or distrusted Tacitus. Both the character and the intellect of Demosthenes were far above the level of Isocrates and Xenophon, yet it may be doubted whether he measured such important elements of the situation as the action of Thebes or the power of mercenaries, as intelligently as they. The apathy of Athens, which he spent his life in combating, was itself the symptom of a disease whose causes he left it to Isocrates

to study; that disease was nothing less than the moral and material anarchy and atrophy of Greece.

§ 2. *Epaminondas, the precursor of Philip and successor of Lysander.*

The pregnant words with which Xenophon closes his ungenerous description of the battle of Mantinea deserve a fuller commentary than they have yet received. There is a sense in which the crowning victory of the great Theban did really augment and perpetuate the confusion of Greece. Like Gustavus Adolphus, Epaminondas is personally one of the most spotless figures of history; like Gustavus, he made himself a name as the champion of a cause which served to ennoble the aggrandisement of his country. Both died in the moment of victory; both left a body of clients behind them, who were forced to look out for new protectors; the clients of both had to accept the protection of a foreign power, whose intervention completed the disorganisation of Germany and Greece. Except in Austria, Gustavus was forgiven even by contemporaries, both for the mischief which he did and for the greater mischief which he prepared. Epaminondas was less fortunate. The pretensions of Sparta and Athens to dispute or divide the supremacy of Greece were condoned by public opinion, in consideration of their services to the common cause at and after the Persian invasion; but ever since the beginning of the Peloponnesian war there had been a sincere and growing aversion to such claims in the abstract, and when Thebes put them forward after the unprecedented triumph of Leuctra, she could appeal to no services and she could be reproached with treasons. If this antipathy had stood alone, it might have given way to events; but the conservative veneration for Sparta was far from exhausted, and had been recently reinforced by Agesilaus' settlement of Peloponnesians. That settlement was very arbitrary; but it was not too oppressive to be permanent, and it professed not insincerely to base itself on recognised principles of public right. Epaminondas broke up this settlement by an appeal to the ambition of a few and the greed of many—materials with which it was impossible to erect a permanent structure. Such a policy was unjustifiable, for the Peloponnesians were increasingly reluctant to be used for aggressive purposes; it was unreasonable, for it could not benefit Thebes in the same proportion as it injured Sparta; it encumbered the Thebans with allies who had often to be helped and could not often be led. Athens might perhaps have consented to recognise Thebes as the military head of Greece north of the Isthmus, if her own naval position had been uncontested. It was impossible for her to acquiesce in the pretension of Thebes to concentrate all the land forces

north and south of the Isthmus under her own direction. And Epaminondas actually endeavoured to inspire his countrymen with the futile and preposterous ambition of depriving Athens of the dominion of the sea, and transferring the Propylaea from the Acropolis to their own Cadmea. When the Propylaea were built, the wealth of Athens rested partly upon tribute and partly upon trade, and the Corinthians might plausibly imagine that by building more ships and paying more sailors they might deprive her of at least one source of wealth; but in the days of Epaminondas the wealth of Athens rested upon her trade, which could not be transferred to Boeotia, which possessed no harbour that could compete with the Piræus. The result was, that the Thebans in attempting to gain everything failed to secure anything. The tactical discoveries of Epaminondas enabled them to break the power of Phærae, which was not yet consolidated, and the power of Sparta, which had begun to decline; but as neither Phærae nor Sparta were crushed, both were in a position to resume their aggressions as soon as the great Theban general was taken away.

Epaminondas left nothing behind him except a system of tactics which Philip improved, and a body of dependant allies who had to find a new protector, and found one in Philip, to whom Messene and Megalopolis had begun to turn almost before their precarious independence was twenty years old. This result was due not merely to the weakness of those communities, but to the manner in which they had been set up. Brasidas was the last commander who carried on his diplomatic business with the constituted authorities of the states within reach of his arms. Lysander set the fashion, which was too convenient not to be followed, of dealing directly with influential knots of managers, who undertook by some means or other to carry the authorities with them. In this way the commander secured a body of personal adherents spread over great part of Greece, while the state which sent him was able to act more rapidly and over a larger area. The agents of these *extempore* combinations gained most of all; the fact that they had brought their states into connection with a great power, gave them a Pan-Hellenic rank which they could have reached in no other way, and which they were entitled to retain as long as the connection lasted. The hereditary relation of proxenia was hardly compatible with loyalty as we understand it, for the proxenus was certainly expected to side with the state he represented up to the latest moment that he decently could. The conduct of Fox during the Revolutionary War has been considered more than factious; it would have been considered legitimate in Greece, had he happened to be the proxenus of France. While this dignity continued to be hereditary in the families of more or less intelligent grandees like Callias, whose

pomposity on the Spartan embassy is so amusingly exhibited by Xenophon, its operation, though embarrassing, was not corrupting. But by the time of Demosthenes the office was a matter of speculative traffic. Hyperides (Frag. 19, ap. Baiter and Sauppe, p. 352) estimated that Demades and Demosthenes had made some sixty talents apiece by decrees (often, no doubt, mere complimentary grants of citizenship) and proxenias. It is not clear whether the money was made by exercising the office or selling it; but the first hypothesis derives support from inscriptions, and the ultimate inference from both is the same, for if a man spends money on an office, he almost always intends to make money by it. Probably the *proxenus* of more than one Greek state was quite as much and as reasonably suspected at Athens as the *xenus* of Philip, who performed the same kind of services for a better paymaster. In both cases the agents' functions were the same, to keep Athens as far as possible in good humour with the foreign power represented, and supply that power with such information as would be useful in the management of its relations with Athens. The *proxenus* of Chalcis would have as much to do in giving Chalcis good advice, as in advocating its interests at Athens; the *xenus* of Philip was aware that his principal was hardly in a position to need or take advice. The attempts of Aeschines to have a policy, and to carry it out by the help of Philip, were even more preposterous, though not much more, than the attempts of Euphron or Lycomedes to have a policy of his own, and carry it out by the help of Epaminondas. Philip only* extended to the whole of Greece the system which Lysander had spread over the islands and the Asiatic coast, and Epaminondas had used on a still more extensive scale in Peloponnese, not only remodelling old communities, but founding new. The system disguised itself as it diffused itself, and lost in loyalty more than it gained in decorum. Lysander's dependants pledged themselves to obedience with their eyes open, and received in return a positive, distinct assurance, that the whole power of Sparta, so far as he could influence it, should be exerted to maintain their hold upon their own cities, where they might gratify their passions or reduce their theories to practice. Epaminondas presented himself as a liberator; he was obliged to treat his confederates upon an ostensible footing of equality, but when they attempted to stand alone, Lycomedes was abandoned to his difficulties, and the handful of disappointed zealots who slaughtered Euphron were dismissed with applause from Thebes. Philip's dependants had a still better excuse than those of Epaminondas for being insincere with themselves if they pleased, for they were ostensibly in many cases not even confederates, but personal friends; for Philip as a politician with large schemes, including many distant objects, found it convenient to

keep up an intelligence ripening into an interest in many states, whose immediate co-operation was not required. When such friends were no longer wanted, they were abandoned to the contempt of Philip's courtiers, who were well inclined to insult those, who had once divided the favours of their master, with the convenient name of traitor.

§ 3. *The arrest of the material development of Greece.*

The mendicant ambitions of which Philip had come to be the earthly providence were not a new disease in Greece. To say nothing of the half legendary Alcmaeon, who was reported to have founded the fortunes of his house upon the alms of Croesus, Pausanias and Themistocles had pleased themselves with the thought of ruling over their countrymen as viceroys of the Great King, whom they had defeated. This monstrous egotism, to which everything, even self-respect, is sacrificed, was the natural vice of the first men of a society where the desire for personal distinction was one of the highest of known motives; but, while the society was healthy and vigorous as a whole, the diseased members could be cut off, the peccant humours could be expelled. The new evil of Demosthenes' day was that 'the crop of men who were traitors and takers of bribes and reprobates,' were tolerated and envied and admired (D. de F. L. p. 426, § 300). They had despaired of their country, but they had not despaired of themselves; if they could not carry their fellow-citizens with them up to a higher level of power and prosperity, at least they had emancipated themselves. Their pursuit of an individual deliverance was, after all, the worldly parallel to the spiritual renunciation of philosophers. They were really akin to the sage, as we see him in the *Republic* standing under a wall to let the storm go by, or in the *Phaedo* holding fast to some plank of sound doctrine as he drifts over the waves of this troublesome world; as well as to the wise man of Epicurus hiding in a garden from the useless agitations of the world, and the equally unsocial wise man of Zeno helping to carry on the routine of the world from a sense of duty, while conscious all the time that 'he shall deliver neither sons nor daughters: he shall deliver his own soul only by his righteousness.' Greek society had lost the powers of keeping either the nobler or the baser forms of individualism within bounds, because it had ceased to develop. The population of Greece proper was certainly no greater in the middle of the fourth century before Christ, than it had been at the beginning of the fifth; in Laconia it was certainly less. In other parts of Greece it is probable that there would have been an increase, but for wars and emigration, up to the beginning of the Peloponnesian war; and both wars and emigration, upon the whole, enriched the mother country

more than the natural increase of population would have done. But the only way in which Greek society, or indeed ancient society, could continue to advance, was by diffusing itself: it was not sufficiently articulate to increase indefinitely, and to perfect its organisation within a limited area. With the close of the era of colonisation, Greece began to relapse into the predatory state from which it was just emerging when the *Works and Days* were composed. Even in Aristophanes we see the plague is begun; the passion for confiscation is becoming a force in the politics of the richest city of Greece. After the Peloponnesian war, the aggregate wealth of the community ceased to grow, as population had ceased to grow already. Individual wealth was coming to depend upon accumulation rather than on enterprise; so that Isocrates could say that Megara, the poorest state of Greece, possessed the greatest and the finest houses, obviously because the oligarchy practised the oligarchical virtues of thrift and regularity. Where these existed, the rich continued to get richer while the poor got fewer instead of poorer: elsewhere foreign and domestic politics degenerated into a less and less unconscious, and more and more wasteful struggle for the fragments of a stationary total (see Isocrates *de Pace* and *Panegyricus* *passim*). The sterile rivalries of the greater states had no intelligible motive, except their habitual pretensions and the interested ambition of the commanders, who wished to have their share of the plunder of the Aegean, and these rivalries maintained an equally sterile agitation in all the minor states, where the rich desired a still more exclusive and arbitrary hold upon affairs, while the poor desired to expel or massacre the rich, as a preliminary to dividing their possessions. Neither side could attain even so much tranquillity as is implied in the recognition that things are come to a dead-lock, for so long as the great states were at war, or not at peace, they were on the look-out for partisans who might count upon having a heartier welcome, in proportion as they were more deeply committed. Meanwhile, in the intervals of tempest, continental Greece and Peloponnese were continually drained of all the men of spirit who had failed in one revolution, or were too impatient to wait for the next. These ever-growing swarms of 'men without cities,' were in ever-increasing proportion the instruments with which the great powers of Greece fought out their rivalries. When they were not employed at home, they transferred their activity to the Asiatic coast, and took part in the internal quarrels of the Persian empire. Isocrates saw clearly that a radical remedy was necessary, if the disease was not to eat out the life of Greek society. Athens and Sparta alike must give up their pretensions to the dominion of the sea, which was only a fine name for the power of levying blackmail on the islands. If the great states resolved to be quiet, the rest would be quiet by force, and while

they abstained from external disputes, internal disputes also would remain in abeyance; the number of the dangerous class of men with appetites and ambitions, without ties or status, would at any rate cease to multiply. But these would be only palliatives: only the conquest of Persia would effect a cure. Isocrates' conception of the results of that conquest was falsified by the event. He thought that the principal benefit would accrue to what remained of a settled, orderly population in Greece. He expected that all the immense realised movable wealth of Asia would be poured into Greece, and that then, when nobody was poor, the danger of the poor plundering the rich would cease. He looked also to the dangerous classes being cleared off into the new settlements, which would become possible when the incubus of Persian domination was removed from the Levant; but this would be rather a subordinate benefit. He certainly never imagined that Alexandria and Pergamus and Antioch would become the moral and material centres of Greek life, while Sparta and Athens would be deserted and insignificant. Of course if the enterprise had been undertaken, as at first he desired, by free Greece, his anticipations might have been realised; but when he directed his eloquence upon Philip instead of upon Athens, he should have realised that a new power would find itself new seats.

§ 4. *The condition of Athens.*

Of the three great evils which afflicted Greece, Athens was still free from one, perhaps the worst of all: it was still possible for Athenians to live at home. There was a continual danger that envy, prompted by hunger, would become a political power; there was an increasing number of men who had despaired of the greatness of their country, and had made up their mind to rise upon her ruins; but Athens did not contribute to the cloud of mercenaries who hovered between Europe and Asia. Even the diseases which Athens had, she had in a milder form. Demades, the greediest and most cynical of the correspondents of Macedon, affirmed at the time of the arrest of Harpalus, that he took money and meant to take it, but the country should be none the worse. The reserve was doubtless a fiction; but the fiction was intended to deceive himself, as Tzetzes makes him say he had no wish to see Athens turned into a cemetery like Thebes: a cemetery could be no sphere for his ambition. The best reception at the court of Alexander would not have compensated him for the suppression of the assembly which he liked to lead. Athens still imposed upon even the least loyal of Athenians: those whom Demosthenes denounced as traitors had not yet ventured to hope that they might become tyrants. It was as much as they ventured to do, to

give themselves airs of superiority to the institutions which they always professed their desire to maintain.

Demades called the festival pay 'the cement of the constitution,' and this was certainly its most important function. It was really the most elegant form of the universal *μισθοφορία*, the pleasantest item *τοῦ δημοσίου λήμματος*, which was the tribute exacted by king Demos from his subjects. Of course Demosthenes was right in comparing such beggarly doles to the diet that physicians gave their patients, which was just enough to keep them alive, and much too little to make them strong and hearty (Ol. 3, p. 37, § 39). He was thinking of the grand old days of Pericles, when the poor had frequent opportunities of leaving their precarious life in Athens for the plenty and independence of Thurii or Amphipolis, when splendid careers were in the reach of individual energy, to say nothing of the ennobling and exhilarating sense of belonging to a great community, which made life a pleasure and a pride to the poorest. When he looked at what Pericles had done for the city, he could not resist the temptation of minimising all the conveniences and elegances which had made Eubulus popular, as 'roads, and fountains, and white-wash, and stuff;' though such improvements were just in place in a city where monumental buildings were erected already, and where public officers were beginning to make such profits that their private houses were finer than the public offices. No doubt in the time of Lysias, in the early days of the restored Democracy, the prosecutor generally wound up his peroration by assuring the jury, that to confiscate the property of the defendant was the only way to provide for their fees, while the defendant quoted instances of confiscations which had proved worthless, concluded that he had better be left to take care of his own money, and appealed to his past conduct as evidence that he would continue to spend it handsomely on public objects.

Even after the reforms of Eubulus had placed the finances on a footing which reduced the force of such temptations to a minimum, after the peace of 346 had begun to recruit the resources of Athens, Demosthenes could still say (De Chersoneso, p. 106, § 68), that to hint at hostilities to Philip, and to expatiate in an irresponsible way on his bad faith and the treachery of his correspondents, required more courage than to 'go ahead,' like some politicians, with endless denunciations and confiscations. The speech against Androtion abounds with instances of the immense annoyance which the fiscal system produced in its working among men of moderate means, and the oppressive contrivances, so convenient that they could be represented as indispensable, which were employed, with very imperfect success, to collect very moderate sums. But after every allowance for the way in which the state machinery jarred, and for the signs which

it gave of a possible disruption, it worked much more smoothly and safely at Athens than anywhere else in Greece, more smoothly and safely than it had worked at any time during the Peloponnesian war. Then there had been class hatreds upon one side, and the cruel ambition of unscrupulous cliques upon the other; and though one clique was always ready and eager to sacrifice another to the jealousy of the commons, when there was anything to gain by the sacrifice, yet, as their objects were substantially similar, there was a strong fellow-feeling between them whenever their interests were not in collision. The oligarchs of the generation of Alcibiades were too selfish to act as a party, but they felt as a class. There were political questions at issue, which might have found solid parties in a society where there was space for parties to become homogeneous. Though the ultimate sovereignty of the popular majority was irrevocably established, it had still to be settled how far the popular majority was bound by constitutional forms of its own enactment; it had to be settled where that majority was to look for leaders, which involved the further question, how much liberty of action was to be allowed to the high officers of the executive, who were still in large measure men of hereditary rank and position, long after the lead in the assembly had passed into the hands of low-born agitators, who caught and exaggerated the suspicions and passions of their class? Again, there was the question whether the pay and the doles which the citizens received, on all manner of occasions out of public funds, were to be regarded as the accident or the essence of the constitution; whether, in fact, the majority of Athenian citizens were to support themselves or be supported by the state, at the expense of the rich or of the allies, when there were allies who paid tribute to Athens, or could be brought to carry appeals there. In the time of Demosthenes all these questions had been settled but one, and that was not a party question. The strict regularity of procedure in the assembly and elsewhere was hardly the interest of the oligarchs; though they were a minority, the organisation of the clubs gave them facilities for snatching divisions which seemed of more importance than the chances, which were far from common, of defeating objectionable proposals by a deliberate and dilatory use of constitutional forms. Even politicians as moderate as Nicias could propose without scruple, upon a great occasion, that the president of the assembly should take the grave responsibility of putting a question already lawfully decided, to a second vote. The dispute continued open, for there were always some politicians who desired a reputation for energy, and others who desired a reputation for legality; but the division did not coincide with the degree of their real or professed attachment to democratic principles. Aristophon was famous for the number of impeachments he had braved,

but as for his principles, he was as good a democrat as Eubulus, who went on quietly and methodically, and he had no pretensions to be a better democrat. The other outstanding questions had been settled as Cleon would have settled them, and yet the effective power of the assembly had diminished. There were several reasons for this. The mis-directed ambition of Thebes had acted as a damper on such enthusiasm and energy as the assembly retained. The feeling of the people could not be roused in favour of a war to extend the blessings of democracy, when extending the area of democracy meant extending the predominance of Thebes. There had always been a sentimental feeling at Athens in favour of the autonomy of the Boeotian towns, and the Thebans had outraged this honourable sentiment, even before they had alarmed the prudence of their natural supporters. The consequence was, that, though the Theban side remained the democratic side, the democratic side ceased to be popular. If the reaction had attempted to throw Athens decisively into the arms of Sparta, its success would have been short-lived; but the party of reaction was too indolent to be imprudent. They desired to direct the attitude of the city in conformity with their own sympathies, and they were glad to have occasional opportunities of displaying their personal gallantry at the head of a small body of picked troops; but they were too thrifty to desire any prolonged or energetic intervention, which would have had to be carried on at their expense. The average aristocrat of the period had no political programme whatever, except to enjoy his independence; he had no longer any shreds of privilege to defend; he was too self-indulgent to wish to reform the license which would have offended Pericles; he was too experienced to dream of making the popular majority the instrument of his personal ambition. The organisation of society under which he lived gave him no advantages which he could or would appreciate. On the other hand, the community had very little power over his class, while they had considerable power over the community. The Athenians were still in the barbarous stage of finance, when all extraordinary expenses had to be met by special taxation imposed for the occasion, unless by singular good fortune there happened to be a treasure in hand. This was not all, there was a risk that an occasional tax which fell upon a limited number of reluctant contributors, might encounter so much passive opposition as to render its yield uncertain. For instance, on one collection there were arrears of fourteen talents, and Androtion made quite a reputation by getting in between seven and eight, though he had persuaded the assembly to intrust him with extraordinary powers, and though he had used them with extraordinary vigour and not with unimpeachable integrity. To obviate this so far as possible, it was usual that when a direct property-

tax was levied, the whole amount should be advanced by the richest men in the symmorias, who recouped themselves at leisure. This already conferred a modified power of the purse, for it was unwise to offend men whose ill-humour would be inconvenient. Again, when it was resolved in the assembly to send so many galleys to sea there was danger that everyone with influence to stand a trial, without too much risk, would begin to shift off the burden upon somebody else¹, or even simply refuse to pay, and trust to a protest of inability. Consequently, the really rich men who took the whole expense and collected it from their 'partners' (as it had become the fashion to call those who shared the outlay), really performed a valuable public service, even if they found a contractor to take charge of the vessel, and pay her out and home for a less sum than they expected to collect from their partners, or employed the vessel for purposes of private trade whenever it suited them to sail themselves. Of course if they sent the vessel with a contractor whose only chance of a profit was cheese-paring, it was likely to be ill-found, and if they employed it for their own business, the punctuality of the squadron was likely to be impaired; but, except on some peculiarly alarming emergency, it was difficult to get an Attic squadron to sea at all, and without such help it would have been impossible. These were the merits which enabled a Midias to set himself at ease above the law, and to enjoy the consideration which private magnificence will always command. He had no wish for power, he despised his countrymen too much to desire to lead them: it suited him better to vindicate his selfishness by taunting them with their imbecillity and shiftlessness.

The fact that men of hereditary position had despaired of political influence (and they always despair as soon as they find that the majority prefers leaders like itself), was of course sufficient to brand politics as a discreditable trade, though, as in America, individual politicians might achieve an honourable reputation. But the name which the aristocrats fastened upon those who had driven them from the arena stuck to the class of public men who remained at the helm. To eschew politics, to be a plain, private man, was an essential of respectability; to have much to do with the law-courts, or even with the assembly, was to be a sycophant; it was easy for a man who would hawk at small game, to make himself sufficiently annoying to become a personage, while a person who wished

¹ The two speeches composed for Apollodorus, when he appealed to the courts to secure the crown due to the trierarch who equipped his ship best and quickest, and to enforce repayment of the expenses he had incurred by serving beyond his term, shew that men of established position often eased themselves by trusting to the ambitious vanity and ostentatious gratitude of *nouveaux riches*.

to be useful, even if he spoke well, found it easier to get credit for his speeches than for his intentions.

As it was discreditable to be a politician, the public interest in politics hardly ever went beyond excitement, and generally stopped at curiosity. This favoured the tendency to laxity of administration which was inherent in the Athenian constitution. The assembly was omnipotent; and in the assembly, to borrow the forcible words put into the mouth of Aeschines, 'One man went and another came, and there was nobody to look after the common good' (D. de F. L. p. 383, § 149). The only check upon its license was the rule that no business should be brought forward in the assembly which had not passed the council; but this was an illusory security, when every speaker could conclude his speech with the draught of a decree to be moved if encouraged by the audience, and while measures of decisive importance could be originated in the council, and smuggled through the assembly as matter of form. The constitution of Athens had arisen at a period of expansion and enthusiasm; it had taken its final shape under the influence of a statesman whose high character and experience enabled him to govern upon his own principles in the name of the assembly. After the death of Pericles, constitutional statesmen confined their endeavours to protecting his institutions, so that Athens was left with a constitution which in ordinary times did not and could not work as it was intended, for want of motive power. The public opinion of Athens was active and intelligent, but helpless for want of organised institutions to give it effect.

The assembly had not even so much organisation as party divisions would have given it. Politicians were divided into cliques, which had an interest in avoiding collisions (Dein. in Dem. p. 102, §§ 103 sqq., In Arist. p. 106, § 15), for their objects seldom required the whole force of the state, and therefore opposition to one another was only a waste of power. Demosthenes happened to have a personal quarrel with Aeschines, and they fought it out in the courts; but he was on good terms with Demades, and saw with great equanimity the extraordinary honours with which the people rewarded his buoyant and convenient subserviency. It is true that he had special reasons for his forbearance, as Demades had exerted himself to save him after the second capture of Thebes, besides supporting him when interrupted in the assembly, a service which Demosthenes could not or would not repay in kind. Of course when they had opposite measures to advocate they denounced each other with suitable energy; but they never did this unnecessarily: all through the speech on the Crown Demades is only mentioned once, and then with a compliment. There was little temptation for politicians to do otherwise. Office was a rare incident of public life, and so an

orator was rarely excited by the hope of driving a rival from office. The only motive for attacking an opponent was the chance of driving him from public life altogether by a successful prosecution, and from this they were deterred in general by the curious presumption of Athenian law, that a prosecution should be held to be frivolous. This worked in two ways: sometimes a prosecution was left to be undertaken by underlings of a party whom it could afford to sacrifice, while the leaders, if convenient, supported the ostensible prosecutor, who alone ran any risk; sometimes a prosecution was kept hanging over a man till it was convenient to press it, like Aeschines' indictment against Ctesiphon, and this was probably the case with Demades, who during the Lamian war found himself disfranchised under no less than seven distinct indictments for having proposed illegal enactments. No doubt the indictments had been accumulating all through the reign of Alexander, and had been duly laid by cautious patriots, who hoped for better times. But these were exceptions: the days of Cleon were long past, when popular leaders had to give effect to popular passions and prejudices by systematic terrorism; the cliques who managed the assembly without exciting it, found it easier to wait till circumstances sent the floating majority their way, so that they could carry out their more or less interested projects by its help.

Though the assembly was hardly earnest, it was by no means calm; on the contrary, precautions had to be taken to prevent speakers from bullying the chair. With this object, at some period between 345 and 338 B.C., the tribe from which the chairman for the day was taken, was organised into a body-guard to protect his authority. For order was exposed to two distinct dangers: the first and slightest was simple turbulence, the second was the interested violence of orators who wished to extort the connivance of the chair in pushing through some irregular measure, with the aid of a body of partisans who had been warned to stay till the business was over. Supposing that the chairman was really neutral, his tribe might be relied upon to defeat such manoeuvres, and elaborate precautions had been taken from the first restoration of the democracy, to secure both his neutrality and his authority. The only possible object of giving the prytanis of the day nine colleagues, selected from the senators of each tribe except his own, must have been to provide the chairman with colleagues to support or control him as occasion might require. If vice-chairmen were all that was wanted, it would be simpler to allow the other prytanes of the same tribe to act when the prytanis whose turn it was was accidentally hindered from presiding. But the prytanis whose accession could be calculated might be secured beforehand, and in times of popular excitement every prytanis could not

be expected to display the firmness of a Socrates : it was expected that the nine assessors would obviate both dangers. But the arrangement presupposed a seriousness, a strictness, and a regularity which were not to be found at Athens. The *πρόεδροι*, as inscriptions shew, more or less gradually superseded the prytanes altogether in the management of the assembly, and the change must have been consummated when the *πρόεδρος* of the day was provided with a body-guard, composed of all the members of his tribe who were in attendance at the assembly (cp. Rev. E. L. Hicks, in *The Journal of Philology*, vol. iii. no. 5). The precaution was far from effectual, for the senate was full of politicians who made sure of the seats which might be convenient to their policy. As there were many citizens who never troubled themselves to be drawn for senators at all, those who never missed a chance, found it easy to get one of themselves in, and if this failed there was always a last resource ; there was no arrangement to enable the official to identify those who had drawn the senatorial tickets, consequently a politician could always buy one from a private man, who only valued it for the year's pay, which was not too high for a politician to make an advance upon. Nor was all over when the senate was filled, or packed, as politicians chose to suppose, for it was one of the symptoms of the unhealthy state of politics, that, as in the eighteenth century in England, the gravest charges were bandied about without being tested. One side treated them as too notorious to be proved, the other as too frivolous to be refuted. There was as much danger, or more, of the *proedri* being appointed corruptly out of a known and limited number, than of the senators being appointed corruptly out of a larger and unlimited number. And if a private man got appointed senator and *proedrus* without the suspicion of management, he had no experience, and so might be easily managed or frightened by those who had.

The discredit attached to politicians had other consequences, besides the suspicion which it threw upon public measures. It made it practically impossible to set the law in motion without a personal motive, and so discredited the law, because the presumption in the mind of the indifferent majority was, that whoever had been subjected to a legal penalty was an unfortunate man whose enemies had been too much for him, and so was almost entitled to be treated for the future with exceptional forbearance. Public prosecutors instituted by lawful authority did not exist ; those who made it their business to supply this defect of the constitution were branded as sycophants, and though the people were not disinclined to use one nuisance to abate another, defendants who had broken the law had a great advantage in being able to reflect upon the prosecution, with the certainty that they would not have the court against

them. This prejudice told in favour of the class who considered insolence and licence aristocratic, because those whom they insulted could get no remedy except at law, and discredited themselves by seeking it there. When a comparatively strict politician found an opportunity to carry a vote that the demes should purge themselves, the sufferer raised a cry that he was the victim of a local clique, and came before the court with a lame story half made out (*Dem. adv. Eubulidem*). Of course he relied on the fact that others whose story was no better had been left unmolested; and this might easily happen, considering that there was no proper proof of citizenship required when a young man was first enrolled. If he was properly introduced, the guildmen asked no awkward questions, and when he was once free of the guild no questions were asked at all. Amateur prosecutors made it their business to shew that they had an honest family reason for coming forward, and liked to begin their speeches with the respectable aphorism, that the public profited by private quarrels. A man whose father was disfranchised as a state debtor, and who expected to be disfranchised himself when his father died, came forward to prosecute his father's prosecutor while he could, as a matter of family duty, and filled up half his speech (*cp. In Theoc. passim*) with an eulogium on his own motives, and an elegy on his wrongs, as if sympathy with his revenge was likely to have more weight with the court than the proof of such irregularities as were doubtless condoned every day.

The only direction in which political theorists looked for a check on the prevailing laxity, was itself suggestive of the exhaustion of Greece. Isocrates could only suggest that the Areopagus should be invited to resume the censorial authority which he believed it to have received from Solon. If this could be effected, he believed the citizens would all have adequate and ostensible means of livelihood. This would act in two ways: by enforcing wholesome habits of industry, and by relieving the citizens from their enfeebling dependance on the public doles, so that sycophants could not demoralise the assembly or the courts by appeals to their cupidity. Another very important effect of the censorship would be that the character of politicians would be uniformly respectable, and then public affairs would be conducted steadily and honourably and safely, for Isocrates believed that the city had little to gain by ambitious enterprises.

Though Isocrates was hardly a practical or a representative politician, the feeling that things had gone too far was not uncommon; and, though a censorship was out of harmony with both the vices and the virtues of a society so advanced as that of Athens, the reaction of opinion was sufficient to make the Areopagus a formidable weapon in the hands of

politicians. The Areopagus was the one public body in Athens whose action could be calculated, owing to the personal incorruptibility of its members, and their rigid adherence to a traditional procedure. Consequently it was often used as a committee of public safety. It still retained an extensive jurisdiction in matters of impiety, which was easily stretched to comprehend treason. The attempt to govern Athens by the Areopagus was like the attempt to govern Greece by the Amphictyonic council; and in both cases the august traditional authority was merely an instrument and a screen. As the Thebans could not convoke a congress of allies to overawe recalcitrants, they tried to avail themselves of the congress at Delphi; as the orators could not trust a jury to rid them of an underling of the opposite party, they set the Areopagus in motion. If that court could bring the case under their prescriptive jurisdiction, the peculiar rigidity of their procedure destroyed all chance of escape; if the case was only sent before the court for enquiry by a popular vote, though the finding of the court was hardly ever reversed upon the facts, it was not too difficult to set it aside upon the merits. Whether the Areopagus acted as a court of instruction, or as a criminal court of first or second instance, the same inflexibility which made their decisions respected, prevented them from acting upon any system, so as to produce a permanent effect upon public affairs. The real evil remained: a fluctuating assembly had, and could have, no policy. If it had been possible to utilise the prejudice in favour of the Areopagus, the outgoing generals, like the outgoing archons, should have been passed into it, and it should have been invested with the powers, not of the Roman censors, but of the Roman senate.

The real permanent antagonistic forces in politics were the necessity of paying the dividends of the Athenian people, and the necessity of allowing the Athenian generals to find means for paying the armies, which the irritable pride of public opinion made it necessary to engage. The majority saw clearly, that while their dividends were paid they had more reason to value their political privileges than the people of any other Greek state, and they had the sense to observe that some regular system was necessary in order to provide them. Accordingly they were content to abdicate the control of affairs in favour of any administrator who could inspire them with confidence of regular payment. If the shareholders of the East India Company, instead of being deprived of their power by the state, had allowed it to be usurped by fluctuating cliques of directors, and had continued to amuse themselves by passing from time to time strong resolutions concerning Indian affairs, which they had no means of influencing, and no effective desire to influence, the result would hardly give an exaggerated picture of the pitiful and

grotesque condition of the people which was called by circumstances to contest with Philip the supremacy of Greece.

Demosthenes, with the suspicion always haunting him (*Phil.* 3, p. 124, § 95) that 'some higher power was driving matters on,' still regarded the desire of ambitious men to aggrandise themselves amid the decline of their country, and the desire of the ordinary citizen to receive public money without performing what Demosthenes regarded as public service, in the light of symptoms of unmitigated but unaccountable depravity. There were two good reasons why the average Athenian was less ready to serve in person than in the age of Pericles. Wars, or rather campaigns, were longer, so that he could not afford to be from home so long; and the art of war had made such progress, that amateur soldiers could no longer compete upon equal terms with professional; nor, it may be added, were civic generals on an equal footing with professional officers. And while the ordinary members of Greek society found their ties multiplied as society grew older, the rudimentary character of Greek civilisation multiplied the number of men who had no ties at all. At the end of the Peloponnesian war, the number of soldiers unemployed must have been at its height, yet Cyrus found it difficult to enlist ten or twelve thousand mercenaries. Sixty years later, after the class had been exhausted by the long and bloody Sacred war, ten thousand mercenaries were a minor contingent in the campaign which ended at Chaeroneia. In general, matters are hopeless when enlightened and public-spirited men are reduced to advocate moral reform, because it is useless to change the mechanism of institutions, and therefore Demosthenes is not to be blamed for the ludicrous inadequacy of the suggestion, that the Athenians should serve in person in sufficient numbers to give them some control over the mercenaries they sent out; or, at least, when they sent out a general, they should provide him with funds to pay the mercenaries he was to engage, because then he would not be obliged to go after his army when they went to look for pay. Perhaps, too, it may be thought that he shews a sense of the situation, when he complains of the significant change of nomenclature (*De Syntaxi*, § 24, p. 172) by which the victories of the restored democracy were attributed to the general, whereas those of the old democracy had been attributed to the nation. Even here he does not emphasise the cause of the change: Iphicrates and Chabrias and Timotheus levied their own armies and maintained their own fleets. This made them in great measure independent potentates, and naturally disinclined them to residence in Athens; so that it is unjust to ascribe their long absences either to their own arrogance of temper or to democratic jealousy, especially as the sons of Chabrias and Timotheus found it quite possible to air their arrogance and extravagance

at Athens, without propitiating democratic jealousy by any imitation of their fathers' services. In fact, it is hardly an exaggeration to say that the revived Athenian empire was made by condottieri, and used by condottieri, and destroyed by condottieri at last, and that the only benefit which the Athenians at large derived from it while it lasted, was the remittances which commanders sent home from time to time for distribution. It is doubtful whether those who stayed at home gained more by the relief of settling numbers of their countrymen in Samos and the Chersonese, than they lost by the expense of maintaining the settlers in possession of their allotments. As for the 'contributions,' Isocrates gave them their true name of 'black mail.' The moderation and uprightness of Timotheus made such a good impression upon most of the minor maritime states, that they thought it would be good economy to compound for freedom from indefinite exactions, by a moderate subscription to maintain the preponderance of Athens in Greek waters. As while the understanding lasted Athens was always at war, it was out of the question that the contributions should afford even a modest surplus for accumulation or expenditure at Athens. This, much more than the fear of Persia, determined the Athenians to acquiesce in the abrupt termination of the Social war. Its origin was the belief of the most powerful of the allies, that it was possible to make a better bargain with Persia than they had made with Timotheus, and, even if that bargain had been observed, they would still have desired to change it. The exactions to which the Athenian commanders were driven, not much against their will, when the tedious campaign against Philip had exhausted the patience of Athenian tax-payers, only precipitated the decision to which Rhodes and Chios were already inclined by their correspondence with the mainland, and Byzantium by the temptation to profit by its situation to tax the corn trade on its own account. The three years of the war had caused an expenditure of fifteen hundred talents for worse than nothing, for whole battalions of Deiares and Polyphontes (*Ae. de F. L. p. 37, § 74*), who only existed in the braggadocio of the generals. It was quite clear that the allies cost more than they were worth, and if Persia was to be their pay-master, there was no time to be lost in letting them go. This explains also the law of Eubulus, which has furnished the text for so many declamations. Chares and his supporters had wasted fifteen hundred talents (*Ol. 3, § 32, p. 36*) among them, and there was nothing to shew for it; Eubulus did not see his way to reform the administration of the war office, but he was determined that the 'ring' which profited by the existing system should not reap the fruits of his own provident and honest management. There is no need to suppose that Eubulus himself was at all attached to Macedonia; when the charge was made against

him, he swore, as he wished his children to thrive, that he would be glad to see Philip undone, but he believed that if Chares were ever so well supplied, Athens would be impoverished and the festival pay stopped, and Philip would not be put down. The only advantage would be, that Chares would find it easier to make remittances to his friends at Athens, and that those friends would find a better market for their influence with Chares among those who were liable to be oppressed by him, but he took no interest in all the ardent patriots who, as Aeschines said, could not live without work in peace time. Through all, the Athenians shewed the peculiar equanimity (*πραδότης*) which had been remarked upon by Plato, and of which the orators complain as often as it told in favour of their opponents. They acquitted Chares as often as he was brought to trial (Dem. de F. L. p. 447, § 381), on the ground that he really wished them well, and would have done more if he had been properly supported. They refused to find means to support him, because they felt that, after all, he was an adventurer pursuing his own advantage in their name, and, as far as possible, at their expense. For the rest, apart from the unfortunate circumstances of the parting, Athens lost little by the withdrawal of Rhodes and Chios from the confederation, and even the loss of Byzantium was chiefly mischievous so far as it tended to raise the price of corn. Attic commanders were always able to levy contributions on the Asiatic seaboard and islands, in accurate proportion to the strength and requirements of their squadrons, so that if their armaments had been composed of Athenian citizens, they would not have wanted the means of subsistence while carrying out Athenian objects. As it was, the armaments pursued their own interest, as they would have done if the Athenian treasury had received meagre contributions from Chios and Rhodes. It is obvious from Thucydides, that no scale of contributions, in the palmiest days of Athenian power, was adequate to maintain a fleet and army upon active service. When the treasure of the Acropolis was once exhausted, the tribute had to be supplemented by plunder in ever-increasing proportions. As the Asiatic Greeks were certain to be plundered in any case, they preferred, on the whole, paying tribute to Persia instead of to Athens, because Persia was more disposed of the two to take the tribute as a substitute for all other claims, and to abstain from any attempt to exercise effective control while it was paid. The net result of the whole matter was, that the Asiatic Greeks were plundered by everybody and governed by nobody.

§ 5. Persia.

The position of Persia was still much better than it appears to us, who are wise after the event; the original organisation of the empire

by Darius Hystaspes must have been singularly able, for the Great King continued to accumulate treasure to the last, which is probably more than could be said of any other oriental empire which was more than two centuries old. This power seems to have rested upon the tributes of the rich vallies of the Tigris and Euphrates, whose real prosperity did not seriously begin to decline till they became the battlefield between Eastern and Western civilisation. Even then the wars of the Seleucidae and the Romans against the Arsacidae and the Sassanidae, were hardly long enough to make a desert for Kurds and Bedouins. The Carduchi remained in their mountains, and were content to exact tolls of those who traversed their passes; but, though they still followed the Great King in his wars, even he had to disguise this tribute under the name of largesse. Almost the only other symptom of decline which was yet perceptible, was that the huge mud palaces and temples, splendidly faced in stone and colours, which former dynasties had erected at the centre of their power, were not restored or maintained by a migratory court, which gloried in being accompanied by a formidable camp (Is. Paneg. p. 70 fin., § 168). While Persia retained this source of power, it was safer for the individual mercenary to enlist in the service of the Great King than in that of his rebels, and the court had a clear conviction of the importance of getting rid of the mercenary chiefs as soon as possible, which would have preserved them for several generations from the fate of the Khalifate. The financial superiority of Persia during the latter years of the Peloponnesian war had made more impression upon the imagination of Greek politicians than her military inferiority, as demonstrated by the retreat of the Ten Thousand, and the far less striking successes of Agesilaus.

These causes led to the peace of Antalcidas, and the position then assumed by the Great King tended to perpetuate and enlarge itself. The recovery of Egypt shewed that the spirit of the government was not extinct, and those who depreciated the Great King found that they had to insist on the topic that he was not as rich as was thought, and that the celebrated gold plane-tree was not big enough for a bird to build in.

§ 6. *Macedonian Interests and Policy.*

The Athenian public in the early days of the contest still regarded the Great King as more formidable than Philip: their chief feeling about the latter was resentment at the trouble he gave, and they remembered too much what we forget, that he was king of Macedonia. In fact, it may be said that all his spontaneous measures up to 346 B.C., were directed to securing his ascendancy north of the Aegean; and, moreover, when there was a question of sacrificing his interests on the sea-

board to his interests in the interior, even north of the Balkan, or *vice versa*, it was his invariable custom to sacrifice the former. The wars with the Illyrians, the Thracians, the Scythians, seemed to be his business; the wars with the Greek powers of the coast seemed to be almost a luxury. There was only one exception: he was certainly determined to allow no foreign influence except his own in Thessaly, and he had overthrown Onomarchus when he invaded that country, though he made no attempt to counteract the momentary energy of Athens, which, without losing a moment, sent a squadron to occupy the straits in force. Even this, however, was quite within the limits of a purely Macedonian policy; the troubles of the Macedonian monarchy were not forgotten, nor the profit which the Thessalians had made of its weakness. In the years immediately preceding the peace of Philocrates, he had shewn an intention of cultivating an interest in Greece. Every influential Greek who visited his court brought back a fortune, some even brought back a body of mercenaries, or at least the means of engaging one. Of course to a power which was at war with Athens, and exercised a protectorate in Thessaly, an influence in Greece was more than convenient, but there were as yet no signs that he even desired to convert this influence into a supremacy. The Great King before him, the different dynasties which divided the inheritance of Alexander after him, maintained an influence of the same kind, for longer or shorter periods, without ever dreaming of a supremacy, which politicians like Demosthenes would have regarded as the only adequate return for their costly largesses. When Philip came back to Pella after his Thracian conquests, it cannot be doubted that he had at last determined, if he had ever hesitated, to establish himself as paramount in Greece, at any rate north of the Isthmus. The resolution had sufficient motives in his existing relations to Thessaly and Thebes. When the war with Athens was at an end, it was impossible, if Philip was to retain his connection with Thessaly and with Thebes, the ally of Thessaly in the Holy War, that he should allow the Phocian dynasty to retain positions which menaced both. His intervention once begun, of course all the powers which remained in Greece appealed to him to direct it in their interest, and these appeals assumed that he would hardly shock opinion by his most ambitious schemes. It is probable that he expected from the first to do what he actually did: his substantial ascendancy was abundantly secured by the opportunity of garrisoning the posts which commanded the pass of Pylæ, and by the thorough disorganisation of Phocis, the population of which had actually to look to him for protection. Without breaking faith with Thebes and Thessaly, it would have been difficult to secure more. At the same time, it is likely enough that Philip would have liked to carry out the programme

which he allowed his intimates to dangle before the eyes of Aeschines. Garrisons round Pylae were good, but garrisons at Orchomenus and Thespieae would have been better. Supposing Phalaecus had shewn more fight, supposing the Athenians had sent a strong contingent under Phocion, it is not unlikely that an unscrupulous potentate would have seized the opportunity of keeping his old allies in dependence by means of his new. Demosthenes, when he was not thinking of the conduct of Aeschines, but of the actual situation (*De Pace*, §§ 20-22), pointed out to the assembly that, without admitting that Philip had been coerced by Thebes, as the people who had been elated by the promises of Aeschines liked to believe, it was apparent that he had done more for Thebes than it was for his own interest to do, and that probably his motive had been to avoid a useless rupture. There are more examples than one in the history of the first Napoleon, where he seems to have sincerely hesitated which of two or more competitors for his favour he should finally elect to disappoint, when each was equally in his power, and it was convenient to keep each in good humour to the latest moment.

For a drunken savage, whose wife seriously believed that a superhuman being in the shape of a serpent had made her the mother of a superhuman child, which is really one very important aspect of Philip's place in the history of civilisation, he was decidedly good natured and well intentioned. He really disliked the utter waste of the war with Athens, which produced nothing but useless vexation to both parties. This is the explanation of all his effusive overtures after the capture of Olynthus. He had got everything he wanted by the war; all the establishments of Athens which could serve to annoy or hamper him, were now in his own hands; he was sorry for the Athenians, and wished them well out of it. If the principal motive of the repeated messages of goodwill had been to deceive Athens for his own interest, he would not have grudged them an embassy after the assembly had graciously voted him permission to send one. The peace was more their affair than his, and he waited for them to move in it, and meanwhile went on with his own business in Thrace. He was perfectly willing to guarantee the Athenian possessions in Chersonese, in order, no doubt, to bind the city to be of good behaviour for the future; and it seems that he was really anxious to conclude not only a peace, but an alliance, since he held out hopes of some definite advantage too great to be revealed until he had been admitted as the ally of Athens. Whether the Athenians were meant to look to the possession of Euboea or the restoration of Oropus, the boon was not of a nature to give Philip an effective hold upon them after he had once performed his promise. All that he would have gained would have been popularity at Athens, until the next dispute

about something which excited the assembly more than theories of gratitude. The promise was meant to be performed if it should prove convenient, and at worst it would have done good service, Philip thought, if it was believed till the alliance was secured. Like Demosthenes, he felt that a peace without an alliance would be an unsatisfactory truce, and he made the mistake of thinking that the Athenians, like the Thessalians, would abide by an arrangement substantially advantageous to both parties, though they might have been led to enter it by false pretences. He was probably seriously misled by his own dupes, who made him believe that a knot of well-satisfied partisans could manage the assembly and keep the people quiet. No management was needed to prevent the assembly from doing anything against Philip, and no management was sufficient to keep it from grumbling against him, and even voting against him. In fact, the want of self-control which made the Athenians incapable of energetic action, made them equally incapable of reticence and forbearance; and if their irritability had needed a stimulus, it was to be found in the scornful sermons of Hegesippus, and in the vindictive constancy of Demosthenes. Every dispute was envenomed by a reference to the promises upon which Philip had procured the peace, and Philip's partisans, who were the only persons who were responsible for anything beyond vague professions of goodwill, and proffers of some advantage too great to be prematurely disclosed, were exposed to suspicion as traitors, and their recommendations of peace were discredited, though up to Philip's attack upon Byzantium, those recommendations were supported by every consideration of prudence and good faith. Philip was appropriately punished for his excessive *finesse*, for the alliance obtained under false pretences was certainly the cause of the termination of the peace.

§ 7. *Macedon and the Policy of Athens.*

There were practically three serious views of what ought to be the attitude of Athens in the face of this new power, each distinct from the general popular feeling of helpless irritation. There was the traditional view that Athens, for the sake of her own interests in the Thracian border, ought to be on good terms with the chief native potentate, whichever that might be. This assumed, of course, that all native potentates were inherently weaker than Athens, or, at least, that their position was less stable and more precarious, for the power of Sitalces in its time had been at least as imposing, though less highly organised, than that of Philip, up to 346 B.C. When the full extent of Philip's ascendancy had declared itself, this view tended increasingly to pass into more or less conscious, and more or less interested servility, though its antiquity gave it a sort of respectability; so that, even after the Phocian expedition,

Philip's partisans could still appeal to the prejudices of a large class against all complainants 'who did not give Philip a chance of being useful to the city,' even if he would. Another view, held by Phocion and many other respectable men, was less unreal, and, after all, less unmanly. Its advocates probably thought, though they did not say, that Athens was going down hill; at any rate they were clear that it was useless to fight Philip on his own coast, and that any precarious footing which Athens might retain there was certain to cost far more than it was worth. It was better to let Pydna and Potidaea and Amphipolis, and even the Chersonese go, and let the citizens die in Athens and be buried at home. Phocion conducted more than one expedition in central Greece with energy and success; there is no evidence that he disapproved in any degree of *their* objects, which could be obtained once for all without disproportionate exertion, whereas Philip, being on the spot and having more at stake, was certain to succeed in the long run. Nor have we any reason to think that he looked forward to Athens accepting the condition of a dependant ally of Philip, because he looked down upon the Athenians with a half-humorous, half-kindly cynicism: he thought Athens might still manage to be prosperous, and respected if not influential, and powerful enough to protect her reasonable engagements and her serious interests. Perhaps the worst objection to his views was that they were adapted to the position and the history of Argos. Even after Chaeroneia he maintained his independence and sobriety of judgment. He actually ventured to warn the people, who were carried away by enthusiasm for the generosity of the conqueror, of the risk they ran in committing themselves to the resolutions of the congress of Corinth, and when their declaration had excited a revulsion of feeling, he contented himself with pointing out that Athens had acquitted herself honourably as a dependant ally of Sparta, and had afterwards regained her freedom of action. There was something unreal in this optimism, but it proceeded not from insincerity, but from a purely positive way of looking at things, and a resolute dislike to all sounding generalisations. Up to the time of Philip's Phocian expedition, there was much to be said for Phocion's policy (which Demosthenes was ready to adopt for a moment at the time of the second embassy), that is, if it had been deliberately and consistently adopted by the assembly. As it was, the self-indulgence of the people and the laxity of the administration made it possible for Phocion to destroy all possible advantage which Athens might have derived from the energy of Demosthenes, while the irritable vanity of the people, inflamed by numerous orators, made it possible for Demosthenes to destroy all the advantage which was to be expected from the prudence of Phocion. Nor was this all; the gaping majority, who never could make

up their minds to any coherent course of action whatever, and consistently shut their eyes to everything else, while they tried to live while they could in a fool's paradise of speculation on Philip's bad luck or goodwill, ultimately thwarted the reasonable politicians upon each side by their votes, and by their wishes and habits everlastingly paralysed both.

The third view of the situation was simply, that the dignity of Athens and the safety of Greece demanded an uncompromising opposition to the ambition of Philip. It was advocated not only by Demosthenes, but by many other speakers who shared his dislike to Philip, without his eloquence or his conscientious zeal for a provident organisation of the force of Athens. If either his system or Phocion's could have been fairly tried, it is difficult to ascertain whether the less noble would not have proved the more prudent. The question depends upon the intentions of Philip, or perhaps rather upon his tastes. Sooner or later, of course, if he had lived, public opinion would have forced him to undertake the crusade against Persia, which was the sole remaining hope of all unprejudiced Greeks; and, if he had done so before the independence of central Greece was seriously compromised, it may be doubted whether Persian money would have been able to rouse a very effective opposition. Demosthenes refused to believe that Philip could trouble himself about the evil things of Thrace, except as a means to secure the good things of Athens; it would have been a legitimate extension of the same argument, to infer that he could not have busied himself with the silver of Athens and neglected the gold of Babylon. But such reasoning gave Philip credit for being more single-minded and clear-sighted than he really was. There are great men, like Louis XI and Napoleon, to whom it is almost positively painful to release themselves from the joyous entanglement of their own past activity, and Philip was one of these. It suited him far better to have a number of questions of all magnitudes always open, each of which gave him endless opportunities for enjoying the pleasures of what the Greeks called *πλεονεξία*, than to concentrate himself upon a single object, and make sacrifices in order to pursue it without interruption. This view of Philip's character, so far as it is well founded, is of course a justification of the policy of Demosthenes: it was no use for Athens to leave him alone, unless he himself was determined to get clear of Greece. If he had lived to conquer Darius, he would have been incessantly posting to and from Ecbatana to the sea, and as often as he came to the sea he would have found a number of Greek deputies waiting for him, all of whom would have gone home with despatches very much more to their own satisfaction than to that of their fellow-citizens. Alexander, towards the close of his reign, violated the treaty he had himself imposed upon Greece, by an order for a general

restoration of exiles; Philip would have spread the same amount of high-handed injustice over all the years during which Alexander was buried, so far as Greece was concerned, in the recesses of upper Asia. Demosthenes may have deceived himself—he probably did—when he maintained that Philip was an enemy of the city and of the ground it stood upon, for, when the time to gratify such enmity came, Philip exhibited a forbearance too complete not to be generous, though it was doubtless also politic. But though he wished to bring Athens to his own side with as little injury to her as possible, he would not have allowed her to remain apart in dignified isolation from foreign politics; for this was impossible, unless he had been prepared to isolate himself from the politics of Greece.

The dignity of Athens, however, was not such a safe guide as it had once been to the interests of Greece. The majority of the smaller states wished for repose, and for nothing else. If their citizens were to have a career, they must leave their country, for the absorption of the political unit in any larger organisation was still as distasteful as ever, if not more so, to Greek patriotism. It was easier for a city to reconcile itself to a condition of partial dependence, even when it involved very onerous conditions, than to merge its individuality in that of another state, even upon the most favourable terms. For instance, in 393 B.C. the Corinthians were at war with Sparta and in alliance with Argos, but as soon as the question came before them of becoming free citizens of Argos, or going back to their old unequal alliance with Sparta, they chose at any cost to have a city of their own. The best thing that could happen to small states in such a temper, was to be delivered from the necessity of having a foreign policy, and this was exactly what a foreign protectorate could offer them. Independence, in the sense in which it was understood at Athens, was as unattainable to them as empire, and after Lysander and Alcibiades, no Greek state could exercise a protectorate that rested upon the old foundation of mutual respect and confidence. The speech, anciently attributed to Hyperides, on the obligations of the treaty with Alexander, is a very interesting monument of all that Macedonia had found it worth while to promise, even after Chaeroneia. The treaty guaranteed to every state its internal sovereignty and its existing constitution (p. 214, §§ 11, 17), and established a federal authority, which was to prevent any city from allowing exiles to make it a base of operations (p. 216, § 19) against any other, and to prohibit all other revolutionary manoeuvres. There is a good deal of uncertainty about the date of the speech; some refer it to the time when the war party was endeavouring to work up the assembly to support the revolt of Thebes; others to the last year of Alexander's reign, when he had issued a general decree for

the restoration of exiles. Of course the practical value of the treaty depends upon the date of the speech, for we only know its stipulations from the proofs which its author adduces of their wholesale violation : if it was colourably observed for ten years, it perhaps had justified its existence. Whenever Alexander decided to override the treaty, he did so without any political motive, in the plenitude of despotic caprice. The treaty, as it stood, guaranteed him sufficiently against the danger of any general coalition, and provided for such contingents as he had chosen to demand for his great expedition, but he did not condescend to understand that it was beneath him to punish communities for the ineffectual ill-will of parties.

G. A. S.

THE DOCUMENTS QUOTED IN THE ORATION ON THE CROWN.

✓ ALL the direct evidence, both documents and depositions, which was to be produced upon a trial at Athens, had to be given in at the preliminary investigation called *Anacrisis*, and were then sealed up in the *Echinus*. The parties of course retained copies for their own use, or for that of the orators who were to compose their speeches. Upon the trial the *Echinus* was opened, and each party got back the writings they had put in. There was a good deal of difference, both in the form in which such writings were put in, and in the way in which they were used. ✓ Aeschines liked everything to be as clear and business-like as possible. He wrote the depositions himself (In Tim. § 67) to which his witnesses were called to swear; he put in just those parts of the laws upon which he relied; he even altered the wording, when a textual extract would have been unintelligible without the context (D. de Cor. § 151). Then he handed piece after piece from the bundle, doubtless arranged with professional neatness, to be used just where it would clench a point ✓ of his orderly and deliberate argument. Demosthenes' conception of the perfect orator was much more theatrical. He liked to have as much by-play as possible between the speaker and the clerk and other officers (Lept. § 92, In Arist. § 96). Even if such little scenes led to nothing, they kept the attention of the court alive, and they gave an air of fussy earnestness to the speech, which would commend itself, especially to a writer whose composition was to be recited by a stranger. All the documents were put in *in extenso*, and the speaker had to tell the clerk when to stop (In Arist. § 23), and point out where the quotation was to begin (De F. L. § 45¹, In Arist. § 191); if he left the clerk to find his own place, he was

¹ λέγ' ἐξ ἑτέρας ἐπιστολῆς ἐπιδείξας, where ἐπιδείξας is a gloss, to describe the action of the speaker.

at leisure to relax from the main argument, and make a point which took little time in a conversational manner. The great majority of the speeches which have come down to us under the name of Demosthenes, are without any attempt to represent the documents cited, which is exactly what we might have expected, for ancient publishers were not familiar with the convenient distinction of text and notes, under which they might have been introduced *in extenso*; and it cannot be supposed that Demosthenes inserted in the final copy of the speech, the exact passages which he had recited in court.

There was hardly a motive for doing so, considering the kind of publicity at which even such a literary orator as Isocrates aimed. A Greek speech was intended to be heard first in public, and then in private *ἐν τῇ τῶν μετρητῶν διατριβῇ* (Ae. in Tim. § 170); Greek society was not rich enough for copies to be rapidly multiplied by slave labour, and circulated from hand to hand, like the Second Philippic of Cicero. The orator of course introduced the *pièces justificatives* in such recitations; but we have no notice of their preparing their speeches for posthumous publication. Their speeches were left for the most part in the condition of remains: the *Midias*, it is generally agreed, was thrown aside unfinished as soon as Demosthenes had compromised the case. We do not even know that the composer of speeches for sale always kept a copy of his speech; it seems improbable that he did, for otherwise there would have been little uncertainty as to the proper contents of the *Corpus Demosthenicum*. Only one question could have arisen about a given speech: was it or was it not included in the collection of autograph MSS.? which, on such an hypothesis, must have passed from Demosthenes to Demochares, and from Demochares to Laches, if they had not found their way already into a public library. In fact, we find that in the first century of our era a large number of speeches were in circulation under the name of Demosthenes, and that critics were just winding up an attempt to ascertain which of them were genuine. It is obvious that long after the flourishing period of Greek oratory closed, the possessors of old speeches, and even old school exercises, kept bringing fresh matter into the market under the most distinguished name available. The canon of Demosthenes was not closed till he had been dead long enough for the public to regard him as the presumptive author of every good old speech, as they regarded Solon as the author of every good old law. If Demosthenes' speeches, as Dionysius had them, came together in this irresponsible way, it would be easy to account for the attempts made to raise several speeches to a higher standard of completeness than the rest. Whenever the owner of the speech was the person for whom it was written, there was a chance that he could supply

the *pièces justificatives* with tolerable completeness, for one trial led to another too often for such documents to be hastily destroyed. For instance, if the speech against Stephanus were published by the family of Apollodorus, they would be able to complete it from his papers, so far as they remained in good order, while the effects of time and carelessness would go far to account for the inaccuracies now visible, especially if the practice of putting in depositions without the names of the witnesses who swore to them, indicated by the documents in the speech against Macartatus, was commonly adopted. The editor, whoever he was, of the speech against Aristocrates, thought it worth while to insert, as read by the clerk, the quotations from the documents read, which the speaker recited after the clerk. If the *Midias* was left incomplete, it was natural that in preparing it for publication, the editor should have looked through Demosthenes' study for any papers that bore upon it, and not impossible that he should have supplemented their contents by more or less infelicitous conjecture.

None of these hypotheses cover the case of the documents in the great Oration on the Crown, which was carefully finished, and remained in Demosthenes' hands. We have, therefore, to inquire in the first place, were they inserted by Demosthenes himself? in the second place, what degree of credit is due to the compiler who inserted them? for it does not follow that, because he made the most astonishing mistakes, he was wholly without trustworthy materials, and the intention to use them honestly. The first question may be answered without prejudice to the second. Of course any evidence which proves that the documents inserted in our text are not a faithful representation of the documents read in court, proves *a fortiori* that they were not inserted by Demosthenes; but this would be proved equally well if a document were inserted in a place which did not suit it, though the document itself might be shewn, by the strongest internal and external evidence, to be a genuine document of the Demosthenic age (§§ 145, 146). Demosthenes says Nausicles has often been crowned in office for his free gifts to the public; so were Diotimus, and, again, Charidemus, when they gave the shields; so has Neoptolemus here. Take the decrees passed in their favour, and read them. We ought, if Demosthenes had inserted the documents, to have had several decrees in honour of Nausicles, one in favour of Diotimus, another in favour of Charidemus, and one or more in honour of Neoptolemus. For we cannot suppose that, as Neoptolemus was in court, the decrees in his honour were omitted, since Demosthenes says τὰ τοῦτοις γεγενημένα, not τὰ ἐκείνοις. Instead, we have one decree in honour of Nausicles, and one in honour of both Diotimus and Charidemus, who are represented as uniting, though in command of separate

arms at separate places, to supply a loss of apparently 800 shields captured by the Macedonians at the river battle. Again (§ 208), the speaker appeals to certain decrees and official replies, to shew the mutual dislike between Thebes and Athens, maintained by Philip and his agents. Instead, we have two decrees in which the Athenians resolve to deprecate the advance of Philip, grounding their alarm, in the latest and most abject, on Philip's success in inflaming the enmity of Thebes; and two dispatches of Philip, one in reply to the second Athenian embassy, taunting them with their unsuccessful attempt to alienate Thebes, and one in reply to a Theban dispatch, congratulating Thebes on having resisted the seductions of Athens. The documents, as we have them, fall as flat as flat can be, and if this were not enough to prove that Demosthenes cited others, he goes on to say (§ 217) that Philip had brought the cities to this pass, and was elated with these decrees before he moved to Elatea with his army. The decrees suppose Philip to have arrived there already, and a classical writer would not have represented him as elated by his own dispatches (§ 200). Demosthenes, after giving his account of the beginning of the Amphisian war, and citing the decrees of the Amphictyons, calls for the times at which these things happened, obviously (§ 197) including the whole series of transactions as well as the decrees. Consequently, if he had inserted the documents, we should have had a long list of dates. We actually have a single date, in the year of a pseudoponym archon (§§ 133, 134). Demosthenes calls for the lists of trierarchs under the old and the new laws. Of course the clerk did not read them through, but he began each list, and did not read what we do—a statement of the liabilities of trierarchs.

Supposing that some other person attempted to do what Demosthenes had not done, we have now to inquire what means he had for supplying the documents which he inserted up to § 239, inclusive. It is clear that he had not, at least in their entirety, either the documents put into the *Echinos*, or the duplicates which Demosthenes must have had in his possession while he prepared his speech. These, it is true, are the only sources from which he could have taken at first-hand documents like the deposition at § 171, or the list of dates § 200, for we cannot suppose that either was preserved textually in any department of the public archives. On the other hand, a person with the documents before him could not have made the mistakes which the compiler made in fitting them into their places, and the single date given instead of a whole list at § 200, is a proof that it, and all other evidence of the same kind, must be derived from second-hand authorities or from sheer invention. This, of course, is a presumption that other evidence, of which authentic originals might have been discovered by systematic search, may have been taken

from second-hand authorities, which were abundant, rather than from public archives, or from any systematic collection based upon them. The greater part of the documents quoted consist of Athenian decrees and laws, which of course were preserved in the *Metroon*. It is probable that the decrees of the Amphictyons were preserved at Delphi, and that despatches of foreign states and Athenian ambassadors were preserved at Athens. It was therefore possible for a diligent person, at any time between Demosthenes and Plutarch, to supply from the most authentic sources all the documents cited in the speech, except the depositions of private persons, the dates cited at § 200, and perhaps the indictment (§§ 66-68) and the lists of trierarchs; for though Demosthenes implies that the list of trierarchs, under a law which had been ten years obsolete, was still in existence when he spoke, it does not follow that old lists were preserved for ever.

We may, however, be certain that the decrees in our MSS. were not taken direct from the Athenian archives; for, in the first place, they are dated, with one doubtful exception, by pseudonymous archons, and, with that exception, the archons not only were not contemporary with the events assigned to their year, but never existed at all. If we suppose with Boeckh (*Transactions of the Berlin Academy for 1827*, p. 153), that in the Athenian archives the clerk of each prytany indorsed and put up separately the decrees passed in his term of office, so that after the arrangements for distinguishing the decrees of different years had got into confusion, those passed in different prytanies could still be distinguished, it is still out of the question that anybody working in the *Metroon* could have taken the clerk for the archon, as this would have led the inquirer to multiply the years under examination by ten, and to divide their legislative activity in the same proportion. If we suppose a compiler to have worked, not from the parchments in the *Metroon*, but from inscriptions, such a mistake would be still more inconceivable. In the second place, the form of the decrees is often so unprecedented and incomprehensible, that it cannot have been copied from the original. For instance, we have, § 90, ἐπὶ ἀρχοντος Νεοκλέους, which is the usual form, in § 93 we have ἐπὶ Νεοκλέους ἀρχοντος, § 147 ἀρχων Δημόνικος Φλυεύς. If we attribute these vagaries to the defective arrangements of the *Metroon*, we should still be unable to account for such forms as πρυτάνεων λεγόντων βουλῆς γνώμη § 148, βουλῆς καὶ στρατηγῶν γνώμη § 209, πολεμάρχου γνώμη § 211, or for the inconceivable confusion of § 91, where anacolutha are piled upon each other in a manner to beggar Thucydides, to say nothing of suspicious expressions like ναύαρχος § 90, μεμψιμοιρεῖ § 91, ἐνδεχομένως § 212, &c.

Boeckh has proposed a very tempting hypothesis to meet the difficulty

of the pseudeponymous archons, which is generally assumed as the starting-point of such attempts as are made to maintain that the documents are in any sense genuine. He supposes that our documents are taken from a collection of decrees which followed the arrangement, as he conceives it, of the Attic archives. Such a collection might have been used by persons whose industry and education were not enough to enable them to consult archives for themselves, and it may be conceded that they might make many and curious mistakes in using it, especially if they tried to make *précis* instead of copying at length; in fact, if we suppose a person of the calibre of Pollux (who, 10. 126, makes Alcibiades archon on the strength of an inscription still preserved, proving that he was treasurer to the goddess) set to make extracts from such a collection, he *might* have imported all the superficial irregularities quoted against their genuineness. We know that several collections of decrees were made in antiquity; the fullest was the work of Craterus, the brother of Antigonus Gonatas, which is repeatedly cited by Harpocration, but we have no evidence but that his work, like those of his predecessors, was based exclusively upon inscriptions. That it was largely based on inscriptions we know, and therefore it is doubtful if the compiler had a motive for following the arrangement of the archives. It may be doubted whether the collector was very scrupulous, for he admitted the record of the peace Cimon was held to have concluded with Persia, though it had already been rejected by Theopompus, on the ground mentioned by Harpocration, s.v. Ἀττικοῖς γράμμασι, that in carving the inscription the full Ionic alphabet was employed, which was not introduced till after the anarchy. Moreover, Craterus did not confine himself to copies of decrees, but gave such a full description, e.g. of the painting of the Battle of Marathon, that it was possible to argue from his silence, as Harpocration does, s.v. διὰ διαμπράναι. On the whole, therefore, it is far from established that the work of Craterus on decrees was of the nature which Boeckh's hypothesis requires, while no later collection of such a nature was known to antiquity, and the earlier collections, being exclusively based on inscriptions, cannot have misled the editor or copyist who inserted the documents as we read them in the text. But even assuming that such a collection existed, as is required by the hypothesis, the contents of the documents themselves are of a kind to strengthen the presumption created by the presence of depositions and other documents, which from their nature cannot have been taken from authentic records.

The first document cited (§§ 36, 37) is one of the most suspicious. In its general outlines it suits the peace of Philocrates, for which it is quoted, but that decree was passed in Munychion, not on the last day of Hecatombaeon, by the senate alone, not by the senate and people; it

named ten ambassadors, not five, and of the five named, the majority are not included in the ten whose names are given in the second argument prefixed to Demosthenes' speech on the embassy. If the document is genuine, it must be referred to a peace concluded in the summer of 339 B.C., after the relief of Byzantium; but the orators know nothing of this peace, though each could have accused the other most effectively of breaking it. In fact Demosthenes (De Cor. §§ 185, 186) clearly implies that, after the deliverance of Euboea and Byzantium, the Athenians continued to worry Philip by fruitless and purposeless hostilities, of which he could only get quit by stirring up an Amphictyonic war, which would open the road to Athens. There can be little doubt that Athens was only included in the pacification between Philip and Byzantium through the carelessness of Diodorus, who knows nothing of the peace of Philocrates. But even if such a peace had been concluded, this decree cannot refer to it, for how could five Macedonian partisans have been chosen, on the motion of Demosthenes, to set off in a hurry to ratify a treaty whose effect at the moment would have been to interrupt the successful operations of Phocion? Wherever the compiler got the decree, and he did not get it from Craterus, his authority, if he had one, was thinking of the peace of Philocrates. The next decree cited (§§ 46, 47) might be genuine (though incomplete, for nothing is said of the fortification of Piræus, or the sacrifice to Hercules, mentioned Dem. de F. L. § 97), but for its date. The decree of Callisthenes was passed (Ib. § 67) on the 27th of Scirrophorion, 346 B.C.: one decree is dated on the 20th of Maemacterion, and so, if genuine, must be five months later; but Demosthenes says nothing of a second *σκευαγωγία*, in his repeated allusions (Ib. §§ 98, 99, 374) to the discomfort which followed the peace of Philocrates. Besides, when Philip was in Phocis, did he go home without executing the Amphictyonic decrees, and come back five months after to execute them, or did he stay in Phocis without executing them? Again, Philip presided at the Pythia in Boedromion 345, so he must already have been recognised as an Amphictyon, as Demosthenes recommended in his speech De Pace, which therefore falls not later than Boedromion; then war, to say nothing of invasion, is only a contingent danger. What had happened to excite such a panic two months later? not to mention that in 345 there were only twenty-nine days in Maemacterion, so that the twentieth ought to have been *ἑνατῇ*, not *δεκάτῃ ἀπιδύρος*. The letter of Philip (§§ 49, 50) assumes a division between the Phocian towns which capitulated and those which were stormed, which is excluded by the statement of Demosthenes (De F. L. § 68), that not a single town was either stormed or besieged; and it hardly brings out sharply enough the point for which it is quoted (§ 51). It is in the regular style of all

the other state papers which have come down to us under the name of Philip. It might have been taken from a history. The next document cited is the indictment of Aeschines (§§ 66-68). It will be convenient to examine this with the decree of Ctesiphon (§§ 151, 152). The indictment purports to have been laid in Elaphebolion of the year of Chaerondas, and the decree to have been proposed five months earlier, in Pyanepsion. As Demosthenes was appointed (Ae. in Ctes. § 27) in the beginning of Scirophorion in the year of Chaerondas, it is difficult to see how he can have begun to be responsible when the indictment was laid. Boeckh supposes that we ought to read $\pi\rho\theta$ instead of $\epsilon\tau\iota$ in the passage of Aeschines, so that Demosthenes should have been appointed at the end of Ol. 110. 2, instead of at the end of Ol. 110. 3; Droysen, founding upon the fragments of a decree edited by Franz (p. 79, *Bulletino dell' Istituto di Corrispondenza Archeologica* for 1835), supposes that, besides the tumultuary repairs mentioned by Lycurgus (Adv. Leoc. § 44, ed. Tus.), which must have taken place before the peace, when Demosthenes was collecting stores and money for the siege which seemed imminent (Ae. § 159), there was a systematic restoration, which was not completed until Lycurgus' first term of office was over, since the contractors are directed to account to his son Habron, under whose name he conducted the administration. If the tumultuary repairs were such temporary makeshifts, it is obvious that Demosthenes' claim to a vote of thanks must have rested on his contribution to the permanent ones, and hence there is no need to alter the text of Aeschines; but it follows that the Chaerondas of the indictment is a mistake, like the Euthycles of the decree. The fact that in the indictment we have the name of a real archon out of place, is of course a presumption against the hypothesis that the compiler always had the name of the clerk for the prytany before him. The decree cannot be complete or accurate, for it omits all mention of Demosthenes clearing the ditches, which began the real decree (Ae. § 236), and regards the theorica as contributed by the tribes, instead of distributed through them to the citizens, to say nothing of the omission of all the honorary verbiage which the indictment purports to cite from the decree. The indictment recites that it is laid before the archon: it was actually laid before the Thesmothetae.

Next we have two decrees (§§ 90, 91, and 93) referring to the affairs of the ships seized by Philip's admiral; besides the clumsiness of the first, Droysen objects, as elsewhere, to the names otherwise unknown; (the second is the only authority for the existence of two Aristophons among the contemporaries of Demosthenes, but it is hard to suppose with Droysen, that the celebrated Azenian was the Aristophon who carried a decree about $\pi\theta\theta\alpha$ in the year of Euclides.) The letter of Philip

(§§ 95-97) might belong fairly enough to the negotiations described by Frontinus (Strat. 1. 4. 13), which might lead one to think that Philip offered to restore the ships, and held out hopes of settling the dispute with Byzantium by the mediation of Athens; for collections of anecdotes are seldom accurate, the object is to tell a pointed story with an useful lesson, without caring how it fits into the historical context. One cannot be sure that Philip did not offer to restore the ships, because Demosthenes (§ 89) treats their capture as the decisive act which broke the peace; he would be justified in doing so, if thenceforward hostilities continued without being disavowed, and in § 177 the capture of the ships is put on the same footing as the violation of the Chersonese. It is, however, quite certain that the letter we read is not the one Demosthenes put in, which must be the one quoted by Philochorus (Dion. ad Amm. 2), which was intended to provoke, and did provoke, something like a declaration of war. The next decree (§§ 104, 105) must be at least misdated; it purports to have been passed in the year of Chaerondas, five months after the battle of Chaeroneia, to reward Demosthenes for his services in the deliverance of Euboea, three years before the battle.

We come now to a document which is almost certainly genuine, a decree of the Byzantines in honour of Athens (§§ 112, 113). Even this, however, is not exactly what Demosthenes cited in court. Though there is some uncertainty as to the reading, it is quite clear that Byzantium and Perinthus separately voted a crown to Athens, and that these votes were therefore recited in court. After this, it seems the Byzantines passed a further decree *ad perpetuam rei memoriam* full of details, all of which are credible and significant, which, thanks perhaps to Craterus, has come down to us. It is probable that our decree was taken directly or indirectly from an inscription at Byzantium, and that substantially the same decree was passed at Perinthus, since the Perinthians are described as allies, and therefore must have had an assembly of their own (unless, indeed, the senate had received a communication from Perinthus, authorising Byzantium to confer the same privileges in both states). The allusion to the restoration of the hereditary constitution, etc. may imply that during the alliance with Philip his *xenoi* set themselves above it, or may only mean that the Athenians left Byzantium as they found it: *ἐγκτήσις* must have been a common term all over the Aegean, since the reorganisation of the Athenian confederacy in 378 B.C., in which Athens expressly renounced the right, so we need not be surprised to find it here Doricised, instead of its Doric equivalent *ἐμπασις*. The next decree of the Chersonesites (§ 114) is unobjectionable, though we cannot say of it as of the Byzantine decree, that it contains facts which could not have

been invented. The sixty talents must be explained with Boeckh (*Staatsuns halteng des Athens*, vol. i. pp. 28, 29, ed. 1817), as three hundred and sixty drachmae by weight, and the mention of four cantons as having a common council which did not include others, e.g. Crithote, is not a very serious objection, as most of the Chersonese was occupied by Attic out-settlers, who could not thank Athens for the restoration of 'their fatherlands.'

In §§ 132-134 we have documents connected with Demosthenes' reform of the trierarchy. The first purports to be the decree for which Demosthenes was prosecuted; instead it is an account of the unsuccessful prosecution of a law which he proposed, and not a hint of its contents is given. Then we ought to have a list of the trierarchs under the old law; we have not even the law, but a statement of one possible result of its provisions. Lastly, instead of a list of the trierarchs under Demosthenes' law, we have a summary of its provisions. The origin of the confusion is obvious. The compiler had got before him, in the margin or elsewhere, an historical note on the trierarchical reform of Demosthenes, and he cut it up to make it do duty for three documents. Here, again, we have a proof, if possible more conclusive than that supplied by the first decree, that the compiler did not use transcripts from the Attic archives. After this, it is almost superfluous to point out the inaccuracies of the two next decrees, such as the pleonasm *γνώμη βουλῆς καὶ δήμου*, *ὅτι δοκεῖ τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ*, *τοῦ ἐπὶ τῆς διοικήσεως κεχειροτονημένου*, and the difficulty of understanding how, if Nausicles took office after the Dionysia, at the beginning of March (which he must have done to be in office at the next), he, or any one else, could be hindered *διὰ τοὺς χειμῶνας* in transporting the necessary pay. The compiler need not have invented the fact about Nausicles' munificence, which might be plausibly placed at the end of the Social war; and the proclamation in the theatre may have been an addition of his own, by way of gilding gold. But after all, the words *οὐκ εἰσέπραξε τὸν δῆμον* might suggest, that for once Nausicles' admirers waited till his accounts were passed, and that the poor compiler's authority got hold of just that one decree. We have seen already that the next decree cannot be the *decrees* which Demosthenes cited, and therefore cannot have come directly or indirectly from the archives. If it is an invention, it is strange that the compiler should have made the Athenian army lose 800 shields in the battle of the river, which through-out the speech is claimed as a victory.

It is clear from Aeschines (*In Ctes.* §§ 41-47), that the Dionysiac law contained much which does not appear in our text (§ 155); it is clear from Demosthenes (§ 156), that the law was read *in extenso*. The extract we read contains nothing which might not have been learnt

from the context; but, if it had been directly taken from it, the clause *ἐὰν . . . στεφανοῖ* could hardly have been substituted for the verbal citation in the text, *πλὴν . . . ψηφίσσεται*.

The principal objection to the evidence of the Areopagites in § 171 is, that we cannot imagine how the compiler got it; and it is strange that a special *σύνδικος*, in addition to the Pylagori, should be sent to plead before the Amphictyons of Delphi, while the Amphictyons of Delos, as appears by inscriptions of the hundredth and hundred and first Olympiads, were Athenian officers, and therefore it is hard to see why an Athenian orator should be sent from Athens to plead before them. It is unnecessary to suppose, after our experience of the compiler, that *αὐταὶ αἱ μαρτυρίαι* (§ 175) includes the evidence of the Areopagites. It is simply a clumsy equivalent for *ἡ μαρτυρία τούτων*. The witnesses ought to be cited by the Demes, instead of by the names of their fathers; but this, too, is a trifle. It is a more serious difficulty, that we hardly know what the generals can have to do with Demosthenes' prosecution of Aeschines, whether he proceeded by *Graphe* or *Isangelia*; perhaps it may be thought that he wished to avoid the risk and the responsibility of proceeding upon his own authority; but even then it is curious that, instead of swearing to the fact, the witnesses should only swear to having sworn to it; and though it is conceivable that their first deposition might have found its way to the archives of the senate, and have been endorsed by the clerk of the prytany, we have seen that the compiler did not habitually use the archives, and therefore the note with which the deposition concludes is a gratuitous riddle.

The decrees of the Amphictyons (§§ 197–199) are clearly unhistorical, for they implicitly exclude all mention of the extraordinary meeting attended by all the Amphictyons except Athens and Thebes, of the attempt at an Amphictyonic execution on the part of the states which attended, as well as of its temporary success (Ae. §§ 128, 129). We should really gain nothing by supposing that the first decree was passed at the spring Pylaea of 340–39, and the second at the spring Pylaea of 339–38, except to reduce the time between the occupation of Elatea and the battle of Chaeroneia to about fifty days, which is insufficient for the events, even if the name of the 'winter battle' did not suggest a strong presumption in favour of a winter campaign, and to make us ask how both could have been passed *ἐπὶ ἱερέως Κλειναγόρου*. The impression that the decrees give, taken by themselves, is, that the writer believed that at the spring Pylaea, at the instigation of Aeschines, the Amphictyons decided to beat the bounds of the Cirrhaean plain, and that, being interrupted by force, they at once decided to call in Philip. On the whole, there is no reason to attempt to read the history into these decrees,

which give a story complete in itself. If there were any reason for thinking them authentic, it might be possible to explain *συνέδρους*, by supposing that there were members of the synod whose representatives were not called *ἱερομνήμονες*. It is just possible that the sixteenth of Anthesterion (§ 200) might be a correct date for the election of Aeschines as Pylagorus, if we assume that he was appointed for the spring Pylaea, though the course of the Attic year from summer to summer, and Philip's Scythian expedition, which seems to come immediately after the relief of Byzantium, in the summer of 340 B.C., would seem to indicate that he entered office in time for the autumn Pylaea.

Philip's letter to his allies in Peloponnesus, is dated at least eleven months before the battle of Chaeroneia, and therefore is not in harmony with the chronology of the Amphictyonic or Attic decrees which precede and follow it. The date seems a little early, if we suppose it to be after the occupation of Elatea, which has been inferred from the words of Demosthenes (§ 201), *ὡς οὐχ ὑπήκουον οἱ Θηβαῖοι*; but the occupation of Elatea was itself a proof of distrust of Thebes, and it may have been justified by the refusal of Thebes to act upon a summons despatched from Pella or Pherae. The original letter must of course have specified a day by which the contingents were to join him, for it would be absurd to require a contingent to be ready for forty days' service, if the service might begin any day in the month; but if we suppose that the documents appeared in the margin before they appeared in the text, it may be supposed that details of this kind disappeared in the process of transference. As we cannot suppose that the Macedonian calendar had attained any degree of scientific precision in Philip's day, we cannot argue with any confidence, from the fact that *Lous* in the corrected cycle did not correspond with *Boedromion*; we cannot even be sure that the coincidence between the Attic and Macedonian months in the year of Alexander's death is a guide to their coincidence in the year before the battle of Chaeroneia, still less can we found anything on the indications of the scientific Macedonian calendar which came into common use under Alexander's successors.

The next group of documents (§§ 209, 210) is one of the most suspicious. Both the decrees assume that a peace, which can be no other than the peace of Philocrates, was still in existence, and that Philip had violated it by occupying Elatea and by alienating Thebes from Athens. This is not absolutely at variance with what we learn from Philochorus (Ap. Dion. ad Amm. 11), that the Athenians had already, two years or more ago, determined, upon the motion of Demosthenes, to man their ships and take down the column on which the treaty with Philip was inscribed, and to make all other preparations for carrying on active

hostilities. This measure was only one degree stronger than that which they had adopted during the peace of Nicias, on the motion of Alcibiades, when the Spartans had moved a body of troops by sea. Then they had left the column on which the treaty was engraved standing, and had only appended a statement that the Spartans had not observed it, after which formality they invaded Laconia without scruple. At the same time the Spartans considered that the treaty still existed, though each side might accuse the other of violating it, and therefore they held themselves bound to preface the expedition of Gylippus and the occupation of Decelea, with a formal declaration of hostilities. International morality had not improved in the interval; besides, the Spartans had certainly kept the peace of Nicias better than Philip kept the peace of Philocrates, and the Athenians had reason to keep the peace of Nicias, while the influence of Demosthenes and other anti-Macedonian speakers, if less commanding than that of Alcibiades, was much more steadily exerted. The form of the decree, as given by Philochorus, almost excludes the belief that the assembly voted unmistakably and irrevocably to go to war; neither in the speech on the Chersonesus, nor in the Third Philippic, does Demosthenes himself demand such a vote, he only insists that as, without their choice, they are engaged in hostilities, the only thing to be done is to prosecute them with vigour. It is obvious that, if a declaration of war was not voted, the object of such a curious piece of reserve must have been to claim the benefits of the treaty, and reproach Philip with its violation whenever Attica was in actual danger, as was certainly the case after the occupation of Elatea. But according to Philip's letter, he appointed a *rendevous* in Phocis for Boedromion, according to the decree. Six months after, the senate and generals discover, for the first time, that 'he has occupied some cities in the neighbourhood, and is sacking others' (of course the decree means, 'is ravaging their territory'). Elatea is clearly intended by the cities which he has occupied, though it is difficult to see how either Elatea or even Chae-roneia, which was three days' march from Athens, could be called *πόλις τῶν ἀστυγαιόνων*. Hereupon, they propose to request Philip to grant the suspension of hostilities until Thargelion (was a suspension of hostilities stipulated by the peace of Philocrates?), in order that the people may have time to deliberate. Then we have the names of three ambassadors chosen from the senate; the name of the deme of one of them is misspelt, which, in combination with the date, makes the correctness of the decree quite inconceivable. There is hardly a motive for defending its substance, though it might be defended if it stood alone. But the next decree, without any reference to its predecessors, asks again for the suspension of hostilities for the same reason. It is dated five clear weeks

later, so that if Heropythus were the clerk of the prytany, the first decree must have been passed on his first day of office, and the second on the last,—a curious coincidence; but, without troubling ourselves about Heropythus, we may observe that the Athenians had had time to deliberate, and that it was strange if they were so panic-struck as the decree suggests, that they waited till the very last day of the armistice to ask its renewal from an enemy at least three days distant. Again, Thebes was certainly an ally of Philip, who was at war with Athens; what does the decree mean by saying he adds yet this (above all his other wickedness), ‘to set Thebes at variance with Athens?’ The words *καὶ γὰρ οὐν οὐ κέρκει βοηθεῖν ἐν οὐδενὶ τῶν μετρίων* prove that the writer of the decree supposed Athens to have been at peace with Philip, at least in continental Greece, up to the passing of the decree. Consequently it is no use to try to find a place for these decrees after Philip had escaped by the stratagem recorded by Polyænus (*Strat.* 4. 28) from Parapotamia, and forced his way into the country of Amphissa and destroyed the body of mercenaries detached to defend it, after which he seems to have offered to treat separately with Thebes, and apparently with Athens. Even if they did not assume that Athens was technically and practically at peace, they would be incompatible with what Aeschines, who must have quoted the first decree if it had been passed then, says (§§ 141–151) of the uninterrupted domination of Demosthenes up to the battle of Chaeroneia, and with Demosthenes’ own account of the sustained and unanimous enthusiasm of the people. The chronology of the Amphictyonic documents and of these decrees, is perfectly coherent as it stands. On the sixteenth of Anthesterion, Aeschines is elected Pylagorus; without loss of time he procures the election of Philip as general of the Amphictyons. Towards the end of Elaphebolion the Athenians begin to be alarmed, and ask an armistice. At the end of Munychion they ask to have it renewed. In Scirrophorion hostilities begin, and everything was over we know in Metageitnion. It is hardly worth while to wonder why the ambassadors chosen from the senate are distinguished by the names of their fathers, while the herald is designated in the proper form by his deme, or why we are told that he was chosen from the people. If Philip’s reply, which fits either decree equally well or ill, fitted the history, we might pass over *Θετταλοὺς καὶ Θηβαίους, ἔτι δὲ καὶ Βοιωτοὺς*. Among the anti-Macedonian party at Athens, there would be many who, not satisfied with weaning Thebes from Philip, would have preferred to rouse Boeotia against Thebes. But the writer attributes the abject decree or decrees to which he replies, to the failure of an attempt made (clearly after the capture of Elatea) to alienate Boeotia and Thessaly: for such a failure there is no room in the history. The astounding demand with which the letter

closes, that the Athenians shall disfranchise their bad advisers and send them along to Philip, is a clumsy copy of the demand for the extradition of the anti-Macedonian orators after Alexander's capture of Thebes. We cannot say that the reply to the Thebans may not contain the substance of a despatch in which Philip congratulated them on having repulsed some advances of the Athenians, of which we know nothing, except that they were made, if at all, before he occupied Elatea.

It is, of course, conceivable that Demosthenes should have thought it desirable to reply to this menacing act by a formal declaration of war, and such a declaration, if it was to be made at all, after being withheld so long, might reasonably take the form of a rhetorical indictment of Philip, such as we read §§ 232-239. Though rambling, the document is not wholly unemphatic or ineffective, and its discursiveness might be defended on the ground that in a hurried decree, intended to remove a prevailing panic, every available topic had to be introduced. We seem to have the decree very much as its author, whoever he was, left it. It is very tolerably correct in point of form, for διὰ δέδοκται, instead of δέδοχθαι, is a natural result of its being very rhetorical and very long. These considerations make the date assigned unusually important, for one cannot suppose that it was added by the compiler on the strength of an opinion derived from one source, while the substance of the decree might be derived from a better, or simply because he wished to give his work a factitious appearance of completeness. The date given is the sixteenth of Scirophorion, fifty days before the battle, and more than six weeks after the last decree as given by the compiler. Thus the date suits neither Philip's letter to the Peloponnesians nor the Athenian decrees, as we read them, nor the chronology which is suggested by independent authorities. And the date is not the only difficulty. Who is *the* general and *the* admiral and *the* commandant of cavalry, and why is a squadron sent to Pylae when Philip is at Elatea? It would be possible to get over the date and the fleet sent to Pylae, by supposing that the decree really refers to the time of Philip's advance on Attica at the time of the deliverance of Euboea, which was disconcerted by the energetic action of Athens, and apparently of Callias, her new ally in the Pagasæan gulf. But then we should come into collision with Demosthenes' emphatic boast (§ 304), that he never returned unsuccessful from a single encounter with the ambassadors of Philip, though this would hardly be a fatal objection to the authenticity of a document which appeared in better company.

The result of the whole examination is, that out of all the documents inserted in the speech, only one is unmistakably genuine, while another is unobjectionable. We have now to try to ascertain how the rest arose. We may dismiss the hypothesis that somebody sat down to give a ficti-

tious value to his copy of the speech, by inserting the documents out of his own head, without any materials at all. In the first place he had at least two documents before him, the Byzantine decree and the trisected note on the trierarchic law. Again, though a forger might not have taken the trouble to learn the proper form of Attic decrees, he would have tried to save himself trouble by always using the same, so that the endless variations which we find is a proof of, at least, the qualified good faith of the compiler, as well as of his defective information. Again, though a forger might have been too lazy to invent all the documents which the text required, those which he did invent would be obtrusively appropriate to the context. The person who inserted the halting documents which we read, especially §§ 209-216, was not nearly clever enough to think of making his insertions more credible by their remote yet visible appropriateness. Besides, to make the correspondence about the vessels seized by Philip's admiral close with Philip's offer of restitution, is so plainly inappropriate, that we can imagine nobody inserting it except in good faith. Lastly, the decree of Callisthenes, those in honour of Nausicles and Charidemus, and the decree of Eubulus, and Philip's letter in reply, contain facts and details which are intrinsically credible and look historical, and are certainly not derived from the text.

If all the decrees were like that which is given as carried by Demosthenes in the tumultuary assembly, held when the news came that Philip had occupied Elatea, there would be much plausibility in Droysen's theory, that the decrees at any rate are old school exercises, and in this way we should be able to adopt the only satisfactory explanation of the pseudonymous archons: we should be able to suppose that they were invented *pro formâ*, which is more than we can believe of a forger, for why did he not look in Philochorus? But we cannot imagine a sophist setting his pupils to compose such shambling stuff as most of the decrees, or neglecting to tear it up if they did compose it; besides, if they did set their pupils to exercises of this kind (which cannot be inferred from Demetrius Phalereus' μεταποιήσεις Δημοσθενικῶν χωρίων, the only authority Droysen cites), the only conceivable benefit of such an exercise would be that the pupil learnt how to draft decrees correctly and showily against he came to take part in the parish politics, which still continued to excite the routine ambition of Greek statesmen. But the majority of the decrees are neither showy nor correct.

It may be doubted whether it is worth while to put forward any theory on an obscure and unimportant question, but the following hypothesis, so far as it goes, explains the facts. Somebody, who had a general inaccurate acquaintance with the history of the period, lectured upon the Oration, and illustrated it as well as he could, and gave a sort of descriptive

sketch of the substance of any documents that he knew of from hearsay or from reading, or perhaps a copy of an inscription which he had seen in his native town. One of his pupils took notes of these illustrations on the margin of his copy of the speech. Afterwards this pupil, or a person who inherited his manuscript, transferred the notes, with due formality, to the text. We certainly have one note of this kind (§§ 132-134). It is hard to believe that we have not another (§§ 66-68), ἀπὸνευκε . . . γραφὴν and ὡς ἄρα, which are suspicious in a *soi-disant* official paper, are perfectly in place in an account of one; and the parenthesis ἐστὶ . . . τεταγμένος is rather too explanatory to be official. In § 90 we have the explanatory ὡς ἄρα again, and the anacolutha of the next section are just what we might expect in notes of an oral lecture, and the periphrastic blundering with which each decree opens, never twice alike, is just what we should expect from a man who wished to convey that generals and prytanes and senators had a good deal to do with public business, without himself well knowing what that was. If the lecturer we are supposing was no better than even the better kind of scholiast, he was quite capable of stopping to tell his pupils something about the Dionysiac law, which they might have learnt as well or better from the text. This theory would explain what wants explaining most—the substance of the decrees. One might possibly suppose that the archons are really the authorities from whom the lecturer got his information in each case; we know that Hermippus found some notes of an unknown author and founded an important statement upon them, which gives us a glimpse of a wide circle of obscure activity. It is certain that the person who trisected the note on the trierarchical reform, was not too scrupulous to dress up his text with names that were wanting in his original. He may of course have looked through the collection of Craterus, if it was arranged as Boeckh supposes, and got the names of the prytany clerks for his pains; but, upon the whole, it is perhaps as likely that he trusted to invention, except for two or three decrees which he thought fell in the year of Chaeroneia, and so dated by Chaerondas. This leaves the long decree of Demosthenes unaccounted for, as well as the two depositions. The long decree might fairly be explained on Droysen's theory, and it may fairly be a question whether the two decrees passed ἐν Ἡρακλείῳ are not really two forms, not of the same rhetorical exercise, but of the same subject for one. The contrast in both, between the preamble and the enactment, is ridiculous in a decree, but would be quite in place if the preamble was simply a summary of the situation for the benefit of the pupil, who was to draw up a speech in the character of a dignified member of the peace party, and conclude with the practical provisions of the decree. With regard to the depositions, we have to choose between

the theory of their being jotted down at a very early period from adequate knowledge, by some person who could have explained the puzzling note about Nicias, and that of their being invented. Perhaps Philip's letters are too numerous and too inaccurate to be taken even from careless histories, and the last compiler may have borrowed them from a work by the author of the letters of Phalaris.

The documents, as we have them, were used by Plutarch and by the author whose notes on the lives of the Ten Orators have come down to us under his name; they were not used by Dionysius, but we cannot infer that they did not yet exist, for Dionysius always follows the recension represented by the Augsburg MSS., which never admitted more than the first six of the documents, and probably in his time had not admitted any.

G. A. S.

PRESENT STATE OF THE TEXT OF THE TWO ORATIONS ON THE CROWN.

UPON most questions of ancient criticism the evidence is either conflicting or inadequate. In the latter case, the student has the consolation of reflecting that an author who was neglected in antiquity is not likely to have been wilfully tampered with; but, where ancient criticism has been busy with the author, it is impossible to escape from the suspicion that our MSS. represent, in the last resort, the text of men whose opinions of what ought to have been, coloured their testimony to what was. When we remember that whole passages have been retrenched from the text of Demosthenes on purely aesthetic grounds, this suspicion rises to certainty. In one sense it is a more hopeless enterprise to restore the text of an orator than to restore the text of any other writer except one. No one thinks it possible so to edit Homer, as to reproduce the verses recited by the author or authors in their original form: it would be enough for any man, if he could restore the text as Herodotus or Plato read it, or even if he could replace the problem of its reconstruction in the state in which it lay before Aristarchus. And in the same way, it is at least a question whether we are to propose to ourselves the verbal reproduction of the 'Battle of the Orators,' as it was heard in the law-court, or at least as it was fought over again *ἐν τῇ τῶν μερακίων διατριβῇ* at Rhodes or at Athens, in presence of the original combatants; or whether we can go further than the days when an unreal rhetoric had become a science, and when the orators whose rhetoric had real objects were sunk into text-books.

For thus much is certain—many of the various readings of our present text of the orators can be proved to be as old as the Christian era. A scholar like Cicero or Pliny, a critic like Dionysius of Halicarnassus had

means for ascertaining the best text of Demosthenes or Aeschines which we have not; but it does not follow that they always used to the full the means at their disposal, and, even if they did, they still had a critical task to execute, one differing from that before us in extent rather than in kind. This will appear, if we reason backwards from the inherited evidence as to the text of our authors now in existence, and compare this with the descriptions or notices of the case as it stood between the first and fifth centuries, which are preserved to us by authorities of that period.

And here we must of course defer the question, whether citations in these writers themselves are to be taken as certainly accurate, when made deliberately; for this is, in effect, the very point to be determined. Keeping for the present to extant MSS., there are in each of the Orations¹ three more or less well-marked groups or families, traceable, as we shall find, in the last resort, to as many tolerably ancient recensions of the text. The value, however, both absolute and comparative, of the present representatives of the three classes, is very different in the case of the two speeches. Of Aeschines there are few MSS.—apparently only one as yet known or collated, *f* or *F*² at Paris—as old as the tenth century; two or three, including it appears one good one, of the thirteenth; the majority, of all classes, being as late as the fifteenth. The first and, in the judgment of most editors, the best group includes four or five of Bekker's MSS. (*abgm*), *p*, the 'Helmstadiensis,' which Reiske admired enthusiastically, *z*, at Moscow, collated by Hess, and three first used by Schultz, the 'Vatican, Laurentian, and Florentine,' as he calls them: the first he considers ought to displace *a* in the rank of highest single authority to the text of this recension. (There are one or two other less important MSS. of this family.)

The superiority of *a*, acknowledged apparently by Bekker, and certainly by Baiter and Sauppe, seems to lie rather in the superiority of its archetype than in any superior intelligence of its scribe. Errors that can plainly be traced to inattention to sense or misapprehension of the sense, and sentences utterly nonsensical or ungrammatical, are rather commoner in it than in the other members of the family. (Not to mention merely clerical errors so obvious as not to require notice, see the critical notes at p. 51, l. 15, p. 52, l. 6, p. 92, l. 3, where, however, he had the excuse of dealing with a passage already corrupt or confused, p. 93, l. 13, p. 103, l. 15, p. 117, l. 10.) Of the rest, *g* appears to be the best of those at Paris, which are the only ones we have been able to consult personally; and these having

¹ Most of these MSS. include other orations of the same authors; but it is not necessary to go into details on this subject. Many contain other rhetorical works as well.

² The former is the sign used by Bekker and most editors who used his collation; the latter that adopted by Cobet and Schultz.

all been collated by Bekker, there was no very important work to be done. Nevertheless, it still seems worth while for anyone who has opportunity to re-collate one or two of his MSS., as, out of the vast number that he used, it is nearly certain that in each taken singly a few errors will be discovered, chiefly omissions, or (as at §§ 107, 167, 182, of this speech) identical reports of similar but not identical readings. Slight as our own labour in this matter was, we hope it has not been wholly unfruitful: in § 167, *Θετταλίαν* seems a reading at least worth recording; and in § 182, *κάκεινq γε* we believe to be right, as it accounts for the meaningless *κάκεινó γε* of the kindred MSS.

Of the next group, the chief member is F or *f*, already mentioned; *cdh* also, among Bekker's MSS., belong to it, and *g*, Taylor's and Reiske's 'Meadianus.' The Aldine edition also appears to have been prepared from MSS. of this family: and other members have been added to it by Schultz's collations. These MSS. form for the most part a well-defined group closely akin to one another: *d* is almost identical with *f* throughout, *c* in this oration at least, and *hq*, according to Schultz, in the Timarchus, the text of which seems to be in a more satisfactory state than that of the two more interesting Orations. In it, however, there is a wider divergence than in them between this group and the first.

To the third class belong only three or four of Bekker's MSS.; *ek* throughout, *l* in the whole and *h* in part of this Oration. In the Timarchus, *l* agrees with the first group and *h*, as already noticed, with the second. The latter bears, in fact, an intermediate character between the two. According to Schultz, it belongs unmistakably to the second class in the Timarchus, but passes gradually into the third in the course of the other two Orations. Using Bekker's collation, we should rather have said that it agreed with the second class generally, though not universally, in the Embassy, and almost universally in about the first 112 chapters of the Ctesiphon, while during the remainder of the latter speech, it varies as little from *ekl* as these do from each other. We looked at it at Paris, and inclined to the opinion that its peculiarities are the result of a careful and not wholly unintelligent collation of two or more MSS., representing both families. We found that in the earlier part, while the text is generally in harmony with *f* (almost always, except where the reading of *ekl* has some real attraction), the readings of the other group are either inserted as corrections in the text (words or letters that are to be omitted being underlined) or noticed in the margin, in a differently coloured ink to the original, and in a different but contemporary hand. These corrections are rarely noticed by Bekker, but we thought their presence or absence worth recording, as they probably represent the text of a MS. not now known, of the third family; so we have given all that we found

in the part of the speech collated by us. Unfortunately, we had only time to go through the first 105 chapters, so that we just missed seeing whether a change of handwriting accompanies the change of affinity; but I believe not, as Bekker notices such a change where it does occur in *e*, and we looked at a few doubtful passages further on, without being struck by it. The scribe of this MS. was a little overfond of displaying school-boyish learning, and in quotations (see §§ 135, 186) he or his archetype copied direct from the received text of the author quoted, instead of giving the text as Aeschines used it, or was recorded to have used it. But, on the whole, our opinion of this MS. individually was rather raised by our personal examination; and as the general question of the comparative value of the classes between which it fluctuates is disputed by the best authorities, any information on the subject may be worth giving. Bekker seems to have regarded *ehl* as the best authorities for at least the earlier part of this Oration, and Cobet considers *hehls* (*s* being a cognate MS., only as yet collated for the speech on the Embassy) the best of any, not noticing, as Schultz complains, the varying affinity of the first named. Most editors in between have pronounced this group the worst of the three, *f* being worthy of respectful consideration, though not perhaps as good as *a* and its congeners.—One circumstance remarked on by Schultz seems to point to an early connection between the two latter recensions: in § 20 of this speech, the corrupt passage is omitted by *fdq hehl* and two of his new MSS. of the second family. Schultz adds to these three families of MSS. a fourth, which appears to be a sort of refuge for the destitute, whose readings are either corrections of grammarians, or, if traditional, are drawn from such various sources as to defy classification. He refers to it *p* and *c* (but not *h*) among the MSS. above named, and the former has indeed almost as much resemblance to the third class as to the first: in the other case, we failed to see that the facts support the arrangement. And the triple division seems on the whole simpler.

None of these MSS., however, bears a high individual character, or can be regarded as more than one instrument among others for getting indirectly the text of an old recension. With Demosthenes the case is different. The question for the critic is not on what principle we are to choose between the variants of a few bad MSS., or what scope the fact of their variation leaves for conjectural emendation, so much as what amount of authority is to be given to the best of a few good ones. As with Aeschines, we have three recensions to choose between; but each of them has much older and worthier representatives.

The first group of MSS., if it may be called so, consists only of one member, the famous Codex S, or Σ¹, a tenth-century MS. at Paris, which

¹ The former symbol was used in the Oxford edition of Bekker's Attic Orators: in the

is admitted on all hands to be the best extant. It was used to some extent, very carelessly it is said, by Auger in 1790; but was never collated throughout, nor its importance recognised, before Bekker, who employed it largely in preparing the text of his edition of the Attic Orators. Since then, it has been collated again by Dübner for Dindorf's edition of Demosthenes, and, according to the latter's preface (in the third Leipzig edition), it has been consulted by others since, without anything of value being added to his own observations. In view of these authorities as to the readings of the MS., we did not think it necessary to attempt a formal collation ourselves; but, as the precise degree of authority to be given to it is the chief open question about the text of Demosthenes, we visited Paris in August, 1870, with the hope of being better able to form a personal opinion about it, and, rather to our surprise, we observed some ten readings (§§ 26, 64, 88, 314, 321, 324, 342, 383, 385, 386) either unnoticed or not quite accurately reported, by Dübner or Dindorf, as well as by Bekker. Possibly some of these may have been mentioned by the revisers ridiculed by Dindorf; but three or four seem not unimportant, and it appears worth while to indicate them all. But our visit to the Imperial Library (as it then was) was ill-timed: for obvious reasons, we left Paris on the 2nd of September, before we had examined a few points where the reading of the MSS. wants elucidation. (See on §§ 79, 172.)

Our inspection of Σ did not tend to raise our opinion of it, which, from its recorded readings, we had been disposed to place as low as any good authority would place it. Purely phonetic blunders (the confusion of α and ϵ is the commonest) are very frequent; but, as this is so in all MSS., it is never held to detract greatly from the value of any one. Only when we come to the question of the omissions of words, which most editors regard as accidental, but Baiter and Sauppe, apparently, as the most valuable part of the whole, these seem to assume a different character, when it is seen that the duties of the scribe were less carefully discharged than those of the editor. And, while it is beyond dispute that Σ either is itself the product of a careful edition, or is a transcript of an archetype that was so, we get indications that the editor indulged in conjectures for which he had no authority whatever, so that his work, as we have it, is not to be received as altogether a faithful reproduction of the best tradition as to the text. This opinion was pronounced by Mr. Shilleto in the preface to his edition of the *De Falsa Legatione*; and we thought it the

preface to Reimer's reprint of it at Berlin in 1823, it is stated that the notation there used, with Greek instead of Roman capitals, was preferred by Bekker himself. At any rate, it has been generally adopted by continental editors, and we have followed it, as perhaps less confusing to the eye,

likeliest way of accounting for certain variations from the common text, which several editors have inclined to accept. Especially in § 41, the insertion of *et* is more easily accounted for, as a tempting way to get out of an involved sentence and a construction not understood, than its omission in all other MSS. can be, supposing it to be genuine.

No one, indeed, is likely to blame us for not having followed this MS. as blindly as the Zurich editors¹. It is scarcely credible that they conceived their 'tender passion,' as Mr. Shilleto calls it, under the same unfavourable conditions as Don Quixote or Juvenal's Catullus, though in every case they reproduce, and in many adopt in their text, the errors of Bekker's collation². But they were certainly 'to her faults a little blind,' as well as 'to her virtues more than kind;' they have failed to notice, or to attribute importance to, plain marks of carelessness or hesitation, and have ignored the existence, pointed out by Dindorf, of marks of correction and transposition of words, by the original writer, which shew that he did not intentionally deviate from the common text.

Further, it seems doubtful whether they are right in confining their exclusive honour to the text as it came from the 'first hand.' The original editor was, in all likelihood, a more learned and careful grammarian than even the first corrector; but these corrections, not being as frequent as the variations of Σ from the general text, seem to imply a collation in the eleventh century with another MS. of the same family, and this is an aid not to be despised. It is true, indeed, that Baiter and Sauppe suggest in their preface that the ϕ (*dioptherai*) subscribed to most of the Orations does not apply to Σ itself, but to its archetype, and there seems no doubt that the sign is often thus servilely reproduced; but Dindorf notices that the sign is discontinued simultaneously with the notes of the 'second hand,' and this may fairly be held to prove that it refers to his collation. On this hypothesis, where the peculiar readings of 'pr. Σ ' are corrected by his successor into conformity with the vulgate, we may surmise that his reading, if not an accidental slip, still does not represent an authentic tradition of the best MSS., but (whether right or wrong) a conjecture or crotchet of the editor.

Of the second family, the best MSS. are F in the library of St. Mark at Venice, first collated by Bekker, and B at Munich, where it was used by Reiske. These have been compared by Dindorf, and pronounced to be

¹ We quote them, in remarks on the text, as 'B. and S.' The common notation 'Edd. Turic.' is rather confusing, while to cite the work as Sauppe's is unjust to his *collaborateur*. We only do so in the case of notes bearing his signature.

² Dindorf delicately alludes to them in his preface: 'Non defuerunt qui aliquamultas, quae Codici Parisino S per errorem tributae erant, lectiones falsa auctoritatis specie decepti pro veris amplecterentur, excusabili quidem errore, sed errore tamen.'

practically identical, and in consequence B, the later in date of the two (it is of the thirteenth century, the other of the eleventh), is rarely cited in recent editions, except for its notes or marginal readings, which seem to establish that it is not a mere apograph of the other. This being so, the close agreement of the two is an evidence of the care with which both were transcribed, and so of their substantial fidelity to the older text which they represent. We thus have fair means of ascertaining the genuine text (if it can be called so) of a second recension or edition of Demosthenes; not so old nor so accurate as that for which Σ is our sole entire authority, but perhaps more accurately reported to us, since we have several other MSS. (Φ *opgiuv* among Bekker's) by which to check or correct the casual blunders of F, B, or their common archetype. Before Bekker called attention to the superior claims of Σ, the common text of Demosthenes, from Aldus to Reiske, seems to have been based upon this group critically or uncritically used. The Aldine editions were printed from three not very good MSS. belonging to it, and it is probable that it had been the vulgate text of the Byzantine period generally. This accounts for its being far less of a united group, with readings uniform except by accident, than e.g. the third family of Codices of Demosthenes, or either of the three families of Aeschines. Not only do the MSS. above named vary a good deal among themselves, but there is a separate group (ΥΩΠ: the last does not contain this Oration) which are reckoned to belong to this family, but approach more closely to the readings of Σ than does its general type. Dindorf, in his last edition, reckons one of these MSS. (Υ, in the great Paris library: one knows not how to speak of it more accurately, when the title changes with every revolution) as one of the 'principes secundae classis,' co-ordinate with F and B.

Of the third family, the best and oldest member is A, again of the eleventh century, the 'Augustanus primus' of Reiske, who admired it highly, now at Munich like B. It was collated, very ill by all accounts, for Jerome Wolf, and next by the Reiskes,—for Ernestine's share in her husband's work ought not to be ignored, though prejudiced people may be inclined to suggest that the inaccuracies complained of by later editors in his collations are characteristic of ladies' Greek. Dindorf collated it again, distinguishing, for the first time, he says, the original text from corrections or cited variants, and his collation is here followed. The other (Bekkerian) members of the class are *krs*, all at Paris; of these, *s* is said by Dindorf to have most distinctive character, having some readings in common with Σ, while *kr* are of little more use than if they were apographs of A. Such may be generally the case throughout the whole works of Demosthenes; but, so far as there is any difference traceable among the readings reported from them in this speech, it is usually A *ks*

that agree, while Σ not infrequently varies toward the common type, that represented by $\Gamma\Gamma$ and their companions. We have not thought it worth while to notice the minor variations of these copies, for they all are so closely related that their use is as testimonies, rarely discordant, to a common archetypal recension: and where it is plain what the reading of this was, we quote the MSS. representing it as 'A et socii.' This recension seems to have been a careful and scholarly one, sometimes a little over scholarly, falling (in a far less degree) into the habits of over-refinement noted in the third group of the Aeschinean MSS. One mannerism curiously common to both, is the tendency to substitute perfects for aorists in the verb γίγνομαι, γεγενῆσθαι for γενίσθαι, etc. A more important point is their tendency to omit the inserted documents, for which see the Excursus on that subject ad fin.

With *adminicula* as plentiful and of as high quality as these, the text of Demosthenes may be considered as in a tolerably satisfactory state; that is, there are hardly any passages that we are obliged to give up as hopelessly corrupt. We always have the choice between possible readings, and generally have no inducement to choose between them, otherwise than according to the preponderance of MS. authority. But when does MS. authority preponderate? If Σ has a reading peculiar to itself, the other known MSS. all agreeing against it, which is to be preferred? The answer to this question will vary with the character of the reading. Hardly anyone will defend the fanatical devotion with which Baiter and Sauppe follow their favourite MS., heroically regardless of grammatical harshness and of inferiority in style, and quite indifferent to the unanimous opposition of both the independent families of MSS. But where either reading is tolerable, both perhaps equally attractive, or the choice between them absolutely indifferent, as happens with the larger number of various readings in most authors—where, in fact, MS. authority is the sole consideration to determine between them—then the practical question arises, is the authority of Σ to prevail over all the others?

It seems to have been the general tendency of English editors to hold that it does not, of German that it does; and our judgment, for what it is worth, follows that of our countrymen. In a case where considerations of taste, style, or Greek idiom furnished no argument either way, our principle has been somewhat as follows. Where Σ was supported by a tolerably unanimous consent of *either* of the other groups of MSS., we have followed their consent without much hesitation, even when such believers in Σ as Bekker and Dindorf¹ failed to afford us their authority.

¹ Dindorf's opinion of the *absolute* merit of this MS. does not seem to have varied much since his earliest edition; but in the later ones he professes to have used it more and more as the paramount authority, as compared with our other copies.

Where Σ stood alone against both the other groups, we considered that they were superior, so far as authority went, the chance being considerable that the variation of Σ was either a blunder or a conjecture, and the presumption being in favour of the majority of witnesses, though liable, in any individual passage, to be set aside upon consideration of the merits of the case.

But, in fact, it is scarcely possible to settle this question by mere discussion of the comparative merits of extant MSS. Those who rate Σ most highly, do so less on the ground that it is a very 'good' MS., than in accordance with a theory as to the history of the text—that at some time, long anterior to the date of any extant copy, the text of the Orators underwent considerable corruption, but that side by side with the corrupt text, a tradition of a pure critical one was preserved, which has reached us only through this one channel. We have, therefore, to examine the detached notices we can find as to the state of the text in ancient times: if these appear to support the hypothesis of one correct recension co-existing with other corrupt ones, there is no doubt much to be said for the view that Σ is the representative of the one, and our other MSS. of the others. Much cannot be built upon the fact of the notes of the number of lines in which each speech was written in some original copy: these numbers are found in Σ and several others, so that they prove nothing as to Σ representing a purer tradition than they; while, according to Dindorf, instead of proving that the common text is interpolated, they agree with the *proportionate* lengths of the speeches, as we have them, so closely that one can calculate what ratio a page of the original MS. bore to a page of Reiske. We therefore are thrown back upon the testimony of classical and Byzantine writers, as to the state of the text in their time; and, having ascertained this, we must use it, as far as possible, to explain the phenomena of the text in ours.

But of course there are differences as to the degree of weight to be given to the testimony of ancient authors. In the first place, we must distinguish between the passing quotation of a writer of general literature, and the deliberate citation of a passage by a grammarian: in the former, a familiar and uncritical text may be followed unthinkingly, while the latter is likely to give the form of words which he knew or believed to be right. Thus, though we get quotations enough from Demosthenes in Roman authors from Cicero downwards, these are of no great importance compared with professed rhetoricians or grammarians, even of much later date. And of these, the most important, for light thrown upon the text of our Orators, are Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Hermogenes of Tarsus—a precocious youth of the age of the Antonines, who, between his seventeenth and twenty-fifth year, earned the reputation of

the first rhetorician of his day, when his overtaken brain gave way, and he became insane for the remainder of his life—and Harpocraton, a lexicographer of uncertain age, but whose date is held to be fixed as low as the end of the fourth century, by certain references to Athenaeus.

But, even with these writers, we must draw a further distinction. We should suppose that a rhetorician, critic, or grammarian, when he made verbal citations from the author he was discussing, would take care not to speak without book: we can scarcely conceive that he should venture to write on an author, without having the text of that author before him. But it is hard for us to form any notion, except by analogy, of all that the accepted models of oratory were to a Greek rhetorician. Among us it is practically forgotten, that there is such a thing as an art, if not a science, of oratory: eloquence, if it ever exists among us, either springs up by nature or is cultivated quite empirically. But men of culture in our time are familiar with the conception of a science of poetical criticism; and the science of theology is also commonly understood to involve the critical knowledge and use of a certain literary text. Now, what aesthetic philosophers do with poets, and theologians or preachers with the Bible, we may not be surprised to find Greek rhetoricians doing with Greek orators; and the citations made by either of these classes of men are untrustworthy, because their familiarity with the works they use enables them to quote from memory in writing, just as they would in conversation. No one would alter a reading of the Vatican MS. of the Septuagint, merely because the text was quoted with some variation by St. Paul or St. Chrysostom; or—to come at once to our own time, and to the rare case of a modern author whose text is confessedly corrupt—who would substitute ‘lips’ for ‘limbs’ in the stanza from Shelley’s ‘Prometheus Unbound,’ quoted in ‘Modern Painters,’ Part III. sec. 2, c. 3, § 7? Yet this is quoted deliberately as an example of the imaginative way of regarding the human *lip*, the author having confused in his memory this stanza with the next, which is really about lips, but having felt confidence enough in his recollection of the passage to write it as he remembered it, without the trouble of verification. And Mr. Ruskin is more of a poet than Dionysius was of an orator, and so may be credited with more sympathy for his author, and at least equal apprehension of his meaning.

Now, as to Dionysius at least, the evidence is very strong that his quotations from Demosthenes are made in this manner. The passage paraphrased from Plato’s *Symposium* (Dion. *Ars Rhet.* p. 340), shews he did not mind giving the form of quotation to what he must have known to be paraphrase: with an author like Demosthenes, whom he knew by heart, the temptation to paraphrase would be less, the readiness

to write from memory greater. When he quotes (De Comp. Verb. p. 47) the climax from § 130, οὐκ εἶπον μὲν ταῦτα κ.τ.λ., it is no wonder that he should remember accurately so short a passage, and of such marked character; but he forgets the exact context in which it occurs, and so introduces it with a καὶ, which he clearly means as part of his quotation, but which it is as clearly impossible for us to suppose to have formed part of any possible text. Again, he twice in the same work (Ars Rhet. pp. 286, 350) quotes a passage from § 229, with one or two slight variations of the text, which serve to shew that he did not look at his book, but knew his lesson very well; but in one of these places he goes on further than he remembered the words, and gives the sense, as in the Plato, by a complete paraphrase. Again, in the 'De Admir. vi dic. in Dem.' p. 1126, where he quotes Aesch. in Ctes. § 166, it is plain from the καὶ inserted by him, that he does not intend to quote verbatim; but it is probably unconsciously that he blends into one the two clauses, ἀνατετμήκασι τινες τὰ κλήματα τοῦ δήμου and ὑποτέτμηται τὰ νεῦρα τῶν πραγμάτων, in much the same way as Ruskin, in the passage cited, did to the two consecutive stanzas of Shelley.

Yet, though we cannot accept Dionysius' quotations as verbally accurate, and can least of all build anything on his omissions, it does not follow that he throws no light on the state of the text. In the first place, a paraphrase may illustrate the sense of a passage better than a transcription; in § 166, just cited, though we attach no importance to his omissions, we may to his punctuation, for he doubtless carried the sense of the passage correctly in his head, and is therefore a good authority for connecting ἐπὶ τὰ στενὰ with what follows, rather than with φορμορροφούμεθα. And more than this, though we cannot stake anything on the accuracy of his memory, it yet may be possible to decide what text it was that he had learnt by heart, in general outline, though not in detail. In this same passage he reads ἐκεῖνοι, not κείνων or ἐκείνων; the fact that he retains instead of eliding the initial vowel, may be considered accidental, but his use of the nominative cannot. In this matter he agrees with one well-marked group (the third, A and its companions) of the extant MSS.; and it is held to be established, that Dionysius does represent a text belonging to this family. With this agrees the fact, that he appears to know nothing of the inserted documents, the omission of which is still a characteristic of these MSS.

Now, we may fairly assume that a diligent and tolerably learned writer would not use a text that found no favour with scholars; but, from the other authorities named, we find that the recension which found most favour was one that can hardly be identified with this: their testimony goes rather in support of the distinctive peculiarities of Σ. The copies of

Demosthenes, and of Aeschines at least among the other orators, which were thought most highly of by early critics, were those of one Atticus, usually cited under the title of *Ἀττικιανὰ*. Who Atticus was does not appear: he is mentioned by Lucian as a *βιβλιογράφος*, which would suggest that he bore a character half literary, half commercial—that he was the Stephanus or Aldus of an age of manuscript. In one or two instances¹, the *Ἀττικιανὰ* are expressly cited as differing from the common text; and, in one case at least, the same difference exists between Σ and the common text of extant MSS. The inference seems inevitable, that the recension of which Σ is now the solitary specimen reaches back to the date of Atticus' collection; and, indeed, from the internal evidence of its excellence, we should be disposed to think it likely to be at least as old as the Augsburg or Dionysian text.

But it does not follow that we are at once to identify the *Ἀττικιανὰ* with the ancestors of Σ , in the sense in which we may, subject to some restrictions, identify Dionysius' copy or copies with those of A. It is not clear that the *Ἀττικιανὰ* amounted to what we call an edition; perhaps they were scarcely even a 'family of MSS.,' i.e. a group traceable, mediately or immediately, to a common archetype. The first, indeed, was a thing hardly possible in antiquity, for the different apographs of a single MS. would of course present casual divergences greater than can exist between different impressions from the same type. Too much, therefore, must not be built upon the fact, that one extant MS. (B) bears the note at the end of one Oration², that it was collated with *two* of Atticus' MSS. For, at the unknown³ period when the archetype of B was written (and the note of course refers to the archetype, mediate or immediate, not to B itself), it may have seemed worth while to a careful editor to use two copies of Atticus' text, merely to eliminate casual slips of the pen. But in another way, this note does tend to shew that the Attician texts did not exhibit a uniform recension. We here have a claim on the part of B to represent *an* Attician text; now we can scarcely suppose that B and its congeners are the representatives of *the* Attician text, to the exclusion of the far superior Σ , and if not, we must suppose that both Σ and B, and very likely A as well, had allies, and perhaps ancestors, among the *Ἀττικιανὰ* themselves—that Atticus collected and reproduced the MSS., of whatever family, that seemed to carry the highest authority among those then known and accessible. Any Attician text would represent *a* recension thought valuable by a careful critic, but

¹ See Dindorf's Preface, ad init.

² Unluckily the doubtful one, *πρὸς τὴν ἐπιστολὴν*.

³ F is not quoted as having the same subscription here, and therefore can hardly be the actual archetype of B, closely as, by all accounts, the two are connected.

not all the same recension: in all probability, the three recensions that have come to us had each their representatives, and possibly some more than these. Of course variations have been multiplied and complicated, in the course of successive transcriptions by men of successively diminishing classical learning; and cross-divisions would arise, in the classification of MSS., by the transcription of an original which was itself the result of a collation, and in which the readings of one or the other complexion would figure now in the text, now in the margin. But, on the whole, it seems likely that the case is not much worse now than it has been ever since, perhaps, the death of Demochares. If we had all the MSS. collated by Atticus, or all the MSS. sent forth by him, we should have more materials for getting the best text of each of our three recensions; we should, perhaps, have a fourth or fifth that might be deserving of equal respect with any; we should be free from the errors or rash conjectures of Σ , from the merely casual errors that are common to A and its congeners, perhaps from those that are common to F, T, and theirs, but we should not have a single uncontroverted text of the speeches of Demosthenes and Aeschines, which everyone acknowledged as what they wrote or what they said. And now, though the task of criticism is harder, it is not hopeless. Mere blunders can be detected with comparative ease, by collation of the eccentricities of one scribe with those of another; and genuine doubtful readings are not much more numerous than they were.

It will follow from this consideration, that we cannot accept as final the authority of any single ancient writer, even though his quotations have the strongest internal evidence of being carefully and deliberately made. It is not likely that Harpocration often wrote without looking out his references, and if Hermogenes did, perhaps his enormous memory could afford to do so without risk of detection. But, though we may accept them, as we cannot accept all writers, as giving us accurately¹ the text that they used, in the belief that it was the best, still their own judgment is not infallible. They had more external means of judging right than we; but still, that which they testify to is a matter of opinion, not of fact, and we need not be afraid to revise their judgment if we see cause. The testimony of either of these writers (and even, in a far less degree, of a later grammarian or lexicographer, such as Suidas or Photius) is usually of higher authority than that of even the best of extant MSS. singly, but hardly of more authority than the *consensus* of a family of MSS. Where the differences between the families of extant

¹ Assuming, of course, that their own text is beyond question, which is not always the case: it often is adapted to the vulgate of the author quoted.

copies point, not to the accidents of transcription, but to the divergences of archetypes, modern scholarship has to decide by its own lights. It is an assistance to know what ancient scholars preferred, but we still have to exercise our own judgment to determine what theirs is worth.

This reasoning applies to the text of Demosthenes the more directly of our two Orators, but we may fairly conclude that the case of Aeschines is parallel—that with him also we have the choice of three recensions, not necessarily of equal degrees of authenticity, but between which there is nothing to choose on the score of mere antiquity. What a modern editor has to do is to choose between these recensions on their merits; he may thus hope to obtain a probability—certainty is out of the question—as to the words written by the original authors. It remains to enquire how far this has yet been done. If substantial unanimity had been already attained, it would be possible to give a history of the formation of the text without discussing it, and this is what we should naturally have preferred; but learners who wish to study an ancient writer intelligently, have a right to know something of the principles on which his text is fixed, as well as those on which it is interpreted.

Where questions are still open, and the highest authorities differ, it is impossible always to agree with one; and the practice of following previous editors in the text, while every now and then controverting them in the notes, is exceedingly provoking to the reader, and seems to argue rather indolence than modesty in the writer. But the scope for originality is not large in the treatment of the text of the Orators: though diversities of opinion still exist, their range has been steadily diminishing since the appearance of Bekker's edition. We have used, as a sort of basis for our text, the Teubner editions of the two Orators—Franke's for Aeschines, and Dindorf's for Demosthenes; and, whatever may be thought of our judgment where we differ from either of these, in the majority of cases in which we follow them, there can be no doubt at all of their superiority to older editions. A short history of these will serve best to define our position.

The text of the Orators, as of most Greek authors, has passed through three stages, forming a sort of parody on Comte's three periods of human thought. There is the age of superstitious adherence to a vulgate—that of scarcely less superstitious, but in practice more salutary, allegiance to MSS. considered as ultimate facts—and that of the application of common-sense rules of evidence to the testimony available from any source, MSS., quotations, inscriptions, internal evidence, literary analogy, or anything, regard being paid in all cases to the probable sources of error to which each class of evidence is liable. The second of these stages may be held to begin, in the case of Demosthenes, with the publication of

Reiske's Attic Orators, though heralded and anticipated by the collations of Jerome Wolf and Morell; the third, to date from the appearance of Bekker's.

Reiske, in his long and rather rambling Preface, traces the history of the text up to his time: neither the details of this, nor his judgments on work long superseded, are worth recapitulating. It is enough to say that the principal names of the period are Aldus, Jerome Wolf, and Taylor. Aldus, in the different recensions of the text in his two successive editions, and his table (confusedly executed as it was) of various readings among his three MSS., furnished more indications to his successors of the nature of the work before them, than an *editio princeps* always does. Wolf, though clumsy and arrogant in his manner of approaching the task, had yet a clearer notion of what was to be done, and sounder principles as to the way of doing it, than the editors or reprinters of the next two centuries; for during nearly that time the history of the Orators is virtually a blank. It is not till Taylor that anything to be called critical treatment was applied to them: he may be considered as leading up to and introducing the great work of Reiske, but hardly constitutes by himself an epoch in the growth of the final text.

Reiske's work has the faults which are inevitable where a man has to form his conceptions, both of his object and of his method, for himself, unaided and for the first time; but it certainly is a great work, not only in the sense of being a monument of vast labour, but of labour well bestowed. It simplified the task of later editors largely, to have his text for a basis to work upon instead of that of Aldus; and, though finality was out of the question when an author was edited without the highest single authority on his text being consulted, it was a great step to have an edition made on right principles, with however imperfect materials. The MSS. A for one, and *p* for the other of our two Orators, are not absolutely of the highest class, nor are they even the best MSS. in existence of these authors; but they are not bad ones, and an edition made by an intelligent use of them is sure to supply a possible text, one worth consideration, and differing in kind from the haphazard work, with late and indifferent MSS., that was the usual manner in which a fifteenth century vulgate took its origin. It may be said that the Reiskes, by their collations, laid the foundation for the final text of the Orators, and, pursuing the metaphor, that Bekker built at least the framework of the structure, however much he left for his successors to add to his work.

For between Reiske and Bekker there was nothing of importance done, so far as the text was concerned; but as regards the interpretation and intelligent treatment of Demosthenes generally, and not merely the single oration, the Prolegomena to Wolf's Leptines may be considered to

mark a decided advance. Auger is not considered to have done anything worth mention, except that he was, apparently, the first person to call attention to Σ. Bekker's complete collation of this put the whole question of Demosthenes' text on a new footing; while his extensive collection of other MSS. of both Orators, would have served as ample materials for constituting a sound text, even if he had not used his own critical powers on the work of constructing one.

In fact, as regards Aeschines, Bekker is held to have approached less nearly than usual to the final critical text. He habitually followed the class of MSS. which we have ranked third, and which by most later editors¹ have been held inferior in authority to the other two. But in Demosthenes, at any rate, there is, since the publication of his Attic Orators, an incontrovertible basis of fact, and any points that remain doubtful are points of detail. The only exception to the unanimity of following editors is Buttmann; who, writing (in his Preface to the *Midias*) nearly contemporaneously with the publication of Bekker's work, and having a private view of his memoranda, came to the conclusion that, though Σ was the best as well as the oldest individual MS. of Demosthenes, it represented a corrupt recension, as compared with A and its congeners. But the main stream of criticism went on in the channel into which Bekker had directed it. The works of Vömel and of Baiter and Sauppe were founded upon it, and continued it. The latter is, without doubt, in some respects a great and well-executed work, but too hasty and indiscriminating in its use of authorities to rank with the very highest class of criticism. Of the excess of their devotion to Cod. Σ in Demosthenes enough has been said; but as to Aeschines, they may be held to fill up a place that was wanting, for it had been generally agreed, when their work appeared, that Bekker had followed a wrong tack in his treatment of this author. An edition, of which the basis should be α and its congeners, instead of the group *ekl*, was worth compiling and publishing; but here it seems that the Editors went too much on general principles, and judged individual passages too little on their merits, so that Franke's edition of the text² appears to represent a decided advance upon theirs, contrasting with it as a production of common sense with the ingenious crotchets of a doctrinaire.

As already mentioned, we used Franke's text as in some measure the basis of our own; and, though typographical convenience had something

¹ The first distribution of MSS. of Aeschines into three classes, as above enumerated, is Franke's, in his '*Quaestiones Aeschineae*.' He refers to it as already generally recognised: Schultz's classification, so far as it differs from this, is explained above.

² His '*Quaestiones Aeschineae*' appeared before Baiter and Sauppe's work, and were used by them to a considerable extent.

to do with this, we are disposed to prefer his text to any yet published or recommended, in spite of the fact that he, in his latest edition, is inclined (according to his statements in the preface) to adopt many of the corrections that have been proposed since the publication of his Aeschines in its present form in 1859. Schultz gives, in the Preface to his Aeschines, by far the neatest and completest account of the actual state of the manuscript evidence to Aeschines' text that has yet appeared; and has also, as above noticed, added considerably to the material resources of this kind by his own collations. But he appears as well as Franke in his last edition, to give too much weight to the inclination, which no critic of Aeschines can easily avoid, to omit phrases rashly on internal evidence. The case seems to stand thus: either Aeschines' style had certain faults—notably a peculiar kind of verbosity and false lucidity, rather in harmony than otherwise with the character of the man, which leads to vain repetitions and pointless descriptions—of which we have no business to suppress individual examples; or else it is impossible for us to say what Aeschines' style was, except by a faculty of instinctive and intuitive divination. Now it seems to us that the limits of the safe exercise of this can hardly be placed very wide. A learned man may be followed implicitly by those less learned within the sphere of learning; but it is doubtful whether any amount of learning can make instinct a safe guide where reason fails. At any rate, such instinct can only be acquired where the sympathy between author and critic is very perfect; and one may venture to doubt whether such sympathy is attainable between a modern scholar and an ancient orator. Shakespeare has been carefully and intelligently studied in Germany, but no one but an Englishman could have made Falstaff 'babble of green fields,' which is perhaps the palmary instance of external evidence being overborne by the felicity of an emendation.

On this account, we have found less use than might be expected from the most recent German criticism on the text of Aeschines. It would require great learning and great experience in the critical use of MSS., before anyone was entitled to break a lance with Cobet on a question of technical textual criticism; but it is, in truth, less rash and less arrogant to differ on first principles, not only from him, but from other critics by no means absolutely of the same school. Whether Bekker's and Cobet's estimate of the MSS. *et al.*, or Franke's and Schultz's be the more just, is a matter in which, though 'doctors disagree' at present, final judgment must be deferred till something like agreement is reached; but, when Schultz and Cobet agree in striking out words merely because they overload a sentence, the question is only in a subordinate degree one of learning, but rather of taste and common sense. It is a poor and obso-

lete joke to say that learned Germans are wanting in the latter faculties ; but in a matter that comes within their cognisance, it is open to anyone to differ from the learned Germans. Supposing (as seems to be the tendency among competent critics) it is decided that Cobet overrates the MSS. named, it seems as though the fact could be thus accounted for. The modern critic and the ancient editor of this recension had proposed to themselves a common object, viz. to make Aeschines write more uniform and (in their judgment) more elegant Greek than, from the evidence before us, it appears that he did. Now, the right object for an editor of Aeschines to aim at, is to discover what Aeschines wrote, not what he ought to have written : and it is not self-evident that he did write what he ought. In spite of the general acceptance of the commonplaces about the perfection of Attic art, all Attic writers are not equally artistic. Even Sophocles was 'for one hour less noble than himself,' when he wrote the Herodotean tags in Antig. 905-912, O. C. 337-341 ; and a reader of Lycurgus or Dinarchus, will recognise that it was quite as possible for a practical orator to be dull, as for a rhetorician like Isocrates. Accordingly, we have gone on the assumption that, though no MS. of Aeschines is known of superlative excellence, yet an inductive and conservative text, founded on the MSS. that are known, is likelier to represent what he actually wrote, than any modern reconstruction, based on the reconstructor's sense of the fitness of things.

As to Demosthenes, Dindorf appears to us to have carried on the work of Bekker, in the same direction as the great master, but a little more boldly. His edition, published at Oxford almost contemporaneously with Baiter and Sauppe's at Zurich, besides embodying a new and more accurate collation of the chief MSS. of all families, seems to be prepared with more judgment as to the value, both absolute and comparative, of their testimony, and to have its canons of criticism more definitely perceived, and more consistently applied, than any of his predecessors. The few modifications which he has introduced into his text in the successive Leipzig reprints, are for the most part obvious improvements ; while it seemed that there was room for carrying his principles a little further than he has done, and doing for his text something of the same kind as he has done for Bekker's.

So much for the chief critical editions of either or both of our Orators complete. The critical *remarks* on the text have, in effect, been discussed above, Cobet's *Variae Lectiones* and *Novae Lectiones* being the most important works of this class. Of separate editions of these single Orations, the most important are those of Bremi and Dissen. As treatises on the speech itself, the latter especially is of the very highest value ; but neither of them attempts much in the way of textual criticism,

cxxx *PRESENT STATE OF THE TEXT, ETC.*

beyond a revision and slight modification of Bekker's text. Bremi is hardly very successful in what he does attempt; Dissen's judgment, where it differs from that of other editors, bears the kind of authority that is due to the view of a powerful interpreter on a matter of internal evidence.

W. H. S.

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES OF VARIOUS READINGS

IN AESCHINES IN CTESIPHONTEM, §§ 1-164.

- p. 3, l. 8. *ἔξῃν*] Ita z Flor.
- p. 7, l. 3. *κρείττους*] *κρείττους* z Flor. b Schultz.
- „ l. 18. *ἕτερον* (omisso *τινα*) Laur. Flor. Barb.
- p. 13, l. 1. *Διαλογισμὸς τῶν ἡμερῶν*] Om. pr. Vat. *αγμνη* bl: *ψήφισμα* habet s.
- „ l. 10. *ἀποδεκτῶν, καὶ νεωρίων ἀρχὴν καὶ σκευοθήκην ὑποδόμεν* Schultz.
- „ l. 14. *λόγους*] *λόγον* recipit Schultz.
- p. 15, l. 6. *καταλείπεται*] *καταλείπονται* (mox omisis illis *τούτους .. εἶναι*) Schultz.
- p. 17, l. 15. *ἀπαγορεύοντα* Schultz.
- p. 19, l. 4. *περὶ* habet Vat.
- p. 20, l. 2. *τῆς ἀπελευθερίας*] Om. Schultz.
- „ l. 6. Ut nos *ehl* Flor.
- „ l. 12. *μείζουσι*] *μείζουσι τιμαῖς ehl*, *μείζονος τιμῆς p*.
- p. 22, l. 11. *στέφανον*] Om. Schultz.
- „ l. 16. *ὑμετέρων*] *ἡμετέρων* Vat. Flor. Laur. Barb. f.
- p. 23, l. 4. *προσγέγραπαι*] Ita p Flor. *ek*.
- p. 25, l. 17. *πρώτον ἀπάντων ἀπρ* Vat.
- p. 26, l. 3. *καιρὸν*] Om. Schultz.
- „ l. 15. *ἐγὼ τε ἀποκρίνομαι* Vat. *αφ*, *ἐγωγε ἀποκρινούμαι* Schultz.
- p. 27, l. 19. *μετασχεῖν .. συνεδρίου*] Om. Schultz.
- p. 28, l. 10. *ἡμῶν*] Ita z Flor. Steph.
- p. 29, l. 12. *ἔνα*] Ita *αγμνη* Vat. Laur. Flor. *ehl*.
- p. 30, l. 2. *δὲ*] Om. *αγμνη* Laur. Barb. *fcb*.
- p. 31, l. 4. *Φιλοκράτη καὶ Δημοσθένη* Barb., ut *cd*.
- „ l. 6. *περιμενεῖτε* Schultz.
- p. 32, l. 5. *ποιεῖσθαι*] Superscr. Vat., ut *dehl*.
- „ l. 6. *δο' ἦν προάγων* Vat. Flor. Laur., ut *αγμnh*.
- p. 33, l. 1. *τὰ*] Om. Vat., solitus ille quidem articulos omittere.
- „ l. 6. *ἐν δὲ τῇ*] *ἐν τῇ* post Frankium Schultz.
- p. 34, l. 3. *ἡμῖν* Flor.
- „ l. 9. *εἰληφᾶς*] *ὑπειληφᾶς* Vat. p Laur. *ehl*.
- „ l. 13. *προκαταλαμβάνων*] *προκαταλαβὼν* Barb. *ehl* Schultz.
- p. 35, l. 11. *μηνὸς*] Om. Flor.: habet Schultz.

- p. 36, l. 1. λέγω] Om. Schultz.
 „ l. 4. ἐν ᾧ γέγραπται] Om. Schultz.
 „ l. 11. πρόεδροι Barb. *fedek*.
 p. 38, l. 7. ὑμᾶς] ἡμᾶς *agm* Vat. Laur.
 „ l. 10. κατὰ τὴν πρεσβείαν] Om. Vat. *pc*.
 p. 39, l. 1. τόθ'] τοῦθ' *anp* Vat. Laur. Flor.
 „ l. 17. οἱ τῇ . . ἡσυχίᾳ] Ut editi Vat. Laur.: τῇ om. Flor.
 p. 40, l. 2. Γάνον καὶ Γανιάδα Schultz.
 „ l. 12. ἐπιστρατεύσαντας] *πρεσβεύσαντας* z Flor. *ekl*.
 p. 41, l. 4. θαυμαστῆς] Sic Vat. *p* Flor. *zekl*.
 „ l. 11. ἐπιλαθόμενοι] Sic *agmnp* Vat. Laur. Flor.
 „ l. 14. περὶ Schultz.
 „ l. 17. τοῖς παρακαταθεμένοις] Sic *ekl*: ceteri αὐτοὺς παρακαταθέμενοι: vid. annot. αὐτοῖς τοῖς παρακαταθεμένοις Schultz.
 p. 42, l. 6. ἐνεκωμίᾳ Flor.
 „ l. 19. αὐτοῦ z Flor.: αὐτοῦ Vat. Laur. Barb.
 p. 43, l. 10. ὑπέβαλλεν Vat. Laur.
 „ l. 12. τε] Om. *anz* Laur. Flor. *fedq* et pr. *gm*.
 p. 44, l. 8. ἐγκαταληφθέντι Laur. Flor. Barb.
 p. 45, l. 1. προγράψας *agmn* Vat. Laur. Barb. *fdb*: εὐφημίας ἐνεκα pr. om. z Flor. Statim καὶ add. Flor.
 „ l. 2. προεδρίας Laur. Barb.
 „ l. 11. οἱ καιροὶ *pz* Vat. Laur. Flor. Barb.
 „ l. 12. τοῦτο *pz* Vat. Laur. Flor.
 p. 47, l. 13. αὐτὰ Pr. Vat.
 p. 49, l. 5. καὶ αὐτοὶ *p* Reisk. Schultz.
 „ l. 6. ὑμῖν] Ita *ape*: ceteri plerique ἡμῖν.
 „ l. 9. περὶ ἅπαντ' ὧν] πάλιν ἅπας ὧν eleganter conicit Schultz.
 „ l. 10. καὶ] Om. *q* Laur. Barb.
 „ l. 13. ἀπὸ τοῦ κλέμματος Vat. *p* Laur. *cekl*.
 p. 51, l. 7. τοῦς] Om. *zekl* Flor.
 „ l. 15. οἱ πρόγονοι οἱ ἡμέτεροι *fedq* Barb. Flor.
 p. 52, l. 7. ἐργάσασθαι] Ita *ekl*, ἐργάσασθαι *agmnp* Vat. Laur. Barb. *fbj*, ἐργάσεσθαι Schultz.
 p. 53, l. 16. αὐτοῖς *m fed b* Barb.
 p. 54, l. 14. ἦν] Om. *gmnpz* Flor.
 p. 55, l. 13. Λέκιον Schultz.
 „ l. 16. Et passim, Ἀμφικτύονες *ac*.
 p. 56, l. 3. ἡμετέρας *grq*, Schultiziani omnes, et ipse Schultz.
 „ l. 5. ἐξαρίσασθαι Corr. Vat.
 „ l. 11. εἰς τὸ συνέδριον] Om. Schultz, jubente Frankio.
 „ l. 14. γε] Om. *gmnp* Vat.
 p. 57, l. 1. ὠνομάζετο Schultiziani omnes.
 „ l. 3. ἐξέργει' ἄν z Flor. *bekl* Schultz.
 „ l. 5. λέγων] Om. Vat. Laur. *phek*.
 p. 58, l. 5. τῶν Ἀθηναίων Schultz.
 „ l. 14. ταῖς] τοὺς *agmz*.
 „ l. 17. ἐν τῇ ἀρᾷ γέγραπται] Om. Schultz. θύσειαν *fq* Barb.
 „ l. 18. μήτε *fed* Barb.
 p. 59, l. 7. ἀνηγγόρευε] ἀνηγγόρευσε Schultz., ex conjectura Franki.

- p. 59, l. 14. ἀποθεν] Ita *a* Laur. Flor.: ceteri ἀποθεν.
- p. 60, l. 14. τῷ δῆμῳ] Om. Schultz.
- p. 61, l. 9. 84] Om. Vat. Flor. Laur. Schultz.
- p. 62, l. 4. μήτε soli habent *z* Flor. *bekl*.
- p. 63, l. 4. Ἀμφισσοῖς Schultziani.
- „ l. 10. γε] Om., ut videtur, Schultziani omnes.
- p. 64, l. 10. ὑπερωρισθαι] ὑπερωρί(ζε)σθαι *cdg* Barb.
- p. 65, l. 3. Θῆβαι] Om. *ap* Vat. Laur. Barb. *fed*.
- p. 66, l. 10. πῆμα μέγα δῶκε *p* Vat.
- „ l. 13. νέας ἐν habet *a*, νῆας ἐν *eg*.
- „ l. 15. ὑμῖν] ἡμῖν Vat.
- p. 67, l. 2. πάλαι] Om. *z* Flor.
- „ l. 5. ἡμῖν] ὑμῖν *pzi* et Schultziani omnes.
- pp. 67–68. Inter scholia Vaticanus habet οὗτος στρατηγὸς .. ῥήτωρ καὶ οὗτος .. δημαγωγὸς διδάσκαλος .. ῥήτωρ καὶ οὗτος, quamvis δημάγωγος ante Ἀριστοφῶν (ut videtur) etiam in textu habeat.
- p. 68, l. 1. ῥήτωρ ante Πύρρανδρος soli habent *fedg*. Πύρρανδρος geminato *p* habent Vat. Laur.
- „ l. 2. ἐδυνήθη] Ita *acge* et Schultziani: ceteri ἡδυνήθη.
- „ l. 13. μόνην] μόνον Vat. *p* Schultz.
- „ l. 17. τῷ δ' ἔργῳ] τὸ δ' ἔργον Vat.
- p. 69, l. 3. προσποισάμενος] προσποιούμενος Vat. *p* Laur. Schultz.
- „ l. 7. πῖσαν Vat. Flor. *pz*.
- „ l. 8. ἀφιστήται] ἀφίστηται Vat. Laur. Flor. *z*.
- „ l. 13. ἐπεπόνθεσαν Schultz.
- p. 70, l. 7. τὰ] Om. Vat. Laur. Flor. *z*, τὰ αὐτοῦ Barb., τ' αὐτοῦ *f*.
- „ l. 16. δπου Vat. Laur. *agmnp bel*, δποτ' *fedg* Barb.
- p. 71, l. 4. τοῦ] Om. *agmn* Laur. Flor. et *pr* Vat.
- „ l. 13. προγεγεννημένης] Sic *agmnz* Flor. Laur. *pr* Vat.
- „ l. 15. μὴ καὶ] καὶ μὴ *amnp* *z* Vat. Laur. Flor.
- p. 72, l. 1. ἤδη] Om. Schultz.
- „ l. 6. μικρῶ] Ita Schultziani.
- „ l. 7. τὴν] Om. *pi* et Schultziani.
- p. 73, l. 5. κήρυγμά τι τοῦτο Flor. *z*.
- p. 74, l. 13. σπουδαῖα τῶν πραγμάτων πάντων Schultz.
- p. 76, l. 4. ἀρετῆς ἐνεκα] Om. *ap* Vat. Laur.
- p. 77, l. 11. ἀνατετραφότα *gpz* Vat. Laur. Barb. Flor. *fqdek*.
- Ad l. 16, post τὴν Schultz. addit ἀπὸ τῆς πόλεως .. ἀπέδρα γάρ.
- p. 78, l. 8. καὶ habent *z* Flor.
- „ l. 9. 8] Om. *z* Laur.
- „ l. 12. ταῦτα habent *z* Vat. Laur. Flor.
- p. 79, l. 9. παράλοι] Sic *amn* *z* *p* Vat. Laur. Flor. corr. *g*.
- „ l. 12. ἐτέρων] ἱταίων *agmnz* Laur. Flor.
- „ l. 13. γενόμενος] Om. Schultz.
- „ l. 17. Δημοσθένε habent *q* Barb.
- p. 80, l. 9. αὐτῷ *afedg* Schultz.

ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
ΚΑΤΑ ΚΤΗΣΙΦΩΝΤΟΣ
ΛΟΓΟΣ.

ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ

ΚΑΤΑ ΚΤΗΣΙΦΩΝΤΟΣ

ΛΟΓΟΣ.

ΤΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ.

Κτησιφῶν ἔγραψε ψήφισμα στεφανῶσαι Δημοσθένην Δημοσθένους Παιανία χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ καὶ ἀναγορεῦσαι τὸν στέφανον ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ Διονυσίοις τραγῳδῶν, ὅτι διατελεῖ τὰ ἀριστα καὶ λέγων καὶ πράττων τῷ δήμῳ τῶν Ἀθηναίων. τοῦτο τὸ ψήφισμα ἐγράψατο Αἰσχίνης παρανόμων, καὶ εἰσάγει κεφάλαια γενικὰ τρία, ἐν μὲν, ὅτι ὑπεύθυνον ὄντα τὸν Δημοσθένην ἐστεφάνωσε τοῦ νόμου κελεύοντος μὴ ἐξείναι ὑπεύθυνον ἄρχοντα στεφανοῦν, περὶ οὐσίας, δεύτερον δέ, ὅτι ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ ἀνεκέρυξε τὸν στέφανον ἀπαγορεύοντος τοῦ νόμου μηδένα στεφανοῦν ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ, περὶ ποιότητος, τρίτον καὶ τελευταῖον, ὅτι καὶ τὰ ψευδῇ ἔγραψεν ἐν τῷ ψηφίσματι· οὐκ εἶναι γὰρ καλὸν καὶ ἀγαθὸν τὸν Δημοσθένην, οὐδὲ ἄξιον τοῦ στεφάνου. καὶ τοῦτο εἰς τὸ παράνομον ἀνακτεῖν, ἐπειδὴ καὶ νόμος ἔστιν ὁ καλύων τὰ ψευδῇ γράφειν ἐν τοῖς ψηφίσμασιν· ἔστι δὲ περὶ ποιότητος. ὑποφοράς δὲ λαμβάνει τρεῖς, πρὸς μὲν τὸ πρῶτον δισσῶς ἐροῦντος Δημοσθένους, ὅτι οὐκ ἦν ἄρχων οὐδὲ ἔστιν ἀρχὴ ἡ τῶν τειχῶν οἰκοδομή, ἀλλὰ διακονία τις καὶ ἐπιμέλεια· εἰ δὲ καὶ ἀρχή, τῷ γε ἐπιτεδωκέναι ἐκ τῶν ἰδίων καὶ μηδὲν εἰληφέναι ἐκ τῆς πόλεως οὐκ ἦν ὑπεύθυνος· περὶ ποιότητος ἀμφότερα. πρὸς δὲ τοῦτο ὁ Αἰσχίνης εἰσάγει στοχαστικὸν κεφάλαιον, οὐ μόντοι κατασκευάζει· εἰ δὲ μὴ παρ' αὐτοῦ ἐπιδωκεν, ἀλλ' εἶχε παρὰ τῆς βουλῆς εἰς τοῦτο δέκα τάλαντα, περὶ οὐσίας. πρὸς δὲ τὸ δεύτερον κεφάλαιον παρεχομένου Δημοσθένους νόμον ἕτερον, κελεύοντα ἀνακηρύττειν ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ, ἂν ψηφίσται ὁ δῆμος, Αἰσχίνης οὐ περὶ τῶν πολιτικῶν αὐτὸν εἶναι φησιν, ἀλλὰ περὶ τῶν ξενικῶν στεφάνων, περὶ οὐσίας. πρὸς δὲ τὸ τρίτον πολλὰ κατὰ μέρος. οἴεται δὲ τὸν Δημοσθένην εἰς τέσσαρας καιροὺς διηρηκέναι τὴν ἀπολογίαν, τὰ πράγματα καθ' ἕκαστα εἰς τούτους μερίσαντα. πρῶτον μὲν οὖν φησιν εἶναι καιρὸν τὸν τοῦ πολέμου τοῦ πρώτου τοῦ πρὸς Φίλιππον περὶ Ἀμφιπόλεως γενομένου, δεύτερον δὲ τὸν τῆς εἰρήνης, τρίτον δὲ τὸν τοῦ πολέμου τοῦ δευτέρου καὶ τῆς περὶ Χαίρωναιαν ἡττης, τέταρτον δὲ τὸν παρόντα καιρὸν τὸν περὶ τῶν πρὸς Ἀλέξανδρον πολιτευμάτων. ἐν μὲν οὖν τῷ πρώτῳ αἴτιον αὐτὸν φησι γεγονέναι τῆς εἰρήνης, αἰσχρᾶς οὐσης καὶ ἀδόξου, καὶ τοῦ μὴ μετὰ κοινοῦ τῶν Ἑλλήνων συνεδρίῳ τὴν πόλιν αὐτὴν πεποιῆσθαι· ἐν δὲ τῷ δευτέρῳ, ὅτι τὸν πόλεμον τὸν πρὸς Φίλιππον αὐτὸς παρεσκεύασεν· ἐν δὲ τῷ τρίτῳ, ὅτι τοῦ ἱεροῦ

πολέμον καὶ τῶν περὶ Φωκέας συμβάντων αἴτιος ἐγένετο, καὶ τῆς ἡττης τῆς ἐν Χαιρωνείᾳ, πείσας μετὰ Θηβαίων ἀραμένους τὸν πόλεμον πρὸς Φίλιππον παρατάσασθαι· ἐν δὲ τῇ τελευταίᾳ, ὅτι κατὰ τὸν πρὸς Ἀλέξανδρον οὐκ ἐπολιτεύεσται. μετὰ ταῦτα καὶ τοῦ βίου παντὸς τοῦ Δημοσθένους κατηγορεῖ, καὶ δὴ καὶ Κτησιφώντος ἐν ὀλίγοις, ἐν οἷς ἀξιοὶ αὐτὸν ὑπὲρ ἑαυτοῦ τὸν Κτησιφώντα ἀπολογεῖσθαι. τὰ μὲν οὖν κεφάλαια ταῦτ' ἐστίν. ἐνῆκα δὲ τὸν ἀγῶνα Δημοσθένης.

Μέμφονται μέντοι τινὲς τὸν Αἰσχίνην, ὅτι οὐκ ἐνδιέτριψεν ἐν τῇ παρανόμῳ, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῆς πολιτείας κατηγορεῖ τοῦ Δημοσθένους, καλῶς πεπολιτευμένου τοῦ ἀνδρός. αὐτὸς δὲ τούναντιον τούτῳ μάλιστα ἰσχυρίζεται, λέγων οὕτως· “ἔστι δ' ὑπόλοιπον μέρος τῆς κατηγορίας, ἐφ' ᾗ μάλιστα σπουδάζω· τοῦτο δ' ἐστὶν ἡ πρόφασις, δι' ἣν αὐτὸν ἀξιοὶ στεφανοῦσθαι.” μήποτε δὲ ἄριστα τοῦτο ἔπραξεν· ἐπειδὴ γὰρ εἶχε δόξαν μεγάλην παρὰ πᾶσι καὶ ὑπόληψιν ὁ Δημοσθένης ὡς λαμπρότατα πεπολιτευμένος, εἰκότως ψήθη ψυχροῦς καὶ οὐδενὸς ἀξίους φανήσεσθαι τοὺς περὶ τῶν παρανόμων λόγους, εἰ μὴ δόξαν αὐτοῖς ἐμποιήσει τὴν ἐναντίαν, ὡς ἄρα ὁ Δημοσθένης κακόνους ἐστὶ τῇ δῆμῳ καὶ αἰσχροῦς καὶ ἐπιμέμπτως πεπολίτευται. διὰ τοῦτο ἐσπούδασε περὶ τοῦτο μάλιστα, καὶ ἐν τούτῳ τῇ μέρει τῆς κατηγορίας τὸ πλεῖστον ἐνδιέτριψε. μέμψαιτο δ' ἂν τις τὸ προοίμιον ὡς τραγικὸν καὶ περιττὸν καὶ ἐπιλόγῳ μᾶλλον εὐκόσ.

Ἡ στάσις τοῦ λόγου ἐστὶ πραγματικὴ ἔγγραφος, ὥσπερ καὶ ἡ τοῦ ὑπὲρ τοῦ στεφάνου. τὰ δὲ κεφάλαια δηλονότι τῆς πραγματικῆς περιέχει ἅπερ καὶ ἐκεῖ, οἷον τὸ νόμιμον τεχνόμενον εἰς τρεῖς νόμους, τὸ δὲ δίκαιον εἰς τέσσαρας καιροῦς. ὅρα δὲ, πῶς ἐπιλογικῶς ἤρξατο ἀπὸ συνηγῶρων ἐκβολῆς, ὥσπερ καὶ Δημοσθένης.

Τινὲς εἶπον, ὅτι οὐκ ἔχει κατασκευὴν τὸ προοίμιον· οὐκοῦν οὐδὲ συμπέρασμα δεῖ ζητεῖν. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔστιν ἀκατάσκευον· ἔστι γὰρ αὐτοῦ κατασκευὴ “ὑπὲρ τοῦ τὰ μέτρια καὶ τὰ συνήθη μὴ γίνεσθαι ἐν τῇ πόλει.” εἰ γὰρ ἡ αἰτία τῆς προτάσεώς ἐστιν ἡ κατασκευὴ, διὰ δὲ τὸ τὰ μέτρια μὴ γίνεσθαι ἐν τῇ πόλει αἱ δεήσεις, καθύπευκ ἂν εἴη. ἔχει δὲ καὶ συμπέρασμα, “ἐγὼ δὲ πεπιστευκὸς ἦκα” ἕως τοῦ “μείζον τῶν νόμων καὶ τῶν δικαίων.”

Τὴν μὲν παρασκευὴν ὁρᾶτε, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ τὴν παράταξιν,¹ ὅση γεγένηται, καὶ τὰς κατὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν δεήσεις, αἷς κέχρηταιί

1. ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι] Volgo usque ad Bekk. ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀθ., ut habent codd. et et plerumque I.

§ 1. *The strong conspiracy to set aside the laws does not deter me from a duty*

1. παρασκευὴν .. παράταξιν are means and end: the one the preparation to make a show of feeling in favour of Demosthenes, the other the array of supporters thus brought together.

ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι. So all MSS., except the least valuable group, almost everywhere throughout the works of Aeschines, instead of the more usual ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀθ. It seems

best to follow the MSS., both in the rule and in such occasional exceptions as § 68 init. It appears to have been a matter in which different orators had different mannerisms: e.g. Lycurgus almost always says simply ὦ ἄνδρες, which seems to have been rude, or at least curt. Cp. Soph. Ant. 162.

2. κατὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν δεήσεις. Appeals to prominent public men, and by them to individual citizens; among them, perhaps, to some of the dicasts themselves.

τινες ὑπὲρ τοῦ τὰ μέτρια καὶ τὰ συνήθη μὴ γίγνεσθαι ἐν τῇ πόλει· ἐγὼ δὲ πεπιστευκῶς ἤκω πρῶτον μὲν τοῖς θεοῖς, δεύτερον δὲ τοῖς νόμοις καὶ ὑμῖν, ἡγούμενος οὐδεμίαν παρασκευὴν ἰσχύειν παρ' ὑμῖν μείζον τῶν νόμων καὶ τῶν δικαίων. ἐβουλόμην μὲν οὖν, ὃ Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ τὴν βουλὴν τοὺς πεντακοσίους καὶ τὰς ἐκκλησίας ὑπὸ τῶν ἐφεσθηκότων ὀρθῶς διοικεῖσθαι, καὶ τοὺς νόμους, οὓς ἐνομοθέτησεν ὁ Σόλων περὶ τῆς τῶν ῥητόρων εὐκοσμίας, ἰσχύειν, ἵνα ἐξῇν πρῶτον μὲν τῷ πρεσβυτάτῳ τῶν πολιτῶν, ὥσπερ οἱ νόμοι κελεύουσι, σωφρόνως ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα παρελθόντι ἄνευ θορύβου καὶ ταραχῆς ἐξ ἐμπειρίας τὰ βέλτιστα τῇ πόλει συμβουλευεῖν, δεύτερον δ' ἤδη καὶ τῶν ἄλλων πολιτῶν τὸν βουλόμενον καθ' ἡλικίαν χωρὶς καὶ ἐν μέρει περὶ ἐκάστου γνώμην ἀποφαίνεσθαι· οὕτω γὰρ ἂν μοι δοκεῖ ἢ τε πόλις ἄριστα διοικεῖσθαι αἱ τε κρίσεις ἐλάχισται γίγνεσθαι. ἐπειδὴ δὲ πάντα τὰ πρότερον ὁμολογημένα καλῶς ἔχειν νυνὶ καταλέλυται, καὶ γράφουσὶ τε τινὲς ῥαδίως παρανόμους γνώμας καὶ ταῦτα ἕτεροὶ τινες τὰ ψηφίσματα ἐπιψηφίζουσιν οὐκ ἐκ τοῦ δικαιοτάτου τρόπου λαχόντες προεδρεύειν, ἀλλ' ἐκ παρασκευῆς καθεζόμενοι, ἂν δέ τις τῶν ἄλλων βουλευτῶν ὄντως λάχῃ κληρούμενος προεδρεύειν καὶ τὰς ὑμετέρας χειροτονίας ὀρθῶς ἀναγορεύῃ,

2. δεύτερον δὲ] ἔπειτα δεύτερον *ekl.*

αἱ Bekk.

5. ὃ Ἀθηναῖοι] *Hic et passim vid. ad 1.*

Mosquensis z: ἔξε α, ἔξον ἢ d. Volgo legebatur ἐξῇ: vid. not.

Turicensibus et Bakio praeplacuerit: si librorum ratio est habenda, fortasse scribendum fuit ἐξῇ, eodem quo ἐξῇν sensu.

14. ἐλάχισται] ἐλάχιστα *cd.*

habent *agm.*

4. ἰσχύειν . . μείζον] μείζον *ισχ. παρ' ὑμῖν*

ad 1.

8. ἵνα ἐξῇν] Sic *codex*

Mirum est, quod ἐξῇ

Turicensibus et Bakio praeplacuerit: si librorum ratio est habenda, fortasse scribendum fuit ἐξῇ, eodem quo ἐξῇν sensu.

13. δοκεῖ] Sic *nsed (f in lit.)*: δοκοῖ z: ceteri δοκῇ.

16. τῇ] *Om. Bekk.*

1. *τινες.* It is a rather characteristic mannerism of Aeschines to use the indefinite pronoun in an invidious sense. We have several cases further on, §§ 3, 5, 58 etc. In § 166 a similar use is attributed to Demosthenes. Conscious that public feeling was against him, Aeschines is always hinting that it was not the genuine public, but the wire-pullers of public opinion, that had passed judgment upon him. Cp. Ae. de F. L. § 156, p. 47.

§ 2. *Which I wish were unnecessary, as in an orderly State it would be.*

4. *ἐβουλόμην.* The imperfect indic. used of the unattainable, just as in relative sentences with *ἵνα* or *ὅπως* (e.g. *ἵνα ἐξῇν* in the next clause, si vera l.) The use of historical tenses with *ἂν* is the same in principle. We may explain the thought that leads to this constr. by saying, that in Greek one says, 'it was my wish' till I knew it was vain;

in Latin or English, 'I should' or 'I could wish' if it were not vain.

5. *τὴν βουλὴν τοὺς πεντακοσίους.* The technical title added epeexegetically to limit *τὴν βουλὴν* (and especially to distinguish it from *ἡ βουλὴ ἢ ἐξ Ἀρείου πάγου*). So, again, § 20 fin. etc.

6. *τῶν ἐφεσθηκότων.* A more general term for the presiding Prytanis, whose technical title was *ἐπιστάτης*.

8. *ἵνα ἐξῇν.* Conjectured by Bekker, and met by Bremi with the remark, 'Quod a MSS. oblatum recepissem.' It is now found in one good MS., so we have adopted it. Volg. *ἐξῇ*.

§§ 3-5. *But the practice of impeachment is the only check on the licence of politicians;*

17. *οὐκ ἐκ τοῦ δικαιοτάτου τρόπου κ. τ. λ.* See the Essay 'Practical Politics' etc.

τούτον οἱ τὴν πολιτείαν κοινὴν οὐκέτι, ἀλλ' ἰδίαν αὐτῶν ἡγού-
 μενοι ἀπειλοῦσιν εἰσαγγέλλειν, καταδουλούμενοι τοὺς ἰδιώτας καὶ
 δυναστείας ἑαυτοῖς περιποιούντες, καὶ τὰς κρίσεις τὰς μὲν ἐκ 4
 τῶν νόμων κατατελέουσι, τὰς δ' ἐκ τῶν ψηφισμάτων μετ' ὀργῆς
 3 κρίνουσιν, σείσθην μὲν τὸ κάλλιστον καὶ σωφρονέστατον κή-
 ρυγμα τῶν ἐν τῇ πόλει "τίς ἀγορεύειν βούλεται τῶν ὑπὲρ
 πεντήκοντα ἔτη γεγονότων καὶ πάλιν ἐν μέρει τῶν ἄλλων Ἀθη-
 ναίων," τῆς δὲ τῶν ῥητόρων ἀκοσμίας οὐκέτι κρατεῖν δύνανται
 οὔθ' οἱ νόμοι οὔθ' οἱ πρυτάνεις οὔθ' οἱ πρόεδροι οὔθ' ἡ προε-
 10 δρέουσα φυλὴ, τὸ δέκατον μέρος τῆς πόλεως. τούτων δ' ἐχόντων 5
 οὕτως, καὶ τῶν καιρῶν ὄντων τῇ πόλει τοιούτων, ὁποίους τινὰς
 αὐτοὺς ὑμεῖς ὑπολαμβάνετε εἶναι, ἐν ὑπολείπεται μέρος τῆς πολι-
 τείας, εἴ τι καὶ γὰρ τυγχάνω γινώσκων, αἱ τῶν παρανόμων γραφαί.
 εἰ δὲ καὶ ταύτας καταλύσετε ἢ τοῖς καταλύουσιν ἐπιτρέψετε,
 15 προλέγω ὑμῖν, ὅτι λήσετε κατὰ μικρὸν τῆς πολιτείας τισὶ παρα-
 χωρήσαντες. εὐ γὰρ ἴστε, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ὅτι τρεῖς εἰσὶ πολιτεαὶ 6
 παρὰ πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις, τυραννὶς καὶ ὀλιγαρχία καὶ δημοκρατία,
 διοικούνται δ' αἱ μὲν τυραννίδες καὶ ὀλιγαρχίαι τοῖς τρόποις
 τῶν ἐφεστηκότων, αἱ δὲ πόλεις αἱ δημοκρατούμεναι τοῖς νόμοις
 20 τοῖς κειμένοις. μηδεὶς οὐκ ὑμῶν τοῦτ' ἀγνοεῖτω, ἀλλὰ σαφῶς
 ἕκαστος ἐπιστάσθω, ὅτι, ὅταν εἰσὶν εἰς δικαστήριον γραφὴν
 παρανόμων δικάσων, ἐν ταύτῃ τῇ ἡμέρᾳ μέλλει τὴν ψῆφον
 φέρειν περὶ τῆς ἑαυτοῦ παρρησίας. διόπερ καὶ ὁ νομοθέτης

1. κοινὴν οὐκέτι] Poenitet Frankium, se ita cum B. et S. pro vulgato οὐκέτι κοινὴν ex agrippa scripsisse. Sed amat Aeschines verba in hunc modum minus usitato ordine disponere. ἡγοούμενοι] ἡγ. εἶναι cdf pr. b Bekk.

2. εἰσαγγέλλειν] εἰσαγγελεῖν Reisk., probantibus Bakio et Hamakero. Habet sane unus cod. εἰσαγγελεῖν in margine, alter εἰσαγγέλειν in textu.

3. καὶ] Om. z.

2. ἰδιώτας. Opposed not to officials, but to professional speakers. So inf. § 215.

3. δυναστείας. Always of unconstitutional power, like the Latin *potentia*. In Thuc. 3. 62. 4 it is used as the name of a form of government, stigmatized as the nearest approach to despotism: so Plat. Rep. 8. p. 544 C seems to imply that it is something between that and oligarchy. The fundamental distinction between *δυναστεία* and *τυραννὶς* is, that the *τύραννος* was almost always an individual, the *δυναστεία* almost always in the hands of a group: and further, that in *δυναστεία* private influence overrides still existing laws and constitutional forms, in the latter law and constitution have been altogether suppressed.

τὰς ἐκ τῶν νόμων. Constitutional remedies provided in permanence, especially the *γραφὴ παρανόμων* itself, called in the

next words the one remaining safeguard of the democracy.

9. ἡ πρόεδρος . . φυλὴ. Not merely the tribe, whose representative in the Five Hundred furnished the *πρόεδρος* for the time being, but the tribe appointed to act as described, Ae. in Tim. § 33, p. 59. See Exc. on 'Practical Politics.'

13. εἰ τι καὶ γὰρ. 'If I also have a right to an opinion' as well as Demosthenes.

15. λήσετε . . παραχωρήσαντες. 'You will find that, gradually and unconsciously, you have surrendered your government to a faction.' *τισὶ* as noted in § 1. *παραχωρήσαντες* used in D. de Cor. § 19; also *ibid.* § 83, nearly as here.

§ 6. Which leads to despotism,

19. αἱ δὲ πόλεις . . καμύνοιας. For the sentiment, cp. below, § 23 fin.

23. περὶ τῆς ἑαυτοῦ παρρησίας. The

τοῦτο πρῶτον ἔταξεν ἐν τῷ τῶν δικαστῶν ὄρκῳ, “ψηφιοῦμαι κατὰ τοὺς νόμους,” ἐκεῖνό γε εὖ εἰδώς, ὅτι, ὅταν διατηρηθῶσι 7 οἱ νόμοι τῇ πόλει, σώζεται καὶ ἡ δημοκρατία. ἂ χρὴ διαμνημονεύοντας ὑμᾶς μισεῖν τοὺς τὰ παράνομα γράφοντας, καὶ μηδὲν μικρὸν ἡγεῖσθαι εἶναι τῶν τοιούτων ἀδικημάτων, ἀλλ’ ἕκαστον 8 ὑπερμέγεθες, καὶ τοῦθ’ ὑμῶν τὸ δίκαιον μηδένα ἀνθρώπων ἐξαιρεῖσθαι, μήτε τὰς τῶν στρατηγῶν συνηγορίας, οἱ ἐπὶ πολὺν ἥδη χρόνον συνεργοῦντές τισι τῶν ῥητόρων λυμαίνονται τὴν πολιτείαν, μήτε τὰς τῶν ξένων δεήσεις, οὓς ἀναβιβαζόμενοι τινες ἐκφεύγουσιν ἐκ τῶν δικαστηρίων, παράνομον πολιτείαν πολιτευόμενοι· ἀλλ’ ὥσπερ ἂν ὑμῶν ἕκαστος αἰσχυνθείη τὴν τάξιν λιπεῖν, ἣν ἂν ταχθῇ ἐν τῷ πολέμῳ, οὕτω καὶ νῦν αἰσχυνθήτε ἐκλιπεῖν τὴν τάξιν, ἣν τέταχθε ὑπὸ τῶν νόμων φύλακες τῆς δημοκρατίας 8 τήνδε τὴν ἡμέραν. καὶ ἐκεῖνο δὲ χρὴ διαμνημονεύειν, ὅτι νῦν ἅπαντες οἱ πολῖται παρακαταθέμενοι τὴν πόλιν ὑμῖν καὶ τὴν πολιτείαν 15 διαπιστεύσαντες οἱ μὲν πάρεσι καὶ ἐπακούουσι τῆσδε τῆς κρίσεως, οἱ δὲ ἄπεισιν ἐπὶ τῶν ἰδίων ἔργων· οὓς αἰσχυνόμενοι καὶ τῶν ὄρκων, οὓς ὠμόσατε, μεμνημένοι καὶ τῶν νόμων, ἐὰν ἐξελέγξωμεν Κτησιφῶντα καὶ παράνομα γράψαντα καὶ ψευδῇ καὶ ἀσύμφορα τῇ πόλει, λύετε, ὧ Ἀθηναῖοι, τὰς παρανόμους γνώμας, βεβαι- 20 οὔτε τῇ πόλει τὴν δημοκρατίαν, κολάζετε τοὺς ὑπεναντίως τῷ νόμῳ καὶ τῇ πόλει καὶ τῷ συμφέροντι τῷ ὑμετέρῳ πολιτευόμενους. καὶ ταύτην ἔχοντες τὴν διάνοιαν ἀκούσητε τῶν μελλόντων

5. μικρὸν ἡγεῖσθαι] Cum *omni* μικρὸν omittant, credibilis videtur id ex hoc verborum ordine factum esse, ubi μηδὲν μικρὸν alterum alteri similia succedunt, quam si μηδὲν ἡγεῖσθαι μικρὸν scriptum fuisset, ut habent *greek* Bekk. B. et S. 14. τήνδε] εἰς τήνδε *prebek*. 21. τῷ νόμῳ] ‘Praestat τοῖς νόμοις’ Frank.: quod habent *pc* + *b* + *ekl*. 22. καὶ τῇ πόλει] Om. *ekl*. ‘Bakius delenda esse vidit’ Frank. 23. ἀκούσητε] *b ekl* et corr. *a* et Bekk. ἀκούγτε.

abolition of the γραφή παρανόμων had, in fact, been the first step in the usurpation of the Four Hundred.

§ 7. And is ever to be unmasked and resisted

4. μηδὲν μικρὸν ἡγεῖσθαι. Do not treat Ctesiphon’s motion as a merely technical irregularity: it implies a desire on the part of Demosthenes and his partisans to be above the law.

6. μηδένα ἀνθρώπων. Askew inserted *ἂν* between these words, to avoid the change of subject between ἡγεῖσθαι and ἐξαρεῖσθαι.

7. συνηγορίας. Properly of speaking in support of the prosecution, but here no doubt of the defence, as Ae. de F. L. 195 etc.

11. τὴν τάξιν .. ἣν ἂν. Though ταχθῇ

might perfectly well be followed by a cognate accusative, that construction would be a little harsh here; probably the case of *ἦν* is really determined by that of its antecedent.

§ 8. For the sake of the trust you have received from Athens.

14. καὶ ἐν ἐξελέγξωμεν κ.τ.λ. ‘Another thing you have to remember too: all the citizens put the city into your hands to-day—entrusted the constitution to you—before they came here to listen to the trial, or went away to their private affairs: do not betray their trust, do not forget the laws, the oaths you have sworn: but if’ etc. The secondary predicates παρακαταθήμενοι and διαπιστεύσαντες are, as usual, the most important and emphatic part of the proposition.

ρήθησέσθαι λόγων, εἰ οἶδ' ὅτι δίκαια καὶ εὖορκα καὶ συμφέροντα ὑμῖν αὐτοῖς ψηφιεῖσθε καὶ πάσῃ τῇ πόλει.

Περὶ μὲν οὖν τῆς ὅλης κατηγορίας μετρίως μοι ἐλπίζω προει-
 ρῆσθαι· περὶ δὲ αὐτῶν τῶν νόμων, οἳ κείνται περὶ τῶν ὑπευθύνων,
 5 παρ' οὓς τὸ ψήφισμα τοῦτο τυγχάνει γεγραφὸς Κτησιφῶν,
 διὰ βραχέων εἰπεῖν βούλομαι. ἐν γὰρ τοῖς ἔμπροσθεν χρόνοις
 ἄρχοντες τινες τὰς μεγίστας ἀρχὰς καὶ τὰς προσόδους διοι-
 κοῦντες, καὶ δωροδοκοῦντες περὶ ἕκαστα τούτων, προσλαμβάνοντες
 τοὺς τε ἐκ τοῦ βουλευτηρίου ῥήτορας καὶ τοὺς ἐκ τοῦ δήμου
 10 πόρρωθεν προκατελάμβανον τὰς εὐθύνas ἐπαίνοισ καὶ κηρύγμασιν,
 ὥστ' ἐν ταῖς εὐθύναις τῶν ἀρχόντων εἰς τὴν μεγίστην μὲν ἀπορίαν
 ἀφικνεῖσθαι τοὺς κατηγοροῦς, πολὺ δὲ ἔτι μᾶλλον τοὺς δικαστάς.
 πολλοὶ γὰρ πάνυ τῶν ὑπευθύνων ἐπ' αὐτοφώρῳ κλέπται τῶν
 δημοσίων χρημάτων ὄντες ἐξελεγχόμενοι διεφύγγανον ἐκ τῶν
 15 δικαστηρίων, εἰκότως· ἡσχύνοντο γὰρ οἶμαι οἱ δικασταί, εἰ φανή-
 σεται ὁ αὐτὸς ἀνὴρ ἐν τῇ αὐτῇ πόλει, τυχὸν δὲ καὶ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ
 ἐνιαυτῷ, πρῶην μὲν ποτε ἀναγορευόμενος ἐν τοῖς ἀγῶσιν ὅτι
 στεφανοῦται ἀρετῆς ἕνεκα καὶ δικαιοσύνης ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου χρυσῷ
 στεφάνῳ, ὁ δὲ αὐτὸς ἀνὴρ μικρὸν ἐπισχὼν ἔξεισιν ἐκ τοῦ δικα-
 20 στηρίου κλοπῆς ἕνεκα τὰς εὐθύνas ὠφληκῶς· ὥστε ἡναγκάζοντο
 τὴν ψήφον φέρειν οἱ δικασταί οὐ περὶ τοῦ παρόντος ἀδικήματος,
 ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ τῆς αἰσχύνης τοῦ δήμου. κατιδὼν δὲ τις ταῦτα νομο- 11

1. δίκαια] καὶ δίκαια cf b ekl.

ekl: ἀρχὰς ἐν τῇ πόλει μεγίστας b, qui mox καὶ τὰς ante προσόδους omittit; μετ' ἐν τῇ πόλει ἀρχὰς γρ h.

11. ἀρχόντων] ἀρχῶν ekl Bekk. Et quamvis certum sit, auctorem hujus familiae codicem nimis per conjecturas suis de Graecitate notionibus Aeschinem accommodasse, hic atque alias videtur fieri posse, ut hi idioma servaverint, a ceteris in usitatiorem dicendi formam immutatam.

§ 9. After this prelude, I expound the laws contravened. They were necessary to make accountability serious:

3. μετρίως. 'Pretty well,' a word of modest self-satisfaction. Cp. Ar. Nub. fin.

5. τυγχάνει γεγραφός. 'The particular laws infringed by Ctesiphon in his motion,' those which, as a matter of fact, he has come into collision with out of the whole number.

8. περὶ ἕκαστα τούτων. 'At every turn'—: they sold both their political and administrative influence. τούτων refers to all the occasions of corruption that either class of office supplied, not to the classes themselves, which would require ἐκάτερα.

10. ἐπαίνοισ καὶ κηρύγμασιν: i.e. votes of thanks passed in the assembly, and proclamation of these votes in the theatre.

12. πολὺ δὲ ἔτι μᾶλλον. A judge's responsibility would be greater than an

advocate's: and besides, a numerous Athenian jury would probably include some who had taken part in the vote of thanks.

§ 10. Which otherwise would have been annulled, by the account being anticipated.

17. ἀναγορευόμενος. The technical word: cp. ἀνάρρησις below, §§ 32, 190, D. de Cor. § 71, ἀναρρηθῆναι below, § 45: so ἀνεῖναι, ἀνερῶ are used as aorist and future.

20. τὰς εὐθύνas ὠφληκῶς. This would involve ordinarily a fine, as well as restitution of the money embezzled.

21. οὐ περὶ . . τοῦ δήμου. 'Not on the question of the crime before them, but to save the credit of the people,' i.e. not to consider merely the guilt, however clear, of the outgoing magistrate, but the popular decree already passed in his favour, which his condemnation would stultify.

§§ 11, 12. Hence a law was made against

- θέτης τίθησι νόμον καὶ μάλα καλῶς ἔχοντα, τὸν διαρρήδην ἀπαγορεύοντα τοὺς ὑπευθύνους μὴ στεφανοῦν. καὶ ταῦτα οὕτως εὖ προκατειληφότες τοῦ νομοθέτου εὐρηγνται κρείττονες λόγοι τῶν νόμων, οὓς εἰ μὴ τις ὑμῖν ἑρεῖ, λήσετε ἐξαπατηθέντες. τούτων γάρ τινες τῶν τοὺς ὑπευθύνους στεφανούντων παρὰ τοὺς νόμους οἱ μὲν φύσει μέτριοι εἰσιν, εἰ δὴ τις ἐστὶ μέτριος ἐπὶ τῶν τὰ παράνομα γραφόντων· ἀλλ' οὖν προβάλλονται γε τι πρὸ τῆς αἰσχύνης. προσεγγράφουσι γὰρ πρὸς τὰ ψηφίσματα στεφανοῦν τὸν ὑπεύθυνον, ἐπειδὴν λόγον καὶ εὐθύναν τῆς ἀρχῆς
- 12 δῶ. καὶ ἡ μὲν πόλις τὸ ἴσον ἀδίκημα ἀδικεῖται· προκαταλαμβάνονται γὰρ ἐπαίνοις καὶ στεφάνοις αἱ εὐθυναί· ὁ δὲ τὸ ψήφισμα γράφων ἐνδείκνυται τοῖς ἀκούουσιν, ὅτι γεγράφει μὲν παράνομα, αἰσχύνεται δὲ ἐφ' οἷς ἡμάρτηκε. Κτησιφῶν δὲ, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ὑπερπηδήσας τὸν νόμον τὸν περὶ τῶν ὑπευθύνων κείμενον καὶ τὴν πρόφασιν, ἣν ἐγὼ ἀρτίως προεῖπον ὑμῖν, ἀνελών, πρὶν λόγον, 13 πρὶν εὐθύναν δοῦναι, γέγραφε μεταξὺ Δημοσθένην ἄρχοντα στεφανοῦν.
- 13 Λέξουσι δὲ, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ ἕτερον λόγον ὑπεναντίον τῷ

3. κρείττονες] 'Recte κρείττους ex b Bekkerus' Frank. Nos, ubi viri docti dubitant, decrevimus non codicum fidem, saltem unanimatorum, pro Atticistarum legibus deserere. 6. ἐστὶ μέτριος ἐπὶ τῶν] Sic omnes praeter pek1: ἐστὶ om. ε, ἐπὶ om. pek1 Bekk. et recentiorum plerique. Sed vid. annot.

8. προσεγγράφουσι] προσγράφουσι mavolt Frankius, et hic et § 204; quod quidem illic duo codd. habent, hic non video cur mutandum.

12. γεγράφει] Sic amn f: γέγραφε pr. g, ek, ceteri ἐγεγράφει. Vid. annot.

18. ἕτερον] Volg. ἕτερόν τινα. τινα om. afd + pr. b +.

crowning any officer while accountable. Some attempt to evade this law, but Ctesiphon defies it:

4. τούτων γὰρ . . . στεφανούντων. A double designation: 'some of these—one class of the people who propose crowns for accountable officers in spite of the laws.' The whole formal structure of the paragraph is irregular: Κτησιφῶν δὲ, in the next §, answers to οἱ μὲν, which itself is an unsymmetrical repetition of τινές.

6. ἐπὶ. Bekker omits this word on the authority of his favourite group of MSS., and Franke in the belief that Aeschines wrote μέτριός ἐστι τῶν (as Taylor had suggested): that ἐστὶ was carelessly written before μέτριος, and then the ἐστὶ after μέτριος, being superfluous, corrupted into ἐπὶ. But though ἐπὶ might have been thus introduced, it seems too easy to omit to have been likely to have kept its place by accident: the best MSS. agree in retaining it. And ἐπὶ in the sense of 'among' seems justified

by Soph. Ant. 789 (where ἀμερίαν ἐπ' ἀνθρώπων is coupled with the simple genitive ἀθανάτων), and Pind. Ol. 7. 133.

12. ἐνδείκνυται. 'Leaves his hearers room to perceive' is the force of the preposition, which may be illustrated from that of ἐνδιδόναι.

γεγράφει. The pluperfect seems appropriate, for his present repentance is to be strongly contrasted with his past crime: and the unaugmented form seems most easily to account for the double variant.

14. ὑπερπηδήσας marks his audacity, ἀνελών his infatuation.

16. μεταξὺ might be joined with ἄρχοντα (as e. g. μεταξὺ θύων, Ar. Ran. 1242), but the rhetorical force of the order proves that it stands alone; 'proposed in the interval (of accountability), to crown Demosthenes in office.'

§ 13. Though he also has his evasion—that Demosthenes was not 'in office' within the meaning of the law.

ἀρτίως εἰρηνένω, ὡς ἄρα, ὅσα τις αἰρετὸς ὦν πράττει κατὰ ψήφισμα, οὐκ ἔστι ταῦτα ἀρχή, ἀλλ' ἐπιμέλειά τις καὶ διακονία· ἀρχὰς δὲ φήσουσιν ἐκείνας εἶναι, ἃς οἱ θεσμοθέται ἀποκληροῦσιν ἐν τῷ Θησειῷ, καὶ ἐκείνας, ἃς ὁ δῆμος ~~αὐτὰ~~ χειροτονεῖ ἐν ἀρχαι-
 5 ρεσίαις, στρατηγούς καὶ ἱππάρχους καὶ τὰς μετὰ τούτων ἀρχὰς, τὰς δ' ἄλλας ταύτας πραγματείας προστεταγμένας κατὰ ψή-
 φισμα. ἐγὼ δὲ πρὸς τοὺς λόγους τοὺς τούτων νόμον ὑμέτερον 14
 παρέξομαι, ὃν ὑμεῖς ἐνομοθετήσατε λύσειν ἡγούμενοι τὰς τοιαύτας
 προφάσεις, ἐν ᾧ διαρρήδην γέγραπται, “τὰς χειροτονητάς” φησιν
 10 “ἀρχὰς” ἀπάσας ἐνὶ περιλαβῶν ὀνόματι ὁ νομοθέτης, καὶ προσ-
 ειπὼν ἀρχὰς ἀπάσας εἶναι ἃς ὁ δῆμος χειροτονεῖ, “καὶ τοὺς
 ἐπιστάτας” φησὶ “τῶν δημοσίων ἔργων.” ἔστι δὲ ὁ Δημοσθένης
 τειχοποιὸς, ἐπιστάτης τοῦ μεγίστου τῶν ἔργων· “καὶ πάντας,
 15 καὶ ὅσοι λαμβάνουσιν ἡγεμονίας δικαστηρίων” οἱ δὲ τῶν ἔργων
 ἐπιστάται πάντες ἡγεμονίᾳ χρῶνται δικαστηρίου· τί τούτους 15
 κελεύει ποιεῖν; οὐ διακονεῖν, ἀλλ' ἄρχειν δοκιμασθέντας ἐν τῷ

6. τὰς δ' ἄλλας ταύτας] Sic *g*ehl et γρηβ: τὰς δ' ἄλλας ταῦτα *am*n, ceteri τὰ δ' ἄλλα πάντα. 10. προσειπὼν . . εἶναι] *προειπὼν nāq* Reisk: *οἶμαι pro εἶναι* conj. Steph.: Frankio locus corruptus videtur, et alterutra opus esse emendatione.

1. ἄρα. Of an absurd or paradoxical assertion, 'for it comes to that,' practically equivalent to the ironical *δη*, 'you are to know.'

αἰρετὸς ὦν. Opposed to *ὅς οἱ θεσμ. ἀποκληροῦσιν* and κατὰ ψήφισμα to *ὅς ὁ δῆμος εἰωθε χειροτ. ἐν ἀρχαιρεσίαις*: κατὰ ψήφισμα being the vital words. Perhaps, as Aeschines uses the plural *λέγουσι*, Ctesiphon may have advanced this argument: it does not occur, at any rate, in Demosthenes' published speech.

§ 14. But the law has anticipated this trick, and after enumerating offices like his,

7. νόμον ὑμέτερον. 'A law of yours.' Opposed word for word to τοὺς τούτων λόγους, 'a law outweighs words: you outweigh Demosthenes and Ctesiphon.' The latter point is enforced by the repetition of the pronoun, *ὃν ὑμεῖς ἐνομοθ.*

10. προσειπὼν . . εἶναι is a curious construction: Reiske with one MS. read *προειπὼν*: Bekker restored *προσ.*, B. and S. return to *προ.*, and Franke inclines to it, adding that it may be *εἶναι* that is corrupt. Stephanus and Naber conjectured *οἶμαι*. *προειπὼν* seems unlikely to be the sense intended: if the wording of the law had been so plain as to be thus described, Aeschines would doubtless have quoted it verbatim; and though *οἶμαι* is not unlike Aeschines'

manner, perhaps the received text is not so impossible as to be worth altering in uncertainty.

15. ἡγεμονίας δικαστηρίων. These officials had a summary jurisdiction, enforced by fines (cf. *ἐπιβολὰς ἐπιβαλλε*, § 27), and directed the preliminary proceedings in more serious cases, before the case came to a dicasterion—something like the French *juge d'instruction*.

§ 15. Expressly calls them all 'magistracies,' making no distinction such as he tries to draw.

It does not follow that, because the law of *δοκιμασία* expressly included the case of Demosthenes' office, therefore the law that Aeschines appealed to included it virtually; nor can we have confidence enough in the consistency of Athenian legal terminology to build, as Aeschines does, on a merely incidental expression. Without a class of skilled professional lawyers, it is not strange if an office was called an *ἀρχή* (still less if its holder was said *ἀρχειν*), when it was more strictly *ἐπιμέλειά τις καὶ διακονία*. Perhaps in this argument, as in the general plan of his case, Aeschines weakens his cause by attempting to prove too much: Demosthenes' other office would fall under the law, if that of *τειχοποιὸς* did not.

δικαστηρίῳ, ἐπειδὴ καὶ αἱ κληρωταὶ ἀρχαὶ οὐκ ἀδοκίμαστοι, ἀλλὰ δοκιμασθεῖσαι ἄρχουσι, καὶ λόγον καὶ εὐθύνας ἐγγράφειν πρὸς τὸν γραμματέα καὶ τοὺς λογιστὰς, καθάπερ καὶ τὰς ἄλλας ἀρχάς, κελεύει. ὅτι δὲ ἀληθῆ λέγω, τοὺς νόμους αὐτοὺς ὑμῖν ἀναγνώσεται.

ΝΟΜΟΙ.

- 16 Ὅταν τοίνυν, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ὁ μὲν νομοθέτης ἀρχὰς ὀνομάζῃ, οὗτοι δὲ προσαγορεύωσι πραγματείας καὶ ἐπιμελείας, ὑμέτερον ἔργον ἐστὶν ἀπομνημονεύειν καὶ ἀντιτάττειν τὸν νόμον πρὸς τὴν τούτων ἀναίδειαν, καὶ ὑποβάλλειν αὐτοῖς, ὅτι οὐ προσδέχεσθε 10 κακοῦργον σοφιστὴν οἰόμενον ῥήμασι τοὺς νόμους ἀναιρῆσειν, ἀλλ' ὅσῳ ἂν τις ἄμεινον λέγῃ παράνομα γεγραφῶς, τοσοῦτο μείζονος ὀργῆς τεύζεται. χρή γάρ, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, τὸ αὐτὸ φθέγγεσθαι τὸν ῥήτορα καὶ τὸν νόμον· ὅταν δὲ ἑτέραν μὲν φωνὴν ἀφίῃ ὁ νόμος, ἑτέραν δὲ ὁ ῥήτωρ, τῷ τοῦ νόμου δικαίῳ χρή διδόναι τὴν 15 ψῆφον, οὐ τῇ τοῦ λέγοντος ἀναισχυντίᾳ.
- 17 Πρὸς δὲ δὴ τὸν ἄφυκτον λόγον, ὃν φησι Δημοσθένης, βραχέα βούλομαι προειπεῖν. λέξει γὰρ οὗτος "τειχοποιός εἰμι· ὁμιλοῦν· ἀλλ' ἐπιδέδωκα τῇ πόλει μνᾶς ἑκατὸν καὶ τὸ ἔργον μείζον ἐξείργασμαι. τίνος οὖν εἰμι ὑπεύθυνος; εἰ μὴ τις ἐστὶν εὐνοίας 20 εὐθυνα." πρὸς δὴ ταύτην τὴν πρόφασιν ἀκούσατέ μου λέγοντος καὶ δίκαια καὶ συμφέροντα. ἐν γὰρ ταύτῃ τῇ πόλει οὕτως ἀρχαῖα οὔσῃ καὶ τηλικαύτῃ τὸ μέγεθος οὐδεὶς ἐστὶν ἀνυπεύθυνος τῶν καὶ

4. καλεῖται] 'Vide ne rectius absit' Frank.

αὐτοὺς ὑμῖν] Transp. Bekker cum *ehl*.

7. ὁ μὲν νομοθέτης .. οὗτοι δὲ] Sic *agm*: ceteri *de* ὁ νομοθ. .. ὅσοι προσαγ.

18. προειπεῖν] *προσεπειν agm/cd*. Cum hic de vera lectione nemo dubitaverit, probabilius videbitur, ad § 13, codd. plerisque errasse.

19. ἐξείργασμαι] Sic *α*, ut videtur,

et corr. *b*: ceteri scripti -σαι. 22. συμφέροντα] ὑμῖν συμφέροντα *ehl*: δίκαια ὑμῖν καὶ συμφέροντα habent *gmn*: at nos *afdb*.

5. ἀναγνώσεται. Sc. ὁ γραμματεὺς: cp. below, § 124 fin. This is a quasi-impersonal construction, like *ἐσάλπισε*, 'the trumpet sounded,' literally '(the proper person, i.e. ὁ σαλπικτὴς) sounded the trumpet,' and is not uncommon in the orators.

§ 16. *And you will be guided by the laws, not by rhetoric.*

10. ὑποβάλλειν combines 'reply' and 'give them to understand.'

οὐ προσδέχεσθε. We should say 'you will not tolerate' or 'you never tolerate a pestilent sophist,' generalising the fact, which the Greek idiom states absolutely, as actual and individual.

§ 17. *Nor does the law admit Demosthenes' alleged limitations of responsibility, any more than his denials of it.*

17. ὃν φησι Δημοσθένης. 'As Demosthenes says it is'; φησι like *φάσκει*, used especially of a false assertion.

19. μείζον. 'Greater' than I found it, or than I was bound to maintain it.

22. ἀρχαῖα .. τηλικαύτῃ. These epithets are not mere laudations, but intended to create an impression that the principle of universal responsibility must be just and profitable, since it was sanctioned by the immemorial practice and the immense prosperity of Athens. Further, it heightens the force of Aeschines' universal and even paradoxical assertion, 'In all the generations of the past, in all the multitudes of the present day, there is not one public servant irresponsible.'

ὅπως οὖν πρὸς τὰ κοινὰ προσεληλυθότων. διδάξω δ' ὑμᾶς πρῶτον 18
ἐπὶ τῶν παραδόξων, οἷον τοὺς ἱερεῖς καὶ τὰς ἱερείας ὑπευθύνους
εἶναι κελεύει ὁ νόμος, καὶ συλλήβδην ἅπαντας καὶ χωρὶς ἐκάστους
κατὰ σῶμα, τοὺς τὰ γέρα μόνον λαμβάνοντας καὶ τὰς εὐχὰς ὑπὲρ
5 ὑμῶν πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς εὐχομένους, καὶ οὐ μόνον ἰδίᾳ, ἀλλὰ καὶ
κοιῇ τὰ γένη, Εὐμολπίδας καὶ Κήρυκας καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ἅπαντας.
πάλιν τοὺς τριηράρχους ὑπευθύνους εἶναι κελεύει ὁ νόμος οὐ τὰ 19
κοινὰ διαχειρίσαντας οὐδ' ἀπὸ τῶν ὑμετέρων προσόδων πολλὰ
μὲν ὑφαίρουμένους, βραχέα δὲ κατατιθέντας, ἐπιδιδόναι δὲ φά-
10 σκοντας, ἀποδιδόντας δὲ ὑμῖν τὰ ὑμέτερα, ἀλλ' ὁμολογουμένως
τὰς πατρῴας οὐσίας εἰς τὴν πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἀνηλωκότας φιλοτιμίαν.
οὐ τοίνυν μόνοι οἱ τριήραρχοι, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ μέγιστα τῶν ἐν τῇ
πόλει συνεδρίων ὑπὸ τὴν τῶν δικαστηρίων ἔρχεται ψῆφον.
πρῶτον μὲν γὰρ τὴν βουλὴν τὴν ἐν Ἀρείῳ πάγῳ ἐγγράφειν 20
15 πρὸς τοὺς λογιστὰς ὁ νόμος κελεύει λόγον καὶ εὐθύνας διδόναι,
καὶ τὸν ἐκεῖ σκυθρωπὸν καὶ τῶν μεγίστων κύριον ἄγει ὑπὸ τὴν
ὑμετέραν ψῆφον· οὐκ ἄρα στεφανωθήσεται ἡ βουλὴ ἡ ἐξ Ἀρείου
πάγου; οὐδὲ γὰρ πάτριον αὐτοῖς ἐστίν. οὐκ ἄρα φιλοτιμοῦνται;

4. μόνον] Sic ag et corr. m: ceteri et Bekk. μόνον. 6. τὰ γένη] κατὰ γένη b et
γρ. m. 14. τὴν βουλὴν τὴν ἐν Ἀρείῳ πάγῳ] τὴν B. ἐν Ἀρ. π. df. † βουλὴν ἐν Ἀρ. π.
pr. b †. 16. καὶ τὸν .. σκυθρωπὸν .. κύριον ἄγει.] Om. fdbekl. Habent agmnc†γρ†
καὶ τὸν .. σκυθρωπὸν .. κύριον ἄγειν. Ut nos, marg. Bern., Lambinus, B. et S. τὴν ..
σκυθρωπὸν .. κυρίαν ἄγει Reisk. Bekk.

§ 18. All public servants are responsible—
priests who handle no public money,

2. ἐπὶ τῶν παραδόξων. 'In cases where
you would least expect it'—not an in-
frequent use of ἐπὶ, but forming a transition
to that noted above in § 11.

3. καὶ συλλήβδην κ. τ. λ. The whole
body is responsible for each individual: each
individual again is responsible for his own
person. And not merely the fluctuating
masses of individuals are responsible, but
the great permanent priestly families.

6. τὰ γένη. In apposition to *λεπεῖς*, i. e.
is added to designate *part* of the class.
The reading *κατὰ γ.* is not much easier,
and has nothing else to recommend it.

§ 19. *Trierarchs who spend private money,*
and that bona fide, unlike Demosthenes,

The responsibility of the trierarchs was
for the condition in which they delivered
their ships. As the hull was provided by
the state, and a certain sum (though far
short of the actual cost) advanced towards
the equipment, Aeschines' argument seems
unfair: Demosthenes' position as *τεῖχοςποιδὸς*
was, at most, a parallel case. But perhaps
the contrast is less with this than with the
theoric fund: 'the trierarchs, though they

never administered the public property,
though they are not in the habit' (observe
the change of tense) 'of laying down a
little for your service, while filching largely
from your revenues, and then pretending
that these trifles are a free gift from their
property, not a restitution of yours,' are
made responsible: while you administered
the whole finances of Athens, as treasurer
of the theoric fund: as *τεῖχοςποιδὸς*, your
alleged gifts were only colourable.

§ 20. *Areopagites, sacred as is their
office and high as are their principles,*
Senators, and all.

16. Whether τὸν .. κύριον or τὴν .. κυ-
ρίαν is to be read seems nearly a matter of
indifference. Neither, probably, is what
Aeschines wrote: the latter (making the
Council responsible collectively) perhaps
suits the context better, the other is nearer
to the MS. reading τῶν .. σκυθρωπῶν ..
κύριον ἄγειν. But the latter seems rather
to point to καὶ [ἐκαστον] τῶν .. σκυθρω-
πῶν .. κυρίαν or something similar. All
that can be said is, that the passage is
corrupt, and its sense clear.

18. οὐδὲ γὰρ πάτριον αὐτοῖς ἐστίν.
Op. Ae. in Tim. § 83. p. 11, for this phrase—

- πάνυ γε, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἀγαπῶσιν, εἴαν τις παρ' αὐτοῖς μὴ ἀδικῇ, ἀλλ' εἴαν τις ἐξαμαρτάνῃ, κολάζουσιν· οἱ δὲ ὑμέτεροι ῥήτορες τρυφῶσι. πάλιν τὴν βουλὴν τοὺς πεντακοσίους ὑπεύθυνον πεποίηκεν ὁ νομο-
- 21 θέτης. καὶ οὕτως ἰσχυρῶς ἀπιστεῖ τοῖς ὑπευθύνοις, ὥστ' εὐθέως ἀρχόμενος τῶν νόμων λέγει, “ἀρχὴν ὑπεύθυνον” φησὶ “μὴ ἀπο- 5 δημεῖν.” ὦ Ἡράκλεις, ὑπολάβοι ἂν τις, ὅτι ἦρξα, μὴ ἀποδημήσω; ἵνα γε μὴ προλαβὼν χρήματα τῆς πόλεως ἢ πράξεις δρασμῶ· χρήσῃ. πάλιν ὑπεύθυνον οὐκ ἔα τὴν οὐσίαν καθιεροῦν οὐδὲ ἀνάθημα ἀναθεῖναι οὐδ' ἐκποιήτον γενέσθαι οὐδὲ διαθέσθαι τὰ ἑαυτοῦ οὐδ' ἄλλα πολλά· ἐνὶ δὲ λόγῳ ἐνεχυράζει τὰς οὐσίας ὁ νομοθέτης τὰς 10
- 22 τῶν ὑπευθύνων, ἕως ἂν λόγον ἀποδῶσι τῇ πόλει. ναὶ, ἀλλ' ἔστι τις ἄνθρωπος, ὃς οὕτ' εἰληφεν οὐδὲν τῶν δημοσίων οὐτ' ἀνήλωκε, προσῆλθε δὲ πρὸς τι τῶν κοινῶν. καὶ τοῦτον ἀποφέρειν κελεύει λόγον πρὸς τοὺς λογιστάς. καὶ πῶς ὁ γε μηδὲν λαβὼν μηδ' ἀναλώσας ἀποίσει λόγον τῇ πόλει; αὐτὸς ὑποβάλλει καὶ διδάσκει 15 ὁ νόμος ἃ χρὴ γράφειν· κελεύει γὰρ αὐτὸ τοῦτο ἐγγράφειν, ὅτι “οὕτ' ἔλαβον οὐδὲν τῶν τῆς πόλεως οὐτ' ἀνήλωσα.” ἀνεύθυνον δὲ καὶ ἀνεξέταστον καὶ ἀζήτητον οὐδὲν ἔστι τῶν ἐν τῇ πόλει. ὅτι δὲ ἀληθῇ λέγω, αὐτῶν ἀκούσατε τῶν νόμων.

NOMOI.

20

- 23 “Ὅταν τοῖνυν μάλιστα θρασύνηται Δημοσθένης λέγων, ὡς διὰ

4. ἀπιστεῖ τοῖς ὑπευθύνοις] ἀπαιτεῖ τοὺς ὑπευθύνους γῆναι, ἀπιστεῖ τοὺς νόμους c.

part of the traditional dignity of that court.

1. Ἄλλ' οὐκ ἀγαπῶσιν... κολάζουσιν. ‘They have an ambition; but it is to suppress, not merely crimes,’ such as those of Demosthenes and Ctesiphon ‘but venial irregularities,’ such as Ctesiphon’s might have been, if Demosthenes were not a traitor.

2. οἱ δὲ ὑμέτεροι ῥήτορες. ‘The orators who come before you,’ in contrast with παρ’ αὐτοῖς just above.

§ 21. Accountable magistrates are subject to all sorts of restrictions, to prevent their evading their obligations;

6. μὴ ἀποδημήσω; μὴ repeated from the μὴ ἀποδημεῖν to which the supposed speaker is replying.

7. ἵνα γε μὴ κ. τ. λ. ‘No, you may not, in order that you mayn’t get public money or public business into your hands, and then, having secured your own advantage first, run away’ before the public loss and scandal come to light.

9. ἐκποιήτον γενέσθαι... διαθέσθαι τὰ ἑαυτοῦ. The spirit of these regulations clearly is, that as no god, so no man should be allowed to acquire control over the

property, or establish a claim on it, which ought to be entirely exposed to reprisals from the state. It may be suspected, that the law dated from a very primitive state of society—adoption being forbidden, because the state could only enforce its claim through the original household and guild, testation, because it then amounted almost to a transfer of property. Bremi suggests, that the reason for forbidding adoption may be, that the official might lose his citizenship on εὐθύνη—in which case the adoptive father would be left without the heir he had thought to secure.

§ 22. And that in all cases, whether they have handled public property or no.

11. Ναὶ, ἄλλ'. So below, §§ 23, 168, D. de Cor. § 313, etc. One hardly knows whether to translate the word ‘yes’ or ‘nay’ in such cases, its use being to point a climax, a stronger case for the supposed objector than what has gone before. Cp. Shilleto’s excursus on the word, in his Demosth. de Fals. Leg.

§ 23. If then Demosthenes appeals to his gifts, the law bids you require him to prove that they were his own to give.

τὴν ἐπίδοσιν οὐκ ἔστιν ὑπεύθυνος, ἐκείνο αὐτῷ ὑποβάλλετε· “οὐκ οὖν ἐχρῆν σε, ὦ Δημοσθένης, εἶσαι τὸν τῶν λογιστῶν κήρυκα κηρύττειν τὸ πάτριον καὶ ἔννομον κήρυγμα τοῦτο, ‘τίς βούλεται κατηγορεῖν;’ ἔασον ἀμφισβητῆσαί σοι τὸν βουλούμενον τῶν πολιτῶν, ὡς οὐκ ἐπέδωκας, ἀλλ’ ἀπὸ πολλῶν ὧν ἔχεις εἰς τὴν τῶν τειχῶν οἰκοδομίαν μικρὰ κατέθηκας, δέκα τάλαντα εἰς ταῦτα ἐκ τῆς πόλεως εἰληφώς. μὴ ἄρπαζε τὴν φιλοτιμίαν, μηδὲ ἐξαιροῦ τῶν δικαστῶν τὰς ψήφους ἐκ τῶν χειρῶν, μηδ’ ἔμπροσθεν τῶν νόμων, ἀλλ’ ὕστερος πολιτεύου. ταῦτα γὰρ ὀρθοὶ τὴν δημο-
10 κρατίαν.”

Πρὸς μὲν οὖν τὰς κενὰς προφάσεις, αἷς οὗτοι προφασιοῦνται, 24 μέχρι τοῦδε εἰρήσθω μοι· ὅτι δὲ ὄντως ἦν ὑπεύθυνος ὁ Δημοσθένης, ὅθ’ οὗτος εἰσήνεγκε τὸ ψήφισμα, ἄρχων μὲν τὴν ἐπὶ τῷ θεωρικῷ ἀρχὴν, ἄρχων δὲ τὴν τῶν τειχοποιῶν, οὐδετέρας δὲ πω
15 τῶν ἀρχῶν τούτων λόγον ὑμῖν οὐδ’ εὐθύνας δεδωκώς, ταῦτ’ ἤδη πειράσομαι ὑμᾶς διδάσκειν ἐκ τῶν δημοσίων γραμμάτων. καὶ μοι ἀνάγνωθι, ἐπὶ τίνος ἄρχοντος καὶ ποίου μηνὸς καὶ ἐν τίνι ἡμέρᾳ καὶ ἐν ποίᾳ ἐκκλησίᾳ ἔχειροτονήθη Δημοσθένης τὴν ἀρχὴν τὴν ἐπὶ τῷ θεωρικῷ.

3. τοῦτο, ‘τίς’ τούτου τίς *amh* et *corr.* *h.*

14. οὐδετέρας δὲ πω] οὐδετέρας πω *fd* † *cor.* *b* † οὐδετέρας δὲ *ehl*, † οὐδετέρας πω *b.†*
18. ἐπὶ τῷ θεωρικῷ] Sic *cd* Bekk. et post eum omnes: ceteri τῶν -κῶν. Addebatur ὅτι μεσούντα τὴν ἀρχὴν ἔγραψεν αὐτὸν στεφανοῦν. ἀναγίνωσκε. Haec om. *ehl*: *h* in margine inseruit, ὁ διαλογισμὸς τῶν ἡμερῶν ἐστὶν στεφανοῦν, ἀναγινώσκειται. Et ἀναγινώσκειται sibi volunt *fdb*, dum ἀναγινώσκειτε habent: nempe id glossema ex titulo in textum irrepsit. Ipsum titulum om. *agmn bl*.

3. πάτριον καὶ ἔννομον. These words suggest the reason why Demosthenes should have submitted to it, and why Aeschines should object to its suppression.

4. ἔασον . . εἰληφώς. A specification of the fraud more vaguely insinuated in § 19.

7. τὴν φιλοτιμίαν. ‘Your public spirit,’ i. e. your character or reputation for that quality. Cp. below, § 45, ψευδὴ φιλοτιμίαν κτᾶται.

8. μηδ’ ἔμπροσθεν κ. τ. λ. ‘And let your public action follow the laws, not outrun them.’ It was a perfectly legitimate πολίτευμα to spend money in the public service, and then expect a crown for it: but before doing this, Demosthenes should have satisfied the laws which prescribed εὐθύνας as a condition antecedent to a crown. For the sentiment of the next clause, cp. on § 6.

§ 24. So much for their arguments. The fact, *ibat* Demosthenes was accountable, admits of no argument.

11. κενὰς] Sic Stephanus: libri κοινὰς.

οὗτος in a judicial speech is constantly ‘the man before you,’ so, usually as here, the *opponent* of the speaker. However, in D. de Cor. §§ 15, 103 etc., he calls Ctesiphon *τουροῖ*: but quite as commonly τόνδε or τονδή, ‘the man by my side,’ §§ 144, 344. In Latin, the distinction between *hic*, ‘the man by my side,’ and *iste*, ‘the man before you,’ or sometimes (addressing the opponent’s advocate) ‘your client’ is more regular: the distinction between the two demonstratives being more fixed, and their relation to the first and second persons more symmetrical in Latin than in Greek. In the next sentence, οὗτος is Ctesiphon as *opposed* to Demosthenes, being the man actually before the court, while Demosthenes, he says, has no need and no business to appear there.

14. οὐδετέρας . . δεδωκώς. Cp. D. de Cor. 149: he says that he did pass his εὐθύνας at the proper time, unchallenged by Aeschines or any one else.

ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ ΤΩΝ ΗΜΕΡΩΝ.

Οὐκοῦν εἰ μὴδὲν ἔτι περαιτέρω τούτου δείξαιμι, δικαίως ἂν αἰσκόιτο Κτησιφῶν· αἰρεῖ γὰρ αὐτὸν οὐχ ἡ κατηγορία ἢ ἐμὴ, ἀλλὰ τὰ δημόσια γράμματα.

- 25 Πρῶτον μὲν τοῖνυν, ὧ' Ἀθηναῖοι, ἀντιγραφεὺς ἦν χειροτονητὸς 5
τῇ πόλει, ὃς καθ' ἐκάστην πρυτανείαν ἀπελογίζετο τὰς προσόδους
τῷ δήμῳ· διὰ δὲ τὴν πρὸς Εὐβουλον γενομένην πίστιν ὑμῖν οἱ ἐπὶ
τὸ θεωρικὸν χειροτονημένοι ἦρχον μὲν, πρὶν ἢ τὸν Ἡγήμονος
νόμον γενέσθαι, τὴν τοῦ ἀντιγραφέως ἀρχὴν, ἦρχον δὲ τὴν τῶν
ἀποδεκτῶν, καὶ νεώριον καὶ σκευοθήκην ᾠκοδόμουν, ἦσαν δὲ καὶ 10
26 ὁδοποιοὶ καὶ σχεδὸν τὴν ὅλην διοίκησιν εἶχον τῆς πόλεως. καὶ οὐ
κατηγορῶν αὐτῶν οὐδ' ἐπιτιμῶν λέγω, ἀλλ' ἐκείνο ὑμῖν ἐνδείξασθαι
βούλομαι, ὅτι ὁ μὲν νομοθέτης, εἰάν τις μιᾶς ἀρχῆς τῆς ἐλαχίστης
ὑπεύθυνος ἦ, τοῦτον οὐκ ἔα, πρὶν ἂν λόγους καὶ εὐθύνας δῶ,
στεφανοῦν, ὁ δὲ Κτησιφῶν Δημοσθένην τὸν συλλήβδην ἀπάσας 15
τὰς Ἀθήνησιν ἀρχὰς ἄρχοντα οὐκ ᾤκησε γράψαι στεφανῶσαι.
27 Ὡς τοῖνυν καὶ τὴν τῶν τειχοποιῶν ἀρχὴν ἦρχεν, ὅθ' οὗτος τὸ

10. ἀποδεκτῶν, καὶ νεώριον καὶ σκευοθήκην] Sic post Dobree. Frankius. ἀποδεκτῶν καὶ νεωρίων ἀρχὴν, καὶ σκ. libri et Bekk.: νεωρῶν pro -ρίων substituit Stephanus, ἀρχὴν deiebat Markl. 14. λόγους] Mala uniformitatis cupidine λόγον substituit Frank.

§§ 25, 26. *Since the time of Eubulus, the treasurer of the Theoric fund has held a combination of offices formerly distinct: Demosthenes therefore was accountable for all.*

8. ἦρχον... ἀρχὴν, ἦρχον. As in the preceding section, Aeschines uses the word on the applicability of which he is insisting, over and over, so as by repeated begging the question to persuade the hearers to regard the point as self-evident. Here, as often, his rhetorical artifices bear too much trace of self-conscious labour.

10. ἀποδεκτῶν, καὶ νεώριον καὶ σκευοθήκην. The MSS. reading, ἀποδ. καὶ νεωρίων ἀρχὴν, καὶ σκ., is hardly credible, though retained by Bekker and Bremi. Stephanus substituted νεωρῶν, which makes sense; Markland omitted ἀρχὴν, which makes the style tolerable; Franke, following Dobree, reads as in the text, which when once suggested is almost self-evidently right. Though Aeschines is fond enough of the word ἀρχή, he would not have sacrificed the whole cadence of the passage to secure one more repetition of it.

11. καὶ οὐ κατηγορῶν κ.τ.λ. I do not say that these numerous offices were not in general well discharged: only, that as so many were included in that of administrator of the festival fund, so many are there for

which Demosthenes was accountable, and so many legal barriers between him and the crown. Demosthenes' reply (de Cor. §§ 142 sq.) is substantially, 'For my voluntary expenditure as τειχοποιὸς I was not accountable: for my official receipts I was' (in which the theoric fund would be included). 'But my crown was for the former only: and the court were perfectly free to pass my accounts for the latter (which they did) or not, whatever the people might have voted on the other point.' That is to say, the spirit of the law was not violated; which is as much as to say, the letter was: as indeed Aeschines had proved triumphantly.

§ 27. *And his own motion for appointing commissioners for the walls proves that he intended them to be accountable magistrates:*

17. Ὡς τοῖνυν κ.τ.λ. Having proved that Demosthenes held, within the meaning of the law, an ἀρχὴ ὑπεῖθ. in regard of the theoric fund, he proceeds to the more doubtful point, that his office as τειχοποιὸς fell within it also. The proof is sought in the wording of Demosthenes' own decree under which he obtained the office—with the proposing of which Ctesiphon seems to have been connected. Not having the text of the decree, we cannot judge of the argument.

ψήφισμα ἔγραψε, καὶ τὰ δημόσια χρήματα διεχειρίζε καὶ ἐπι-
 βολὰς ἐπέβαλλε, καθάπερ οἱ ἄλλοι ἄρχοντες, καὶ δικαστηρίων
 ἡγεμονίας ἐλάμβανε, τούτων ὑμῖν αὐτὸν Δημοσθένην καὶ Κτησι-
 φῶντα μάρτυρας παρέξομαι. ἐπὶ γὰρ Χαιρώνδου ἄρχοντος θαρ-
 5 γηλιῶνος μηνὸς δευτέρᾳ φθίνοντος ἐκκλησίας οὔσης ἔγραψε
 ψήφισμα Δημοσθένης ἀγορὰν ποιῆσαι τῶν φυλῶν σκιροφοριῶνος
 δευτέρᾳ ἱσταμένου καὶ τρίτῃ, καὶ ἐπέταξεν ἐν τῷ ψηφίσματι
 ἐκάστης τῶν φυλῶν ἐλέσθαι τοὺς ἐπιμεληθησομένους τῶν ἔργων
 ἐπὶ τὰ τεῖχη καὶ ταμίας, καὶ μάλα ὀρθῶς, ἵν' ἡ πόλις ἔχη
 10 ὑπεύθυνα σώματα, παρ' ὧν ἔμελλε τῶν ἀνηλωμένων λόγον ἀπο-
 λήψεσθαι. καὶ μοι λέγε τὰ ψηφίσματα.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑΤΑ.

Ναί, ἀλλ' ἀντιδιαπλέκει πρὸς τοῦτο εὐθέως λέγων, ὥς οὐτ' 28
 ἔλαχε τειχοποιὸς οὐτ' ἐχειροτονήθη ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου. καὶ περὶ
 15 τούτου Δημοσθένης μὲν καὶ Κτησιφῶν πολὺν ποιήσονται λόγον·
 ὁ δέ γε νόμος βραχὺς καὶ σαφής καὶ ταχὺ λῶν τὰς τούτων
 τέχνας. μικρὰ δὲ ὑμῖν ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν πρῶτον προειπεῖν βούλομαι.
 ἔστι γάρ, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, τῶν περὶ τὰς ἀρχὰς εἶδη τρία, ὧν ἐν μὲν 29
 καὶ φανερώτατον οἱ κληρωτοὶ καὶ οἱ χειροτονητοὶ ἄρχοντες,

3. Δημοσθένην] -νη *acb* Bekk., qui sic passim. Variat librorum usus, sed plerumque
 -νην habent, idque cum B. et S. et Frankio retinemus. καὶ Κτησιφῶντα μάρτυρας]
 μάρτυρα καὶ Κτ. *ehl*, μάρτυρα Hamaker, probante Frankio. 8. ἐπιμεληθησομένους] Sic
archl: ceteri ἐπιμελησόμενοι quod nunc mavolt Frankius. 9. ἔχη] ἔχοι *k* Bekk.
 13. εὐθέως] εὐθὺς *kl* Bekk.: idemque et hic et ad § 21 mavolt Frankius. Ibi habent *ehl*,
 sed non recepit Bekk. λέγων] Om. *ehl* Bekk. 16. βραχὺς .. λῶν] βραχὺ καὶ
 σαφὴ καὶ .. λύοντα *ehl*, † βραχὺν (non βραχὺ et testatur Bekk)† καὶ σαφὴ καὶ ταχὺ
 καλύοντα *b*. 19. φανερώτατον] πᾶσι φαν. *scdb* *ehl* Bekk.

4. ἐπὶ γὰρ Χαιρώνδου. See excursus on documents for the chronological difficulties.

6. ἀγορὰν. The old word for an assembly of the people, but in speaking of the whole people superseded by the later ἐκκλησία.

9. καὶ μάλα ὀρθῶς. It was right to appoint special commissioners, holding office by decree of the people, that the people might know whom to hold responsible: but their responsibility was destroyed by Ctesiphon's motion.

§§ 28-30. The law being too general to admit of his quibbles about the mode of his appointment.

13. ἀντιδιαπλέκει. Literally, 'meets this with a new twist:' for such metaphors from wrestling. cp. below, § 206 etc.

15. πολὺν ποιήσονται λόγον. Cp. above on § 13.

16. βραχὺς καὶ σαφής καὶ ταχὺ λῶν.

So all the best MSS.: the inferior group has βραχὺν (or βραχὺ) καὶ σαφὴ καὶ ταχὺ λύοντα (or καλύοντα). This makes the antithesis much more vigorous, and one could wish that there were authority for adopting it: 'they will produce a great deal of irrelevant argument: the law will answer them with a short and decisive one.' But perhaps such an abrupt prosopopeia is, in its very vigour, unlike Aeschines.

§ 29. Demosthenes complains (de Cor. § 156) that Aeschines had garbled the laws he quoted: perhaps the charge would apply here, as he seems to wish to reduce three legal categories to two. The argument seems to rest, as before, merely on the use of the word ἄρχων, applied to the third class of offices: or perhaps also it may be implied, that as they carried with them the requirement of δοκιμασία, so they did of εὐθύναι.

δεύτερον δὲ ὅσοι τι διαχειρίζουσι τῶν τῆς πόλεως ὑπὲρ τριάκοντα
 ἡμέρας καὶ οἱ τῶν δημοσίων ἔργων ἐπιστάται, τρίτον δ' ἐν τῷ
 νόμῳ γέγραπται, καὶ εἴ τινες ἄλλοι αἰρετοὶ ἡγεμονίας δικαστη-
 30 ρίων λαμβάνουσι, καὶ τούτους ἄρχειν δοκιμασθέντας. ἐπειδὴν δ'
 ἀφέλῃ τις τοὺς ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου κεχειροτονημένους καὶ τοὺς κληρω- 5
 τοὺς ἄρχοντας, καταλείπεται, οὗς αἱ φυλαὶ καὶ αἱ τριττύες καὶ οἱ
 δῆμοι ἐξ ἑαυτῶν αἰροῦνται. τὰ δημόσια χρήματα διαχειρίζειν,
 τούτους αἰρετοὺς ἄρχοντας εἶναι. τοῦτο δὲ γίγνεται, ὅταν, ὥσπερ
 νῦν, ἐπιταχθῇ τι ταῖς φυλαῖς, ἢ τάφρους ἐξεργάζεσθαι ἢ τριήρεις
 ναυπηγεῖσθαι. ὅτι δὲ ἀληθὴ λέγω, ἐξ αὐτῶν τῶν νόμων μαθή- 10
 σεσθε.

NOMOI.

31 Ἄναμνήσθητε δὴ τοὺς προειρημένους λόγους, ὅτι ὁ μὲν νομο-
 θέτης τοὺς ἐκ τῶν φυλῶν ἄρχειν κελεύει δοκιμασθέντας ἐν τῷ
 δικαστηρίῳ, ἡ δὲ Πανδιονίς φυλὴ ἄρχοντα καὶ τειχοποιὸν ἀπέδειξε 15
 Δημοσθένην, ὃς ἐκ τῆς διοικήσεως εἰς ταῦτα ἔχει μικροῦ δεῖν δέκα
 τάλαντα, ἕτερος δ' ἀπαγορεύει νόμος ἄρχῃν ὑπεύθυνον μὴ στεφα-
 νοῦν, ὑμεῖς δὲ ὁμωμόκατε κατὰ τοὺς νόμους ψηφιεῖσθαι, ὁ δὲ
 ῥήτωρ γέγραφε τὸν ὑπεύθυνον στεφανοῦν μὴ προσθεῖς “ἐπειδὴν

5. ἀφέλῃ] ἀφέλῃται αὐτοῖς: et ad § 45.

καταλείπονται, ἢ καταλείπονται, ῥ καταλείπονται, sed beke καταλείπονται habent. ‘Recte C. Scheibius,’ inquit Frank., ‘καταλείπονται’ [sic] ‘sed deletis verbis τούτους αἰρετοὺς ἀρχοντας εἶναι, scribi jubet.’ 19. μὴ] οὐ ek Bekk. Frank.

6. καταλείπεται] Sic, ut videtur, π: agm

4. There are first the magistrates elected by, or selected by lot from, the whole nation whom everybody admits to be magistrates: the two other classes recognised as magistrates by the law must be different classes of men elected by local bodies.

8. τοῦτο δὲ κ.τ.λ. For it could not be pretended that a merely local office, appointed by the local body at its own discretion, was an imperial magistracy, and carried the obligations and disabilities of one: it was when the local body was only employed as a machinery for the purposes of the nation at large, that Aeschines' view was at least tenable.

ὥσπερ νῦν. ‘As in the present case,’ not of course ‘as at the present time;’ for Demosthenes' office, and the occasion for it, had now long expired.

§ 31. Put the two groups of laws together: ‘Nominees of tribes shall enter on office:’ Demosthenes is an official nominated by a tribe. ‘An official may not be crowned before his accounts:’ with what right can Ctesiphon propose that Demosthenes shall?

15. ἀρχοντα καὶ τειχοποιόν. The καὶ is almost expegetical, ‘nominated him to

an office, viz. that of . . .’ The order would perhaps more commonly be τειχοποιὸν καὶ ἀρχοντα, ‘commissioner of fortifications, and (as such) a magistrate,’ which illustrates the process of thought by which the simple copulative gets this force.

16. ἐξα. The present might be used merely to contrast his holding the money, a permanent condition, with the altogether past individual incident of his nomination (ἀπέδειξε): but Aeschines no doubt means to insinuate, that Demosthenes had embezzled the money, and had got it still.

18. ὁ δὲ ῥήτωρ. Ctesiphon was not a professed public speaker (below, § 215): but (as the better Scholiast remarks) Aeschines calls him so with a purpose, meaning:—‘If you are speaker enough to make a proposal in the Assembly, you ought to be able to defend it in court.’ Cp. below, § 243.

19. μὴ προσθεῖς. So the better MSS. μὴ marks the absence of this precaution as a condition of thorough flagrant illegality. οὐ προσθεῖς would be simply ‘and he did not add,’ μὴ προσθεῖς = ‘while yet he did not add.’

δὴ λόγον καὶ εὐθύνας," ἐγὼ δὲ ἐξελέγχω τὸ παράνομον μάρτυρας ἅμα τοὺς νόμους καὶ τὰ ψηφίσματα καὶ τοὺς ἀντιδίκους παρεχόμενος. πῶς οὖν ἂν τις περιφανέστερον ἐπιδείξειεν ἄνθρωπον παρανομώτατα γεγραφότα;

5 Ὡς τοίνυν καὶ τὴν ἀνάρρησιν τοῦ στεφάνου παρανόμως ἐν τῷ 32 ψηφίσματι κελεύει γίγνεσθαι, καὶ τοῦθ' ὑμᾶς διδάξω. ὁ γὰρ νόμος διαρρήδην κελεύει, ἐὰν μὲν τινα στεφανοὶ ἢ βουλὴ, ἐν τῷ βουλευτηρίῳ ἀνακηρύττεσθαι, ἐὰν δὲ ὁ δῆμος, ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ, ἄλλοθι δὲ μηδαμοῦ. καὶ μοι λέγε τὸν νόμον.

10

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

Οὗτος ὁ νόμος, ᾧ Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ μάλα καλῶς ἔχει. οὐ γὰρ, 33 οἶμαι, φετο δεῖν ὁ νομοθέτης τὸν ῥήτορα σεμνύνεσθαι πρὸς τοὺς ἔξωθεν, ἀλλ' ἀγαπᾶν ἐν αὐτῇ τῇ πόλει τιμώμενον ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου καὶ μὴ ἐργολαβεῖν ἐν τοῖς κηρύγμασιν. ὁ μὲν οὖν νομοθέτης 15 οὕτως ὁ δὲ Κτησιφῶν πῶς; ἀναγίγνωσκε τὸ ψηφίσμα.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

Ἀκούετε, ᾧ Ἀθηναῖοι, ὅτι ὁ μὲν νομοθέτης κελεύει ἐν τῷ δήμῳ 34 ἐν Πυκνῇ τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ ἀνακηρύττειν τὸν ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου στεφα-

1. ἐξελέγχω] Sic Steph. Bekk. et alii: ἐλέγξω I, ἐλέγξω gn, ceteri ἐξελέγξω. 4. παρανομώτατα] Sic agnosc: ceteri et Bekk. παράνομα.

2. τοὺς ἀντιδίκους refers to § 27, *Δημοσθένην καὶ Κτησιφῶντα μάρτυρας παρῆξομαι*: perhaps also to § 13 init., where he points out that their own arguments destroy one another.

§ 32. *The manner of proclamation is as illegal as the thing to be proclaimed.*

9. Ἄλλοθι δὲ μηδαμοῦ. From §§ 34, 48, it appears that this is a *verbatim* quotation from the law: in the law given at D. de Cor. § 155 of course these words do not appear. The law which Demosthenes cited was, indeed, a different one from that alleged by Aeschines here (see below, § 36), but the text of the law there given seems to be framed on Demosthenes' speech alone, and not even to harmonise perfectly with that. See D. de Cor. § 155.

§ 33. *For the law wisely regards services to Athens as fully recompensed by Athenian fame:*

12. τὸν ῥήτορα. Note how Aeschines damages his argument, at its strongest point, by giving way to his temper. He ought to have confined himself here to a dispassionate exposition of the actual general provisions and objects of the law: but instead, he quotes it as though the legislator were his *συνήγορος*, and all his words had

been framed with a view to the special case. In all probability, the law dates from a time when the most frequent recipients of crowns would not be *ῥήτορες*, but either generals, or citizens to be thanked for *επιδόσεις*: it would be a rare coincidence for the latter to be, like Demosthenes, statesmen as well. And with a soldier or private citizen, the danger alleged by Aeschines would not be likely to arise: the one would be already known by foreigners, the other could hardly hope to become so.

14. *ἐργολαβεῖν ἐν τοῖς κηρύγμασιν.* 'Make these proclamations a matter of trade,' 'speculate in proclamations.' The insinuation is, that to have a vote of thanks proclaimed before a large number of foreigners was an advertisement of political influence, which it might be worth the while of foreign states or their citizens to purchase.

§ 34. *But Demosthenes is not satisfied, unless we exhibit his undeserved honours to our visitors from all Greece.*

18. τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ must be a dative of time, being explained by *ἐκκλησιαζόντων Ἀθηναίων* below, rather than in exegetical apposition with Πυκνῇ, like τῇ βουλῇ τοῦ πεντακοσίου, above § 2.

νοούμενον, ἄλλοθι δὲ μηδαμοῦ, Κτησιφῶν δὲ ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ, οὐ τοὺς νόμους μόνον ὑπερβάς, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸν τόπον μετενεγκών, οὐδὲ ἐκκλησιαζόντων Ἀθηναίων, ἀλλὰ τραγῳδῶν ἀγωνιζομένων καινῶν, οὐδ' ἐναντίον τοῦ δήμου, ἀλλ' ἐναντίον τῶν Ἑλλήνων, ἵν' 35 ἡμῖν συνειδῶσιν, οἷον ἄνδρα τιμῶμεν. οὕτω τοίνυν περιφανῶς 5 παράνομα γεγραφῶς, παραταχθεὶς μετὰ Δημοσθένους ἐποίσει τέχνας τοῖς νόμοις· ὥς ἐγὼ δηλώσω καὶ προερῶ ὑμῖν, ἵνα μὴ λάθητε ἐξαπατηθέντες. οὗτοι γὰρ, ὥς μὲν οὐκ ἀπαγορεύουσιν οἱ νόμοι τὸν ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου στεφανούμενον μὴ κηρύττειν ἔξω τῆς ἐκκλησίας, οὐχ ἔξουσι λέγειν, οἴσουσι δὲ εἰς τὴν ἀπολογία τὸν 10 Διονυσιακὸν νόμον, καὶ χρήσονται τοῦ νόμου μέρει τινὶ κλέπ- 36 τοντες τὴν ἀκρόασιν ὑμῶν, καὶ παρέξονται νόμον οὐδὲν προσή- κοντα τῇ γραφῇ τῇδε, καὶ λέξουσιν, ὥς εἰσὶ τῇ πόλει δύο νόμοι κείμενοι περὶ τῶν κηρυγμάτων, εἰς μὲν, ὃν νῦν ἐγὼ παρέχομαι, διαρρήδην ἀπαγορεύων τὸν ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου στεφανούμενον μὴ 15 κηρύττεσθαι ἔξω τῆς ἐκκλησίας, ἕτερον δ' εἶναι νόμον φήσουσιν ἐναντίον τούτῳ, τὸν δεδιωκότα ἐξουσίαν ποιέσθαι τὴν ἀνάρρη- σιν τοῦ στεφάνου τραγῳδοῖς ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ, εἰς ψηφίσηται ὁ

13. τῇ γραφῇ τῇδε] 'Cum edd. Turice. ex agnosc. suscep. Vellem vulgatum τῇδε τῇ γραφῇ retinuissem' Frank. 15. ἀπαγορεύων] ἀπαγορεύοντα fcdB Bekk. Cum ceteris mutant Brem. B. et S. Frank.

2. οὐ τοὺς νόμους μόνον ὑπερβάς. The substantial violation of the laws was in proposing the crown at all: changing the place might be called a technical error, though that was bad enough. Still the antithesis is unsymmetrical,—a common fault with Aeschines.

4. τῶν Ἑλλήνων opposed to τοῦ δήμου, much as τὰ Ἑλληνικά to τὰ κατὰ τὴν πόλιν πολιτεύματα, D. de Cor. § 139.

§ 35. This point, indeed, they will defend by a quibble,

6. παραταχθεὶς μετὰ Δημοσθένους. This seems to point to the conclusion, already conjecturally mentioned, that Ctesiphon undertook the more technical parts of the defence. Yet § 202 inf. seems to prevent this, unless the two passages refer to different recensions of the speech. The argument is, indeed, mentioned in Demosthenes' speech (§§ 155-56), but briefly and contemptuously, much as if Aeschines had been (or the speaker affected to think he had been) sufficiently refuted already.

7. ἵνα μὴ λάθητε. Contrary to the usual force of this Greek construction, the verb seems to be here more emphatic than the participle. One might translate, 'that if you are deceived, it may not be for want of warning.'

11. κλέπτοντες τὴν ἀκρόασιν ὑμῶν. The trick played is probably the using part of the law—quoting one clause out of its context, which, if taken by itself, might bear the sense required to justify Ctesiphon. If it were certain that Aeschines was referring to the passage in Demosthenes' speech just cited (de Cor. § 156), the expression here would describe very well the way he begs the question by a burst of eloquence (τί οὖν, ὦ ταλαίπωρε, συνοφανεῖς; κ.τ.λ.), and so evades the necessity of replying to Aeschines' careful explanation of the law.

§§ 36, 37. Which it is an insult to the city to allege, not refusing the applicability of this law, but asserting that another contradicts it.

15. ἀπαγορεύων. We follow the MS. authority like most recent editors; but ἀπαγορεύων is the more obvious reading, and -οντα perhaps the more accurate. The force is, 'which I allege to prove my point,' not 'which they describe as telling in my favour.'

18. τραγῳδοῖς like τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ as explained above, § 34. A similar phrase occurs in the text of the indictment given at D. de Cor. § 67, and of Ctesiphon's motion at § 152, τραγῳδοῖς καινοῖς—explained, in Reiske's Indices, ed. Mitchell, ἐπὶ τραγῳδοῖς

δῆμος· κατὰ δὲ τοῦτον τὸν νόμον φήσουσι γεγραφέναι τὸν Κτησιφῶντα. ἐγὼ δὲ πρὸς τὰς τούτων τέχνας παρέξομαι 37
 συνηγόρους τοὺς νόμους τοὺς ὑμετέρους, ὅπερ διατελῶ σπου-
 δάζων παρὰ πᾶσαν τὴν κατηγορίαν. εἰ γὰρ τοῦτό ἐστιν ἀλη-
 5 θές καὶ τοιοῦτον ἔθος παραδέδυκεν ὑμῶν εἰς τὴν πολιτείαν, ὥστ'
 ἀκύρους νόμους ἐν τοῖς κυρίοις ἀναγεγράφθαι καὶ δύο περὶ μιᾶς
 πράξεως ὑπεναντίους ἀλλήλοις, τί ἂν ἔτι ταύτην εἴποι τις
 εἶναι τὴν πολιτείαν, ἐν ἣ ταῦτα προστάττουσιν οἱ νόμοι ποιεῖν
 καὶ μὴ ποιεῖν; ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔχει ταυθ' οὕτως· μήθ' ὑμεῖς ποτε εἰς 38
 10 τοσαύτην ἀταξίαν τῶν νόμων προβαίητε, οὔτε ἡμέληται περὶ
 τῶν τοιούτων τῷ νομοθέτῃ τῷ τὴν δημοκρατίαν καταστήσαντι,
 ἀλλὰ διαρρήδην προστέτακται τοῖς θεσμοθέταις καθ' ἕκαστον
 ἐνιαυτὸν διορθοῦν ἐν τῷ δήμῳ τοὺς νόμους, ἀκριβῶς ἐξετάσαντας
 καὶ σκεψαμένους, εἴ τις ἀναγέγραπται νόμος ἐναντίος ἐτέρῳ
 15 νόμῳ ἢ ἄκυρος ἐν τοῖς κυρίοις, ἢ εἴ που εἰσὶ νόμοι πλείους ἐνὸς
 ἀναγεγραμμένοι περὶ ἐκάστης πράξεως. κἂν τι τοιοῦτον εὐρί- 39
 σκωσιν, ἀναγεγραφότες ἐν σανίσιν ἐκτιθέναι κελεύει πρόσθεν

9. μήθ' ἢ μὴ ἀγνῶσκει.

καινοῖς εἰσιῶσιν εἰς τὴν σκηνήν. In D. de Cor. § 68, the strange phrase occurs *τραγῶδων τῇ καινῇ*, which looks almost as though the compiler found the dative used, and did not understand it.

ἐὰν ψηφίσῃται. Cp. ad D. de Cor. § 155.

3. ὅπερ διατελῶ σπουδάζων. The object for which Aeschines claims to be zealous is only to prove that he has the law with him, not simply to enforce the law: still this sincere eagerness to rest his case on legal rather than political grounds, is in harmony with his narrow conceptions and respectable character.

6. καὶ δύο περὶ μιᾶς πράξεως. *καὶ* marks a climax: it is bad enough to have obsolete laws mixed up with those still valid, but worse to have two laws mutually invalidating each other.

§§ 38-40. *Such folly is not only unworthy of the city, but impossible in it. The constitution provides an express remedy for any such danger.*

9. μήθ' ὑμεῖς... οὕτως ἡμέληται. It is physically possible but morally impossible for the nation to disgrace itself: for the legislator (whose work is complete and satisfactory, and an object of almost religious reverence) it is not even physically possible that he should have stultified himself so completely. Such is the motive for

using the two different negatives: occasionally they are coupled together where the antithesis is less emphatic and serious, e.g. Soph. Ant. 676, *οὐτ' ἂν δυναίμην μὴ τ' ἐπιστάμην λέγειν*. It is hardly necessary to suppose that Aeschines was consciously imitating a poetical construction, though as an actor he must have been familiar with Sophocles.

11. τῷ νομοθέτῃ τῷ τὴν δημοκρατίαν καταστήσαντι. Does Aeschines mean to assert that this particular law dated from Clisthenes? Solon can hardly be meant. Every organic law was popularly referred to him, the more readily that the history of Athens had really been a development of his code and constitution. Aeschines' views on Athenian history were decidedly inaccurate (see de F. L. p. 51, §§ 183-7), though the mention of *νομοθέτης τις* (inf. § 44) as reforming a recent abuse, shows that he knew everything did not date from Solon.

17. πρόσθεν τῶν ἐπωνύμων. Before the statues of the heroes, the Eponyms of the ten Tribes, which stood in the Ceramicus. This is an argument for the law being really as old as Clisthenes (whether Aeschines means expressly to ascribe it to him or not): it would be a special object with him to secure a religious sanction to his innovations on the old order.

τῶν ἐπωνύμων, τοὺς δὲ πρυτάνεις ποιεῖν ἐκκλησίαν ἐπιγράφαν-
τας νομοθέτας, τὸν δ' ἐπιστάτην τῶν προέδρων διαχειροτοinie
διδόναι τῷ δήμῳ, καὶ τοὺς μὲν ἀναιρεῖν τῶν νόμων, τοὺς δὲ
καταλείπειν, ὅπως ἂν εἰς ἡ νόμος καὶ μὴ πλείους ἐκάστης πρά-
ξεως. καὶ μοι λέγε τοὺς νόμους.

5

ΝΟΜΟΙ.

- 40 Εἰ τοίνυν, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ἀληθὴς ἦν ὁ παρὰ τούτων λόγος
καὶ ἦσαν δύο κείμενοι νόμοι περὶ τῶν κηρυγμάτων, ἐξ ἀνάγκης,
οἶμαι, τῶν μὲν θεσμοθετῶν ἐξευρόντων, τῶν δὲ πρυτάνεων ἀπο-
δόντων τοῖς νομοθέταις ἀνήρητ' ἂν ὁ ἕτερος τῶν νόμων, ἦτοι 10
ὁ τὴν ἐξουσίαν δεδωκώς ἀναιπεῖν ἢ ὁ ἀπαγορεύων ὅποτε δὲ
μὴδὲν τούτων γεγένηται, φανερώς δὴ που ἐξελέγχονται οὐ μό-
νον ψευδῇ λέγοντες, ἀλλὰ καὶ παντελῶς ἀδύνατα γενέσθαι.
- 41 ὅθεν δὲ δὴ τὸ ψεῦδος τοῦτο ἐπιφέρουσιν, ἐγὼ διδάξω ὑμᾶς
προειπὼν, ὧν ἕνεκα οἱ νόμοι ἐτέθησαν οἱ περὶ τῶν ἐν τῷ θεά- 15
τρῳ κηρυγμάτων. γιγνομένων γὰρ τῶν ἐν ἄστει τραγυφῶν
ἀνεκέρυττον τινες, οὐ πείσαντες τὸν δῆμον, οἱ μὲν ὅτι στεφα-
νοῦνται ὑπὸ τῶν φυλετῶν, ἕτεροι δ' ὑπὸ τῶν δημοτῶν ἄλλοι

* 2. νομοθέτας] Editores plerique νομοθέταις, Dobreeum secuti. 4. ἐκάστης πράξεως]
Legebatur περὶ ἐκάστης πράξεως. Praepositionem cum ἀγμιασδδβ deleuimus. 9. οἶμαι]
οἶομαι ἀγμιασδδ Bekk. ἀποδόντων] ἀποδιδόντων ἀγμια. 12. γογένηται] γένηται
ἀγμια. 18. ἕτεροι δ' ὑπὸ] δ τι ὑπὸ volt Cobetus.

1. ἐπιγράφαντας νομοθέτας. So the MSS. and Bekker. Dobree first suggested νομοθέ-
ταις, 'writing (on the notice of assembly)
"For the Nomothetae."' Such is doubtless
the sense: that would most likely be the
actual word written. But the accusative,
the word written being treated as the object
of the verb rather than an explanation of it,
may be defended: it is exactly like καμὸι,
λέγω γὰρ καμὸι, in Soph. Ant. 32 (contrast
ibid. 5. 567).

4. ἐκάστης πράξεως. The simple geni-
tive is like τὸν τῶν θεσμοθετῶν νόμον in
§ 206: we have therefore adopted the MS.
reading. Legebatur περὶ ἐκάστης.

8. ἐξ ἀνάγκης, οἶμαι. The fact that
there existed an elaborate machinery for
preserving consistency in the laws, does not
prove that it always worked faultlessly.
There seems some likelihood that the sys-
tem was too elaborate, and that the appoint-
ment of nomothetae was such a burdensome
delay in the constitutional repeal of a law,
that it was often preferred to allow it tacitly
to become obsolete, or even to risk a prose-
cution by legislating without them. But

probably a stricter rule of legal interpreta-
tion than ever existed at Athens would hold
that Aeschines was right in his main posi-
tion—that if two laws on one interpreta-
tion contradict each other (while neither
is specially repealed), another interpreta-
tion which reconciled them must be preferred
to it.

10. ἦτοι introduces the *preferable* alter-
native, the more likely, in Aeschines' opinion,
to be adopted; because, if his view of the
case was right, it was what the law did
actually enforce, and therefore in any case
must have aimed at enforcing. For the use
of ἦτοι . . . ἢ, cp. Thuc. 2. 40, ἦτοι κρινόμε-
νε ὁρθῶς ἢ ἐνδυμνόμενα, where ἦτοι intro-
duces the more certain alternative. So per-
haps in Aesch. Ag. 849, ἦτοι κίαντες ἢ
τεμόντες—burning is the milder remedy, to
be tried first, if adequate.

§ 41. The law alleged by them relates to
local, private,

16. γιγνομένων . . . τραγυφῶν. The per-
formers put for the performance, as sup.
§ 36, inf. § 45, etc.: cp. *gladiatoribus* in
Cic. Phil. 1. 15. 36.

δέ τινες ὑποκηρυζάμενοι τοὺς αὐτῶν οἰκέτας ἀφίεσαν ἀπελευ-
 θέρους, μάρτυρας τῆς ἀπελευθερίας τοὺς Ἕλληνας ποιοῦμενοι.
 ὁ δ' ἦν ἐπιφθονώτατος, προξενίας τινὲς εὐρημένοι ἐν ταῖς ἐξω 42
 πόλεσι διεπράττοντο ἀναγορεύεσθαι, ὅτι στεφανοὶ αὐτοὺς ὁ
 5 δῆμος, εἰ οὕτω τύχοι, ὁ τῶν Ῥοδίων ἢ Χίων ἢ καὶ ἄλλης
 τινὸς πόλεως ἀρετῆς ἕνεκα καὶ ἀνδραγαθίας. καὶ ταῦτ' ἔπρατ-
 τον οὐχ ὥσπερ οἱ ὑπὸ τῆς βουλῆς τῆς ὑμετέρας στεφανούμενοι
 ἢ ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου, πείσαντες ὑμᾶς καὶ μετὰ ψηφίσματος, πολ-
 λὴν χάριν καταθέμενοι, ἀλλ' αὐτοὶ προελόμενοι ἄνευ δόγματος
 10 ὑμετέρου. ἐκ δὲ τούτου τοῦ τρόπου συνέβαινε τοὺς μὲν θεατὰς 43
 καὶ τοὺς χορηγοὺς καὶ τοὺς ἀγωνιστὰς ἐνοχλεῖσθαι, τοὺς δὲ
 ἀνακηρυττομένους ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ μείζοσι τιμᾶσθαι τῶν ὑπὸ τοῦ
 δήμου στεφανουμένων. τοῖς μὲν γὰρ ἀπεδέδεικτο τόπος ἡ ἐκ-
 κλησία, ἐν ᾗ χρὴν στεφανοῦσθαι, καὶ ἀπείρητο ἄλλοθι μηδαμοῦ
 15 κηρύττεσθαι· οἱ δὲ ἀνηγορεύοντο ἐνώπιον ἀπάντων τῶν Ἑλλή-
 νων· κἀκεῖνοι μὲν μετὰ ψηφίσματος, πείσαντες ὑμᾶς, οὗτοι δ'
 ἄνευ ψηφίσματος. συνιδὼν δὲ τις ταῦτα νομοθέτης τίθησι 44-

2. τῆς ἀπελευθερίας] Post Ἕλληνας ponunt *ekl*, omitti jubet Cobet. 6. ἀρετῆς
 ἕνεκα] ἕνεκα ἀρετῆς omnes praeter *ekl*. Verborum ordo minus usitatus Frankio et ceteris,
 credo, editoribus displicuit: nobis haud multum abest quin placeat. 7. οἱ] Soli habent
ekl: et omitti, quamvis haud facile, aliquo tamen modo potest. 12. μείζοσι] *pekl* add
 τιμαῖς: itaque Bekk. Frank. 13. ἀπέδεικτο] *l* et Bekk. ἀποδείκτο.

1. ὑποκηρυζάμενοι. 'Sub praecone' Reiske. The force of the preposition may be illustrated by *ὑπαυλος*, 'to the sound of the flute.'

§ 42. And especially foreign honours;

4. διεπράττοντο. Perhaps bargained with the states for the crown, to get them undeserved credit at home; perhaps, having obtained the crown *bona fide*, gained the privilege of proclamation by bargaining with the theatre manager or the herald. διεπράττοντο must clearly be of something discreditable.

5. ὁ τῶν Ῥοδίων ἢ Χίων. These are the likeliest, as being neutral states, and as islanders possible, though not actual, allies of Athens. For an Athenian to be their friend might make him important at home, as possibly a useful diplomatist. For a man to receive a crown (say) from Thebes might raise a suspicion of treason: from Seriphus would be nugatory and ridiculous. Possibly also there may be an invidious allusion to Demosthenes' speech *ὑπὲρ τῆς Ῥοδίων ἐλευθερίας*.

8. πολλὴν χάριν καταθέμενοι. 'Having established large claims on your gratitude;' inserted to mark the magnitude of

the favour conferred by the people, which it required so much to earn. The phrase *χάριν καταθεῖσθαι* is too common, in this sense, to make it likely that it can here mean only 'making you the people they had to thank,' as inf. § 47, *ἵνα μείζω χάριν εἰδῇ τῶν στεφανούντων ὑμῖν*.

§ 43. Whose unauthorised proclamation in the theatre was both a nuisance and a bad example.

12. μείζοσι. The reading of all the best MSS. Volg. add. *τιμαῖς*, which might easily have either got in or slipped out, as a *διπτογραφία* real or supposed. The omission seems preferable, rather as being the less obvious reading than in deference to the authority of the known MSS. of Aeschines. The correction *μειζόνων* has hardly anything to recommend it. The reason why the honour is greater is twofold: the proclamation is made to a wider circle, and it rests (or appears to rest) only on the individual's merit, not the people's favour.

14. ἄλλοθι μηδαμοῦ. Vid. sup. ad § 32.

§ 44. And is forbidden by the law which Demosthenes quotes, without affecting the one I quote.

νόμον οὐδὲν ἐπικοινωνοῦντα τῷ περὶ τῶν ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου στεφανομένων νόμῳ, οὔτε λύσας ἐκείνον· οὐδὲ γὰρ ἡ ἐκκλησία ἠνωχλείτο, ἀλλὰ τὸ θέατρον· οὔτ' ἐναντίον τοῖς πρότερον κειμένοις νόμοις τιθείς· οὐ γὰρ ἔξοστιν· ἀλλὰ περὶ τῶν ἀνευ ψηφίσματος ὑμετέρου στεφανομένων ὑπὸ τῶν φυλετῶν καὶ δημοτῶν 5 καὶ περὶ τῶν τοὺς οἰκέτας ἀπελευθεροῦντων καὶ περὶ τῶν ξενικῶν στεφάνων, καὶ διαρρηδὴν ἀπαγορεύει μήτ' οἰκέτην ἀπελευθεροῦν ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ μήθ' ὑπὸ τῶν φυλετῶν ἢ δημοτῶν ἀναγορεύεσθαι στεφανούμενον, μηδ' ὑπ' ἄλλου, φησί, μηδενός, 45 ἢ ἄτιμον εἶναι τὸν κήρυκα. ὅταν οὖν ἀποδείξῃ τοῖς μὲν ὑπὸ 10 τῆς βουλῆς στεφανομένοις εἰς τὸ βουλευτήριον ἀναρρηθῆναι, τοῖς δ' ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου στεφανομένοις εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν, τοῖς δ' ὑπὸ τῶν δημοτῶν στεφανομένοις καὶ φυλετῶν ἀπείπῃ μὴ κηρύττεσθαι τοῖς τραγωδοῖς, ἵνα μηδεὶς ἐρανίζων στεφάνους καὶ κηρύγματα ψευδῇ φιλοτιμίαν κτᾶται, προσαπείπῃ δ' ἐν τῷ 15 νόμῳ μηδ' ὑπὸ ἄλλου μηδενός ἀνακηρύττεσθαι, ἀπούσης βουλῆς καὶ δήμου καὶ φυλετῶν καὶ δημοτῶν,—ὅταν δέ τις ταῦτα ἀφέλῃ, τί τὸ καταλειπόμενόν ἐστι πλὴν οἱ ξενικοὶ στέφα- 46 νοι; ὅτι δ' ἀληθῇ λέγω, μέγα σημεῖον ὑμῖν τούτου ἐξ αὐτῶν

1. περὶ] om *acbi*: cf. ad § 39.

Steph. et B. et S. ἠνωχλείτο.

9. μηδ'] Sic post Sauppium Frank.: libri *μήθ'*.

17. ὅταν δέ τις] Sic *am* *cd* *ekl*: ceteri *δθ*.

vid. annot. in § 46.

3. ἠνωχλείτο] Sic *agm* *sch*, ceteri scripti *ἠνωχλείτο*:

9. μηδ'] Sic post Sauppium Frank.: libri *μήθ'*.

17. ὅταν δέ τις] Sic *ekl*: ceteri *ἀφέλῃται*. Cp. § 30, et

vid. annot. in § 46.

2. οὔτε λύσας ἐκείνον . . οὐ γὰρ ἔξοστιν. 'It neither repeals the other, for its object is different, nor contravenes it by a side-wind, for that is illegal.'

9. μήθ' ὑπ' ἄλλου, φησί, μηδενός. Of course this would verbally include the Athenian people, but it would not prove in the least that the other law, relating to crowns given by them, was to be altered. This law limited the proclamation of crowns that might legally (as things then were) be proclaimed in the theatre, and did not license those that might not.

10. τὸν κήρυκα. It therefore probably rested with him what proclamations were made.

§ 45. *National crowns have their place fixed by one law; local and foreign by the other;*

ἀποδείξῃ. The subject must be either δ νόμος or δ νομοθέτης: perhaps the latter is likelier, as Aeschines has been insisting that the laws (that appointing the Senate-house or the Assembly as the place of proclamation, and that allowing, under certain conditions, of the Theatre) are different in

scope, origin, and occasion. He admits, indeed (apparently), that they differ in date, and so presumably in authorship: but to this he is not concerned to attend. In fact the Greek idiom, making it superfluous to name the subject, makes it also superfluous to conceive it distinctly.

11. εἰς τὸ βουλευτήριον. The proclamation is spoken at once *in* and *to* the Senate-house; addressed, as it were, *into the midst* of the assembled senators.

14. ἵνα μηδεὶς . . κτᾶται. 'That no one may get a false reputation for public spirit by collecting crowns.' The notion of ἐρανος is simply a contribution; it may be started by the contributors or by another; or, again, for their own benefit or for another's.

16. ἀπούσης . . δημοτῶν. 'Since senate, people, tribesmen, wardsmen are excluded,' the two latter by this law, the two former, as Aeschines contends, by the other.

§ 46. *Subject to the condition (not only of a popular vote, but) of consecration of the crown itself: a condition it would be scandalous to annex to one's own gift,*

τῶν νόμων ἐπιδείξω. αὐτὸν γὰρ τὸν χρυσοῦν στέφανον, ὃς ἂν ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ τῷ ἐν ἄστει ἀναρρηθῇ, ἱερὸν εἶναι τῆς Ἀθηναῖς κελεύει ὁ νόμος, ἀφελόμενος τὸν στεφανούμενον. καίτοι τίς ἂν ὑμῶν τολμήσειε τοσαύτην ἀνελευθερίαν καταγνῶναι τοῦ δήμου 5 τῶν Ἀθηναίων; μὴ γὰρ ὅτι πόλις, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἂν ιδιώτης οὐδὲ εἰς οὕτως ἀγεννὴς γένοιτο, ὥστε ὃν αὐτὸς ἔδωκε στέφανον ἅμα ἀνακηρύττειν καὶ ἀφαιρεῖσθαι καὶ καθιεροῦν. ἀλλ', οἶμαι, διὰ τὸ ξενικὸν εἶναι τὸν στέφανον καὶ ἡ καθιέρωσις γίγνεται, ἵνα 10 μηδεὶς ἀλλοτρίαν εὐνοίαν περὶ πλείονος ποιούμενος τῆς πατρίδος χεῖρων γένηται τὴν ψυχὴν. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐκείνον τὸν ἐν τῇ 47 ἐκκλησίᾳ στέφανον ἀναρρηθέντα οὐδεὶς καθιεροῖ, ἀλλ' ἔξεστι κεκτῆσθαι, ἵνα μὴ μόνον αὐτὸς, ἀλλὰ καὶ οἱ ἐξ ἐκείνου, ἔχοντες ἐν τῇ οἰκίᾳ τὸ ὑπόμνημα, μηδέποτε κακοὶ τὴν ψυχὴν εἰς τὸν δῆμον γίγνωνται. καὶ διὰ τοῦτο προσέθηκεν ὁ νομοθέτης μὴ 15 κηρύττεσθαι τὸν ἀλλότριον στέφανον ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ, εἰ μὴ ψηφίσηται ὁ δῆμος, ἢ ἡ πόλις ἢ βουλομένη τινα τῶν ὑμετέρων στεφανοῦν πρέσβεις πέμψασα δεσθῇ τοῦ δήμου, ἵνα κηρυττόμενος μεῖζω χάριν εἰδῇ τῶν στεφανούντων ὑμῖν, ὅτι

2. τῷ θεάτρῳ τῷ ἐν ἄστει] τῷ θεάτρῳ ἐν ἄστει *scd*, τῷ θεάτρῳ ἄστει *dt*. 3. κελεύει ὁ νόμος] ὁ νόμος κελεύει *ek Bekk*. 5. τῶν Ἀθηναίων] τοῦ Ἀθηναίου *ek Bekk*. *Vid. annot. ad loc.* 11. στέφανον ἀναρρηθέντα] *Sic agn*: ceteri *transp*. 16. τινα τῶν ὑμετέρων] τῶν πολιτῶν τινα τῶν ὑμετέρων *volt Hamaker*, *haud sine aliqua ratione*. *Gaudeo certe, semel viro docto verba addenda, non tollenda visa esse.*

3. ἀφελόμενος. The middle is used, because the law not only takes the crown away, but disposes of it. Just above we have *ἀφέλῃ* in the active: there one is simply to subtract the classes named, and has nothing more to do with them.

5. τῶν Ἀθηναίων. *Bekk. et Brem.* τοῦ Ἀθηναίου: et sic *passim*. The construction is perhaps more Attic, but it seems superfluous to assume that it was universal, in the face of MSS.

μὴ γὰρ ὅτι κ.τ.λ. 'For not to say a city, there is not a single private man who would be so mean as, at the same moment, to proclaim, take away, and consecrate the crown of his own giving,' the strongest of Aeschines' arguments on this point, considering how little Athenian law could bear to be treated as faultlessly systematic. *πόλις* is a word of honour, in itself including moral dignity: cp. *ad D. de Cor.* § 29. οὐδὲ *εἰς* is really an afterthought, 'there is not only no city, but no individual, not one, who ...'

9. τῆς πατρίδος. 'Than *that* of his country,' τῆς, in all probability, not agreeing

with but governing *πατρίδος*. It would have been *τῆς τῆς πατρίδος*, except on euphonic grounds.

§ 47. *And not annexed to gifts really national: while both conditions are fitting with foreign ones.*

13. μηδέποτε .. γίγνωνται. For the sentiment, of men's feelings towards the nation depending on the nation's treatment of their ancestors, cp. *inf.* § 169.

17. ἵνα κηρυττόμενος .. ὁμῶν. 'That he may, through his proclamation, feel more gratitude to you for permitting it, than to those who give the crown.' τῶν στεφανούντων = ἡ τοῖς στεφανούσιν: the construction of the genitive after a comparative is not common, when the noun in the other construction would be in a case other than nominative or accusative. A gloss was added, ἡ τοῖς στεφανούσιν, which in the MSS. appears in the text after ὁμῶν. Though grammatically this clause is expressed as being the only object of the law, it is probable that Aeschines contemplates the homage of foreign states (ἢ ἡ πόλις .. δεσθῇ τοῦ δήμου) as part of the benefit.

κηρύξει ἐπετρέψατε. ὅτι δ' ἀληθῇ λέγω, τῶν νόμων αὐτῶν ἀκούσατε.

NOMOI.

- 48 Ἐπειδὴν τοίνυν ἑξαπατῶντες ὑμᾶς λέγωσιν, ὡς προσγέ-
γραπται ἐν τῷ νόμῳ ἐξεῖναι στεφανοῦν, ἐὰν ψηφίσηται ὁ δῆμος, 5
ἀπομνημονεύετε αὐτοῖς ὑποβάλλειν ναι, εἴ γε σέ τις ἄλλη
πόλις στεφανοῖ· εἰ δὲ ὁ δῆμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων, ἀποδέδεικται σοι
τόπος, ὅπου δεῖ τοῦτο γενέσθαι, ἀπείρηται σοι ἕξω τῆς ἐκ-
κλησίας μὴ κηρύττεσθαι. τὸ γὰρ “ἄλλοθι δὲ μηδαμοῦ” ὃ τι
ἔστιν, ὅλην τὴν ἡμέραν λέγε· οὐ γὰρ ἀποδείξεις, ὡς ἔννομα 10
γέγραφας.
- 49 Ἔστι δὲ ὑπόλοιπόν μοι μέρος τῆς κατηγορίας, ἐφ' ᾧ μάλι-
στα σπουδάζω· τοῦτο δὲ ἐστὶν ἡ πρόφασις, δι' ἣν αὐτὸν ἀξιοῖ
στεφανοῦσθαι. λέγει γὰρ οὕτως ἐν τῷ ψηφίσματι “καὶ τὸν 15
κήρυκα ἀναγορεύειν ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ πρὸς τοὺς Ἕλληνας, ὅτι στε-
φανοῖ αὐτὸν ὁ δῆμος ὁ τῶν Ἀθηναίων ἀρετῆς ἕνεκα καὶ ἀνδρα-
γαθίας,” καὶ τὸ μέγιστον “ὅτι διατελεῖ λέγων καὶ πράττων
- 50 τὰ ἄριστα τῷ δήμῳ.” ἀπλοῦς δὴ παντάπασιν ὁ μετὰ ταῦτα
ἡμῖν λόγος γίγνεται, καὶ ὑμῖν ἀκούσασι κρίναι εὐμαθῆς· δεῖ
γὰρ δὴ πού τὸν μὲν κατηγοροῦντα ἐμὲ τοῦθ' ὑμῖν ἐπιδεικνύναι, 20
ὡς εἰσὶν οἱ κατὰ Δημοσθένους ἔπαινοι ψευδεῖς καὶ ὡς οὗτ'
ἤρξατο λέγειν τὰ βέλτιστα οὔτε νῦν διατελεῖ πράττων τὰ
συμφέροντα τῷ δήμῳ. καὶν τοῦτ' ἐπιδείξω, δικαίως δὴ πού
τὴν γραφὴν ἀλώσεται Κτησιφῶν· ἅπαντες γὰρ ἀπαγορεύουσιν

4. προσγέγραπται] Sic et: ceteri προγέγραπται. Vid. ad loc. 6. ὑποβάλλων] ὑποβαλεῖν scd.

§ 48. You are now in a position to see through their frivolous excuses.

4. προσγέγραπται. 'Demosthenes and Ctesiphon will pretend there is a supplementary clause to the law, the truth being that there is a distinct law about a distinct matter.' Most MSS. have προγέγραπται, but it is hardly appropriate to the sense, and would imply that the law was more explicit than they could even pretend.

9. τὸ γὰρ . . ἔννομα γέγραφας. For the very object of the γραφὴ παρανόμων was to prevent a casual vote of an excited assembly overriding the permanent law, as, on Demosthenes' view of the effect of the Dionysiac law, it allowed to be done in the case of public honours.

§ 49. I proceed to my main point—*ibat* Ctesiphon's form of proclamation is false in fact.

12. ἐφ' ᾧ μάλιστα σπουδάζω. This

hardly contradicts § 37 sup., where Aeschines lays such stress on the legal aspects of the case. By the terms of the indictment this party question was a branch of the legal one.

13. πρόφασις. Depreciatory as usual, though it is not meant so much that Ctesiphon's real motive was not the alleged one, as that the allegation itself was false.

§ 50. He is not a salutary counsellor, not a good citizen: for Ctesiphon to call him so is falsifying our public records.

21. οἱ κατὰ Δημοσθένους ἔπαινοι. κατὰ thus used in a good sense is rare, but cp. D. de Cor. § 272.

οὗτ' ἤρξατο λέγειν . . πράττων. Neither the policy he recommended, while no more than one speaker among many, nor that he has executed, since your misplaced confidence in him.

24. ἅπαντες. Here Aeschines judiciously appeals to 'every law there is:' to quote

οἱ νόμοι μηδένα ψευδῇ γράμματα ἐγγράφειν ἐν τοῖς δημο-
σίοις ψηφίσμασι. τῷ δ' ἀπολογουμένῳ τούναντίον τούτου δεικ-
τέον ἐστίν. ὑμεῖς δ' ἡμῖν ἔσεσθε τῶν λόγων κριταί. ἔχει δ'
οὕτως.

- 5 Ἐγὼ τὸν μὲν βίον τὸν Δημοσθένους ἐξετάζειν μακροτέρου 51
λόγου ἔργον ἡγοῦμαι εἶναι. τί γὰρ δεῖ νῦν ταῦτα λέγειν, ἢ
τὰ περὶ τὴν τοῦ τραύματος γραφὴν αὐτῷ συμβεβηκότα, ὅτ'
ἐγράψατο εἰς Ἄρειον πάγον Δημομέλην τὸν Παιανιέα ἀνεψιὸν
ὄντα ἑαυτῷ, καὶ τὴν τῆς κεφαλῆς ἐπιτομήν. ἢ τὰ περὶ τὴν
10 Κηφισοδότου στρατηγίαν καὶ τὸν τῶν νεῶν ἔκπλουν τὸν εἰς 52
Ἑλλήσποντον, ὅτε εἰς ὧν τῶν τριηράρχων Δημοσθένης καὶ
περιάγων τὸν στρατηγὸν ἐπὶ τῆς νεῶς καὶ συσσιτῶν καὶ συν-
θύων καὶ συσπένδων, καὶ τούτων ἀξιοθεῖς διὰ τὸ πατρικὸς αὐτῷ
φίλος εἶναι, οὐκ ὤκνησεν ἀπ' εἰσαγγελίας αὐτοῦ κρινομένου περὶ
15 θανάτου κατήγορος γενέσθαι· καὶ ταῦτα ἤδη τὰ περὶ Μειδίαν
καὶ τοὺς κονδύλους, οὓς ἔλαβεν ἐν τῇ ὀρχήστρᾳ χορηγὸς ὧν,
καὶ ὡς ἀπέδοτο τριάκοντα μνῶν ἅμα τὴν τε εἰς αὐτὸν ὕβριν

1. γράμματα ἐγγράφειν] γράμματα γράφειν *e*, γράφειν *l*. Illud restitui volt Bakius, deleri γράμματα Frankius. Equidem Bakio paene assentior. 6. εἶναι] Om. *z*. 8. Παιανιέα] Itane an Παιανία hoc verbum scribendum sit, jam ab Harpocrationis diebus quaestio fuit. Hic Παιανία habent libri, idque nos invito Frankio pro contracto παιανία restituiimus. 15. καὶ] *4* Mead. Reisk. Sed variat consulto Aeschines formam loquendi: vid. annot. ad loc.

chapter and verse as he did before would have shown that the legislator meant to forbid the falsification of decrees when passed, not the passing of decrees with a false preamble.

§§ 51, 52. Judge his character by the stories of his private crimes and frauds:

9. κεφαλῆς ἐπιτομήν. Cp. inf. § 213 (where this story is alluded to, while that of Midias is expressly mentioned), Ae. de F. L. § 99, p. 40.

10. Κηφισοδότου. See Dem. c. Aristocr. p. 670 sq., §§ 180 sqq. He was fined five talents, narrowly escaping a capital sentence: *ibid.* § 199.

12. περιάγων .. ἐπὶ τῆς νεῶς. According to the Scholiast, it was a compliment from the general, to sail with the captain whose ship was in best condition; if so, τούτων ἀξιοθεῖς *κ.τ.λ.* has a special point: 'he betrayed an old friend, who had strained a point to do him honour.' For without these words, the compliment received from the general might tend to prove Demosthenes a faithful public servant.

συσσιτῶν καὶ συνθύων καὶ συσπένδων. All these would constitute ties which it was impious to violate. We get a similar charge against Demosthenes, inf. § 225,

where we find mentioned and rejected the plea we should naturally expect, that he had cared more for public duty than for private. Probably general opinion was on Aeschines' side, at least before the rise of Stoicism; it might almost be said that modern opinion is so too, if we compare the case of Essex and Bacon.

15. καὶ ταῦτα ἤδη. He affects surprise, that he has no sooner quitted one disgraceful episode than he finds he has come to another. One might represent ἤδη by 'presently.' Reiske reads *ἦ* for *καὶ* with one MS., which is possible, but needless.

16. τοὺς κονδύλους .. χορηγὸς ὧν. The mere affront was a disgrace, besides the disgrace of compromising it.

17. ἀπέδοτο τριάκοντα μνῶν. Grote suggests that the compromise in this case may have been a creditable and legal one, no more than acquiescence in Midias' υποτίμησις of a fine of thirty minae, in place of the capital penalty proposed at first by Demosthenes. If the facts had been so, it is conceivable that Aeschines would have represented them as he does; but we have really no grounds for the conjecture, except the supposed impeccability of a high-minded politician.

καὶ τὴν τοῦ δήμου καταχειροτονίαν, ἣν ἐν Διονύσου κατεχειρο-
 53 τόνησε Μειδίῳ. ταῦτα μὲν οὖν μοι δοκῶ καὶ τᾶλλα τὰ τού-
 τοις ὁμοία ὑπερβήσεσθαι, οὐ προδιδούς ὑμᾶς οὐδὲ τὸν ἀγῶνα
 καταχαρίζομενος, ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνο φοβούμενος, μή μοι παρ' ὑμῶν
 ἀπαντήσῃ τὸ δοκεῖν μὲν ἀληθῆ λέγειν, ἀρχαία δὲ καὶ λίαν ὁμο- 5
 λογούμενα. καίτοι, ὦ Κτησιφῶν, ὅτῳ τὰ μέγιστα τῶν αἰ-
 σχρῶν οὕτως ἐστὶ πιστὰ καὶ γνώριμα τοῖς ἀκούουσιν, ὥστε τὸν
 κατήγορον μὴ δοκεῖν ψευδῇ λέγειν, ἀλλὰ παλαιὰ καὶ λίαν
 προσωμολογημένα, πότερα αὐτὸν δεῖ χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ στεφανω-
 θῆναι ἢ ψέγεσθαι; καὶ σὲ τὸν ψευδῇ καὶ παρανομα τολμῶντα 10
 γράφειν πότερα χρή καταφρονεῖν τῶν δικαστηρίων ἢ δίκην τῇ
 πόλει διδόναι;

54 Περὶ δὲ τῶν δημοσίων ἀδικημάτων πειράσομαι σαφέστερον
 εἰπεῖν. καὶ γὰρ πυνθάνομαι μέλλειν Δημοσθένην, ἐπειδὴν αὐ-
 τοῖς ὁ λόγος ἀποδοθῇ, καταριθμεῖσθαι πρὸς ὑμᾶς, ὡς ἄρα τῇ 15
 πόλει τέτταρες ἤδη γεγέννηται καιροί, ἐν οἷς αὐτὸς πεπολίτευ-
 ται. ὦν ἓνα μὲν καὶ πρῶτον, ὡς ἔγωγε ἀκούω, καταλογίζεται
 ἐκεῖνον τὸν χρόνον, ἐν ᾧ πρὸς Φίλιππον ὑπὲρ Ἀμφιπόλεως

5. δοκεῖν μὲν ἀληθῇ] Ita libri: facile est ἀληθῇ μὲν cum Cobeto corrigere. Quod sen-
 tentia quidem postulat; sed non Aeschinem tantum, verum etiam scribas, saltem antiquiores,
 crediderim cognovisse quantum logicus verborum ordo posset immutari. 7. ὥστε] Sic ek:
 ceteri ὡς, quod vix aut hic aut in § 96 restitui potest. 9. προσωμολογημένα] προσωμολο-
 γήμενα scd., idque mavolt Cobet. Facilior sane vulgati interpretatio. 12. διδόναι] δοῦναι
 ek! Bekk. 17. πρῶτον] πάντων πρῶτον ek! Bekk., πρῶτον πάντων an p Tauchn.

§ 53. Stories which there is no need for me to tell, and which by their familiarity condemn Ctesiphon.

3. οὐ προδιδούς . . . καταχαρίζομενος. 'Not that I mean to betray you, or condone the trial,' as Demosthenes did that of Midias. It is not necessary in Greek, as in English, to emphasise the antithesis between the two persons. καταχαρίζομενος = 'to give up as a personal favour.'

4. παρ' ὑμῶν ἀπαντήσῃ. A rather strangely strong expression; 'lest I should be encountered by you with the feeling, that . . . is exactly the sense. Cp. Ae. in Tim. p. 23, § 164, for a similar phrase, where, however, the subject is κρῆνη, not an abstract thought.

10. ἢ ψέγεσθαι. 'Languet. Sensui sufficeret ἀπολέσθαι.' B. and S. But the anti-climax is intentional: Aeschines is not prosecuting Demosthenes, but only trying to cancel a vote of thanks in his favour. Supposing such irony intended, there was no danger of the point being missed when the speech was heard, not read,—a thing always to be remembered in judging of the likelihood of rhetorical point.

§ 54. But is a traitor as well as a scoundrel, and that ibrought about his political career: the partner of Philocrates,

13. σαφέστερον. 'More explicitly,' not (like his private life) in mere passing hints.

14. πυνθάνομαι μέλλειν Δημοσθένην κ.τ.λ. There is no passage such as this in Demosthenes' actual speech. He follows (with many digressions) a roughly chronological order, in enumerating his public services, but nowhere divides his life into periods; and, had he done so, it is hardly likely that a passage so closely connected with the whole argument could have been dropped in preparing the speech for publication. It is likely enough that Aeschines had really heard rumours, not always accurate, about the line of defence Demosthenes intended to take, so that we need not (indeed we cannot, without supposing the whole speech rewritten) imagine that this passage was added after the date of trial. Details and illustrations, like §§ 190, 226, etc., stand on a different footing.

αὐτοῖς. Note that he assumes Demosthenes will speak. Contrast inf. § 200 sqq.

ἐπολεμοῦμεν· τοῦτον δ' ἀφορίζεται τῇ γενομένη εἰρήνῃ καὶ
 συμμαχία, ἣν Φιλοκράτης ὁ Ἀγνούσιος ἔγραψε καὶ αὐτὸς
 οὗτος μετ' ἐκείνου, ὡς ἐγὼ δείξω. δεύτερον δὲ καιρόν φησι 55
 γενέσθαι ὃν ἤγομεν χρόνον τὴν εἰρήνην, δηλονότι μέχρι τῆς
 5 ἡμέρας ἐκείνης, ἐν ᾗ καταλύσας τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν εἰρήνην τῇ
 πόλει ὁ αὐτὸς οὗτος ῥήτωρ ἔγραψε τὸν πόλεμον· τρίτον δὲ
 ὃν ἐπολεμοῦμεν χρόνον μέχρι τῆς ἀτυχίας τῆς ἐν Χαιρωνείᾳ,
 τέταρτον δὲ τὸν νῦν παρόντα καιρόν. ταῦτα δὲ καταριθμη-
 σάμενος, ὡς ἀκούω, μέλλει με παρακαλεῖν καὶ ἐπερωτᾶν, οἷοιον
 10 τούτων τῶν τεττάρων αὐτοῦ καιρῶν κατηγορῶ καὶ πότε αὐτὸν
 οὐ τὰ βέλτιστά φημι τῷ δῆμῳ πεπολιτεῦσθαι· κἂν μὴ θέλω
 ἀποκρίνασθαι, ἀλλ' ἐγκαλύπτωμαι καὶ ἀποδιδράσκω, ἐκκαλύ-
 ψειν μέ φησι προσελθὼν καὶ ἄξειν ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα καὶ ἀναγκά-
 σειν ἀποκρίνασθαι. ἴν' οὖν μήθ' οὗτος ἰσχυρίζεται ὑμεῖς τε 56
 15 προειδῆτε ἐγὼ τε ἀποκρίνωμαι, ἐναντίον σοι τῶν δικαστῶν,
 Δημόσθενες, καὶ τῶν ἄλλων πολιτῶν, ὅσοι δὴ ἔξωθεν περιε-
 στάσι, καὶ τῶν Ἑλλήνων, ὅσοις ἐπιμελὲς γέγονεν ἐπακούειν
 τῆσδε τῆς κρίσεως· ὁρῶ δὲ οὐκ ὀλίγους παρόντας, ἀλλ' ὄσους

1. ἀφορίζεται] ἀφορίζεται τὸν χρόνον Bekk., cum omnibus praeter ehl, nisi quod τὸν om.
 mar. n. 3. καιρόν] Om. gm B. et S. Frank. 8. ταῦτα δὲ] ταῦτα δὲ corr. b +.
 9. παρακαλεῖν] καλεῖν fcdh: παρακαλεῖν habet k in γρ. 15. ἐγὼ τε ἀποκρίνωμαι] Sic
 libri plerique optimi. Cum ἐγὼ τε ἀποκρίνομαι habeant dg, B. et S. scribunt ἐγὼ ἀποκρίνομαι
 (dum Sauppius ἐγὼγε conjicit); Bakius ex codicis p lectione (ἐγὼ τε ἀποκρινούμαι) ἐγὼ τί
 ἀποκρινούμαι; legit. Tria verba volt deleta Hamakerus, duo Frankius, ita ut ἐναντίον
 σοι... ἀποκρίνομαι jungerentur. Sed vide annot. ad loc. 16. δῆ] δὲ afcd, δὲ gmb, τε n,
 om. ehl.

3. οὗτος μετ' ἐκείνου. For the conflict-
 ing evidence of these speeches and those on the
 Παρπρεσβεία, see the Life of Demo-
 sthenes.

§ 55. The author of our last ruinous war,
 our failures in it, and our present impotence:
 for he is equally guilty for all,

5. τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν εἰρήνην. 'The
 peace we had, such as it was;' for in spite
 of the treachery of Demosthenes and Philo-
 crates, and all we lost by them in making
 peace, it was worth having.

9. παρακαλεῖν. 'Call upon me, invite
 me,' to help out his case, referring, appa-
 rently, to such an invitation as inf. § 165,
 D. de Cor. § 9. Feeling that the word was
 a strange one to use of an enemy, several
 MSS. have substituted the simple καλεῖν.

12. ἐγκαλύπτωμαι καὶ ἀποδιδράσκω.
 To be taken quite literally, 'cover myself
 up, and hurry away.' In spite of what has
 been said on the last section, these words look
 like an actual quotation from Demosthenes'
 speech as delivered, or at least as prepared.

§ 56. Since he wishes to know; as I will
 prove to you and the strangers in court:

14. ἴν' οὖν μήθ' οὗτος κ.τ.λ. 'So, in
 order that he may lose his chance of a strong
 point, and you (the court) may be fore-
 warned, and I have my answer ready for
 you, Demosthenes, before the judges I
 answer,' etc. The words seem to support
 the view, that Aeschines really had spoilt by
 anticipation a point that Demosthenes had
 intended to make, and caused the omission
 of a vigorous passage in his speech. The
 force of ἀποκρίνομαι after ἀποκρινέσθαι is,
 'You expect an answer: here is one, which
 will serve the two other objects also.'

16. ὅσοι δὴ ἔξωθεν περιεστῶσι. Com-
 pare the remarks on the παράταξις of parti-
 sans at the opening of the speech; also § 8.
 ὅσοι δὴ is no doubt the right reading, though
 one group of MSS. omits δὴ, and most of
 the others substitute δὲ or τε. If δὲ or τε
 were read, it would have to be joined with
 τῶν Ἑλλήνων; and then the second ὅσοις
 would be unbearably awkward.

οὐδεὶς πώποτε μέμνηται πρὸς ἀγῶνα δημόσιον παραγενομένους ἀποκρίνομαι, ὅτι ἀπάντων τῶν τεττάρων καιρῶν κατηγορῶ σου, 57 οὗς σὺ διαιρεῖς, κὰν οἱ τε θεοὶ θέλωσι καὶ οἱ δικασταὶ ἐξ ἴσου ἡμῶν ἀκούσῃσι κὰγῶ δύνωμαι ἀπομνημονεῦσαι ἃ σοὶ σύνοιδα, πάννυ προσδοκῶ ἐπιδείξειν τοῖς δικασταῖς τῆς μὲν σωτηρίας τῇ 5 πόλει τοὺς θεοὺς αἰτίους γεγεννημένους καὶ τοὺς φιλανθρώπως καὶ μετρίως τοῖς τῆς πόλεως πράγμασι χρησαμένους, τῶν δὲ ἀτυχημάτων ἀπάντων Δημοσθένην αἴτιον γεγεννημένον. καὶ χρῆσομαι τῇ τοῦ λόγου τάξει ταύτῃ, ἣν τοῦτον πυνθάνομαι ποιεῖσθαι μέλλειν, λέξω δὲ πρῶτον περὶ τοῦ πρώτου καιροῦ 10 καὶ δεύτερον περὶ τοῦ δευτέρου καὶ τρίτον περὶ τοῦ ἐφεξῆς καὶ τέταρτον περὶ τῶν νῦν καθεστηκότων πραγμάτων. καὶ δὴ ἐπανάγω ἐμαυτὸν ἐπὶ τὴν εἰρήνην, ἣν σὺ καὶ Φιλοκράτης ἐγράψατε.

58 Ὑμῖν γὰρ ἐξεγένετ' ἂν, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, τὴν προτέραν ἐκείνην 15 εἰρήνην ποιήσασθαι μετὰ κοινού συνεδρίου τῶν Ἑλλήνων, εἴ τινες ὑμᾶς εἶσαν περιμεῖναι τὰς πρεσβείας, ἃς ἦτε ἐκπεπομφότες κατ' ἐκείνον τὸν καιρὸν εἰς τὴν Ἑλλάδα, παρακαλοῦντες ἐπὶ Φίλιππον, μετασχεῖν Ἑλληνικοῦ συνεδρίου, καὶ προϊόντος

2. ἀποκρίνομαι] ἀποκρινούμαι agn. corr. ἀποκρίνωμαι el. 3. διαιρεῖς] Sic agn. ceteri et Bekk. διαιρῶ. 4. σοὶ] Om. agn. 5. ἐπιδείξειν] Sic aehl et gr. gm: gmn et superscr. b ἐπιγράφειν, ceteri ἀποδείξειν. 8. αἰτίον γεγεννημένον] Transp. ahl: 'sed cum Taylore abesse malim' Bait. 10. ποιεῖσθαι μέλλειν] Transp. el Bekk. 17. περιμεῖναι] περιμείνοντας Reisk.: et Frank., dum περιμεῖναι legit, mox μετασχεῖν Ἑλληνικοῦ συνεδρίου volt omitta.

§ 57. And that it is no thanks to him that he has not done more harm than he has.

3. κὰν οἱ τε θεοὶ .. ἃ σοὶ σύνοιδα. He continues to address Demosthenes, to make the final taunt more pointed; and so is able to throw the condition of the impartiality of the court into the third person, which is less disrespectful to them.

4. ἃ σοὶ σύνοιδα. 'What I know against you.' In Latin or English an equivalent preposition would imply the guilty knowledge of an accomplice.

6. καὶ τοὺς φιλανθρώπως .. χρησαμένους. Especially, of course, Philip himself, on account of the terms he granted after Chaeroneia; but the plural may be designed to include Alexander also, who did not press against Athens the charge of complicity in the Theban revolt.

8. ἀπάντων. Demosthenes was the single cause of the misfortunes of Athens, while there were two causes of her safety. In a similar spirit he calls the misfortunes of Athens πράγματα when he speaks of Philip's use of them, ἀνυχήματα when of Demosthenes' responsibility for them.

13. ἐπὶ τὴν εἰρήνην. During the Olynthiac war, Aeschines had opposed Philip; he therefore could not blame Demosthenes for the war, nor for its ill success, since his advocacy of strong measures was unsuccessful.

§ 58. But for Demosthenes and Philocrates, you would have had an honourable peace with Philip, concluded in concert with all Greece.

17. περιμεῖναι. Reiske's conjecture περιμείνοντας makes the style of the passage neater. A more probable improvement is Franke's, to omit μετασχεῖν Ἑλληνικοῦ συνεδρίου, which looks like a gloss, and is an awkward repetition of εἰρήνην ποιήσασθαι μετὰ κοινού συνεδρίου τῶν Ἑλλήνων.

ἃς ἦτε ἐκπεπομφότες. The periphrastic form of the pluperfect is not adopted merely for rhythm. It suggests—men who had sent embassies all over Greece in a pan-Hellenic cause had done a dignified thing. The Athenians were in enjoyment of this dignity.

19. προϊόντος τοῦ χρόνου. A congress under Athenian presidency would be a great

τοῦ χρόνου παρ' ἐκόντων τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἀπολαβεῖν τὴν ἡγεμονίαν· καὶ τούτων ἀπεστερήθητε διὰ Δημοσθένην καὶ Φιλοκράτην καὶ τὰς τούτων δωροδοκίας, αἷς ἐδωροδόκησαν συστάντες ἐπὶ τὸ δημόσιον τὸ ὑμέτερον. εἰ δέ τισιν ὑμῶν ἐξαίφνης ἀκούσασιν 59
 5 ἀπιστότερος προσπέπτωκεν ὁ τοιοῦτος λόγος, ἐκείνως τὴν ὑπολοιπὸν ποιήσασθε ἀκρόασιν, ὥσπερ ὅταν περὶ χρημάτων ἀνηλωμένων διὰ πολλοῦ χρόνου καθεζόμεθα ἐπὶ τοὺς λογισμούς. ἐρχόμεθα δὴ πού ψευδεῖς οἰκοθεν ἐνίοτε δόξας ἔχοντες κατὰ τῶν λογισμῶν· ἀλλ' ὅμως ἐπειδὴν ὁ λογισμὸς συγκεφαλαιωθῇ, 10
 οὐδεὶς ἡμῶν ἐστὶν οὕτω δύσκολος τὴν φύσιν, ὅστις οὐκ ἀπέρχεται τοῦθ' ὁμολογήσας καὶ ἐπινεύσας ἀληθὲς εἶναι, ὃ τι ἂν αὐτὸς ὁ λογισμὸς αἰρῇ. οὕτω καὶ νῦν τὴν ἀκρόασιν ποιήσασθε. 80
 εἴ τινες ὑμῶν ἐκ τῶν ἔμπροσθεν χρόνων ἤκουσιν οἰκοθεν τοιαύτην ἔχοντες τὴν δόξαν, ὡς ἄρα ὁ Δημοσθένης οὐδὲν πώποτε 15
 εἶρκεν ὑπὲρ Φιλίππου συστάς μετὰ Φιλοκράτους,—ὅστις οὕτω διάκειται, μὴτ' ἀπογνώτω μηδὲν μήτε καταγνώτω, πρὶν ἂν

1. ἀπολαβεῖν] τέτεγγετο ἀπολαβεῖν corr. b +. 5. ἀπιστότερος] ἀπιστος ekl.
 10. ἡμῶν] Sic z et Steph.: ceteri et B. et S. ὑμῶν. ὑμῶν post ἐστὶν ponunt ekl. 11. καὶ ἐπινεύσας] Om. ekl. ὃ τι ἂν] ὃ δ' ἂν f, +δ δ' ἂν pr. b +. 16. πρὶν ἂν ἀκούσῃ] Sic post Reisig. B. et S. et Frankius: πρὶν ἀκούσει agtn, πρὶν ἀκούσει kl, ceteri (et superscr. in n) Bekk. πρὶν ἀκούσῃ.

step in the direction of a permanent Athenian leadership.

2. δ. ἀ Δημοσθένην καὶ Φιλοκράτην .. δωροδοκίας. It is insinuated that both had the same motive for pursuing the same policy. Philocrates had been convicted of corruption: Demosthenes was not above suspicion, though Aeschines confesses that it would surprise his audience to hear that he took bribes from Philip.

§ 59. *You do not regard Demosthenes as a Philippiser: but facts, like figures, are stronger than opinion.*

5. ἐκείνως. 'In that way (different from your actual spirit in this case) in which you form your judgment, when' etc. The analogy suggested in the passage is, 'If you have left a sum at your banker's, and drawn out various portions at various times, you may think that there is still a balance left; but if he says there is none, you are satisfied if the figures prove it. So you may think that Demosthenes has been a consistent enemy to Philip; but if I prove each one of the points where he did Philip treasonable service, you will regard him as owing a penalty to the state, rather than as having any claim of obligation upon it.' There seems not the slightest ground for supposing an allusion to the εὐθύνας of Lycurgus after

his five years' office, as Westermann supposes from the turn of expression in Demosthenes' reply (de Cor. § 290). He there speaks as though Aeschines' argument had been, 'You think there is some balance of benefit to you from Demosthenes' actions; I, by enumerating the various items of his treasons, will prove there is none.' 'No,' says Demosthenes, 'in accounts the final result is all that matters; in politics you take account of the items, and thank the man who has won you profit, even though it be cancelled by loss elsewhere.' But it seems easier to suppose that he made an attack on Aeschines that was hardly fair, when he, or at least the court, had forgotten the exact context of the illustration, than to suppose that Aeschines really intended the illustration to apply to Demosthenes' whole career, and not only the first period.

11. ὃ τι ἂν .. ἀληθῆ. 'Whatever result the calculation itself may establish,' the *unforced* result of the calculation. *ἀληθῆ* is common enough in the sense of 'to convict,' and with λόγος as subject, in that of 'prove.'

§ 60. *I will prove—or rather not I, but the facts—that of those two traitors Demosthenes was the more guilty:*

16. μὴτ' ἀπογνώτω κ.τ.λ. 'Let him

- ἀκούσῃ· οὐ γὰρ δίκαιον. ἀλλ' ἐὰν ἐμοῦ διὰ βραχείων ἀκούσῃτε ὑπομιμνήσκοντος τοὺς καιροὺς καὶ τὸ ψήφισμα παρεχομένου, ὃ μετὰ Φιλοκράτους ἔγραψε Δημοσθένης, ἐὰν αὐτὸς ὁ τῆς ἀληθείας λογισμὸς ἐγκαταλαμβάνῃ τὸν Δημοσθένην πλείω μὲν γεγραφότα ψηφίσματα Φιλοκράτους περὶ τῆς ἐξ ἀρχῆς εἰρήνης 5
 61 καὶ συμμαχίας, καθ' ὑπερβολὴν δὲ αἰσχύνῃς κεκολαευκότα Φίλιππον καὶ τοὺς παρ' ἐκείνου πρέσβεις, αἴτιον δὲ γεγονότα τῷ δήμῳ τοῦ μὴ μετὰ κοινοῦ συνεδρίου τῶν Ἑλλήνων ποιήσασθαι τὴν εἰρήνην, ἔκδοτον δὲ Φιλίππῳ πεποικηκότα Κερσοβλέπτην τὸν Θράκης βασιλέα, ἄνδρα φίλον καὶ σύμμαχον τῇ πόλει,— 10
 ἐὰν ταυτὶ ὑμῖν σαφῶς ἐπιδείξω, δέησομαι ὑμῶν μετρίαν δέησιν· ἐπινεύσατέ μοι πρὸς θεῶν τὸν ἓνα τῶν τεττάρων καιρῶν μὴ καλῶς αὐτὸν πεπολιτεῦσθαι. λέξω δὲ ὅθεν μάλιστα παρακολουθήσετε.
 62 Ἐγραψε Φιλοκράτης ἐξεῖναι Φιλίππῳ δεῦρο κήρυκας καὶ 15
 πρέσβεις πέμπειν περὶ εἰρήνης [καὶ συμμαχίας]· τοῦτο τὸ

3. Φιλοκράτους] μετὰ Φιλοκράτους *gfdδ*.

7. Post πρέσβεις addebatur οὐκ ἀναμείναντα: quod habent quidem libri, sed loco incerto, varia forma, ita ut glossema videretur etiam si sensui conveniret. Vid. annot. ad loc.

8. τῶν Ἑλλήνων] Om. *agnn*: deleri volt Scheib.

12. ἓνα] Sic *agnn*: volg. πῶτον. 15. κήρυκας] κήρυκα *ehl* et edd.

perique: κήρυκας habet Tauchn. 16. καὶ συμμαχίας] Om. *ehl* B. et S. Frank.

decide nothing either way, aye or no,' or rather, 'no or aye,' the order being determined rather by euphony than idiom. But one may note, that dissent would acquit Demosthenes, assent condemn him: Aeschines therefore prohibits first the alternative which he fears is likeliest, and which would be false as well as premature.

4. ἐγκαταλαμβάνῃ. 'Surprise,' 'detect.' The *ἐν* gives a further reference, which cannot be rendered without undue emphasis, to the position in which he finds himself when surprised.

πλείω. Though Philocrates moved all the more important decrees, those on points of etiquette moved by Demosthenes may have been more numerous. The reading μετὰ Φιλοκράτους has nothing to recommend it, either in sense or authority.

§ 61. And if I prove this, confess that Demosthenes is condemned for the first period.

7. After πρέσβεις, the MSS. add οὐκ ἀναμείναντα, which words must be due to a confusion with the charge already made, of not waiting for the return of the Athenian ambassadors—not from Philip, but from the Greek states. Some MSS. omit παρ' ἐκείνου to suit the sense; but the charge is the same as that repeated in more detail in § 76.

12. ἐπινεύσατε. He perhaps remembers that he had used the same word in the simile, § 59; so he repeats it here of the thing to be illustrated.

τὸν ἓνα. So most and best MSS.: Volg. πῶτον. ἓνα, besides having better authority, makes the request more moderate. Aeschines says only, 'If I prove this, confess that I have proved one quarter of my case,' instead of 'consider that I have proved the most paradoxical of my assertions.'

13. λέξω δὲ κ.τ.λ. 'I will begin my narrative at a point from which it is easy to follow.'

§ 62. He defended Philocrates on his trial, and got into the Senate to support him:

15. κήρυκας. So most and best MSS.: Volg. κήρυκα. It is true that probably only one herald would be sent with the embassy; but Philocrates wished to provide for all contingencies, and there might be two embassies required, either successively or to different states; and the plural is thus used, as more general. Some MSS. repeat it, inf. § 63 fin.

16. καὶ συμμαχίας. B. and S. and Franke omit these words, less on MS. authority than because historically inaccurate. But it is not impossible that Philocrates proposed more than was actually done immediately, or even that Aeschines may have anticipated a little.

ψήφισμα ἐγράφη παρανόμων. ἦκον οἱ τῆς κρίσεως χρόνοι· κατη-
γόρει μὲν Λυκῖνος ὁ γραψάμενος, ἀπελογεῖτο [δὲ] Φιλοκρά-
της, συναπελογεῖτο δὲ καὶ Δημοσθένης· ἀπέφυγε Φιλοκράτης.
μετὰ ταῦτα ἐπῆει χρόνος Θεμιστοκλῆς ἄρχων· ἐνταῦθ' εἰσέρ-
5 χεται βουλευτῆς εἰς τὸ βουλευτήριον Δημοσθένης, οὔτε λαχὼν
οὔτ' ἐπιλαχὼν, ἀλλ' ἐκ παρασκευῆς πριάμενος, ἵν' εἰς ὑποδοχὴν
ἅπαντα καὶ λέγοι καὶ πράττοι Φιλοκράτει, ὥς αὐτὸ ἐδείξε τὸ
ἔργον. νικᾷ γὰρ ἕτερον ψήφισμα Φιλοκράτης, ἐν ᾧ κελεύει 83
ἐλεσθαι δέκα πρέσβεις, οἵτινες ἀφικόμενοι πρὸς Φίλιππον ἀξιώ-
10 σουσιν αὐτὸν δεῦρο πρέσβεις αὐτοκράτορας πέμπειν ὑπὲρ τῆς
εἰρήνης. τούτων εἰς ἣν Δημοσθένης. κάκειθεν ἐπανήκων ἐπαι-
νέτης ἦν τῆς εἰρήνης, καὶ ταῦτα τοῖς ἄλλοις πρέσβεσιν ἀπήγ-
γελλε, καὶ μόνος τῶν ἄλλων βουλευτῶν ἔγραψε σπείσασθαι
τῷ κήρυκι τῷ ἀπὸ τοῦ Φιλίππου καὶ τοῖς πρέσβεσιν, ἀκόλουθα
15 γράφων Φιλοκράτει· ὁ μὲν γε τὴν ἐξουσίαν ἔδωκε τοῦ δεῦρο
κήρυκα καὶ πρέσβεις πέμπεσθαι, ὁ δὲ τῇ πρεσβείᾳ σπένδεται.

2. δὲ] Om. *agmēcb*.

4. χρόνος] Volg. usque ad Bekk. δ χρόνος. Articulus om. *afcd ekl*. ἐπ' αὐτὸν χρόνος delet Hamakerus, et omisso quidem articulo, substantivum certe friget. Fortasse χρόνος glossema sive, ut ita dicam, titulus est, ut in D. de Cor. § 200.

12. ταῦτά] τὰ αὐτά corr. b †.

ἀπήγγελλε] ἀπήγγειλε Bekk., †quod a pr. m. habet b + cum *pds* habeant ἀπήγγειλε.

13. τῶν ἄλλων] Om. *sd pr. b ekl*, † *ἐκ τῶν ἄλλων* corr. b †.

14. ἀπὸ] Sic g et post H. Wolf. Bekker et alii: ceteri libri ὑπὸ, Tauchn. *παρά*. 15. ἔδωκε] Sic *ekl* Bekk. etc.: *δέδωκε* Steph. cum ceteris codd. 16. κήρυκα] *κήρυκας fcd pr. b: κήρυκα* † corr. b + et ceteri. σπένδεται] σπένδεσθαι *ekl*.

1. ἦκον οἱ τῆς κρίσεως .. Δημοσθένης. 'The day of judgment was come: Lycinus, who drew the indictment, was to make his accusation: Philocrates was to make his defence: his defence was supported by Demosthenes.' Such we must suppose to be the force of the imperfects, if Aeschines is to be credited with perfect accuracy, for Philocrates (Ae. de F. L. § 14, p. 30) was ill, and did not appear in person.

4. Θεμιστοκλῆς ἄρχων. 'The archonship of Themistocles,' in apposition with χρόνος. Similarly in Latin, as in the opening sentence of Tacitus' Histories.

5. οὔτε λαχὼν .. πριάμενος. 'He was neither drawn in the first lot nor to fill up a vacancy, but made sure of the office and bought it;' presumably of some citizen who had really drawn a senator's ticket, and was willing to sell it. According to Harpocr., ἐπιλαχὼν does not mean 'drawn to fill up an actual vacancy,' but 'drawn on a supplementary list,' provided to fill up vacancies if they occurred. *ἐκ παρασκευῆς* refers to the actual intrigue rather than to the motive, but it has the same effect on the sense as our expression 'on purpose.'

§ 63. And did support him, both there and on the embassy.

8. νικᾷ. 'Carries another resolution,' like γνώμην νικῶσαντος in Hdt. i. 61. The common use of νικᾷν 'Ολύμπια is similar in principle: it is a cognate accusative of the matter in which the victory is gained.

11. τούτων εἰς ἣν Δημοσθένης. It is extraordinary that Aeschines makes this an insinuation of complicity with Philocrates, considering that he himself was another. Just afterwards, indeed (καὶ ταῦτα τοῖς ἄλλοις πρέσβεσιν ἀπήγγελλε), he alludes to Demosthenes' not very honest prosecution of him; but he has sacrificed the chance of making another point out of his own acquittal, by implying that the ambassadors were corrupt.

13. σπείσασθαι τῷ κήρυκι, i. e. to grant him a safe-conduct.

14. τῷ ἀπὸ τοῦ Φιλίππου. So Wolf, and the reading has since been found in the MS. g, and adopted by Bekker and later editors. All other known MSS. have ὑπὸ, which can only be justified by supposing ἀποσταλέντι or something similar to have dropped out.

- 64 τὰ δὲ μετὰ ταῦτα ἤδη μοι σφόδρα προσέχετε τὸν νοῦν. ἐπράτ-
τετο γὰρ οὐ πρὸς τοὺς ἄλλους πρέσβεις τοὺς πολλὰ συκοφαν-
τηθέντας ὕστερον ἐκ μεταβολῆς ὑπὸ Δημοσθένους, ἀλλὰ πρὸς
Φιλοκράτην καὶ Δημοσθένην, εἰκότως, τοὺς ἅμα μὲν πρεσβεύ-
οντας, ἅμα δὲ τὰ ψηφίσματα γράφοντας, πρῶτον μὲν ὅπως 5
μὴ περιμείνῃτε τοὺς πρέσβεις, οὓς ἦτε ἐκπεπομφότες παρακα-
λοῦντες ἐπὶ Φίλιππον, ἵνα μὴ μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων,
65 ἀλλ' ἰδίᾳ ποιήσῃσθε τὴν εἰρήνην· δεύτερον δ' ὅπως μὴ μόνον
τὴν εἰρήνην, ἀλλὰ καὶ συμμαχίαν εἶναι ψηφιεῖσθε πρὸς Φίλιπ-
πον, ἵν', εἴ τινες προσέχοιεν τῷ πλήθει τῷ ὑμετέρῳ, εἰς τὴν 10
ἐσχάτην ἐμπέσοιεν ἀθυμίαν ὀρώντες ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς μὲν παρακα-
λοῦντας ἐπὶ τὸν πόλεμον, οἰκοὶ δὲ μὴ μόνον εἰρήνην, ἀλλὰ καὶ
συμμαχίαν ἐψηφισμένους ποιεῖσθαι· τρίτον δὲ ὅπως Κερσο-
βλέπτης ὁ Θράκης βασιλεὺς μὴ ἔσται ἔνορκος, μηδὲ μετέσται
τῆς συμμαχίας καὶ τῆς εἰρήνης αὐτῷ. παρηγγέλλετο δ' ἐπ' 15
66 αὐτὸν ἡδὴ στρατεία. καὶ ταῦθ' ὁ μὲν ἐξωνούμενος οὐκ ἡδίκηε, πρὸ
γὰρ τῶν ὅρκων καὶ τῶν συνθηκῶν ἀνεμέσῃτον ἦν αὐτῷ πρατ-
τεῖν τὰ συμφέροντα, οἱ δ' ἀποδόμενοι καὶ κατακοινώνησαντες

1. μοὶ σφόδρα προσέχετε τὸν νοῦν] σφόδρα μοι τὸν νοῦν προσέχετε *ehi* Bekk.
2. τοὺς πολλὰ] *Volg.* ante Bekk. τὰ πολλὰ. 4. Φιλοκράτην καὶ Δημοσθένην] -*τη* et
-*νη* habent *cd.* -*νη* etiam *f.*: non tamen volgatum hic mutat Bekk., ut in plerisque locis.
5. πρῶτον] 'Hinc altera manus *e*' Bekk. 6. περιμείνῃτε] *περιμενεῖτε* Steph. et marg.
Bern.: *περιμείνατε* conj. Saupp. 14. μηδὲ] Sic Bekk. et recentiores: libri *μήτε*, quod
sunt qui defendant. 15. ἐπ' αὐτὸν ἡδὴ] *ἡδὴ ἐπ' αὐτὸν ehi* Bekk. 16. στρατεία] *στρατία*
fed pr. b: +*εἰα* corr. *b* +. 18. κατακοινώνησαντες] *κατακοινώσαντες* *k*
Reisk. Tauchn.

§ 64. *These two being the only ambassa-
dors guilty, as the only ones open to tempta-
tion.*

3. ἐκ μεταβολῆς. 'When he turned
round' upon Philip. Aeschines sees that
he must take fresh care to distinguish his
own case from that of Demosthenes, if he is
to avoid being damaged by the insinuations
of the last section.

4. εἰκότως κ.τ.λ. This parenthesis gives
the reason why Philip should have selected
these instruments, and also why the speaker
assumes that they were Philip's instru-
ments.

5. ὅπως μὴ περιμείνῃτε τοὺς πρέσβεις.
See D. de Cor. §. 29.

§ 65. *They committed you to an alliance
with Philip, and betrayed Cersobleptes.*

8. μὴ μόνον τὴν εἰρήνην, ἀλλὰ καὶ συμ-
μαχίαν. 'Not only the peace' already pro-
posed, 'but also an alliance.'

10. ἵν', εἴ τινες .. ὑμετέρῳ. 'That, if
any should turn your eyes to the people of
your city,' 'respond to the appeal of you, the
Athenian people.' The appeal originally

issued to Greece was the act of the nation:
the disregard and stultification of it, the act
of the conspirators alone.

13. τρίτον δέ. Demosthenes also repre-
sents Cersobleptes' exclusion as an act of
intrigue never sanctioned by the nation, and
treats Philip's conquests from him as acts of
absolute treachery: *D. de F. L. p. 397, §*
200; p. 398, § 202.

15. παρηγγέλλετο ... στρατεία. The
Haliens were also excluded, on the ground
that their conquest was inevitable.

§ 66. *Acts of legitimate war on Philip's
part, of detestable treason on theirs.*

16. καὶ ταῦθ' ὁ μὲν .. ἥσαν ἔξιοι. 'And
Philip did no wrong in paying for this; as he
had sworn no oath and made no covenant
with us, he could not be blamed for seeking
his own profit. But they deserved all your
anger for their share in the bargain—for
sacrificing every bulwark of the state to
their partnership' with Philip and each
other. For the common Greek construc-
tion of ἀνεῖσθαι and ἀποδόσθαι of treason,
vid. ad D. de Cor. § 28.

τὰ τῆς πόλεως ἰσχυρὰ μεγάλης ὀργῆς ἦσαν ἄξιοι. ὁ γὰρ
 μισαλέξανδρος νυνὶ φάσκων εἶναι καὶ τότε μισοφίλιππος Δη-
 μοσθένης, ὁ τὴν ξενίαν ἐμοὶ προφέρων τὴν Ἀλεξάνδρου,
 γράφει ψήφισμα, τοὺς καιροὺς τῆς πόλεως ὑφαίρουμένους, ἐκκλη- 67
 5 σίαν ποιεῖν τοὺς πρυτάνεις τῇ ὀγδόῃ ἰσταμένου τοῦ ἐλαφηβο-
 λιῶνος μηνός, ὅτ' ἦν τῷ Ἀσκληπιῷ ἡ θυσία καὶ ὁ προαγών,
 ἐν τῇ ἱερᾷ ἡμέρᾳ, ὃ πρότερον οὐδεὶς μέμνηται γενόμενον, τίνα
 πρόφασιν ποιησάμενος; ἵνα, φησιν, ἐὰν ἤδη παρῶσιν οἱ τοῦ
 Φιλίππου πρέσβεις, βουλευσῇται ὁ δῆμος ὡς τάχιστα περὶ
 10 τῶν πρὸς Φίλιππον, τοῖς οὐπω παρούσι πρέσβεσι προκατα-
 λαμβάνων τὴν ἐκκλησίαν καὶ τοὺς χρόνους ὑμῶν ὑποτεμνόμενος
 καὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα κατασπεύδων, ἵνα μὴ μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλή-
 νων ἐπανελθόντων τῶν ὑμετέρων πρέσβων, ἀλλὰ μόνοι ποιή-
 σῃσθε τὴν εἰρήνην. μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα, ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, ἦκον 68
 15 οἱ τοῦ Φιλίππου πρέσβεις· οἱ δὲ ὑμέτεροι ἀπεδήμουν παρακα-
 λούντες τοὺς Ἕλληνας ἐπὶ Φίλιππον. ἐνταῷθ' ἕτερον ψήφι-
 σμα νικᾷ Δημοσθένης, ἐν ᾧ γράφει μὴ μόνον ὑπὲρ τῆς εἰρήνης,
 ἀλλὰ καὶ συμμαχίας ὑμᾶς βουλευσασθαι, μὴ περιμείναντας τοὺς

5. ποιεῖν] ποιέσθαι *debl* et *edd.* nonnulli. 6. ὁ προαγών] *Sic ascd* pr. b: ὅτ' ἦν
 προαγών *gmkl*: ε, ut videtur, ὅτ' ἦν προαγών, quod habet Tauchn. †*δτε* ἦν προαγών corr.
 b †. 7. 8] ἡ f. ἡ c, utrumque profecto pro ἡ positum: om. a. 8. τοῦ] Om. *ehl*
Bekk. 9. ὧς] Om. *fed* † pr. b †. 11. ὑμῶν] ἡμῶν *ehl*. 13. ὑμετέρων] *Sic k*:
 ceteri ἡμετέρων. 15. τοῦ] Om. *ehl. Bekk.* ὑμέτεροι] *Sic Ald.*: codd. omnes ἡμέτεροι.
 17. Δημοσθένους] † ὁ Δημοσθένους pr. b †. 18. ὑμᾶς] Om. *ehl*, ceteri ἡμᾶς: ὑμᾶς habet Ald.

3. ὁ τὴν ξενίαν .. Ἀλεξάνδρου. Taken up by D. de Cor. §. 64, p. 350.

§ 67. *Before Philip's ambassadors arrived, Demosthenes had arranged to isolate you, by hurrying on an assembly on a holy day.*

6. ὁ προαγών. The meaning is clear, 'the prelude' to the Dionysia. It is commonly accented as in the text, but a few MSS. have *προάγων*; 'fortasse rectius,' Franke. The derivation may be either 'the day introducing,' and so 'preceding' the festival, or 'the preliminary contest:' and the accent will depend upon the etymology.

8. ἰέν. The form of conditional sentence appropriate to utter uncertainty. Demosthenes would probably have said *εἰ πάρεισιν*.

10. οὐπω παρούσι. If they had been there, the assembly on a holy day might have been proposed to save time; but he must have had a corrupt motive for risking profanity on a chance.

προκαταλαμβάνων τὴν ἐκκλησίαν. 'Forestalling the assembly for them.' The article is used because the decree first provided an assembly in advance, and then fixed

the business of *that* assembly in Philip's interest. For the notice of business given to the Assembly, cp. sup. § 39, *ἐπιγράφοντας νομοθέτας*.

§ 68. *They came: your own ambassadors were absent. Demosthenes carried a decree to treat for alliance as well as peace, in the absence of your own allies.*

15. παρακαλοῦντες .. ἐπὶ Φίλιππον. Aeschines thus exposes himself to the retort of Demosthenes (§ 29), that the action he attributed to Athens was dishonourable. Technically it was; substantially Demosthenes' reply was true, though Athens would have been in a more dignified position if she could have waited for the return of the last ambassador from a mission certain to be fruitless. Aeschines overstates his case, to heighten the contrast between Demosthenes' policy and the nation's.

17. τῆς εἰρήνης .. συμμαχίας. As sup. § 64.

18. ὑμᾶς βουλευσασθαι. One may remark here once for all on the uncertainty of reading between *ὑμεῖς* and *ἡμεῖς*, wherever the sense does not determine it; as is often

πρέσβεις τοὺς ὑμετέρους, ἀλλ' εὐθὺς μετὰ [τὰ] Διονύσια τὰ ἐν ἄστει, τῇ ὀγδόῃ καὶ ἐνάτῃ ἐπὶ δέκα. ὅτι δ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, ἀκούσατε τῶν ψηφισμάτων.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑΤΑ.

- 69 Ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, παρεληλύθει τὰ Διονύσια, ἐγί-
νοντο δὲ αἱ ἐκκλησίαι, ἐν δὲ τῇ προτέρᾳ τῶν ἐκκλησιῶν ἀνεγ-
νώσθη δόγμα κοινὸν τῶν συμμαχῶν, οὗ τὰ κεφάλαια διὰ βρα-
χέων ἐγὼ προερῶ. πρῶτον μὲν γὰρ ἔγραψαν ὑπὲρ εἰρήνης
ὑμᾶς μόνον βουλευσάσθαι, τὸ δὲ τῆς συμμαχίας ὄνομα ὑπερέ-
βησαν, οὐκ ἐπιτελεσμένοι, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὴν εἰρήνην ἀναγκαιοτέ- 10
ραν ἢ καλλίω ὑπολαμβάνοντες εἶναι· ἔπειτα ἀπήντησαν ὀρθῶς
70 ἰασόμενοι τὸ Δημοσθένους δωροδόκημα, καὶ προσέγραψαν ἐν
τῷ δόγματι ἐξεῖναι τῷ βουλομένῳ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐν τρισὶ
μησὶν εἰς τὴν αὐτὴν στήλην ἀναγεγράφθαι μετ' Ἀθηναίων καὶ
μετέχειν τῶν ὄρκων καὶ τῶν συνθηκῶν, δύο μέγιστα προκατα- 15
λαμβάνοντες, πρῶτον μὲν τὸν χρόνον τὸν τῆς τριμήνου ταῖς
τῶν Ἑλλήνων πρεσβείαις ἰκανὸν γενέσθαι παρασκευάζοντες,

1. ὑμετέρους] ὑμετέρους *ekl.* Vid. annot. ad loc.: quinquies, si semel, mutandum.
[τὰ] Διονύσια] Articulum om. *gpm*: volg. ante Bekk. μετὰ τὰ ἐν ἄστει Διονύσια.
5. ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι] Om. *ekl.*, post Διονύσια ponunt *gpm*. ἔγινοντο] ἐγένοντο *p* Reisk.

Tauchn.: ἐγίνοντο scribit Frank. 6. δὲ αἱ] *de* κα *b* † non tanquam δέκα pro certo scribere voluerit †: δέκα tamen habent *el*, δὲ *k*, δὲ αἱ marg. *n*, quod haud absurdum. ἐν δὲ τῇ] 'Imo ἐν τῇ' Frank. Post δόγμα legebatur τῇ ὀγδόῃ ἐπὶ δέκα, quod post ἐκκλησιῶν ponunt *ekl*; itaque tanquam glossema omittebat Taylor, uncis inclusit Bekk., eiecerunt recentiores. 14. ἀναγεγράφθαι] ἀναγεγράφεσθαι *l*, ἀναγράφεσθαι *ek*, quod mavolt Frank. Sed ut magis in promptu, ita eo ipso minus probabile videtur. 16. πρῶτον μὲν] Ita *bekl*: ceteri πρῶτον μὲν οὖν. 17. γενέσθαι παρασκευάζοντες] παραγενέσθαι κατασκευάζοντες *ekl*: κατασκευάζοντες praeterea habet pr. *b*.

the case where, as here, the pronoun is used of the Athenian nation. Here *all* the MSS. have ὑμᾶς (except a few that omit it), but the Aldine ed. ὑμᾶς, and it is clearly necessary to have either the first or second person throughout. Some MSS. read ὑμᾶς, ὑμετέρας κ.τ.λ. consistently; but Aldus is entitled to at least as much respect as they.

§ 69. They voted that you should treat for peace, tacitly censuring the proposal to treat for alliance;

5. ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν .. ἀνεγνώσθη δόγμα. In a sentence of this type it is hard to determine with which of the dependent clauses the apodosis begins, or whether it be not truer to say that the apodosis is suppressed. Here ἐν δὲ τῇ προτέρᾳ κ.τ.λ. is the apodosis so far as sense goes; but the construction is rather, 'When the feast was past, and the assemblies had come on, and a resolution of the allies was read to the assembly, then [note our respective conduct];' the real apo-

dosis being §§ 71 sq., and οὐ τὰ κεφάλαια .. ἀκούσαντες μαθήσεσθε a virtual parenthesis. Cp. ad D. de Cor. § 161.

10. ἀναγκαιοτέραν ἢ καλλίω. While we should say 'rather necessary than honourable,' the Greek allows a more developed contrast, without sacrificing terseness. The full force of the phrase is, that necessity predominated over honour rather than honour over necessity.

11. ἀπήντησαν ὀρθῶς ἰασόμενοι τὸ Δημοσθένους δωροδόκημα. Note the freedom of construction. Thucydides would have written τῷ δωροδοκῆματι ἀπήντησαν ἰασόμενοι.

§ 70. And provided that any Greek might claim the benefit of the treaty within three months.

14. μετ' Ἀθηναίων. 'With Athens, on the same pillar.' Of course μετ' Ἀθηναίων is in no sense the definition or complement of τὴν αὐτήν.

ἔπειτα τὴν τῶν Ἑλλήνων εὐνοίαν τῇ πόλει μετὰ κοινοῦ συνεδρίου κτώμενοι, ἵν' εἰ παραβαίνοντο αἱ συνθήκαι, μὴ μόνοι μηδ' ἀπαράσκευοι πολεμήσαιμεν, ἃ νῦν ἡμῖν παθεῖν συνέβη διὰ Δημοσθένην. ὅτι δ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, ἐξ αὐτοῦ τοῦ δόγματος
5 ἀκούσαντες μαθήσεσθε.

ΔΟΓΜΑ ΣΥΜΜΑΧΩΝ.

Τούτῳ τῷ δόγματι συνεπιεῖν ὁμολογῶ, καὶ πάντες οἱ ἐν 71
τῇ προτέρᾳ τῶν ἐκκλησιῶν δημηγοροῦντες· καὶ ὁ δῆμος ἀ-
ῆλθε τοιαύτην τινὰ δόξαν εἰληφώς, ὡς ἔσται μὲν ἡ εἰρήνη, περὶ
10 δὲ συμμαχίας οὐκ ἄμεινον εἶη διὰ τὴν τῶν Ἑλλήνων παρά-
κλησιν βουλευσασθαι, ἔσται δὲ κοινῇ μετὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἀπάν-
των. νῦν ἐν μέσῳ, καὶ παρήμεν τῇ ὑστεραίᾳ εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν.
ἐνταῦθα δὴ προκαταλαμβάνων Δημοσθένης τὸ βῆμα, οὐδενὶ
τῶν ἄλλων παραλιπὼν λόγον, οὐδὲν ὄφελος ἔφη τῶν χθῆς
15 εἰρημένων εἶναι λόγων, εἰ ταῦθ' οἱ Φιλίππου μὴ συμπεισθή-
σονται πρέσβεις, οὐδὲ γινώσκειν ἔφη τὴν εἰρήνην ἀπούσης

3. ἡμῖν] Sic *gmhk*: ceteri libri *dmw*.

11. κοινῇ] κοινῇ *gmhl*.

13. Δημοσθένης

τὸ βῆμα] τὸ βῆμα Δημοσθένους *ekl* et marg., quod mavolt Frank.

1. μετὰ κοινοῦ συνεδρίου. 'In conjunction with a common congress' of signatories to the treaty, which, it was hoped, might meet from time to time to maintain it.

3. ἃ νῦν .. διὰ Δημοσθένην. *νῦν* is equivalent to 'as it was,' rather than 'as it is.' Aeschines is not saying that a larger or more stable confederacy might have been formed in 346 than was formed in 339.

§. 71. *I supported the negotiations in this sense: the general feeling was to conclude peace and defer alliance.*

7. καὶ πάντες οἱ ... δημηγοροῦντες. Probably in the nominative only by attraction or parallelism to the first clause, *συνεπιεῖν ὁμολογῶ*; but Aeschines *may* mean to assert, 'neither I nor anyone else are now ashamed of our conduct.' Cp. Ae. de F. L. § 64, p. 36.

10. οὐκ ἄμεινον. 'That it was *better* not to ...' as in the common formula of oracles, οὐ γὰρ ἄμεινον.

διὰ τὴν τῶν Ἑλλήνων παράκλησιν. 'On account of the (pending) appeal to the Greeks.'

11. ἔσται .. εἶη .. ἔσται. The majority believed as a matter of fact that peace would come, and that the alliance (if concluded later) would include the whole of Greece: they believed as a matter of opinion and inference, that it would be better not to dis-

cuss the alliance until the ambassadors returned.

13. ἐνταῦθα δὴ κ.τ.λ. Yet Aeschines asserts (de F. L. §§ 67 sqq. p. 36) that no speaking was allowed on the second day. Demosthenes is said to have introduced a motion for including alliance in the negotiations, but to have given it to the Proedri without any speech, beyond a private colloquy with one Amyntor.

οὐδενὶ τῶν ἄλλων παραλιπὼν λόγον. This is probably, in some way or other, a reference to the prohibition of speaking in this assembly. Demosthenes was clearly not Proedros (as in the later one), so cannot have had the opportunity to make a few remarks from the chair. Perhaps the most probable reconciliation of Aeschines' discordant statements is, to suppose that the facts are more correctly stated in the earlier speech; that then it was more important to prove that he had not himself made the speech attributed to him by Demosthenes, while here, long after the event, he ventures to set Demosthenes' own conduct in a more invidious light, by calling his informal remarks to Amyntor a speech from the Bema. Demosthenes' own charge against Aeschines (D. de F. L. § 17, p. 345) may perhaps have the same amount of foundation.

16. οὐδὲ γινώσκειν .. τῆς εἰρήνης τὴν

- 72 *συμμαχίας*. οὐ γὰρ ἔφη δεῖν, καὶ γὰρ τὸ ῥῆμα μέννηται ὡς εἶπε, διὰ τὴν ἀηδῖαν τοῦ λέγοντος ἅμα καὶ τοῦ ὀνόματος, ἀπορρηξαι τῆς εἰρήνης τὴν συμμαχίαν, οὐδὲ τὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἀναμένειν μελλήματα, ἀλλ' ἢ πολεμεῖν αὐτοὺς ἢ τὴν εἰρήνην ἰδίᾳ ποιεῖσθαι. καὶ τελευτῶν ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα παρακάλεσας Ἀντί-5 πατρον ἐρώτημά τι ἡρώτα, προειπὼν μὲν ἃ ἐρήσεται, προ-διδάξας δὲ ἃ χρὴ κατὰ τῆς πόλεως ἀποκρίνασθαι. καὶ τέλος ταύτ' ἐνίκα, τῷ μὲν λόγῳ προβιασαμένου Δημοσθένους, τὸ δὲ
- 73 *ψήφισμα* γράψαντος Φιλοκράτους. ὁ δὲ ἦν ὑπόλοιπον αὐτοῖς, Κερσοβλέπτην καὶ τὸν ἐπὶ Θράκης τόπον ἔκδοτον ποιῆσαι, 10 καὶ τούτ' ἔπραξαν ἕκτη φθίνοντος τοῦ ἐλαφιβολιῶνος, πρὶν ἐπὶ τὴν ὑστέραν ἀπαίρειν πρεσβείαν τὴν ἐπὶ τοὺς ὄρκους Δημοσθένην· ὁ γὰρ μισαλέξανδρος καὶ μισοφίλιππος ὑμῖν οὐτοσὶ ῥήτῳρ δις ἐπρέσβευσεν ἐν Μακεδονίᾳ, ἐξὸν μηδὲ ἄπαξ, ὁ νυνὶ κελευων τῶν Μακεδόνων καταπτύειν. εἰς δὲ τὴν ἐκκλησίαν, 15

3. τὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων] τὰ om. *fed* + *pr.* *b* + *t*. μελετήματα *ei*.

5. παρακάλεσας] καλέσας *scdb*.

8. προβιασαμένου] Malunt Reisk. et Frank. προσβιασαμένου.

11. ἐλαφιβολιῶνος] Addebatur usque ad Bekk. *μυρδός*: om. *agmn* B. et S. Frank.

13. οὐτοσὶ] Sic *agmn*: ceteri *οὗτος*.

14. ἐν Μακεδονίᾳ] *eis* *Μακεδονίαν* *ek* Bekk.: idque Frankius restitui jubet, quia 'sic Aeschines semper.' Eam causam fuisse

credo, cur aliqui hic habeant, cum hic semel non ita scripserit.

4. μελλήματα] μελήματα *d* + *pr.* *b* + *t*.

6. ἐρήσεται] *αἰρήσεται* *f*, *αἰρήσε-*

ται *pr.* *b* + *mox* corr. *t*, *εἰρήσεται* *gmn*.

8. προβιασαμένου] Malunt Reisk. et Frank.

11. ἐλαφιβολιῶνος] Addebatur usque ad Bekk. *μυρδός*: om. *agmn* B. et S. Frank.

13. οὐτοσὶ] Sic *agmn*: ceteri *οὗτος*.

14. ἐν Μακεδονίᾳ] *eis* *Μακεδονίαν* *ek* Bekk.: idque Frankius restitui jubet, quia 'sic Aeschines semper.' Eam causam fuisse

συμμαχίαν. 'He said he did not understand what peace would mean without alliance; for he said there must be no *disruption* of the peace from the alliance. I remember the very words, because of the offensiveness both of the speaker and of the phrase.' This is certainly lying with a circumstance, if no such speech was made either privately or publicly.

§ 72. *Demosibenes*, by an understanding with the ambassadors, carried both:

2. *διὰ τὴν ἀηδῖαν*. Vid. inf. § 166, for a similar criticism on Demosthenes' inelegant language, and so repeatedly in *Ac. de F. L.* It is possible that his speeches were less perfect (though, by all accounts, not less effective) to hear than to read, not only from his natural weakness of voice, but that in extempore speaking he may have used vigorous but harsh similes, such as those attributed to him, which on reflection he would soften in the written speech.

6. *προειπὼν μὲν ἀποκρίνασθαι*. 'Having told him beforehand what he meant to ask, and instructed him (to give) the answer that would damage the city most.' Since question and answer are naturally regarded as contrasted, the two

clauses are opposed with a *μὲν* and *δὲ*, though here (where both question and answer proceed from the same person) they are successive parts of the same action.

8. *ταύτ' ἐνίκα*. 'This was carried,' an intransitive parallel to the transitive and personal construction noted above on § 63, and possibly rather commoner. Cp. *Soph. Ant.* 274.

§ 73. *And betrayed Cersobleptes (before that second embassy, which since his change of policy he finds it convenient to forget),*

10. *τὸν ἐπὶ Θράκης τόπον*. Best translated 'the Thracian border.' It practically includes the whole coast, east from and including Chalcidice. In Thucydides *τάπ' Θράκης* is especially the district between Chalcidice and Amphipolis inclusive, which was already in Philip's hands.

14. *δις ἐπρέσβευσεν*. Demosthenes asserts (de *F. L.* §§ 188-9, pp. 394-5) that the second time he went against his will, having already discovered his colleagues' treason, but being still obliged to accompany them on account of some Athenian prisoners whom he had promised to ransom.

15. *εἰς δὲ τὴν ἐκκλησίαν*. 'He went down to the Assembly and took the chair,

τὴν τῇ ἕκτη λέγω, καθεζόμενος βουλευτῆς ὢν ἐκ παρασκευῆς, ἐκδοτον Κερσοβλέπτην μετὰ Φιλοκράτους ἐποίησε. λανθάνει 74 γὰρ ὁ μὲν Φιλοκράτης ἐν ψηφίσματι μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων γραμμάτων παρεγγράψας, ὁ δ' ἐπιψηφίσας, Δημοσθένης, ἐν ᾧ γέ-
 5 γραπταὶ “ἀποδοῦναι δὲ τοὺς ὄρκους τοῖς πρέσβεσι τοῖς παρὰ Φιλίππου ἐν τῇδε τῇ ἡμέρᾳ τοὺς συνέδρους τῶν συμμαχῶν.” παρὰ δὲ Κερσοβλέπτου σύνεδρος οὐκ ἐκάθητο· γράψας δὲ τοὺς συνεδρεύοντας ὁμνῖναι τὸν Κερσοβλέπτην οὐ συνεδρεύοντα ἐξέ-
 10 ταῦτα γράψας καὶ τίς ὁ ταῦτα ἐπιψηφίσας.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ. ΠΡΟΕΔΡΟΣ.

Καλὸν, ᾧ Ἀθηναῖοι, καλὸν ἢ τῶν δημοσίων γραμμάτων φυ- 75
 λακῇ ἀκίνητον γὰρ ἐστὶ καὶ οὐ συμμεταπίπτει τοῖς αὐτομο-
 λούσιν ἐν τῇ πολιτείᾳ, ἀλλ' ἐπέδωκε τῷ δήμῳ, ὅποταν βού-
 15 ληται, συνιδεῖν τοὺς πάλαι μὲν πονηροὺς, ἐκ μεταβολῆς δ' ἀξιούντας εἶναι χρηστούς.

1. λέγω, καθεζόμενος] καθεζόμενος λέγω αἰ γρ. m, καθεζόμενος n. Vide ne λέγω, βου-
 λευτῆς ὢν, sit antiquom glossema pro καθεζόμενος. 4. ἐν ᾧ γέγραπται] Omitti jubent
 Markl. Baiter. Frank. 5. ἀποδοῦναι δέ] + Om. pr. b +: mox ἀποδοῦναι a m. sec.
 restitutum, sed δὲ omisum, quod soli habent ekl. Post Bekk., δὲ est a plerisque omisum :
 sed vid. annot. ad loc. 10. ἐπιψηφίσας] Addebatur πρόεδρος. Delevimus jubente
 Frankio, cum ekl et pr. b : + nam in b manus secunda inseruit +. 11. ΠΡΟΕΔΡΟΣ]
 Om. a : πρόεδροι δεκ + πρόεδρον λείπει b, omisso ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ. + Fortasse πρόεδροι verum ;
 neque enim solus πρόεδρος Demosthenes fuit 12. γραμμάτων] Sic kl et superscr. in b :
 ceteri πραγμάτων. 13. συμμεταπίπτει] μεταπίπτει agniti ekl. 14. ἐπέδωκε] ἀπέδωκε
 ekl B. et S. Frank. Vid. annot. ad loc.

having got into the Senate on purpose,' that whenever his turn for presiding came, Philocrates might with safety bring on his most unpopular motion. For we cannot suppose that Demosthenes calculated beforehand the course of events, and timed his own tenure of office accordingly.

§ 74. By a stipulation that only the allies then represented should be included in the oaths.

2. λανθάνει γὰρ κ.τ.λ. 'No one detected how one partner, Philocrates, had inserted this clause among others, and the other, Demosthenes, had put it to the vote.' λανθάνει refers especially to Philocrates: the clause escaped notice, as well as his motive for it. The secret thing as regards Demosthenes was, not his act, but its significance when it concerned Philocrates. That ὁ μὲν and ὁ δὲ are not mere articles, but emphatic as rendered above, is plain from the order in the second clause.

5. ἀποδοῦναι δέ. The best MSS. omit δέ, but the form of verbatim quotation is likelier to have occurred to Aeschines, who had the whole text of the decree before him, than to a copyist who had not.

7. παρὰ δὲ Κερσοβλέπτου κ.τ.λ. Vid. Ae. de F. L. § 88, p. 39.

§ 75. Happily the state records remain to convict Demosthenes of this.

12. καλὸν, ᾧ Ἀθηναῖοι. Observe Aeschines' habit of going off into commendation of the details of the constitution.

14. ἐπέδωκε. The force of the aorist is maintained amid the presents: 'instead of keeping pace with every shift of political turncoats, it occurs once for all.' Al. leg. ἀπέδωκε: the force of one reading would be, 'secures to the nation its right;' of the other, 'secures the incidental gain' when, as now, we want it, which seems to suit the use of the tense better.

76 Ὑπόλοιπον δέ μοι ἐστὶ τὴν κολακείαν αὐτοῦ διεξελθεῖν. Δημοσθένης γὰρ ἐνιαυτὸν βουλευσας οὐδεμίαν πώποτε φανείται πρεσβείαν εἰς προεδρίαν καλέσας, ἀλλὰ τότε μόνον καὶ πρῶτον πρέσβεις εἰς προεδρίαν ἐκάλεσε καὶ προσκεφάλαια ἔθηκε καὶ φοινικίδας περιεπέτασε καὶ ἅμα τῇ ἡμέρᾳ ἡγείτο τοῖς 5 πρέσβεσιν εἰς τὸ θέατρον, ὥστε καὶ συρίττεσθαι διὰ τὴν ἀσχημοσύνην καὶ κολακείαν. καὶ ὅτ' ἀπήσαν, ἐμισθώσατο αὐτοῖς τρία ζεύγη ὀρικὰ καὶ προῦπεμψεν εἰς Θήβας, καταγέλαστον τὴν πόλιν ποιῶν. ἵνα δ' ἐπὶ τῆς ὑποθέσεως μείνω, λαβέ μοι τὸ ψήφισμα τὸ περὶ τῆς προεδρίας. 10

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

77 Οὗτος τοίνυν, ὃ Ἀθηναῖοι, ὁ τηλικούτος τὸ μέγεθος κόλαξ πρῶτος διὰ τῶν κατασκόπων τῶν παρὰ Χαριδήμου πυθόμενος τὴν Φιλίππου τελευτὴν τῶν μὲν θεῶν συμπλάσας ἑαυτῷ ἐνὶ Ἀπνιον κατεψεύσατο, ὡς οὐ παρὰ Χαριδήμου τὸ πρᾶγμα πεπυ- 15 σμένος, ἀλλὰ παρὰ τοῦ Διὸς καὶ τῆς Ἀθηνᾶς, οὐς μεθ' ἡμέραν ἐπιορκῶν νύκτωρ φησὶν ἑαυτῷ διαλέγεσθαι καὶ τὰ μέλλον- 78 τα ἔσεσθαι προλέγειν, ἐβδόμην δ' ἡμέραν τῆς θυγατρὸς αὐτῷ

1. δέ μοι ἐστὶ] δ' ἐστὶ μοι *ekl* Bekk. φανίται] φανήσεται *ekl* Bremi.

2. γὰρ] γὰρ, ὃ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, *ekl* Bekk.

3. μόνον καὶ πρῶτον] πρῶτον καὶ μόνον *ekl* Bekk.

7. Post ἀπήσαν, addebatur *eis* Θήβας: post alterum καὶ, τοὺς πρέσβεις: utrumque primus omittit Taylor. Statim post Θήβας, καταγέλαστον .. ποιῶν ponit a. 12. ὃ Ἀθηναῖοι] Post κόλαξ ponit z. 18. ἐβδόμην δ' ἡμέραν] ἐβδόμη δ' ἡμέρα *scd* + pr. b +.

§ 76. *Demosthenes courted the ambassadors publicly and privately.*

1. τὴν κολακείαν. Cp. D. de F. L. § 268, p. 414, de Cor. § 35.

3. μόνον καὶ πρῶτον. So most and best MSS.; but there is something in Bremi's remark, that his and Bekker's reading, πρῶτον καὶ μόνον, gives more of a climax.

6. ὥστε καὶ συρίττεσθαι. An ordinary embassy from a Greek state would probably receive the compliment of *προεδρία*, on the motion of an avowed sympathiser, and not be treated with much further ceremony. It very likely was thought invidious to receive the envoys of a semi-barbarian despot with greater state; while to Demosthenes, who had seen the Macedonian court, and had a failure there to atone for, it might seem a fit thing to be done, and a useful one for him to do.

8. καταγέλαστον τὴν πόλιν ποιῶν. For if it was proper or usual for such extravagant courtesy to be shown to ambassadors, it was the city's business to provide for it, instead of leaving it to an individual.

9. ἵνα δ' ἐπὶ τῆς ὑποθέσεως μείνω. For

though the motion of *προεδρία* was a public act, the other compliments to the ambassadors were private ones, and so count among the follies and extravagance which Aeschines affects not to describe.

§ 77. *Yet after all this flattery to Philip, he claimed a revelation of his death, and offered sacrifices of thanksgiving while in mourning for his only daughter—bearless as he is ungenerous, and therefore untrustworthy.*

16. οὐς μεθ' ἡμέραν κ.τ.λ. 'He learns to profane them by fiction, because he is in the habit of profaning them by perjury.' If the point were, 'he is a truly pious man, likely to be favoured with visions,' a more forcible construction would be οὐς φησὶν ἑαυτῷ νύκτωρ διαλέγεσθαι τῷ μεθ' ἡμέραν ἐπιορκούντι.

18. ἐβδόμην δ' ἡμέραν. Vid. sup. ad § 51. In Plutarch the comment is made that would naturally occur to a modern—that private sorrow might well give place to national joy, if legitimate; but that the nation had no right to rejoice at what was no triumph of their own. But the act of

τετελευτηκυίας, πρὶν πενθῆσαι καὶ τὰ νομιζόμενα ποιῆσαι, στεφανωσάμενος καὶ λευκὴν ἐσθῆτα λαβὼν ἐβουθύτει καὶ παρενόμει, τὴν μόνην ὃ δέλαιος καὶ πρώτην αὐτὸν πατέρα προσειπούσαν ἀπολέσας. καὶ οὐ τὸ δυστύχημα ὀνειδίζω, ἀλλὰ τὸν τρόπον
 5 ἐξετάζω. ὃ γὰρ μισότεκνος καὶ πατὴρ πονηρὸς οὐκ ἂν ποτε γένοιτο δημαγωγὸς χρηστὸς, οὐδὲ ὃ τὰ φίλτατα καὶ οἰκειότατα σώματα μὴ στέργων οὐδέποθ' ὑμᾶς περὶ πλείονος ποιήσεται τοὺς ἀλλοτρίους, οὐδέ γε ὃ ἰδίᾳ πονηρὸς οὐκ ἂν γένοιτο δημοσίᾳ χρηστὸς, οὐδ' ὅστις ἐστὶν οἴκοι φαῦλος, οὐδέποτ' ἦν
 10 ἐν Μακεδονίᾳ κατὰ τὴν πρεσβείαν καλὸς κάγαθός· οὐ γὰρ τὸν τρόπον, ἀλλὰ τὸν τόπον μόνον μετήλλαξεν.

Πόθεν οὖν ἐπὶ τὴν μεταβολὴν ἦλθε τῶν πραγμάτων, οὗτος 79 γάρ ἐστιν ὃ δεύτερος καιρὸς, καὶ τί ποτ' ἐστὶ τὸ αἴτιον, ὅτι Φιλοκράτης μὲν ἀπὸ τῶν αὐτῶν πολιτευμάτων Δημοσθένει φυ-
 15 γὰς ἀπ' εἰσαγγελίας γεγένηται, Δημοσθένης δὲ ἐπέστη τῶν ἄλλων κατήγορος, καὶ πόθεν ποθ' ἡμᾶς εἰς τὰς ἀτυχίας ὃ μισήρως ἄνθρωπος ἐμβέβληκε, ταῦτ' ἤδη διαφερόντως ἄξιόν ἐστιν ἀκοῦσαι. ὥς γὰρ τάχιστα εἶσω Πυλῶν Φίλιππος παρῆλθε 80 καὶ τὰς τε ἐν Φωκεύσι πόλεις παραδόξως ἀναστάτους ἐποίησε,

2. λαβὼν] βαλὼν d et pr. b: † λαβὼν corr. b †. παρενόμει] Sic gmsfck: ceteri et superscr. g παρηγόμει. 4. ἀπολέσας] Om. a/b: in γρ. habet b. 6. τὰ] Om. pr. f † pr. b †. 7. πλείονος ποιήσεται] πολλοῦ ποιήσαιο ekl. † πλείονος ποιήσεται pr. b †. 8. ἀν] ἂν ποτε scd Bekk. 10. κατὰ τὴν πρεσβείαν] Om. p c: quorum major fuisset auctoritas, nisi c verba ὅστις .. καλὸς κάγαθος, tum hic tum post δημαγωγὸς χρηστὸς posuisset; posteroque loco ea verba scripsisset. Omissa probat Bekk. 11. μόνον] Om. d Cobet. 19. τὰς τε] τὰς μὲν Reisk.

Pausanias would probably strike the countrymen of Harmodius as a glorious tyrannicide, though no doubt wrongly, as Philip was a legitimate monarch, and there was no likelihood or design that his death should overthrow the monarchy. See Rawlinson, ad Hdt. 8. 142.

3. τὴν μόνην. Vid. inf. ad § 174.

5. ὃ γὰρ μισότεκνος .. δημοσίᾳ χρηστός. The same statement in three forms of growing abstraction, and therefore growing certainty, which is indicated by the γε which introduces the third and most abstract form.

9. οὐδ' ὅστις .. μετήλλαξεν. Cp. Ae. de F. L. § 152. After pointing out the contrast in Demosthenes' attitude between shameful servility and shameless hostility, he argues that the change on the second embassy was not due to an honest change of conviction. One MS. transposes the two clauses οὐδέ γε ὃ ἰδίᾳ .. χρηστὸς and οὐδ' ὅστις .. καλὸς κάγαθος, and it and one other omit κατὰ τὴν πρεσβείαν—'quibus facile

careas' Bekk. But the order of the text gives the best sense, though even so the rhythm is overloaded.

§ 79. The explanation of Demosthenes' impunity, as well as of his change of policy, is this.

12. οὗτος γάρ. The first period is that when Demosthenes acted in Philip's interest, the three others that of his renewed opposition to him; the second, therefore, may be called the period of his change of party.

15. τῶν ἄλλων κατήγορος. The most definite allusion made by Aeschines in this speech to Demosthenes' unsuccessful prosecution of him, thirteen years before.

This paragraph contains by implication four distinct questions: Why Demosthenes and Philocrates differed? Why their success differed? What were the motives of Demosthenes' pernicious policy? and What were the causes of his pernicious influence?

§ 80. At the time of the invasion of Pbois, Demosthenes was in danger,

Θηβαίους δὲ, ὡς τόθ' ὑμῖν ἐδόκει, περαιτέρω τοῦ καιροῦ καὶ τοῦ ὑμετέρου συμφέροντος ἰσχυροῦς κατεσκεύασεν, ὑμεῖς δὲ ἐκ τῶν ἀγρῶν φοβηθέντες ἐσκευαγωγήσατε, ἐν ταῖς μεγίσταις δ' ἦσαν αἰτίαις οἱ πρέσβεις οἱ περὶ τῆς εἰρήνης πρεσβεύσαντες, πολὺ δὲ τῶν ἄλλων διαφερόντως Φιλοκράτης καὶ Δημοσθένης 5 διὰ τὸ μὴ μόνον πρεσβεύειν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ ψηφίσματα γεγρα-
 81 φέναι, συνέβη δ' ἐν ταῖς αὐτοῖς χρόνοις διαφέρεισθαι τι Δημοσθένην καὶ Φιλοκράτην σχεδὸν ὑπὲρ τούτων, ὑπὲρ ὧν καὶ ὑμεῖς αὐτοὺς ὑπαπτεύσατε διενεχθῆναι, τοιαύτης δὲ ἐμπιπτούσης ταραχῆς μετὰ τῶν συμφύτων νοσημάτων αὐτῷ ἤδη τὰ μετὰ 10 ταῦτα ἐβουλεύετο, μετὰ δειλίας καὶ τῆς πρὸς Φιλοκράτην ὑπὲρ τῆς δωροδοκίας ζήλοτυπίας, καὶ ἡγήσατο, εἰ τῶν συμπρεσβευόντων καὶ τοῦ Φιλίππου κατήγορος ἀναφανείη, τὸν μὲν Φιλοκράτην προδήλως ἀπολείσθαι, τοὺς δὲ ἄλλους συμπρέσβεις κινδυνεύσειν, αὐτὸς δ' εὐδοκίμησειν καὶ προδότης ὧν τῶν φίλων 15
 82 καὶ πονηρὸς πιστὸς τῷ δήμῳ φανήσεσθαι. κατιδόντες δ' αὐτὸν οἱ τῇ τῆς πόλεως προσπολεμοῦντες ἡσυχία ἄσμενοι παρεκάλουν ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα, τὸν μόνον ἀδωροδόκητον ὀνομάζοντες τῇ πόλει· ὁ δὲ παριῶν ἀρχὰς αὐτοῖς ἐνεδίδου πολέμου καὶ ταραχῆς.

1. τοῦ καιροῦ] καιροῦ *fdh* Bekk. 2. ὑμεῖς δὲ] ὑμεῖς τε vel τ' omnes praeter *cd*.
 6. τὰ ψηφίσματα] Art. om. libri praeter *ekl*. 7. Δημοσθένην] τὸν Δημοσθένην *afedh*
 B. et S. 11. πρὸς Φιλοκράτην] † πρὸς Φιλοκράτους *pr. b* †. 12. δωροδοκίας ζήλο-
 τυπίας] ζήλοτυπίας δωροδοκίας *agm*: μετὰ δειλίας .. ζήλοτυπίας *deliri volunt nonnulli*.
 14. συμπρέσβεις] πρέσβεις *fed pr. b*: † συμπρέσβεις *corr. b* †. 15. κινδυνεύσαν] Sic
k et *superscr.* in *quibusdam* aliis: ceteri *κινδυνεύειν*. τῶν φίλων] Om. *pr. f* † *pr. b* †.
 17. οἱ τῇ .. ἡσυχίᾳ] Sic post *Reiskium* editores omnes: *codicum* proxime accedit *γρ. b*,
 ubi *πολεμοῦντες* legitur, cetera ut in *volgato*. τῇ om. *agm*, *fedh*: *προπολεμοῦντες pr. n* et
cd et *Ald.*, ἡσυχῇ vel -χῇ *fedh* *Ald.* οἱ *προπολεμοῦντες* τῇ τῆς πόλεως ἡσυχίᾳ *zekl*.

1. ὡς τοῦ ὑμῖν ἐδόκει. 'For that was before Demosthenes had persuaded you to fall down and worship Thebes.' Aeschines, after the subjugation of Greece, still affects to regret the abandonment of the old policy of keeping a balance of power between Greek states, and depressing the nearest and most formidable.

3. ἐν ταῖς μεγίσταις κ.τ.λ. See *Ae. de F. L.* §§ 152 sqq., p. 47, for an apparent admission that general opinion condemned the ambassadors.

§ 81. *And bad quarreled with Philocrates, and therefore tried accusing Philip, and*

8. ὑπὲρ τούτων κ.τ.λ. Explained below, τῆς πρὸς Φιλοκράτην ὑπὲρ τῆς δωροδοκίας ζήλοτυπίας.

15. κινδυνεύσαν. The reading of most MSS., *κινδυνεύειν*, may be defended by com-

paring Aeschines' admission above, that they *were* ἐν ταῖς μεγίσταις αἰτίαις: 'Philocrates would be ruined (being, as his accomplice knew, guilty); his colleagues *were* already in danger (and might take their chance as to escaping or not); he himself *would* get credit (while at present he at best shared their danger).'

προδότης ὧν .. πιστὸς τῷ δήμῳ φανήσεσθαι. Demosthenes thought the people incapable of grasping the principle Aeschines had laid down, sup. § 78, οὐδέ γε δ' ἰδίᾳ πονηρὸς οὐκ ἂν ποτε γένοιτο δημαγωγὸς χρηστός.

§ 82. *He was taken up by the war party, and began to manufacture grievances.*

16. κατιδόντες. Almost 'sighted him,' as a useful booty.

19. ἐνεδίδου. 'Was always giving them room to begin.'

- ✓ οὗτός ἐστιν, ὃ Ἀθηναῖοι, ὁ πρῶτος ἐξευρὼν Σέρρειον τεῖχος
 καὶ Δορίσκον καὶ Ἐργίσκην καὶ Μυρτίσκην καὶ Γάνος καὶ
 ✓ Γανίδα, χωρία, ὧν οὐδὲ τὰ ὀνόματα ᾔδειμεν πρότερον. καὶ
 εἰς τοῦτο φέρων περιέστησε τὰ πράγματα, ὥστ' εἰ μὲν μὴ
 5 πέμποι Φίλιππος πρέσβεις, καταφρονεῖν αὐτὸν ἔφη τῆς πό-
 λεως, εἰ δὲ πέμποι, κατασκόπους πέμπειν, ἀλλ' οὐ πρέσβεις.
 εἰ δὲ ἐπιτρέπειν ἐθέλοι πόλει τινὶ ἴση καὶ ὁμοία περὶ τῶν 83
 ἐγκλημάτων, οὐκ εἶναι κριτὴν ἴσον ἡμῖν ἔφη καὶ Φιλίππῳ.
 ✓ Ἀλόννησον ἐδίδου· ὁ δ' ἀπηγόρευε μὴ λαμβάνειν, εἰ δίδωσιν,
 ὅ ἀλλὰ μὴ ἀποδίδωσι, περὶ συλλαβῶν διαφερόμενος. καὶ τὸ
 τελευταῖον στεφανώσας τοὺς μετὰ Ἀριστοδήμου εἰς Θερτα-
 λίαν καὶ Μαγνησίαν παρὰ τὰς τῆς εἰρήνης συνθήκας ἐπιστρα-
 τεύσαντας τὴν μὲν εἰρήνην διέλυσε, τὴν δὲ συμφορὰν καὶ τὸν
 πόλεμον παρεσκεύασεν.

1. Σέρρειον] Hic libri Σέρριον habent. 2. Μυρτίσκην] Sic z k, Μυρτίσκην fcd b: καὶ
 Μυρτίσκην om. agm psl, volgo et Bekk. Μουρτίσκην. † Et καὶ Μυρτίσκην et καὶ Γανίδα
 lineola subscripta notavit cod. b corrector. † Ceterum Μυρτηνὸν est in D. de Cor. § 34,
 Μυρτανὸν scribit Harpocr. Γάνος καὶ Γανίδα] καὶ Γανίδα om. agm, Γάνον pro Γάνος
 habet p, Δάνδια pro Γανίδα al. Γάνον καὶ Γανίδα legisse videtur Harpocr. Vid. annot.
 7. ἐθέλοι] ἐθέλει agm dsl. 9. Ἀλόννησον] Sic b et corr. dm, ἀλλόννησον cf:
 ceteri Ἀλόννησον. 12. τῆς εἰρήνης] περὶ τῆς εἰρήνης fcd b ekl. ἐπιστρατεύσαντας]
 πρεσβεύσαντας ekl Tauchn. Bakius.

1. ὁ πρῶτος ἐξευρὼν. Literally of course
 'the first who discovered;' but Aeschines
 does not mean to impose on his hearers'
 ignorance, by saying that there were no such
 places known to geographers, but only that
 Demosthenes was the first person to suggest
 the paradox, that it was a serious wrong to
 Athens for Philip to occupy these remote
 and barbarous places. The author of the
 Fourth Philippic says the same as Aeschines,
 § 89, p. 123.

2. Μυρτίσκην. Most MSS. omit this
 name, and the common reading was Μουρ-
 γίσκην; but among the real names of the
 places was Μυρτηνὸν (D. de Cor. § 34), and
 it is probable that Aeschines means this,
 while he puts it in a ludicrous light by the
 assonances and diminutive terminations.
 Some MSS. omit both Μυρτίσκην and Γανίδα,
 probably from hypercriticism; they suppose
 a copyist to have done accidentally what
 Aeschines did by design.

4. φέρων περιέστησε τὰ πράγματα.
 φέρων implies chiefly violence, or at least
 rapidity in the movement effected; and,
 secondarily, that the thing removed is carried
 off as booty. Thus we might almost trans-
 late, 'ran away with the state's interest, till
 he brought it to the point that ...' Cp. inf.
 § 90, ἐπέβαλλεν αὐτὸν φέρων Θηβαίους.

6. κατασκόπους πέμπειν ἀλλ' οὐ πρέσ-
 βεις. The usual Greek construction; we
 should say either 'not ambassadors, but
 spies,' or 'spies, not ambassadors,' omitting
 the but.

§ 83. And repulsed Philip's attempts at
 conciliation.

8. οὐκ εἶναι κριτὴν ἴσον ἡμῖν ἔφη καὶ
 Φιλίππῳ. 'He said that there was no
 judge impartial between us and Philip.'
 ἴσον has its sense determined by the collo-
 cation ἴση καὶ ὁμοία just before, like ἴσος
 καὶ κοινὸς in D. de Cor. § 8, ubi vide. Else
 one might have fancied that ἴσον included
 equality of power with the parties to the
 dispute, for a small state called upon to
 arbitrate between two great ones, might be
 tempted to decide in favour of the one that
 seemed stronger, for fear of consequences to
 itself.

9. Ἀλόννησον ἐδίδου ... ἀποδίδωσι.
 The same line is taken in the extant speech
 on Halonnesus, printed among the works of
 Demosthenes, but really by Hegesippus. The
 island had been seized by a pirate; Philip
 conquered it, and offered to present it to the
 Athenians. Demosthenes and his party in-
 sisted that he should restore it, acknow-
 ledging their right not to have been for-
 feited.

- 84 *Ναί, ἀλλὰ χαλκοῖς καὶ ἀδαμαντίνοις τείχεσιν, ὡς αὐτός φησι, τὴν χώραν ἡμῶν ἐτείχισε, τῇ τῶν Εὐβοέων καὶ Θηβαίων συμμαχίᾳ. ἀλλ', ὧ Ἀθηναῖοι, περὶ ταῦτα τρία μέγιστα ἠδίκησθε καὶ μάλιστα ἡγνοήκατε. σπεύδων δ' εἰπεῖν περὶ τῆς θαυμαστῆς συμμαχίας τῆς τῶν Θηβαίων, ἵν' ἐφεξῆς εἴπω, περὶ τῶν 5 Εὐβοέων πρῶτον μνησθήσομαι.*
- 85 *Ὑμεῖς γάρ, ὧ Ἀθηναῖοι, πολλὰ καὶ μεγάλα ἠδίκημένοι ὑπὸ Μνησάρχου τοῦ Χαλκιδέως, τοῦ Καλλίου καὶ Ταυροσθένους πατρὸς, οὓς οὗτος νυνὶ μισθὸν λαβὼν Ἀθηναίους εἶναι τολμᾷ γράφειν, καὶ πάλιν ὑπὸ Θεμίσωνος τοῦ Ἐρετριέως, ὃς ἡμῶν 10 εἰρήνης οὔσης Ὠρωπὸν ἀφείλετο, τούτων ἐκόντες ἐπιλαθόμενοι, ἐπειδὴ διέβησαν εἰς Εὐβοίαν Θηβαῖοι καταδουλώσασθαι τὰς πόλεις πειρώμενοι, ἐν πέντε ἡμέραις ἐβοηθήσατε αὐτοῖς καὶ ναυσὶ καὶ πεζικῇ δυνάμει, καὶ πρὶν τριάκονθ' ἡμέρας διελθεῖν ὑποσπόνδους Θηβαίους ἀφήκατε, κύριοι τῆς Εὐβοίας γένόμενοι, 15 καὶ τὰς τε πόλεις αὐτὰς καὶ τὰς πολιτείας ἀπέδοτε ὀρθῶς καὶ δικαίως τοῖς παρακαταθεμένοις, οὐχ ἡγούμενοι δίκαιον εἶναι*
- 86 *τὴν ὀργὴν ἀπομνημονεύειν ἐν τῷ πιστευθῆναι. καὶ τηλικαῦθ' ὑφ' ὑμῶν εὖ κεπονθότες οἱ Χαλκιδεῖς οὐ τὰς ὁμοίας ὑμῖν ἀπέδοσαν*

3. *τρία μέγιστα*] καὶ μέγιστα *ekl*: † *τρία* vel omisit pr. b, vel in aliud nescio quid mutavit, sed *τρία* habet corr. † 4. *ἡγνοήκατε*] † *ἡγνοήκατε* corr. b †. *θαυμαστῆς*] Sic *ekl* Bekk. Frank.: ceteri, B. et S., *μεγίστης*. 5. *συμμαχίας*] *συμπαθείας* f pr. b † volg. restituit corr. b †. *περὶ τῶν Εὐβοέων*] *περὶ τῆς τῶν Εὐβοέων* *ekl*. 10. *ἡμῶν*] *ὑμῶν* z, *ἡμῶν* d. 11. *ἐπιλαθόμενοι*] Sic *agmr* † corr. b †: ceteri et Bekk. *ἐπιλανθανόμενοι*. 12. *Θηβαῖοι*] † οἱ *Θηβαῖοι* b †. 14. *πεζικῇ*] *πεζῇ* *ekl* Bekk.: quod restitui nunc volt Frankius. 16. *τὰς τε πόλεις*] *τὰς πόλεις* fcd † pr. b †. 18. *ὑφ' ὑμῶν*] *ὑφ' om.* *agms*, utrumque om. pr. f, post *κεπονθότες* ponit b.

§ 84. *About the Theban alliance be cheated you thence; but I must first explain his villainy in Euboea.*

1. *χαλκοῖς καὶ ἀδαμαντίνοις τείχεσιν*. Cp. D. de Cor. § 370 sq., to which there is no doubt a reference. The meaning of what Demosthenes is supposed to say is, 'walls of bronze and steel,' i. e. armed men. Aeschines' comment on it is, that the fortifications actually supplied were something much less concrete—an alliance upon paper.

4. *μάλιστα ἡγνοήκατε*. Because this part of Demosthenes' policy was most unsailable, Aeschines says that the Athenians were peculiarly blind to its villainy.

§ 85. *In spite of your grievances against the rulers of Chalcis and Eretria, you delivered Euboea from Thebes:*

11. *ἀφείλετο*. The special force of the middle has disappeared. Oropus was annexed to Thebes, not to Eretria.

15. *κύριοι τῆς Εὐβοίας γένόμενοι*. Markland wanted to put *καὶ* before this

clause—'and, having *thereby* become masters of Euboea, you then honourably restored . . . ' Otherwise it is impossible to take *κύριοι* . . . *γένόμενοι* in the most forcible and appropriate sense, of having Euboea at their mercy and being able to appropriate it but for their honour's sake. It must refer to a mere military occupation: 'you made yourselves masters of the country, and sent them out of it.' It seems quite impossible to take the aorist participle as expressing a *result* of the action of the verb, not a condition precedent to it.

17. *τοῖς παρακαταθεμένοις* gives the rhetorical reason for *ὀρθῶς καὶ δικαίως*. The reading of most MSS., *αὐτοῖς παρακαταθέμενοι*, seems to require an inadmissible sense for the participle.

§§ 86-88. *Yet in spite of these services, on your second expedition Callias betrayed you at Tamynas, and you were in danger of the disgrace of defeat by an inferior antagonist.*

19. *ὑμῖν* probably goes with *ἀπέδοσαν*. It would be forcible, but hardly like Greek

χάριτας, ἀλλ' ἐπεὶ δὲ τάχιστα διέβητε εἰς Εὐβοίαν Πλου-
 τάρχῳ βοηθήσοντες, τοὺς μὲν πρῶτους χρόνους ἀλλ' οὖν προσ-
 ποιοῦνθ' ὑμῖν εἶναι φίλοι, ἐπεὶ δὲ τάχιστα εἰς Ταμύνας
 παρήλθομεν καὶ τὸ Κοτύλαιον ὀνομαζόμενον ὄρος ὑπερεβάλ-
 5 λομεν, ἐνταῦθα Καλλίας ὁ Χαλκιδεὺς, ὃν Δημοσθένης μισθὸν
 λαβὼν ἐνεκωμιάζεν, ὁρῶν τὸ στρατόπεδον τὸ τῆς πόλεως εἰς 87
 τινὰς δυσχωρίας κατακεκλειμένον, ὅθεν μὴ νικήσασι μάχην οὐκ
 ἦν ἀναχώρησις οὐδὲ βοηθείας ἐλπίς οὔτ' ἐκ γῆς οὔτ' ἐκ θαλάτ-
 τῆς, συναγείρας ἐξ ἀπάσης τῆς Εὐβοίας στρατόπεδον καὶ παρὰ
 10 Φιλίππου δύναμιν προσμεταπεψάμενος, ὃ τ' ἀδελφὸς αὐτοῦ
 Ταυροσθένης, ὁ νυνὶ πάντας δεξιούμενος καὶ προσγελῶν, τοὺς
 Φωκικοὺς ξένους διαβιβάσας, ἦλθον ἐφ' ἡμᾶς ὡς ἀναιρήσοντες.
 καὶ εἰ μὴ πρῶτον μὲν θεῶν τις ἔσωσε τὸ στρατόπεδον, ἔπειθ' 88
 οἱ στρατιῶται οἱ ὑμέτεροι καὶ πέζοι καὶ ἵπποις ἄνδρες ἀγαθοὶ
 15 ἐγένοντο καὶ παρὰ τὸν ἱππόδρομον τὸν ἐν Ταμύναις ἐκ παρα-
 τάξεως μάχῃ κρατήσαντες ἀφείσαν ὑποσπόνδους τοὺς πολε-
 μίους, ἐκινδύνευσεν ἂν ἡ πόλις αἰσχίστα παθεῖν· οὐ γὰρ τὸ
 δυστυχῆσαι κατὰ πόλεμον μέγιστόν ἐστι κακόν, ἀλλ' ὅταν τις
 πρὸς ἀνταγωνιστὰς ἀναξίους αὐτοῦ διακινδυνεύων ἀποτύχῃ, δι-

4. ὑπερεβάλλομεν] ὑπερεβάλομεν *ehl*. 5. μισθὸν λαβὼν] *μισθαρῶν ehl Bekk*.
 6. ἐνεκωμιάζεν] Sic *pzel*, ἐνεγκωμίαζεν *facili errore l*, ἐνεχυρίαζεν *ceteri*. 'Videtur men-
 dum subesse' Frank. 7. τινὰς] *δεινὰς ehl*. 8. δυσχωρίας] *δυσχερείας f pr. b* † *volg.*
 restituit corr. *b* †. 9. κατακεκλειμένον] Sic *ac Bekk. B. et S.*: *κατακεκλημένον m*, unde
 vel potius ex sua doctrina *κατακεκλημένον* Frank.: *κατακεκλειμένον ceteri*. 8. οὐδὲ]
 Libri *oñte*: correxit Bekk. 12. ἡμᾶς] Sic *ael*; *ceteri ὑμᾶς*, prorsus oblitī quod ei pugnae
 interfuisse Aeschines. 16. μάχῃ] † quod post *κρατήσαντες* in *b* ut in *f* addebatur, a m.
 sec. deleta est †. 17. ἀφείσαν ὑποσπόνδους] ὑποσπόνδους ἀφείσαν *ehl*: ἀφείσαν ὑποσπόν-
 δους *ceteri et B. et S.* Sed imperfectum vix salvo sensu stare potest. 17. ἡ πόλις] *ἡμῶν*
 ἡ πόλις *gmpr Bekk.*, ὑμῶν ἡ πόλις *ehl*. 19. αὐτοῦ] Sic *z B. et S. Frank.*: αὐτοῦ *agmnd*:
ceteri ταυτοῦ.

thought, to take it with *δμοίας*, 'you had returned them good for evil, but they, unlike you, returned evil for good.'

2. ἀλλ' οὖν introduces the apodosis to a suppressed protasis, [if they made no valuable return] 'at any rate they *did* profess,' etc.

4. παρήλθομεν. Aeschines uses the first person, so as to remind the court of his own honourable share in the battle (Ae. de F. L. § 180, p. 50): it is creditable to his modesty, or at least to his taste, that he says nothing more directly on the subject. Just above he had said *διήλθετε*, 'you, the Athenian nation, made the expedition.'

6. ἐνεκωμιάζεν. 'Was in the habit of praising' at the time. It can hardly be a mere repetition of *νῦν μισθὸν λαβὼν* 'Aθηναῖοις εἶναι τολμᾷ γράφειν.'

12. ἐφ' ἡμᾶς. Most MSS. read *ὑμᾶς*,

but the correction seems necessary. It would be harsh to identify the court with the army in the field, though they might be identified, as in the preceding section, with the nation that sent out the army.

13. πρῶτον μὲν . . . ἔπειθ'. Perhaps not only that the gods are the first cause, and human merit the second, of all blessings, but that the two stand first and second in order of time, as well as of importance. A god saved the army from the panic that would have been the natural result of a sudden attack from an allied force; then the men, having the chance given them of using their courage, used it with good effect. Thus *ἐκ παρατάξεως* is almost epexegetical of *ἔπειτα*, 'as soon as they were in line,' before which time nothing but a special providence could save them.

19. πρὸς ἀνταγωνιστὰς ἀναξίους *goes*

πλασίαν εἰκὸς εἶναι τὴν συμφορὰν. ἀλλ' ὅμως ὑμεῖς τοιαῦτα
 89 πεπονθότες πάλιν διελύσασθε πρὸς αὐτοὺς. τυχὼν δὲ παρ'
 ὑμῶν συγγνώμης Καλλίας ὁ Χαλκιδεὺς μικρὸν διαλιπὼν χρόνον
 πάλιν ἦκε φερόμενος εἰς τὴν ἑαυτοῦ φύσιν, Εὐβοϊκὸν μὲν τῷ
 λόγῳ συνέδριον εἰς Χαλκίδα συνάγων, ἰσχυρὰν δὲ τὴν Εὐβοίαν δ
 ἐφ' ὑμᾶς ἔργῳ παρασκευάζων, ἐξαίρετον δ' αὐτῷ τυραννίδα περι-
 ποιούμενος. καὶ ταύτης ἐλπίζων συναγωνιστὴν Φίλιππον λή-
 ψεσθαι ἀπῆλθεν εἰς Μακεδονίαν καὶ περιήει μετὰ Φιλίππου,
 90 καὶ τῶν ἐταίρων εἰς ὠνομάζετο. Ἰαδικήσας δὲ Φίλιππον κάκει-
 θεν ἀποδρὰς ὑπέβαλλεν ἑαυτὸν φέρων Θηβαίοις. ἐγκαταλιπὼν 10
 δὲ κάκεινους, καὶ πλείους τραπόμενος τροπὰς τοῦ Εὐρίπου, παρ'
 ὃν ᾤκει, εἰς μέσον πίπτει τῆς τε Θηβαίων ἐχθρας καὶ τῆς
 Φιλίππου. ἀπορῶν δ', ὃ τι χρήσαιτο αὐτῷ, καὶ παραγγελλο-
 μένης ἐπ' αὐτὸν ἦδη στρατείας, μίαν ἐλπίδα λοιπὴν κατείδε
 σωτηρίας ἔνορκον λαβεῖν τὸν Ἀθηναίων δῆμον, σύμμαχον ὄνο- 15
 μασθέντα, βοηθήσειν, εἴ τις ἐπ' αὐτὸν ἴοι· ὁ πρόδηλον ἦν

2. παρ' ὑμῶν συγγνώμης] συγγνώμη παρ' ὑμῶν *ekl Bekk.* 3. διαλιπὼν] *Omn. pr.*
f + pr. b +. 10. ὑπέβαλλεν] *Sic amib: ceteri et Bekk. ὑπέβαλεν.* 15. τὸν Ἀθη-
 ναίων δῆμον] τὸν δῆμον τὸν Ἀθηναίων *Bekk., ex ekl qui τὸν δῆμον τῶν Ἀθηναίων habent.*

closely with διακινδυνεύω. The disgrace is to contend on the defensive with unworthy antagonists: to be defeated by them is a yet further climax of disgrace.

§ 89. *Callias being again forgiven, under the plea of uniting Euboea, set about arming it against you. He offered himself first to Philip;*

4. ἦκε φερόμενος. Almost 'flew back with a run;' used of a scale kicking the beam in D. de Pace, p. 60, § 12. There is, however, no necessary metaphor in the Greek expression.

τῷ λόγῳ .. ἔργῳ. The presence and omission of the article are not accidental: the contrast is not between profession and fact, but between *his* profession and fact.

6. ἐξαίρετον. As in its technical meaning, Aesch. Ag. 954, etc. Various advantages were to be secured for Euboea generally, at the expense of Athens. Of these, the rule of his own city was specially reserved to himself.

§ 90. *Then, rejected by him, to Thebes; then, having deserted them, had no resource but to try you again.*

10. ὑπέβαλλεν ἑαυτὸν φέρων. 'He took and subjected himself.' The φέρων instead of φερόμενος is partly accounted for by the verb being transitive instead of

neuter; but it brings in (coupled with the reflexive construction) a further touch of contempt. Callias was both dealer and commodity—a deliberate agent, and the goods he carried about with him. So below δ' τι χρήσαιτο αὐτῷ, 'to what use to turn himself next,' as if he were fit for nothing but a tool in any case.

ἐγκαταλιπὼν. Exactly 'left in the lurch.'

11. Εὐρίπου. The tides in the Mediterranean being of uncertain occurrence, and so slight as only to be perceptible in landlocked creeks, the Greeks had very vague notions as to the possible laws of their appearance; and in the Euripus especially, where they were unusually evident, and therefore evidently variable, it was fancied that they changed seven times a day. The proverbial simile is common enough, e.g. Ar. Eth. 9 (6). 3.

12. εἰς μέσον. Like Εὐρίπου, παρ' ὃν ᾤκει, the description of his political situation is pointed by an analogy with his geographical.

15. ἔνορκον λαβεῖν. Almost 'entrap Athens into an oath, λαβεῖν implying 'to catch' instantaneously and by surprise, by force of the tense. Contrast sup. ὑπέβαλλεν (si vera l.), and at any rate περιήει and ὠνομάζετο.

ἐσόμενον, εἰ μὴ ὑμεῖς κωλύσαιτε. ταῦτα δὲ διανοηθεὶς ἀπο- 91
 στέλλει δῦρο πρέσβεις Γλαυκέτην καὶ Ἑμπέδωνα καὶ Διόδωρον
 τὸν δολιχοδρομήσαντα, φέροντας τῷ μὲν δῆμῳ ἐλπίδας κενὰς,
 Δημοσθένει δ' ἀργύριον καὶ τοῖς περὶ αὐτόν. τρία δ' ἦν ἅ
 5 ἅμα ἐξωνεῖτο, πρῶτον μὲν μὴ διασφαλῆναι τῆς πρὸς ὑμᾶς
 συμμαχίας· οὐδὲν γὰρ ἦν τὸ μέσον, εἰ μνησθεὶς τῶν προτέρων
 ἀδικημάτων ὁ δῆμος μὴ προσδέξαιτο τὴν συμμαχίαν, ἀλλ'
 ὑπῆρχεν αὐτῷ ἢ φεύγειν ἐκ Χαλκίδος ἢ τεθνάναι ἐγκαταλη-
 φθέντι· τηλικαῦται δυνάμεις ἐπ' αὐτὸν ἐπεστράτευον, ἣ τε Φι-
 10 λίππου καὶ Θηβαίων. δεύτερον δ' ἦκον οἱ μισθοὶ τῷ γράψαντι
 τὴν συμμαχίαν ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ συνεδρεῖν Ἀθήνῃσι Χαλκιδέας,
 τρίτον δὲ ὥστε μὴ τελεῖν συντάξεις. καὶ τούτων τῶν προαιρέ- 92
 σεων οὐδεμιᾶς ἀπέτυχε Καλλίας, ἀλλ' ὁ μισοτύραννος Δημο-
 σθένης, ὡς αὐτὸς προσποιεῖται, ὃν φησι Κτησιφῶν τὰ βέλτιστα
 15 λέγειν, ἀπέδοτο μὲν τοὺς καιροὺς τοὺς τῆς πόλεως, ἔγραψε δ'
 ἐν τῇ συμμαχίᾳ βοηθεῖν ἡμᾶς Χαλκιδεῦσι, ῥῆμα μόνον ἀντι-

1. κωλύσαιτε] Sic marg. Bern. et post Markland. editi plerique: ἐκωλύσατε b et γρ. gm: ceteri et Brem. κωλύετε, quod haud scio an stare possit. 8. ἐγκαταληφθέντι] Sic gmf: ceteri ἐγκαταλειφθέντι, satis frequenti errore. 10. καὶ Θηβαίων] καὶ ἡ Θηβαίων Steph. B. et S. 12. συντάξεις] φόρους pr. b. 14. δν] † δs pr. b †.

§ 91. So he came here to buy a treaty from Demosthenes, whereby he both saved himself and withdrew Chalcis and the tribute from Athens.

3. τὸν δολιχοδρομήσαντα. As an athlete he would be known and popular (cp. Thuc. 4. 121); possibly at Athens considered a credit to them, as from a kindred state.

6. οὐδὲν γὰρ ἦν τὸ μέσον κ.τ.λ. 'There was no middle term for him... he might depend either on being constrained to fly from Chalcis, or killed if caught there,' or 'caught there and killed,' the Greek idiom in the last clause expressing both—that his being caught was certain, and would certainly end in the other.

9. τηλικαῦται. Not a very common construction in Attic, but exactly like the Homeric use of τοῖος.

10. ἦκον οἱ μισθοί. The pay for moving an alliance at all has been mentioned above; now he says, 'there was next the pay for the proposer of the alliance to release Chalcis from attendance on the Athenian conference; and, thirdly, for the condition (a common use of ὥστε) that they were released from their contribution.' The symmetry of the sentence is disturbed, in order to reiterate the charge of bribery.

12. συντάξεις. φόρους, the original

reading of one MS (b), is hardly likely to be accidental. σύνταξις was the term adopted on the re-organization of the Athenian confederacy, in place of the older φόρος, which had become invidious; but it is not impossible that Aeschines casually used the older word (note that he is speaking of the tribute as a clear gain to Athens, not as carrying costly federal duties with it), and that his copyists altered it to the officially correct one, which he uses afterwards repeatedly.

§ 92. Demosthenes sold him a one-sided alliance, such as he wanted.

15. ἀπέδοτο μὲν τοὺς καιροὺς. 'Sold the opportunity' of insisting on good terms for Athens, which might easily have been secured of Callias in his distress.

16. ῥῆμα μόνον ἀντικαταλαβόμενος ἀντὶ τούτων. 'Stipulating for this a merely verbal equivalent, viz. that for the sake of appearances he added, that if any one,' etc. The punctuation of the text seems better than Bekker's, with the comma after ἀντικαταλαβόμενος instead of after τούτων. The equivalent was merely verbal, (1) because the Chalcidians were in imminent danger, the Athenians not, (2) because the Chalcidians were impotent allies in case the danger became real.

καταλλαζόμενος ἀντὶ τούτων, εὐφημίας ἔνεκα προσγράψας Χαλ-
 93 κιδέας βοηθεῖν, εἴαν τις ἦ ἐπ' Ἀθηναίους· τὰς δὲ συνεδρίας καὶ
 τὰς συντάξεις, ἐξ ὧν ἰσχύσειν ὁ πόλεμος ἡμελλεν, ἄρδην ἀπέ-
 δοτο, καλλίστοις ὀνόμασιν αἰσχίστας πράξεις γράφων καὶ τῷ
 λόγῳ προσβιβάζων ὑμᾶς, τὰς μὲν βοηθείας ὡς δεῖ τὴν πόλιν 5
 πρότερον ποιεῖσθαι τοῖς αἰεὶ δεομένοις τῶν Ἑλλήνων, τὰς δὲ
 συμμαχίας ὑστέρας μετὰ τὰς εὐεργεσίας. ἵνα δ' εὖ εἰδῆτε, ὅτι
 ἀληθῆ λέγω, λαβέ μοι τὴν Καλλίου γραφὴν καὶ τὴν συμμα-
 χίαν, καὶ ἀνάγνωθι τὸ ψήφισμα.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

10

94 Οὐπω τοίνυν τούτ' ἐστὶ δεινόν, εἰ καιροὶ πέπρανται τηλι-
 κούτοι καὶ συνεδρίαὶ καὶ συντάξεις, ἀλλὰ πολὺ τούτου δεινό-
 τερον ὑμῖν φανήσεται ὃ μέλλω λέγειν. εἰς γὰρ τοῦτο προήχθη
 Καλλίας μὲν ὁ Χαλκιδεὺς ὕβρεως καὶ πλεονεξίας, Δημοσθένης
 δὲ, ὃν ἐπαινεῖ Κτησιφῶν, δωροδοκίας, ὥστε τὰς ἐξ Ὁρεοῦ συν- 15
 τάξεις καὶ τὰς ἐξ Ἐρετρίας, τὰ δέκα τάλαντα, ὀρώντων φρον-
 ούντων βλεπόντων ἔλαθον ὑμῶν ὑφελόμενοι, καὶ τοὺς ἐκ τῶν

1. προσγράψας] προγράψας agm fdb, προσέγραψε al. εὐφημίας ἔνεκα προσγράψας
 om. z Χαλκιδέας] καὶ Χαλκιδέας zchl et marg. Steph.: fortasse rectius. 2. συνε-
 δρίας] προεδρίας agm cd pr. b. 5. τὰς μὲν βοηθείας ὡς δεῖ τὴν πόλιν] ὡς δεῖ τὴν
 πόλιν τὰς μὲν βοηθείας fcdh ekl Bekk. 'Latet hic aliquid viti' Frank. 7. ὑστέρας]
 ὑστίρας ποιεῖσθαι agm fcdh. 10. † Post ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ b add. λείπει † 11. al
 καιροὶ] el ol καιροὶ agm fcd Tauchn. πέπρανται τηλικούτοι] τηλικούτοι πεπραμένοι
 τυγχάνουσιν ekl: quod certe elegantius, efficitque ut articulus superiore loco forsitan ferri
 possit. 12. τούτου] τοῦτο agm el et corr. b. 15. ἐξ] † om. pr. b †. 17. ὑμῶν]
 ὑμᾶς al pr. b: † ut volg. corr. b †.

§ 93. And cajoled you into sacrificing substantial advantages to a sentiment.

2. συνεδρίας. The best MSS. have προεδρίας. Can this mean 'your presidency in the congress,' i. e. their belonging to a congress under your presidency, instead of having a separate independent one of their own?

4. τῷ λόγῳ προσβιβάζων ὑμᾶς. 'Bringing you up to the point with the argument...' It is no more than one might expect, that some MSS. should read προσβιβάζων; if right, it would mean 'forcing you on and on.'

5. τὰς μὲν βοηθείας ὡς δεῖ τὴν πόλιν. So the best group of MSS.; and it is perhaps likelier to have occurred to Aeschines than to a transcriber, to have made a harsh inversion for the sake of symmetrical parallelism with the next clause.

6. τὰς δὲ συμμαχίας. Obviously Demosthenes had argued that it was urgent to support and strengthen Callias, and that the

arrangements between Athens and Euboea could wait. μετὰ τὰς εὐεργεσίας looks as though he had been thinking of Thuc. 2. 40, where it is asserted that to act thus is characteristic of Athens.

8. τὴν Καλλίου γραφὴν. As we say, 'the paper' of Callias.

§ 94. Moreover, he actually made you give up not only Chalcis, but also Eretria and Oreus.

11. al καιροὶ κ.τ.λ. 'If he has been selling opportunities, alliances, and contributions on this scale,' τηλικούτοι having a double force, 'as great as this,' but also 'no greater,' while something greater is to follow.

15. ὃν ἐπαινεῖ Κτησιφῶν. Such reminders are put in from time to time, in both speeches, as apologies for irrelevance.

16. ὀρώντων φρονούντων βλεπόντων ἔλαθον ὑμῶν ὑφελόμενοι. 'They stole from you . . . and you, with the power of sight and sense, with your very eyes on it,

πόλεων τούτων συνέδρους παρ' ἡμῶν μὲν ἀνέστησαν, πάλιν δὲ εἰς Χαλκίδα καὶ τὸ καλούμενον Εὐβοϊκὸν συνέδριον συνήγαγον. ὃν δὲ τρόπον καὶ δι' οἷων κακουργημάτων, ταῦτ' ἤδη ἀξιὸν ἔστιν ἀκούσαι. ἀφικνεῖται γὰρ πρὸς ὑμᾶς οὐκέτι δι' ἀγγέλων, 95 5 ἀλλ' αὐτὸς ὁ Καλλίας, καὶ παρελθὼν εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν λόγους διεξῆλθε κατεσκευασμένους ὑπὸ Δημοσθένους. εἶπε γὰρ, ὡς ἦκοι ἐκ Πελοποννήσου νεωστὶ σύνταγμα συντάξας εἰς ἑκατὸν ταλάντων πρόσδοτον ἐπὶ Φίλιππον, καὶ διελογίζετο; ὅσον ἑκάστους ἔδει συντελεῖν, Ἀχαιοὺς μὲν πάντας καὶ Μεγαρέας ἐξή- 10 κοντα τάλαντα, τὰς δ' ἐν Εὐβοίᾳ πόλεις ἀπάσας τετταράκοντα· ἐκ δὲ τούτων τῶν χρημάτων ὑπάρξειν καὶ ναυτικὴν καὶ 96 περικὴν δύναμιν· εἶναι δὲ πολλοὺς ἄλλους τῶν Ἑλλήνων, οὓς βούλεσθαι κοινωνεῖν τῆς συντάξεως, ὥστε οὔτε χρημάτων οὔτε στρατιωτῶν ἔσσεσθαι ἀπορίαν. καὶ ταῦτα μὲν τὰ φανερά· ἔφη 15 δὲ καὶ πράξεις πράττειν ἑτέρας δι' ἀπορρήτων, καὶ τούτων εἶναι τινὰς μάρτυρας τῶν ἡμετέρων πολιτῶν, καὶ τελευτῶν ὄνο-

1. τούτων] τοιούτους a, τούτους gmn fdb.

librorum lectionem ideo restituimus, quod cum ἡμῶν (sive ὑμᾶς) praecesserit, facilius videtur idem iterasse, prudentius, ut oratorem, se cum civibus suis mala passum esse, sed non cum ceteris errasse, credamus indicare.

4. γὰρ] δὲ pr. b † corr. ut volg. †.

6. κατεσκευασμένους] † κατασκευασμένους b †.

7. εἰς] om. g † subscripta lineola notavit b †.

12. περικὴν] πεζὴν ei Bekk., idque restitui jubet Frankius, ut ad § 85.

πολλοὺς ἄλλους]

πολλοὺς καὶ ἄλλους ehl Bekk.

13. ὥστε] Sic ehl: ceteri ὡς: cf. ad § 53.

15. πράττειν] πράξειν pr. f † pr. b †.

did not see.' Note that the true correlative to *ἐς τοῦτο προήχθη* is not *ἐλαβον ὑφελόμενοι*, but *ὑφελόμενοι* only. It is a proof of *ὑβρία*, to do a wrong under the eyes of the party wronged, but not a greater one to do it unobserved by them.

1. πάλιν. Having come from Euboea to Athens, Demosthenes sent them back.

2. τὸ καλούμενον. 'The so-called Congress of Euboea,' perhaps because it was unworthy, from its insignificant size, to bear the same name as the great and famous confederacy of Athens; perhaps because, though called a confederation of free cities, it was in truth an organization of forces for the support of a despot.

§ 95. *Next Callias came in person with a story of a confederation against Philip*

4. οὐκέτι δι' ἀγγέλων. 'Instead of dealing by messengers as before.' There is a slight anacoluthon, for one could not say *ἀφικνεῖται δι' ἀγγέλων*.

7. σύνταγμα συντάξας. So in the next section *πράξεις πράττειν*. If this passage stood alone, one might think the use of the cognate words was either sarcastical in itself, or an imitation of a mannerism of Callias.

But the mannerism is Aeschines' own: cp. §§ 23 *κήρυκα κηρύττειν*... *κήρυγμα*, 61 *δέησμαι*... *δέησιν*, 224 *ἀγοράσματα ἀγοράζοντος*, etc.

9. Ἀχαιοὺς μὲν πάντας. Boasting not only of the sum he could raise, but that he had all the cities of Achaia to raise it from.

§ 96. *Quite adequate to encounter him, and of certain other secret plans.*

13. συντάξας. Apparently not exclusively in the sense of money contributions, but in the more directly etymological one, of 'ranking together' as confederates. Of course it would include subscribing to the common war fund. See next section.

15. πράξεις πράττειν. 'That he had other negotiations going on in secret channels.' *πράττειν* of something underhand, usually either in the sense of being dishonourable, or, as here, mysterious and rather complicated.

καὶ τούτων εἶναι... πολιτῶν. 'And that there were those among our citizens who could attest this.' *τινὰς* as noted on § 1, an affectedly mysterious designation.

97 μαστὶ παρεκάλει Δημοσθένην καὶ συνεπειν ἤξιον. ὁ δὲ σεμνῶς
 πάνυ παρελθὼν τὸν τε Καλλίαν ὑπερεπήνει τό τε ἀπόρρητον
 προσεποιήσατο εἶδέναι· τὴν δ' ἐκ Πελοποννήσου πρσβείαν,
 ἣν ἐπρέσβευσε, καὶ τὴν ἐξ Ἀκαρνανίας ἔφη βούλεσθαι ὑμῖν
 ἀπαγγεῖλαι. ἦν δ' αὐτῷ κεφάλαιον τῶν λόγων πάντας μὲν 5
 Πελοποννησίους ὑπάρχειν, πάντας δ' Ἀκαρνανας συντεταγμέ-
 νους ἐπὶ Φίλιππον ὑφ' ἑαυτοῦ, εἶναι δὲ τὸ σύνταγμα χρημά-
 των μὲν εἰς ἑκατὸν νεῶν ταχυναντουσῶν πληρώματα καὶ εἰς
 98 πεζοὺς στρατιώτας μυρίους καὶ ἵππεις χιλίους, ὑπάρξειν δὲ πρὸς
 τούτοις καὶ τὰς πολιτικὰς δυνάμεις, ἐκ Πελοποννήσου μὲν πλεί- 10
 ονας ἢ δισχιλίους ὀπλίτας, ἐξ Ἀκαρνανίας δὲ ἑτέρους τοσού-
 τους· δεδόσθαι δὲ ἀπὸ πάντων τούτων τὴν ἡγεμονίαν ὑμῖν·
 πραχθήσεσθαι δὲ αὐτὰ οὐκ εἰς μακρὰν, ἀλλ' εἰς τὴν ἕκτην ἐπὶ
 δέκα τοῦ ἀνθεστηριῶνος μηνός· εἰρῆσθαι γὰρ ἐν ταῖς πόλε-
 σιν ὑφ' ἑαυτοῦ καὶ παρηγγέλθαι πάντας ἥκειν συνεδρεύοντας 15

2. τό τε] καὶ τὸ *scd.*

ἱππείας *ekl* Bekk. Frank.

3. ἐκ Πελοποννήσου] εἰς Πελοπόννησον *scd.*

9. ἱππείας]

10. πλείονας] πλείον *ekl*, πλείους *g*: *alterutrum legi* volt

Bekk. Brem. Cobet. Vid. annot. ad loc. 11. ὑμῖν] *Om.* *an* et *pr.* *gmf.*

12. ἀπὸ πάντων] *Sic libri praeter ei qui πάντων habent: ὑπὸ πάντων voluerunt*

Bekk. Brem. Cobet. Vid. annot. ad loc. 13. αὐτὰ]

Sic agnabdy: ceteri et Bekk. ταῦτα. 15. ὑφ' ἑαυτοῦ] *Sic ekl: ceteri ὑπ' αὐτοῦ.*

§ 97. *Demosthenes being appealed to, confirmed him on both points, and reported successful embassies of his own:*

6. ὑπάρχειν. Opposed to ὑφ' ἑαυτοῦ: the Peloponnesians he had found ready; the Acarnanians he had persuaded to join the confederation. *συντεταγμένους*, as *συντάξας* before, means 'enrolled' in the alliance in the general sense, but with special reference to the money contribution. So *σύνταγμα* includes contributions of money and contingents of men, but the former are ranked first.

9. στρατιώτας. Perhaps already beginning to be used of mercenaries, 'professional soldiers,' exclusively, as in *Ar. Eth.* 3. 8. 6, 9; 9. 6, and so opposed to the *πολιτικὰς δυνάμεις*, as *l.c.* 8. 9 to τὰ *πολιτικά* in the same sense.

§ 98. *That were to give us an army invincible on paper, numbers and dates all specified.*

10. ἐκ Πελοποννήσου μὲν πλείονας ἢ δισχιλίους. Note that the Spartans are clearly not included, though all the Peloponnesians are said to be ready to join. Sparta had too high a prestige, in other men's eyes as well as her own, to be counted only as one of the Peloponnesian states, even after Epaminondas's victories.

12. ἀπὸ πάντων. So all MSS. but two

bad ones, which have *πάντων*. Either that or *ὑπὸ πάντων* (once adopted by Bekker and Bremi) is an easy correction, but the text is right. The *ἡγεμονία* is like a sort of *franco*: each of the states contributes their own share in the joint enterprise, their own right to a voice in the council of war, and the collective supremacy of the federation is 'offered from all of them to Athens.'

13. ἕκτην ἐπὶ δέκα. The full moon would fall on the 15th. Demosthenes allowed one day, either for accidents or for variations in local calendars. Or perhaps the official representatives (*συνεδρεύοντας*) would be ready for business as soon as they arrived, while one day more might be wanted for the mustering of the troops.

14. ἀνθεστηριῶνος μηνός. Being the earliest period when the weather would allow of military operations. See Mr. F. Parker's 'Light upon Thucydides,' for a collection of evidence as to the practical seasons of ancient Greece, and for some arguments (stronger than those for his strange scheme of general chronology) for supposing that this month is the one designated by Thuc. 2. 2 as *ἔμα ἡμὶν ἀρχομένη*, in the account of the surprise of Plataea.

Ἀθήναζε εἰς τὴν πανσέληνον. καὶ γὰρ τοῦτο ἄνθρωπος ἴδιον καὶ οὐ κοινὸν ποιεῖ. οἱ μὲν γὰρ ἄλλοι ἀλαζόνες ὅταν τι ψεύδωνται, ἀόριστα καὶ ἀσαφῆ πειρῶνται λέγειν, φοβούμενοι τὸν ἔλεγχον· Δημοσθένης δ' ὅταν ἀλαζονεύηται, πρῶτον μὲν μεθ' ὅρκου ψεύδεται, ἐξώλειαν ἐπαρώμενος ἑαυτῷ, δεύτερον δὲ, ἃ εὖ οἶδεν οὐδέποτε ἐσόμενα, τολμᾷ λέγειν ἀριθμῶν εἰς ὅπότ' ἔσται, καὶ ὧν τὰ σώματα οὐχ ἑώρακε, τούτων τὰ ὀνόματα λέγει, κλέπτων τὴν ἀκρόασιν καὶ μιμούμενος τοὺς τάληθῃ λέγοντας. διὸ καὶ μάλιστα ἀξίος ἐστὶ μισεῖσθαι, ὅτι πονηρὸς ὧν καὶ τὰ τῶν χρηστῶν σημεῖα διαφθείρει. ταῦτα δ' εἰπὼν δίδωσιν ἀναγνώ-
10 ναι ψήφισμα τῷ γραμματεῖ μακρότερον μὲν τῆς Ἰλιάδος, κενό-
νότερον δὲ τῶν λόγων, οὓς εἴωθε λέγειν, καὶ τοῦ βίου, ὃν

1. πανσέληνον] σελήην Tauchn. ἄνθρωπος] Sic Dind. iuventibus Markl. et B. et S. Habent *αἴτην ἀνθρώπων*, ceteri libri *ἀνθρώπος*. 5. ἐπαρώμενος] *ἐπαρωσάμενος* c, † *ἐπαρσάμενος* b †. *ἑαυτῷ*] Sic *ae*: ceteri *αὐτῷ*. 6. ἀριθμῶν] Om. *gmn ekl*. 9. μάλιστα] *σφόδρα ekl Bekk*. *ὅτι*] *ei vel ἡ pr. f*, † *ei*, ut videbatur, *pr. b* †. 11. κενότερον] Sic *n* † et superscr. in *b* †: *καινότερον agmpfdk pr. b*, ceteri, Bekk., B. et S. *κενότερον*. 12. *ὃν*] † *οὐ pr. b*, sed corr. eadem manu †.

§ 99. According to Demosthenes' extraordinary habit of lying with a circumstance.

1. καὶ γὰρ τοῦτο κ.τ.λ. The same charge is made in similar words Ae. de F. L. § 162, p. 48, in reference to the story of the Olynthian prisoner, the invention or sanction of which is the worst thing proved against Demosthenes' honour. Here the point seems to be, that Demosthenes was under the necessity of performing (in modern language) the functions of a war minister, without executive power. He enumerates large forces of all arms, but either cannot get the resolution carried for embodying them (as that in the First Philippic, §§ 16 sq., p. 44), or the Athenian people and officials neglect their duty (as described in Olynth. 3, §§ 4, 5, 14), or the allies are slack and behindhand, even if the Athenians do their part. If we had an authentic text of Demosthenes' decrees, we should probably find more instances than in his speeches of what Aeschines ridicules.

ἄνθρωπος. A nearly certain correction of the MS. reading *ἀνθρώπος*. If the original reading had been *ὁ ἀνθρώπος* (as Markland) it is less likely that it would have been altered. The best group of MSS. have *ἀνθρώπων*, which seems unlikely. If genuine, it must be taken as a hyperbaton, or rather Zeugma, 'peculiar to himself among men, not common to men generally.'

4. μεθ' ὅρκου ψεύδεται. Besides Ae. de F. L. l. c., cp. Vit. X. Orat. Dem. p. 845 B, for a remark on Demosthenes' habit of

swearing. A passage is quoted from a comic poet (Antiphanes or Timocles), *μὰ γῆν, μὰ κῆρας, μὰ ποταμοὺς, μὰ νόματα*, that appears to have been in ridicule of his style, but that seems rather to refer to such rhetorical oaths as de Cor. § 263, than to serious imprecations such as Aeschines speaks of. But Demosthenes is perhaps more addicted than most orators to merely conversational *μὰ Δία*'s and the like; while in Aeschines they are very rare, except in the Timarchus, where perhaps they are meant for moral earnestness.

6. εἰς ὅπότ'. A colloquialism not common in early Greek; but *εἰς τότε* is found in Plat. Leg. 8, p. 845. *εἰς ὃ ἔτι* in Od. 2. 99, which is also quoted by Bremi, is different in principle.

9. πονηρὸς ὧν καὶ τὰ κ.τ.λ. 'Besides being a villain himself, he makes it impossible to tell honest men from villains.'

§§ 100-102. *So be produced a long windy decree, that pretended to give you a vast army, and really robbed you of a vast revenue.*

11. μακρότερον τῆς Ἰλιάδος. Several commentators identify this decree with the one given in D. de Cor. §§ 232 sq., and the unquestionable 'length and verbiage' of that document look almost as though its compiler shared the belief. But Aeschines is still speaking of the Euboic alliance, and that is concerned with the Theban. And his objection is not to rhodomontade of style, such as appears there, but to vain and false overstatements of resources.

βεβίωκε, μεστὸν δ' ἐλπίδων οὐκ ἐσομένων καὶ στρατοπέδων οὐδέποτε συλλεγησομένων. ἀπαγαγὼν δ' ὑμᾶς ἄπωθεν ἀπὸ τοῦ κλέμματος καὶ ἀνακρεμάσας ἀπὸ τῶν ἐλπίδων, ἐνταῦθα δὴ συστρέψας γράφει κελεύων ἐλίσθαι πρέσβεις εἰς Ἑρέτριαν, οἵτινες δεήσονται τῶν Ἑρετριέων, πάνν γὰρ ἔδει δεσθῆναι, μη-5 κέτι διδόναι τὴν σύνταξιν ὑμῖν, τὰ πέντε τάλαντα, ἀλλὰ Καλ-λία, καὶ πάλιν ἑτέρους αἰρεῖσθαι εἰς Ὀρεὸν πρὸς τοὺς Ὀρεΐτας πρέσβεις, οἵτινες δεήσονται τὸν αὐτὸν Ἀθηναίους φίλον καὶ 101 ἐχθρὸν νομίζεῖν εἶναι. ἔπειτα ἀναφαίνεται περὶ ἅπαντ' ὧν ἐν τῷ ψηφίσματι πρὸς τῷ κλέμματι, γράψας "καὶ τὰ πέντε τάλαντα τοὺς πρέσβεις ἀξιοῦν τοὺς Ὀρεΐτας" μὴ ὑμῖν, ἀλλὰ "Καλ-λία διδόναι." ὅτι δ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, ἀφελὼν τὸν κόμπον καὶ τὰς τριήρεις καὶ τὴν ἀλαζονείαν ἀνάγνωθι καὶ τοῦ κλέμματος ἄψαι, ὃ ὑφείλετο ὁ μιαρὸς καὶ ἀνόσιος ἄνθρωπος, ὃν φησι Κτησιφῶν καὶ ἐν τῷδε τῷ ψηφίσματι διατελεῖν λέγοντα καὶ πράττοντα 15 τὰ ἄριστα τῷ δήμῳ τῶν Ἀθηναίων.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

102 Οὐκοῦν τὰς μὲν τριήρεις καὶ τὴν πεζὴν στρατιὰν καὶ τὴν

2. ἀπώθεν] Sic af: ceteri et Bekk. ἀπώθεν.

Frankius, collato Ae. de F. L. § 19, p. 30.

δεήσονται, αὐτοῖς inserunt *αγμν* *fedb*, αὐτοῖς B. et S., καὶ αὐτοὶ p Reisk. Mox Ἀθηναίους *αγμ* et corr. b: καὶ ante φίλον add. *εἰ*, εἶναι delent Taylor. Cobet. Frankio locus usque a καὶ πάλιν ἑτέρους corruptus videtur.

10. καὶ] Om. *ad*† pr. b† B. et S. Frank. ceteri *ἀξίων*.

ὑμῖν] Libri *ἡμῖν*, sed vid. Plin. Ep. 9. 26.

hic quidem ab eadem, ut videbatur, manu correctus.†

Fortasse αὐτοῦ τοῦ.

4. κελεύων] καὶ κελεύει *εἰ*, deleri jubet

5. οἵτινες δεήσονται .. νομίζαν εἶναι] Post *δεήσονται*, αὐτοῖς inserunt *αγμν* *fedb*, αὐτοῖς B. et S., καὶ αὐτοὶ p Reisk. Mox Ἀθηναίους *αγμ* et corr. b: καὶ ante φίλον add. *εἰ*, εἶναι delent Taylor. Cobet. Frankio locus usque a καὶ πάλιν ἑτέρους corruptus videtur.

9. ἅπαντ' ὧν] Sic post Sauppium Frank.: libri *ἀπάντων*, nisi quod *εἰ* πάντων habent.

11. ἀξιοῦν] Sic *εἰ*, corr. b, marg. *gm*: ceteri *ἀξίων*.

13. Post ἀλαζονείαν adde- batur *cum* *fedb* ἐκ τοῦ ψηφίσματος.

18. στρα- τίων] στρατεῖαν *αγμν* *fedb*.

4. συστρέψας. 'Gathering himself up,' as Plat. Rep. 1, p. 336 B; or perhaps simply 'coming to the point.'

5. πάνν γὰρ ἔδει δεσθῆναι. For the Eretrians themselves had bribed Demosthenes to make such an arrangement.

8. τὸν .. νομίζαν. The exact equivalent of this formula was employed by the Romans to mark their relation to the Samnites when completely subdued. In fact the proposal was to exchange the contributions paid by the Euboeans as members of a confederacy with limited objects, for complete control over their foreign policy.

9. περὶ ἅπαντ' ὧν. So Sauppe and Franke, omitting the καὶ after γράψας. The reading of most MSS., ἀναφαίνεται περὶ ἀπάντων .. γράψας, καὶ τὰ πέντε τάλαντα τοὺς πρέσβεις ἀξίων τοὺς Ὀρεΐτας, would have to mean either, 'Besides the trick that pervades the whole decree, it is

proved how he moved a resolution, calling on the Oreites,' as Bremi, which seems to suit the order ill; or, 'Then it is proved how every item in his motion is drawn up for the cheat, and how he calls upon the Oreites,' etc., an impossible sense for πρὸς τῷ κλέμματι, while ὧν πρὸς κλέμματι is a familiar construction enough; 'it is proved that at each point in his resolution his mind was set on cheating, since he moved, moreover, that the ambassadors shall request the Oreites to give the five talents' (not to you, but) 'to Callias.' καὶ certainly might, as B. and S. think, have got in to harmonise with the reading ἀξίων, and several respectable MSS. omit it; but it seems likelier that its omission is due to wrong criticism, and that like the δὲ above, § 74, it is a verbatim quotation of one of the items of the resolution.

12. ἀφελὼν τὸν κόμπον κ.τ.λ. Of course any diplomatic measure might be

πανσέληνον καὶ τοὺς συνέδρους λόγῳ ἠκούσατε, τὰς δὲ συντάξεις τῶν συμμάχων, τὰ δέκα τάλαντα, ἔργῳ ἀπωλέσατε.

- Ἵπόλοιπον δέ μοι ἐστὶν εἰπεῖν, ὅτι λαβὼν τρία τάλαντα 103 μισθὸν τὴν γνώμην ταύτην ἔγραψε Δημοσθένης, τάλαντον μὲν 5 ἐκ Χαλκίδος παρὰ Καλλίου, τάλαντον δ' ἐξ Ἑρετρίας παρὰ Κλειτάρχου τοῦ τυράννου, τάλαντον δὲ ἐξ Ὀρεοῦ, δι' ὃ καὶ καταφανὴς ἐγένετο, δημοκρατουμένων τῶν Ὀρειτῶν καὶ πάντα πραττόντων μετὰ ψηφίσματος. ἐξανηλωμένοι γὰρ ἐν τῷ πολέμῳ καὶ παντελῶς ἀπόρως διακείμενοι πέμπουσι πρὸς αὐτὸν 10 Γνωσιδήμον τὸν Χαριγένους υἱὸν τοῦ δυναστεύσαντός ποτε ἐν Ὀρεῷ, δεησόμενον αὐτοῦ τὸ μὲν τάλαντον ἀφιέναι τῇ πόλει, ἐπαγγελλόμενον δ' αὐτῷ χαλκῇ εἰκόνα σταθῆσθαι ἐν Ὀρεῷ. ὁ δὲ ἀπεκρίνατο τῷ Γνωσιδήμῳ, ὅτι ἐλαχίστου χαλκοῦ οὐδὲν 104 δέοιτο, τὸ δὲ τάλαντον διὰ τοῦ Καλλίου εἰσπράττειν. ἀναγ- 15 καζόμενοι δὲ οἱ Ὀρεῖται καὶ οὐκ εὐποροῦντες ὑπέθεσαν αὐτῷ τοῦ ταλάντου τὰς δημοσίας προσόδους, καὶ τόκον ἤνεγκαν Δημοσθένει τοῦ δωροδοκήματος δραχμὴν τοῦ μηνὸς τῆς μνᾶς, ἕως τὸ κεφάλαιον ἀπέδοσαν. καὶ πάντ' ἐπράχθη μετὰ ψηφίσματος τοῦ δήμου. ὅτι δὲ τάληθῇ λέγω, λαβέ μοι τὸ ψήφισμα τῶν 20 Ὀρειτῶν.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

Τοῦτ' ἐστὶ τὸ ψήφισμα, ᾧ Ἀθηναῖοι, αἰσχύνη μὲν τῆς 105 πόλεως, ἔλεγχος δὲ οὐ μικρὸς τῶν Δημοσθένους πολιτευμάτων, φανερά δὲ κατηγορία τοῦ Κτησιφώντος· τὸν γὰρ οὕτως αἰσ-

3. τρία] δέκα agn.

Bekk., δι' ὃ H. Wolf.

ἀφιέναι *ekl* Bekk. Frank.

εισέπραττεν *ekl*.

6. δι' ὃ] Sic z Brem. B. et S. Frank.: διδ cum ceteris libris

10. δυναστεύσαντός] + δυναστεύοντός pr. b +.

11. ἀφιέναι] 12. ἐπαγγελλόμενον] ἐπαγγελλόμενον *mn*, unde fortasse

ortum, quod Stephanus ἐπαγγελοῦμενον habet, quem sequitur Bekk.

14. εἰσπράττειν] 18. πάντ' ταῦτ' *scd* *ekl* Bekk.

24. τοῦ] Om. *scd* *ekl* Bekk.

discredited by such treatment—quoting its concessions and omitting the considerations for them: but Aeschines affects to say that here the concessions were real, the considerations, being fictitious, not worth recording.

§ 103. For this job he had a talent from each city in Euboea: at Oreus the city archives prove it.

7. δημοκρατουμένων τῶν Ὀρειτῶν corresponds to παρὰ Καλλίου and παρὰ Κλειτάρχου τοῦ τυράννου: he got the money (sent) from each of the three cities (*ἐκ*); but while in the two first cases it came from an individual ruler (*παρὰ*), in the third it was the city's act to send it.

πάντα πραττόντων μετὰ ψηφίσματος. Opposed to despots who could keep their

own counsel.

§ 104. And there he meanly screwed the money out of their poverty.

13. ἐλαχίστου χαλκοῦ οὐδὲν δέοιτο. 'He did not want a scrap of bronze.' It is really a double negative. A bronze was the least thing he cared about; he did not care for it at all.

§ 105. One job like this proves a man unworthy of praise like Ctesiphon's.

23. ἔλεγχος. For it may be presumed that at home, and in dealings with states whose proceedings were less public, his conduct was as unprincipled, though this is the only instance where he was so plainly convicted.

24. οὕτως αἰσχροῦς δωροδοκοῦντα. For

χρῶς δωροδοκοῦντα οὐκ ἔστιν ἄνδρα γεγονέναι ἀγαθόν, ἃ τετόλμηκεν οὗτος γράψαι ἐν τῷ ψηφίσματι.

- 106 Ἐνταῦθ' ἤδη τέτακται καὶ ὁ τρίτος τῶν καιρῶν, μᾶλλον δ' ὁ πάντων πικρότατος χρόνος, ἐν ᾧ Δημοσθένης ἀπώλεσε τὰς τῶν Ἑλλήνων καὶ τῆς πόλεως πράξεις ἀσεβήσας μὲν εἰς τὸ 5 ἱερὸν τὸ ἐν Δελφοῖς, ἀδικον δὲ καὶ οὐδαμῶς ἴσῃν τὴν πρὸς Θηβαίους συμμαχίαν γράψας. ἄρξομαι δὲ ἀπὸ τῶν εἰς τοὺς θεοὺς αὐτοῦ πλημμελημάτων λέγειν.
- 107 Ἔστι γάρ, ᾧ Ἀθηναῖοι, τὸ Κιρραῖον ὀνομασμένον πεδῖον καὶ λιμὴν ὁ νῦν ἐξάγιστος καὶ ἐπάρατος ὀνομασμένος. ταύτην 10 ποτὲ τὴν χώραν κατέφησαν Κιρραῖοι καὶ Ἀκραγαλλίδαι, γένῃ παρανομώτατα, οἱ εἰς τὸ ἱερὸν τὸ ἐν Δελφοῖς καὶ [περὶ] τὰ ἀναθήματα ἡσέβουν, ἐξημάρτανον δὲ καὶ εἰς τοὺς Ἀμφικτυόνας. ἀγανακτήσαντες δ' ἐπὶ τοῖς γενομένοις μάλιστα μὲν, ὡς λέγεται, οἱ πρόγονοι οἱ ὑμέτεροι, ἔπειτα δὲ καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι Ἀμφικτυόνες 15

1. ἔστιν] ἐνέστιν *gmph* *ehl*.

δ] *δ* *pc* et *marg.* Steph.

10. ταύτην] καὶ ταύτην

gmph. 11. Ἀκραγαλλίδαι] Ἀκραγαλλίδαι *b*, Ἀκραγαλλίδαι *t*, Κραγαλλίδαι *B*. et *S*. *passim*.

12. περὶ] *Om.* *amph* *db* Bekk. *B*. et *S*. Frank. *Vid.* *annot.* 13. Post Ἀμφικτυόνας addit *g* γυναῖκας δὲ ἤρπασον καὶ ἡσθιον *+*: *b* *m* in *margin*e habent (ut *g* teste Bekk.) γυναῖκας .. καὶ ἐλῆστεον.

14. γενομένοις] γεγενημένοις *a*, γινομένοις *pekl* Bekk. λέγεται] Sic *amph*: *+* λέγεται *g* *+*: *ceteri* et Bekk. λέγονται, et parum abest quin nos id restituamus.

15. οἱ πρόγονοι οἱ ὑμέτεροι] οἱ πρόγονοι οἱ ὑμέτεροι *fed*, οἱ ὑμέτεροι πρόγονοι *b*, οἱ πρόγονοι *a*. δέ] *Accessit* ex *agmp*: *om.* Bekk.

the question how far such conduct was regarded as criminal, see Mitford, c. 41, § 5 (vol. vii. p. 97, ed. 1829).

§ 106. In the third and worst period, he sinned against gods and men alike:

3. ἐνταῦθ' ἤδη. ἐνταῦθα is used rather than ἐντεῦθεν, because the alliance with Euboea was not followed by, but was the beginning of the war. The transition is more abrupt than in §§ 79 or 159, because the next stage of the narrative is especially important, so that the speaker desires to arouse special attention on approaching it.

5. ἀσεβήσας .. ἀδικον are in a way opposed to each other as correlatives, like *contra fas et ius*: he sacrificed the rights of the gods and the rights of the City equally.

§ 107. Against God, in the matter of the Cirrhaean plain, whose old inhabitants for their violence

9. ἔστι γὰρ κ.τ.λ. 'For there is, men of Athens, the plain that is called the plain of Cirrha, and the harbour that is now called polluted and accursed.' We should say, 'There is a plain and a harbour called' so and so; the Greeks say, 'There is (such a thing as) the plain and the harbour.'

12. [περὶ]. Has been omitted by Bekker and subsequent editors. The best MSS. omit it: but, while its omission was easy, its presence is due to a refinement as likely to have occurred to Aeschines as to a copyist. The temple is, and the gold of the temple is not, a sacred thing in itself: 'they trespassed against the temple, and in the matter of the offerings there.'

13. ἐξημάρτανον. The use of so mild a word requires accounting for. It may be only to heighten the horror of ἡσέβουν; or we may distinguish, that ἡσέβουν is of *malum per se*, ἐξημάρτανον of *malum prohibitum*, 'transgressed against the Amphictyons,' whose conventions enforced the natural obligations of piety. The addition of two or three MSS., γυναῖκας δὲ ἤρπασον καὶ ἐλῆστεον, as it can be no part of the text, so is not a very appropriate gloss, though no doubt embodying a tradition.

14. ἐπὶ τοῖς γενομένοις. Four MSS. have γινομένοις, 'at what was going on;' which might be adopted if there were better authority, as suiting the sense at least as well, and being a less common phrase and so less likely to be altered.

μαντείαν ἐμαντεύσαντο παρὰ τῷ θεῷ, τίνι χρή τιμωρία τοὺς
 ἀνθρώπους τούτους μετελθεῖν. καὶ αὐτοῖς ἀναιρεῖ ἡ Πυθία 108
 πολεμῆν Κιρραίοις καὶ Ἀκραγαλλίδαῖς πάντ' ἥματα καὶ πάσας
 νύκτας, καὶ τὴν χώραν αὐτῶν ἐκπορθήσαντας καὶ αὐτοὺς ἀνδρα-
 5 ποδισαμένους ἀναθεῖναι τῷ Ἀπόλλωνι τῷ Πυθίῳ καὶ Ἀρτέμιδι
 καὶ Λητοῖ καὶ Ἀθηνᾷ Προναίᾳ ἐπὶ πάσῃ ἀεργίᾳ, καὶ ταύτην
 τὴν χώραν μήτ' αὐτοὺς ἐργάζεσθαι μήτ' ἄλλον ἑῶν. λαβόντες
 δὲ τὸν χρησμὸν οἱ Ἀμφικτύονες ἐψηφίσαντο Σόλωνος εἰπόν-
 10 τος Ἀθηναίου τὴν γνώμην, ἀνδρὸς καὶ νομοθετῆσαι δυνατοῦ
 καὶ περὶ ποιήσιν καὶ φιλοσοφίαν διατετριφότες, ἐπιστρατεύειν
 ἐπὶ τοὺς ἐναγείς κατὰ τὴν μαντείαν τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ συναθροί- 109
 σαντες δύναμιν ἱκανὴν τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων ἐξηνδραποδίσαντο τοὺς
 ἀνθρώπους καὶ τὸν λιμένα ἔχωσαν καὶ τὴν πόλιν αὐτῶν κατέ-

4. Post αὐτῶν καὶ τὴν πόλιν add. ekl. 5. τῇ ante Ἀρτέμιδι add. fcd. 6. καὶ ante Προναίᾳ add. a hic et § 110, fin. Προναίᾳ] Sic videtur Harpocratius legisse, idque verum erat deae Delphicae nomen. Libri Προναίᾳ passim, et sic Bekk. Frank. Ut nos B. et S. Vid. annot. 8. Post χρησμὸν, τούτων add. ekl. 12. ἱκανῇ τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων] πολλὰν pro ἱκανῇ ekl, ἐκ ante τῶν fcd. 13. τὸν λιμένα] τοὺς λιμένας omnes praeter ekl, atque ita Tauchn.

1. τοὺς ἀνθρώπους. Perhaps with a notion of horror, like Ar. Eth. 7. 5. 2 ἡ ἀνθρώπος, 'the creature.' More commonly the sense is compassionate.

§ 108. Were, by command of the oracle, and the counsel of Solon,

3. πάντ' ἥματα. Cp. Hdt. 4. 163 for a similar quotation or paraphrase from an oracle, with the metre destroyed, yet shewing fragmentary traces of the poetic diction. Here, as Aeschines is not quoting *verbatim*, it is perhaps no wonder that the traces of rhythm are not more marked: else one might suspect that the Pythia, or the priests who put her utterances into shape, were sometimes too much in earnest to round their hexameters properly.

4. τὴν χώραν αὐτῶν has a double government, depending equally on ἐκπορθήσαντας and ἀναθεῖναι. Its connection with the last is obscured by the clause καὶ αὐτοὺς ἀνδραποδισαμένους, which is almost parenthetical; for though ἀναθεῖναι might conceivably include αὐτοὺς (that they were to become *lepoδούλοι*), ἐπὶ πάσῃ ἀεργίᾳ would prevent it.

6. Προναίᾳ. So Harpocratius; who, however, hesitates about the etymology. Franke restores the MS. reading Προναίᾳ; and so inf. passim. Πρόνοια was certainly an ancient and genuine title of Athena: vid. Orat. c. Aristog. p. 784, § 42. But this seems to have been an exclusively Attic devotion, and the Delphic Athena was

Προναία, because her temple stood before the great one of Apollo (Προνηή, Hdt. 1. 92, etc.: she is always Προναία in inscriptions.) All that can be said is, it is uncertain how early the error arose: it is possible that Athenians, as early as Aeschines' time, had confused the two similar titles, but it seems safer to credit Aeschines, a zealous and ritualistic religionist, with accuracy in the use of a religious term.

8. Σόλωνος εἰπόντος Ἀθηναίου. 'Upon the motion of Solon of Athens.' Aeschines purposely puts his name in the bald form in which it would occur in the official records, for to those who knew Solon's fame Ἀθηναίου would be redundant. He aims at producing the rhetorical effect, 'Solon of Athens moved it, and you Athenians know what manner of man Solon of Athens was, what greatness is implied in that name.' But it is very characteristic of him that he does not know when to stop, and gives the panegyric which it was the object of the designation to supersede.

§ 109. Enslaved, and their land devoted to perpetual desolation.

13. τὸν λιμένα. Most MSS. have τοὺς λιμένας, but the recent editors are unanimous in reading as in the text. Only the one harbour of Cirrha is known, to which the story should apply. Bremi thinks the plural is used vaguely; but his instances are only of abstract nouns.

- σκαψαν καὶ τὴν χώραν αὐτῶν καθιέρωσαν κατὰ τὴν μαντείαν· καὶ ἐπὶ τούτοις ὄρκον ὤμοσαν ἰσχυρὸν μήτ' αὐτοὶ τὴν ἱερὰν γῆν ἐργάσασθαι μήτ' ἄλλω ἐπιτρέψειν, ἀλλὰ βοηθήσειν τῷ θεῷ καὶ τῇ γῇ τῇ ἱερᾷ καὶ χειρὶ καὶ ποδὶ [καὶ φωνῇ] καὶ
- 110 πάσῃ δυνάμει. καὶ οὐκ ἀπέχρησεν αὐτοῖς τοῦτον μόνον τὸν 5 ὄρκον ὁμόσαι, ἀλλὰ καὶ προστροπὴν καὶ ἄρὰν ἰσχυράν ὑπὲρ τούτων ἐποιήσαντο. γέγραπται γὰρ οὕτως ἐν τῇ ἀρᾷ, "εἴ τις τάδε" φησὶ "παραβαίνοι ἢ πόλις ἢ ἰδιώτης ἢ ἔθνος, ἐναγῆς" φησὶν "ἔστω τοῦ Ἀπόλλωνος καὶ τῆς Ἀρτέμιδος καὶ
- 111 Λητοῦς καὶ Ἀθηνᾶς Προναίας." καὶ ἐπέχεται αὐτοῖς μήτε 10 γῆν καρποὺς φέρειν, μήτε γυναῖκας τέκνα τίκτειν γονεῦσιν εἰκότα, ἀλλὰ τέρατα, μηδὲ βοσκήματα κατὰ φύσιν γονὰς ποιῆσθαι, ἥτταν δὲ αὐτοῖς εἶναι πολέμου καὶ δικῶν καὶ ἀγορῶν, καὶ ἐξώλεις εἶναι καὶ αὐτοὺς καὶ οἰκίας καὶ γένος τὸ ἐκείνων. "καὶ μήποτε" φησὶν "ὁσίως θύσαιεν τῷ Ἀπόλλωνι μηδὲ τῇ Ἀρτέ- 15 μιδι μηδὲ τῇ Λητοὶ μηδ' Ἀθηνᾷ Προναίᾳ, μηδὲ δέξαιεντο αὐτῶν τὰ
- 112 ἱερά." ὅτι δ' ἀληθῇ λέγω, ἀνάγκωθι τὴν τοῦ θεοῦ μαντείαν.

3. ἐργάσασθαι] Sic, ut videtur, ed: ἐργάσασθαι ἀγνῆσθ: ἐργά(εσθαι et mox ἐπιτρέπειν ekl: ut nos editi. 4. καὶ φωνῇ] ex simili loco § 120 addiderunt B. et. S. 10. μήτε] μηδὲ mnsd. 12. μηδὲ] Sic a † g † mscdabekl: ceteri et Bekk. μήτε. Quae sit ratio mutatae conjunctionis, in annot. explicatur. 15. θύσαιεν] θύσαι π. θύσαιεν bel, pro θύσαιεν, quod habet Frank. 16. αὐτῶν] Sic agneckl: ceteri et Bekk. αὐτοῖς. Vid. annot.

4. [καὶ φωνῇ]. Added by B. and S., and adopted by Franke, from the parallel passage in § 120. It is likely enough to have been used in the formula, but if it were not, Aeschines is as likely to have incorporated it, when he was actually exerting his voice in the cause, but had not yet made sure of hands and feet to help him.

§§ 110-112. And an oath and terrible curse binds all Amphictyonic states to enforce that sentence for ever.

5. καὶ οὐκ ἀπέχρησεν αὐτοῖς κ.τ.λ. The oath could only bind the generation that took it; accordingly they turned themselves to the gods (προστροπήν), and imprecated a curse on all who should transgress it.

8. φησὶ . . φησὶν . . ἐπέχεται. Grammatically ἡ ἀρὰ is the subject, but it is hardly to be called a personification. It is more like our impersonal 'it says,' i.e. the words are found.

11. τέκνα . . τέρατα. It is almost a definition of τέρας not to be γονεῦσιν εἰκότως: cp. Plat. Crat. 393 B, ἐὰν ὥσπερ τέρας γένηται ἐξ ἱπποῦ ἄλλο τι ἢ ἱππος. τέρατα is an exception to the rule of the Atticists, that would make the plural τέρα: perhaps,

like εἰκότως, it is a semi-poetical form.

12. μηδὲ βοσκήματα. So almost all MSS. for μήτε. It is a branch of the curse on births, not an independent curse.

16. δέξαιεντο αὐτῶν. The reading αὐτοῖς is not to be despised, being less obvious, and at least as good sense; for it may be regarded as a favour to the worshipper for the God to accept his gifts. But αὐτῶν has the best MS. authority, so may be left in the text. Below, § 121, the MSS. are unanimous for it.

§ 112. There is a good deal of confusion in the MSS. as regards the titles and order of the documents. It has been proposed to arrange them thus: ἀνάγκωθι τὴν τοῦ θεοῦ μαντείαν. ΜΑΝΤΕΙΑ. ἀκούσατε τῆς ἀρᾶς. ΑΡΑ. ἀναμνήσθητε τῶν ὄρκων, οὓς . . συνώμοσαν. ΟΡΚΟΙ. The transposition of the oracle is plausible, since the change of number and subject between ἀνάγκωθι and ἀκούσατε is harsh; but it ruins the passage to spoil the asyndeton, ἀκούσατε, ἀναμνήσθητε, which is a burst of vigorous and no doubt earnest warning, of the best sort of eloquence Aeschines could attain.

As to the oracle itself, it is given by Pau-

ἀκούσατε τῆς ἀράς, ἀναμνήσθητε τῶν ὀρκων, οὓς ὑμῶν οἱ πρόγονοι μετὰ τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων συνώμοσαν.

ΜΑΝΤΕΙΑ.

[Οὐ πρὶν τῆσδε πόλῃς ἐρείψετε πύργον ἐλόντες,
5 πρὶν γε θεοῦ τεμένει κυανώπιδος Ἀμφιτρίτης
κῦμα ποτικλῦζῃ κελαδοδὺν ἱεραῖσιν ἐπ' ἅκταις.]

ΟΡΚΟΙ. ΑΡΑ.

Ταύτης τῆς ἀράς καὶ τῶν ὀρκων καὶ τῆς μαντείας γενο- 113
μένης, ἀναγεγραμμένων ἔτι καὶ νῦν, οἱ Λοκροὶ οἱ Ἀμφισσεῖς,
10 μᾶλλον δὲ οἱ προσεσηκότες αὐτῶν, ἄνδρες παρανομώτατοι, ἐπει-
γάζοντο τὸ πεδίον, καὶ τὸν λιμένα τὸν ἐξάριστον καὶ ἐπάρατον
πάλιν ἐτείχισαν καὶ συνώκισαν, καὶ τέλη τοὺς καταπλέοντας
ἐξέλεγον, καὶ τῶν ἀφικνουμένων εἰς Δελφοὺς πυλαγόρων ἐνίους
χρήμασι διέφθειραν, ὧν εἷς ἦν Δημοσθένης. χειροτονηθεὶς γὰρ 114
15 ὑφ' ὑμῶν πυλαγόρας λαμβάνει δισχιλίας δραχμὰς παρὰ τῶν

4. Versus omittit n, et tres titulos continuos habent nonnulli alii. 8. γενομένης] γε
agmē: † vulgatum habet corr. g †. 14. ἦν] Om. gmn. 15. πυλαγόρας] πυλάγορος
pr. f. Vid. annot. δισχιλίας] Sic beki cett. χιλίας.

sanias, and perhaps inserted here on his authority. If it is really the one referred to by Aeschines, the sanction it gave to the proceedings of the Amphictyons must have been extracted by this reasoning: 'You will not take the city till the sea comes up to the god's domain;' 'Then we will extend the god's domain down to the sea.' In any case it is no doubt a genuine prophecy (for if written to suit the place, it would suit it better than it does), and one of the common type of

'Till Birnam Wood shall come to Dunsinane.'

§ 113. In spite of all these, the Locrians of Amphissa encroached on the devoted plain, cultivated it, and restored the harbour,

8. γενομένης, ἀναγεγραμμένων. It is easier to feel than to explain why the former word agrees with the nearest substantive only, while the latter is put in the plural to agree with all: 'When this curse, oath, and oracle had been delivered, all of which are still extant in the inscription.' One might say that the stop after γενομένης accounts for it. It is probably owing to a sense of this difficulty, that the best group of MSS. read καὶ τῆς μαντείας γενομένης.

10. μᾶλλον δὲ οἱ προσεσηκότες. Did

Philip get the people to desert their leaders? In any case, it is plain that the occupation was not of immemorial standing, accomplished and subsisting unquestioned, as supposed by Grote. The mention of the exiles in § 129 proves that it was only effected after a party struggle in Amphissa itself, and that, no doubt, within the current generation. And if it had not been very recent, what would have been the risk, worth a bribe to prevent, of an Athenian Pylagoras denouncing them? Demosthenes does not assert more than that the Amphissians had a case: D. de Cor. § 191.

12. συνώκισαν. Made it *their* port; took it into their own commonwealth as part of Amphissa.

14. χρήμασι διέφθειραν. That is, no doubt, they engaged him as their Proxenus, with a fee which a very honourable statesman would have felt called upon to refuse. Vid. sup. ad § 105.

§ 114. And bribed Demosthenes to protect them, both from the Amphictyons and the Athenians—a bad protector, who ruins all that come near him.

15. πυλαγόρας. The authority is for using this form in the singular, πυλάγοροι in the plural.

Ἀμφισσέων ὑπὲρ τοῦ μηδεμίαν μνείαν περὶ αὐτῶν ἐν τοῖς Ἀφικ-
 τύοσι ποιήσασθαι. διωμολογήθη δ' αὐτῷ καὶ εἰς τὸν λοιπὸν
 χρόνον ἀποσταλῆσεσθαι Ἀθήναζε τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ ἐκάστου μνᾶς
 εἴκοσι τῶν ἐξαγίστων καὶ ἐπαράτων χρημάτων, ἐφ' ᾧτε βοηθή-
 σιν τοῖς Ἀμφισσέουσιν Ἀθήνησι κατὰ πάντα πρόπον ὅθεν 5
 μᾶλλον ἢ πρότερον συμβέβηκεν αὐτῷ, ὅτου ἂν πρόσάψῃται
 ἄνδρὸς ιδιώτου ἢ δυνάστου ἢ πόλεως δημοκρατουμένης, τούτων
 115 ἐκάστους ἀνιάτοις κακοῖς περιβάλλειν. σκέψασθε δὴ τὸν δαί-
 μονα καὶ τὴν τύχην, ὡς περιεγένετο τῆς τῶν Ἀμφισσέων ἀσε-
 βείας. ἐπὶ γὰρ Θεοφράστου ἄρχοντος, ἱερομνήμονος ὄντος 10
 Διογνήτου Ἀναφλυστίου, πυλαγόρους ὑμεῖς εἴλεσθε Μειδίαν τε
 ἐκείνον τὸν Ἀναγυράσιον, ὃν ἐβουλόμην ἂν πολλῶν ἕνεκα ζῆν,
 καὶ Θρασυκλέα τὸν ἐξ Οἴου καὶ τρίτον δὲ μετὰ τούτων ἐμέ.
 συνέβη δ' ἡμῖν ἀρτίως μὲν εἰς Δελφοὺς ἀφίχθαι, παραχρῆμα
 15 δε τὸν ἱερομνήμονα Διόγνητον πυρέττειν τὸ δ' αὐτὸ τοῦτο 15
 συνεπεπτώκει καὶ τῷ Μειδίᾳ. οἱ δ' ἄλλοι συνεκάθηντο Ἀμφικ-
 116 τύονες. ἐξηγγέλλετο δ' ἡμῖν παρὰ τῶν βουλομένων εὐνοίαν

1. ἐπὶ] Om. *bekl Bekk.*

5. Post ὅθεν *scbekl* add *ἐτι.*

7. ἄνδρὸς ιδιώτου] Sic

agmsfd: ἄνδρὸς ἢ ιδιώτου *Bekk.* et, ut videtur, c, ἢ ἄνδρὸς ἢ ιδιώτου *bekl.* 9. ὡς] *δσφ*

bekl Bekk., ὡς *δσφ* c, ὡς *fd.*

11. Διογνήτου Ἀναφλυστίου] *Malim Διογνήτου τοῦ*

Ἀναφλυστίου.] *Bait.*

12. ἕνεκα] *ἐνεκεν agmn B.* et *S. Frank.*: sed hunc poenitet se

non volgatum restituere.

13. ἐξ Οἴου] Sic *bekl Bekk.*: ceteri *Λέσβιον*, unde *F. A.*

Wolf. *Λέκκιον*, idque receperunt *B.* et *S.* et *Frank.* 15. τῶν] Om. *agmn.* 16. συν-

επεπτώκει] *συμπεπτώκει bel Bekk.*

5. ὅθεν μᾶλλον ἢ πρότερον. It was one of Aeschines' main points against Demosthenes, that besides his crimes and blunders, his ill-luck contributed to the city's disasters; to which the splendid passage *De Cor.* §§ 314 sqq. is a reply. He here says, 'Always an unlucky man by nature, he brought a fresh curse on himself, and all his enterprises and associates, by sharing in sacrilege.'

7. ἄνδρὸς ιδιώτου ἢ δυνάστου ἢ πόλεως δημοκρατουμένης. This reading, or that of some MSS. with *ἢ* inserted before *ἄνδρὸς*, would make the antithesis between a private individual and a government, despotic or republican. The reading *ἄνδρὸς ἢ ιδιώτου* would make it between an individual, subject or ruler, and a commonwealth.

§ 115. Now when Midias and I served as Pylagor,

9. τὴν τύχην. 'Fortune' in the abstract, almost synonymous with *δαίμονα*, not Demosthenes' fortune, though that was one element that contributed to the general result.

12. ἐκείνον. A half jesting allusion to Midias' not very reputable celebrity. So, again, *ὃν ἐβουλόμην κ.τ.λ.* 'We all knew him, and he was really useful, to do the rough work on the right side.' See Dem. in

Mid. pp. 580-581, where it appears that, like Aeschines, he was a friend and partisan of Eubulus.

13. † ἐξ Οἴου †. So Bekker, with one group of MSS.: the rest have *Λέσβιον*, which cannot be right. F. A. Wolf corrected *Λέκκιον*, which is adopted by B. and S. and Franke. It seems safer to follow what some MSS. actually say than what more and better ones possibly mean. Moreover, there were, according to Harpocr., two of these *lonely* Demi, one in the tribe Leontis and the other in the Hippothoontis, besides Oia in the tribe Pandionis, whose members were said to be *Οἰσθεν*: so that the odds are two to one in their favour as against Leccum.

14. συνέβη δ' ἡμῖν κ.τ.λ. So that I was left almost the only representative of Athenian interests.

§ 116. The Amphissians brought a charge against Athens in the Theban interest,

17. ἐξηγγέλλετο δ' ἡμῖν. Apparently in the absence of its head, the whole legation had stayed away; which is to be understood from *οἱ δ' ἄλλοι συνεκάθηντο Ἀμφικτύονες*, 'every state had its representatives there but Athens.'

ἐνδείκνυσθαι τῇ πόλει, ὅτι οἱ Ἀμφισσεῖς ὑποπεπτωκότες τότε καὶ δεινῶς θεραπεύοντες τοὺς Θηβαίους εἰσέφερον δόγμα κατὰ τῆς ὑμετέρας πόλεως, πεντήκοντα ταλάντοις ζημιῶσαι τὸν δῆμον τῶν Ἀθηναίων, ὅτι χρυσᾶς ἀσπίδας ἀνέθηκεν πρὸς τὸν καινὸν 5 νεῶν πρὶν ἐξαράσασθαι, καὶ ἐπεγράψαμεν τὸ προσῆκον ἐπίγραμμα “Ἀθηναῖοι ἀπὸ Μήδων καὶ Θηβαίων, ὅτε τὰναντία τοῖς Ἑλλήσιν ἐμάχοντο.” μεταπεμψάμενος δέ με ὁ ἱερομνήμων ἤξιον εἰσελθεῖν εἰς τὸ συνέδριον καὶ εἰπεῖν τι πρὸς τοὺς Ἀμφικτύονας ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως, καὶ αὐτὸν οὕτω προηρημένον. 10 ἀρχομένου δέ μου λέγειν καὶ προθυμότερον πως εἰσέλθυστος 117 εἰς τὸ συνέδριον, τῶν ἄλλων πυλαγόρων μεθεστηκότων, ἀναβοήσας τις τῶν Ἀμφισσέων, ἄνθρωπος ἀσελγέστατος καὶ, ὥς ἐμοὶ ἐφαίνετο, οὐδεμιᾶς παιδείας μετεσχηκῶς, ἴσως δὲ καὶ δαιμονίου τινὸς ἐξαμαρτάνειν αὐτὸν προαγομένου, “ἀρχὴν δέ γε” ἔφη

3. ὑμετέρας] † ἡμετέρας g †. 4. τῶν Ἀθηναίων] τὸν Ἀθηναίων f et, ut semper, Bekker. Neque huiusmodi locos amplius notabimus. τὰς ante χρυσᾶς inserunt sed et γρ. m^b. ἀνέθηκεν] Sic Harpocr., et ἀνέθεκεν habet l: ceteri ἀνέθεμεν, quod propter sequens ἐπεγράψαμεν prave mutatum videtur. Vid. annot. 5. ἐξαράσασθαι] Sic al Harpocr., ἐξαράσασθαι b, ἐξάρασθαι b, ἐξαράσθαι schol. alter, alter ἐξεργάσθαι, volg. et Bekk. ἐξεργάσθαι. 7. δέ με] Sic cbehl † et corr. g †: δὲ ceteri, δ' ἐμέ Bekk. 11. μεθεστηκότων] † μετεσχηκῶτων, ut videbatur, pr. g †: καθεστηκότων bl, corr. g et γρ. m. 13. οὐδεμιᾶς] † οὐδένης pr. g †.

1. τότε. After Philip's crusade in alliance with Thebes.

4. ἀνέθηκεν. So Harpocraton, and it is better than ἀνέθεμεν, the MS. reading. πεντήκοντα ταλάντοις. Ἀθηναίων, ὅτι χρυσᾶς ἀσπίδας ἀνέθηκεν κ.τ.λ. are the actual words of the Amphissian proposal: Aeschines adds his own comment in the first person, καὶ ἐπεγράψαμεν κ.τ.λ., 'the real grievance was, that we put the proper inscription on them.'

5. ἐξαράσασθαι. So Harpocr. and two MSS.; while others point to the same or ἐξαράσθαι. If we read ἐξεργάσθαι (and the reading is at least old), the point must be the same, 'before the temple was finished,' and therefore before it was consecrated, and the proper ceremonies performed to fit it to receive offerings. Probably the Athenians had tried to steal a march upon the other members of the Amphictyony, who would not have permitted the insult to Thebes if the restoration of the offering had been proposed to be made in the regular manner.

§ 117. As soon as I began to reply, a vulgar Amphissian wanted to condemn us unheard;

10. ἀρχομένου κ.τ.λ. 'As I was beginning to speak (I had come in rather hastily,

and the other Pylagori had made way for me), one of the Amphissians burst out—a most scurrilous fellow, that never, as I think, had had a chance of learning decency; may be, too, some destiny drove him on to folly—and said, "If you were wise, men of Greece, you would not have the name of the people of Athens in your mouths this day, but would have driven them out of the holy place, for the curse is on them long ago."

11. τῶν ἄλλων πυλαγόρων μεθεστηκότων. Sometimes taken as though the Hieromnemes only were present, Aeschines being there only as representative of the absent Diognetus. But more probably as above, the point being that the slight disturbance roused the Amphissians' attention and his anger.

13. παιδείας. Cp. the last section of this speech, and Demosthenes' comment on it, De Cor. § 162. There is some truth in the criticism there: Aeschines seems to have been one of the people who are near enough to having a gentleman's spirit to wish they had it, and therefore to be always talking about it.

14. ἀρχὴν δέ γε. 'A question about the Athenians would never even have arisen at all,' much less have advanced so far that they should be allowed a reply.

- “ὦ ἄνδρες Ἕλληνες, εἰ ἐσωφρονεῖτε, οὐδ’ ἂν ὀνομάζετο τοῦ-
νομα τοῦ δήμου τῶν Ἀθηναίων ἐν ταῖςδε ταῖς ἡμέραις, ἀλλ’
118 ὡς ἐναγεῖς ἐξήγετε ἐκ τοῦ ἱεροῦ.” ἅμα δὲ ἐμέμνητο τῆς τῶν
Φωκέων συμμαχίας, ἣν ὁ Κρωβύλος ἐκείνος ἔγραψε, καὶ ἄλλα .
πολλὰ καὶ δυσχερῆ κατὰ τῆς πόλεως διεξήει λέγων, ἃ ἐγὼ 5
οὔτε τότε ἑκατέρουν ἀκούων οὔτε νῦν ἠδέως μέμνημαι αὐτῶν.
ἀκούσας δὲ οὕτω παρωξύνθη, ὥς οὐδεπώποτ’ ἐν τῷ ἔμειντο βίῳ.
καὶ τοὺς μὲν ἄλλους λόγους ὑπερβήσομαι· ἐπῆλθε δέ μοι ἐπὶ
τὴν γνώμην μνησθῆναι τῆς τῶν Ἀμφισσέων περὶ τὴν γῆν τὴν
· ἱερὰν ἀσεβείας, καὶ αὐτόθεν ἐστῆκώς ἐδείκνυν τοῖς Ἀμφικτύο- 10
σιν· ὑπόκειται γὰρ τὸ Κιρραῖον πεδίον τῷ ἱερῷ καὶ ἔστιν
119 εὐσύνοπτον. “ὀράτ’,” ἔφην ἐγὼ, “ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀμφικτύονες,
ἐξεργασμένον τοῦτο τὸ πεδίον ὑπὸ τῶν Ἀμφισσέων καὶ κερα-
μεῖα ἐνφοδομημένα καὶ αὐλία· ὀράτε τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς τὸν ἐξά-
γιστον καὶ ἐπάρατον λιμένα τετειχισμένον· ἵστε τοὺτους αὐτοὶ, 15

1. ὀνομάζετο] Sic agm: ὀνομάετε e, ceteri et Bekk. ὀνομάετε.

3. ἐξήγετε]

ἐξείργετ’ ἂν bekl Bekk., ἐξήγετε g. Vid. annot.

4. Κρωβύλος] Sic gn pr. k corr. b,

Κρωβυλος, ut videtur, amfcd et Bekk.: ceteri corrupti. Nimirum κρωβύλος appellative, nomen proprium προπαροξύτονον esse debere videbatur. 7. † Post ἀκούσας δέ, ἐγὼ add. g †.

8. δέ μοι] δ’ οὖν μοι fcd bekl Bekk.

9. περὶ] Ita bekl: ceteri ἐπὶ.

τὴν γῆν τὴν ἱερὰν] τὴν ἱερὰν γῆν fcd bekl. 11. ὑπόκειται] ὑπέκειτο gmf. 13. τοῦτο] τοῦτ’ ekl corr. b Bekk.

1. ὀνομάζετο. The best reading, being that of the best group of MSS., and the -re being easy to account for by the assonance of ἐσωφρονεῖτε and ἐξήγετε. But the sense is probably as above rendered, and so the same as that of ὀνομάετε, rather than ‘You would not allow any one to speak of or for Athens’ as Aeschines is now doing.

2. ἐν ταῖςδε ταῖς ἡμέραις. Exactly our colloquial phrase ‘at this time of day;’ but the Amphisian, though vulgar, is not speaking colloquially.

3. ἐξήγετε. So most MSS. Volg. ἐξείργετε, Bekk. ἐξείργετ’ ἂν. B. and S. compare διπλὴ ἐξαγωγὴ for the strong sense of ἐξάγειν, ‘to drive out,’ not ‘to lead out.’

§ 118. Bringing up all the charges Demosthenes’ party have laid us open to: which provoked me to remember the heavy guilt lying upon them.

4. ὁ Κρωβύλος ἐκείνος. ἐκείνος no doubt in a bad sense, since Hegesippus was a partisan of Demosthenes. The meaning of his nickname has been much disputed; but the simplest view is the likeliest, that he did wear his hair in a κρωβύλος, whether as an affectation of old fashion, or for mere dandyism. Perhaps the latter is the likelier, from the character Aeschines gives of his brother Hegesander.

6. ἑκατέρουν ἀκούων does not mean that Aeschines interrupted the Amphisian who had interrupted him (which would have been οὐκ ἀνεχόμεν ἀκούειν, not ἀκούων—καρτερεῖν is not used with the infin., and is excluded by διεξήει), but that the speech was too much for his feelings: he could not stand it.

8. ἐπῆλθε .. γνώμην. Most MSS. have ἐπῆλθε δ’ οὖν; perhaps it is one of the cases where Baiter and Sauppe omit too readily. ‘Verbis ἐπὶ τὴν γνώμην facile caruerim,’ Bait.; but it is like Aeschines to use redundant words.

9. περὶ τὴν γῆν. ἐπὶ does not appear to be used with ἀσεβεῖν and correlative words; its occurrence here in most MSS. is easily accounted for, by its presence in the preceding line.

10. αὐτόθεν ἐστῆκός. As I stood, from the place where I stood.

§ 119. Pointing to the scene before them, and reading to them the sentence passed;

13. κεραμεῖα ἐνφοδομημένα καὶ αὐλία. Both signs of irregular occupation of waste land, and coinciding with μάλλον δὲ οἱ προεστηκότες, above, § 113.

15. ἵστε τοὺτους αὐτοὺς. Because many of them, especially Peloponnesian deputies, would have arrived by sea, and so had to pay the port dues.

καὶ οὐδὲν ἐτέρων δέισθε μαρτύρων, τέλη πεπραχότας καὶ χρή-
ματα λαμβάνοντας ἐκ τοῦ ἱεροῦ λιμένος.” ἅμα δὲ ἀναγιγνώ-
σκειν ἐκέλευον αὐτοῖς τὴν μαντείαν τοῦ θεοῦ, τὸν ὄρκον τῶν
προγόνων, τὴν ἀρὰν τὴν γενομένην, καὶ διωριζόμεν, ὅτι “ἐγὼ 120
5 μὲν ὑπὲρ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ Ἀθηναίων καὶ τοῦ σώματος καὶ τῶν
τέκνων καὶ οἰκίας τῆς ἐμαντοῦ βοηθῶ κατὰ τὸν ὄρκον καὶ τῷ
θεῷ καὶ τῇ γῇ τῇ ἱερᾷ καὶ χειρὶ καὶ ποδὶ καὶ φωνῇ καὶ πᾶσιν
οἷς δύναμαι, καὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν ἡμετέραν τὰ πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς
ἀφοσιῶ· ὑμεῖς δ’ ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν αὐτῶν ἤδη βουλευέσθε. ἐνῆρκται
10 μὲν τὰ κανᾶ, παρέστηκε δὲ τοῖς βωμοῖς τὰ θύματα, μέλλετε
δ’ αἰτεῖν τοὺς θεοὺς τὰγαθὰ καὶ κοινῇ καὶ ἰδίᾳ. σκοπεῖτε δὲ, 121
ποῖα φωνῇ, ποῖα ψυχῇ, ποίοις ὄμμασι, τίνα τόλμαν κτησά-
μενοι τὰς ἱεσίας ποιήσεσθε, τούτους παρέντες ἀτιμωρήτους,
τοὺς ἐναγείς καὶ ταῖς ἀραίς ἐνόχους. οὐ γὰρ δι’ αἰνιγμάτων,
15 ἀλλ’ ἐναργῶς γέγραπται ἐν τῇ ἀρᾷ κατὰ τε τῶν ἀσεβησάν-
των, ἃ χρὴ παθεῖν αὐτοὺς, καὶ κατὰ τῶν ἐπιτρεψάντων, καὶ
τελευταῖον ἐν τῇ ἀρᾷ γέγραπται, μηδ’ ὁσίως θύσειαν οἱ μὴ
τιμωροῦντες, φησὶ, τῷ Ἀπόλλωνι μηδὲ τῇ Ἀρτέμιδι μηδὲ τῇ
Λητοῖ μὴδ’ Ἀθηνᾷ Προναίᾳ, μηδὲ δέξαιντο αὐτῶν τὰ ἱερά.”
20 τοιαῦτα καὶ πρὸς τούτοις ἕτερα πολλὰ διεξεληθόντος ἐμοῦ, 122
ἐπειδὴ ποτε ἀπηλλάγην καὶ μετέστην ἐκ τοῦ συνεδρίου, κραυγῇ

5. τοῦ Ἀθηναίων] τῶν Ἀθηναίων *scd.*

12. φωνῇ et ψυχῇ inverso ordine ponit a.

14. αἰνιγμάτων] αἰνιγμῶν *ehl.*, quod fortasse
rectius. Neque enim tragica verbi forma hoc loco inepta est, et certe minus usitata.

17. ἐν
τῇ ἀρᾷ γέγραπται] Haec verba omitti voluit Baiter, cum de tribus prioribus praevisset Markl.

μηδ’] Ita Bekk.: μήποθ’ *d.* μηθ’ ceteri.

11. σκοπεῖτε δὲ] σκοπεῖτε δὴ *scd* h Bekk.

14. αἰνιγμάτων] αἰνιγμῶν *ehl.*, quod fortasse

rectius. Neque enim tragica verbi forma hoc loco inepta est, et certe minus usitata.

17. ἐν

τῇ ἀρᾷ γέγραπται] Haec verba omitti voluit Baiter, cum de tribus prioribus praevisset Markl.

μηδ’] Ita Bekk.: μήποθ’ *d.* μηθ’ ceteri.

θύσειαν] Ita *f*: ceteri plerique θύσαιεν.

18. μηδὲ .. μηδὲ] Ita libri fere omnes: μήτε habet Ald. et volg. ante Bekk.

4. διωριζόμεν. Drew a line between our case and theirs; cut ourselves off from fellowship with their guilt.

§ 120. And proclaiming that I had done my duty, and Athens was clear.

5. τῶν τέκνων καὶ οἰκίας. Aeschines is rather fond of these appeals to domestic sentiment. Cp. above, § 78.

9. ἐνῆρκται. Cp. Eur. El. 1141: the Latin *incubo* of Aen. 6. 252 is exactly similar. One might compare Ar. Pax 948, 949, for a similar description of the preliminaries of a sacrifice.

§ 121. ‘But how can you,’ I asked, ‘hope to be accepted by the God, if you tolerate this profanation?’

12. ποῖα φωνῇ. He begins with the voice, as easiest to command; for the transposition of φωνῇ and ψυχῇ in one good MS. is doubtless accidental.

ποίοις ὄμμασι, τίνα τόλμαν κτησάμενοι are not coordinate clauses, but mu-

tually explanatory; ‘how will you gain the courage to look the God in the face?’

15. κατὰ τε τῶν ἀσεβησάντων .. καὶ κατὰ τῶν ἐπιτρεψάντων. ‘As well against those who tolerate as against those who commit the sacrilege.’ τε .. καὶ is not often so emphatic, but it is natural in Greek that the clause to be especially emphasised is put second.

§ 122. This diverted the assembly’s attention from the charge against us; and they resolved to act on my suggestion.

21. μετέστην. The point of his withdrawal probably is to emphasise what he had said in § 120, ὑμεῖς ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν αὐτῶν βουλευέσθε. Some suppose that it was always a point of etiquette for a speaker to leave the assembly as soon as he had finished, to avoid the appearance of dictation; comparing ἀπεληλυθότος ἐμοῦ below, § 126; but this seems unproved and improbable.

πολλή καὶ θόρυβος ἦν τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων, καὶ λόγος ἦν οὐκέτι
 περὶ τῶν ἀσπίδων, ἃς ἡμεῖς ἀνέθεμεν, ἀλλ' ἤδη περὶ τῆς τῶν
 Ἀμφισσέων τιμωρίας. ἤδη δὲ πόρρω τῆς ἡμέρας οὔσης προελ-
 θὼν ὁ κῆρυξ ἀνείπε, Δελφῶν ὅσοι ἐπὶ διέτες ἡβῶσι, καὶ δού-
 λους καὶ ἐλευθέρους, ἥκειν ἅμα τῇ ἡμέρᾳ ἔχοντας ἅμα καὶ δικέλ- 5
 λας πρὸς τὸ Θύστιον ἐκεῖ καλούμενον· καὶ πάλιν ὁ αὐτὸς κῆρυξ
 ἀνηγόρευε τοὺς ἱερομνήμονας καὶ πυλαγόρους ἥκειν εἰς τὸν αὐτὸν
 τόπον βοηθήσοντας τῷ θεῷ καὶ τῇ γῇ τῇ ἱερᾷ. “ἦτις δ' ἂν
 μὴ παρῇ πόλις, εἴρζεται τοῦ ἱεροῦ καὶ ἐναγῆς ἔσται καὶ τῇ
 123 ἀρὰ ἔνοχος.” τῇ δὲ ὑστεραία ἤκομεν ἔωθεν εἰς τὸν προειρη- 10
 μένον τόπον, καὶ κατέβημεν εἰς τὸ Κιρραῖον πεδίον, καὶ τὸν
 λιμένα κατασκάψαντες καὶ τὰς οἰκίας ἐμπρήσαντες ἀνεχωροῦ-
 μεν. ταῦτα δὲ ἡμῶν πραττόντων οἱ Λοκροὶ οἱ Ἀμφισσείς,
 ἐξήκοντα στάδια ἄπωθεν οἰκοῦντες Δελφῶν, ἦκον πρὸς ἡμᾶς
 μεθ' ὅπλων πανδημεῖ· καὶ εἰ μὴ δρόμῳ μόλις ἐξεφύγομεν εἰς 15
 124 Δελφούς, ἐκινδυνεύσαμεν ἀπολέσθαι. τῇ δὲ ἐπιούσῃ ἡμέρᾳ

1. λόγος] ὁ λόγος *bekl* Bekk.

προελθὼν] *προσελθὼν* libri.

5. ἅμα] Ita z et editi recentiores: *volg.* ἅμας.

6. Θύ-
 στιον] Sic Harpocr. s. v.: *volg.* Θυτείον.

7. ἀνηγόρευε] ἀναγορεύει *bekl*.

14. πρὸς
 ἡμᾶς] ἐφ' ἡμᾶς *bekl* Bekk.

15. μόλις] ‘Cum d' omiserim.’ Frank.

16. ἐκινδυνεύ-
 σαμεν] ἐκινδυνεύσαμεν *an* *bekl* Bekk. B. et S.

3. οὔσης] ‘Immo ὄντος.’ Hamak. Vid. annot.

5. ἅμα] Ita z et editi recentiores: *volg.* ἅμας.

6. Θύ-
 στιον] Sic Harpocr. s. v.: *volg.* Θυτείον.

7. ἀνηγόρευε] ἀναγορεύει *bekl*.

14. πρὸς
 ἡμᾶς] ἐφ' ἡμᾶς *bekl* Bekk.

15. μόλις] ‘Cum d' omiserim.’ Frank.

16. ἐκινδυνεύ-
 σαμεν] ἐκινδυνεύσαμεν *an* *bekl* Bekk. B. et S.

3. ἤδη δὲ πόρρω τῆς ἡμέρας οὔσης. ‘And as the day was far gone by then,’ giving the reason why execution was deferred till next morning, ἅμα τῇ ἡμέρᾳ. The construction πόρρω εἶναι, ‘to be far advanced,’ does not seem to occur elsewhere, and perhaps could not have been used except in the genitive, which connects it with such phrases as πρόσθω τῆς νυκτὸς Hdt. 2. 121. 4. Indeed, it might be suspected that this is the construction here, οὔσης being feminine only by a sort of attraction, ‘it being far on in the day.’ See Plat. Protag. 310 C.

4. ὅσοι ἐπὶ διέτες ἡβῶσι. The sense of this phrase has been much disputed, from Harpocration's time onward: some take it of youths from 16 to 18, others from 18 to 20, others of all above 18. It is now established that at Athens at any rate only those between 16 and 18 were said ἡβᾶν, at 18 they were said ἐφηβεῦσαι, were sworn at the temple of Aglauros, and declared of full age for citizenship, after which they acted for two years as Περὶπολοι τῆς χώρας, and then probably for the first time entered upon the enjoyment of full civic rights.

6. Θύστιον. So Harpocr., followed by B. and S.: the MSS. give Θυτείον. Whichever is read, it must be a dialectical form (ἐκεῖ καλούμενον) for the ‘place of sacri-

fice:’ καλούμενον is always used of a significant proper name. The temple was perhaps hardly in use; or this may have been the site of the altar just outside it.

9. μὴ παρῇ. Almost ‘put in an appearance,’ by its legation.

§ 123. Next day, therefore, we went and destroyed the buildings on the devoted land, and were assailed by the Amphiſſians.

10. ἤκομεν . . καὶ κατέβημεν. ‘We were ready at the appointed place, and came down.’ The proper sense of ἤκω, and the proper force of the imperfect, are both preserved.

12. κατασκάψαντες. Destroying and throwing into the water an embankment of earth is probably meant. The ease with which the destruction was effected seems to shew that ἐτείχισαν in § 113, τετείχισμένον in § 119, are not to be pressed in the sense of ‘fortified,’ but simply describe the works necessary to counteract the effect of ἐχῶσαν, § 109.

ἀνεχωροῦμεν. ‘Started homewards;’ the imperfect, because they were attacked before they got back.

16. ἐκινδυνεύσαμεν is in itself a conditional word, which accounts for the omission of ἂν; for an overwhelming balance of MSS. do omit it. Or one might otherwise express it, their danger was an actual fact, though

Κόττυφος ὁ τὰς γνώμας ἐπιψηφίζων ἐκκλησίαν ἐποίει τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων ἐκκλησίαν γὰρ ὀνομάζουσιν, ὅταν μὴ μόνον τοὺς πυλαγόρους καὶ τοὺς ἱερομνήμονας συγκαλέσωσιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς συνθύοντας καὶ χρωμένους τῷ θεῷ. ἐνταῦθ' ἦδη πολλαὶ μὲν
 5 ἐγίγνοντο τῶν Ἀμφισσέων κατηγορίαι, πολὺς δ' ἔπαινος ἦν κατὰ τῆς ἡμετέρας πόλεως· τέλος δὲ παντὸς τοῦ λόγου ψηφίζονται ἥκειν τοὺς ἱερομνήμονας πρὸ τῆς ἐπιούσης πυλαίας ἐν ῥητῷ χρόνῳ εἰς Πύλας, ἔχοντας δόγμα, καθ' ὃ τι δίκας δώσουσιν οἱ Ἀμφισσείες ὑπὲρ ὧν εἰς τὸν θεὸν καὶ τὴν γῆν τὴν ἱερὰν
 10 καὶ τοὺς Ἀμφικτύονας ἐξήμαρτον. ὅτι δὲ ἀληθῆ λέγω, ἀναγνώσεται ὑμῖν ὁ γραμματεὺς τὸ ψήφισμα.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

Τοῦ δόγματος οὖν τούτου ἀποδοθέντος ὑφ' ἡμῶν ἐν τῇ βουλῇ 125 καὶ πάλιν ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ τῷ δήμῳ, καὶ τὰς πράξεις ἡμῶν ἀποδεξα-
 15 μένου τοῦ δήμου καὶ τῆς πόλεως πάσης προαιρουμένης εὐσεβεῖν, καὶ Δημοσθένους ὑπὲρ τοῦ μεσεγγυήματος τοῦ ἐξ Ἀμφίσσης

2. ὅταν μὴ μόνον .. συγκαλέσωσιν] ὅταν τις μὴ μόνον συγκαλέσῃ bekl. Bekk.
 5. ἐγίγνοντο τῶν Ἀμφισσέων] τῶν Ἀμφισσέων ἐγίνοντο fed bekl Bekk. 13. οὖν] Om. afδ bekl. ἐν τῇ βουλῇ] τῇ βουλῇ bekl Bekk. 14. τῷ δήμῳ] Om. a B. et S. Frank.
 16. ὑπὲρ] + ὑπο g †.

their running away prevented their destruction; so that he might have said *ἐἰ μὴ δρόμα μάλιστ' ἐξεφύγομεν εἰς Δελφοὺς, ἀπαιδόμεθ'* ἂν, but not *ἐκινδυνεύσαμεν ἂν*, for *ἐκινδυνεύσαμεν*, even as it was.

§ 124. *The day after, it was resolved in full assembly to hold an extraordinary Amphictyonic meeting to judge the case of the Amphissians.*

2. *ἐκκλησίαν γὰρ ὀνομάζουσιν.* This form of Assembly recalls the Homeric Agora, and is identical in constitution with the Assembly of the Achaian League, which consisted of the delegates of the several states and all members of the League present in the city where the congress happened to be held.

7. *ἐν ῥητῷ χρόνῳ.* So § 126 fin., *ὅς ἐξ ἀνάγκης πρὸ τοῦ καθήκοντος ἐμελλε χρόνου γίγνεσθαι.* The 'necessity' is not apparent, and Aeschines seems to admit that the irregularity was a serious one, requiring considerable apology. Probably the exclusion of the Phocians and destruction of their cities (in direct violation of the primitive Amphictyonic league, Ae. de F. L. § 121, p. 43) had demoralised the Assembly, and destroyed any religious veneration that it may ever have commanded, except in the mind of bigots like Aeschines. Cp. D. de Pace, fin.

8. *ἔχοντας δόγμα.* 'With instructions;'

'bringing a decision with them' from their respective states. At first the Amphictyons had simply cleared the holy ground from profane occupation; as the Amphissians persisted in the profanation, it was necessary to consult the states upon ulterior measures, which would require their support.

δίκας δώσουσιν. So most and best MSS.: Franke *δίκην*. The sense is not 'to be punished,' but 'to be judged,' and therefore *δίκας* is right. The question was, in the first instance, what issue should be placed before what court. Incidentally, no doubt, the Amphictyons in deciding this would decide the penalty.

11. *ὁ γραμματεὺς.* Some suspect this as a gloss: cp. ad § 15. But it is foolish to assume such absolute uniformity in expression.

§ 125. *The Amphictyons' resolution was approved at Athens; but Demosthenes' intrigues*

14. *ἀποδεξαμένου.* Note the vagueness of the word: the report was 'favourably received,' but he cannot pretend that any action was taken on it.

16. *μεσεγγυήματος τοῦ ἐξ Ἀμφίσσης.* The sum placed in his hands by the Amphissians: above, § 114. Strictly, a pledge deposited by a party to a suit in the hands of a third party, something like the Roman *sacramentum*; here nearly = 'a retaining fee.'

ἀντιλέγοντος καὶ ἐμοῦ φανερώς ἐναντίον ὑμῶν ἐξελέγχοντος, ἐπειδὴ ἐκ τοῦ φανεροῦ τὴν πόλιν ἄνθρωπος οὐκ ἐδύνατο σφῆλαι, εἰσελθὼν εἰς τὸ βουλευτήριον καὶ μεταστησάμενος τοὺς ἰδιώτας ἐκφέρεται προβούλευμα εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν, προσλαβὼν τὴν τοῦ
 126 γράψαντος ἀπειρίαν· τὸ δ' αὐτὸ τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ διε- 5
 πράξατο ἐπιψηφισθῆναι καὶ γενέσθαι δήμου ψήφισμα ἥδη ἐπαναστάσης τῆς ἐκκλησίας, ἀπεληλυθότος ἐμοῦ, οὐ γὰρ ἂν ποτε ἐπέτρεψα, καὶ τῶν πολλῶν δὲ ἀφειμένων· οὐ τὸ κεφάλαιόν ἐστι “τὸν δὲ ἱερομνήμονα” φησὶ “τῶν Ἀθηναίων καὶ τοὺς πυλαγόρους τοὺς αἰὶ πυλαγοροῦντας πορεύεσθαι εἰς Πύλας καὶ 10
 εἰς Δελφοὺς ἐν τοῖς τεταγμένοις χρόνοις ὑπὸ τῶν προγόνων,” εὐπρεπῶς γε τῷ ὀνόματι, ἀλλὰ τῷ ἔργῳ αἰσχυρῶς· κωλύει γὰρ εἰς τὸν σύλλογον τὸν ἐν Πύλαις ἀπαντᾶν, ὃς ἐξ ἀνάγκης πρὸ
 127 τοῦ καθήκοντος ἔμελλε χρόνου γίγνεσθαι. καὶ πάλιν ἐν τῷ

2. ἄνθρωπος] *ἄνθρωπος* libri.

glossema videtur esse, Frankio ‘certe τοῦ δήμου ψήφισμα scribendum.’ τοῦ δήμου τὸ ψήφισμα habent *scd*: δήμου non glossema esse, sed πάντων ἐμφατικώτατον, pro certo habemus.

ἥδη ἐπαναστάσης] ἐπ' ἀναστάσει *bekl*, haud absurde. Probat Hamaker, modo post ἐκκλησίας addatur οὕτως: quod certe elegantius. 8. δὲ ἀφειμένων] Ita *scd* Frank.: ceteri διαφειμένων.

9. τὸν δὲ ἱερομνήμονα] δὲ om. *agtnabekl* B. et S.

2. ἄνθρωπος. An easy and probable correction, though denounced as childish by Reiske and Bremi: cp. ad § 98. But ἄνθρωπος is not impossible, ‘finding the city too wise for one fellow to ruin it,’ he tried to make many fools do the work one knave could not.

3. εἰσελθὼν εἰς τὸ βουλευτήριον. Was he a senator again (cp. §§ 3, 73, which make it not unlikely that tricks in the lottery were winked at, to secure the presence of experienced statesmen), or was he, as a Past Member or as an important person, admitted to confer with the Senate? μεταστησάμενος τοῦ ἰδιώτας proves that he went in *some* official capacity; but for the presence of ἰδιῶται cp. D. de F. L. § 19, p. 346.

4. ἐκφέρεται κ.τ.λ. ‘Comes down with a draught decree, got by availing himself of the inexperience of the mover:’ προσλαβὼν, ‘taking it as an ally.’ The fact that he did not move it himself seems to indicate that he was not a member. ἐκφέρειν is the technical word for ‘bringing down’ a προβούλευμα to the ἐκκλησία: cp. Orat. in Næær. p. 1346, § 4. Here the middle is used, because Demosthenes ‘gets the motion brought down’ by the original mover, instead of bringing it down himself, as Apollodorus did l. c., who *was* himself a senator.

§ 126. Carried in disguise a resolution not to send representatives to the extraordinary Amphictyonic meeting.

6. δήμου ψήφισμα] Baitero et Sauppio ‘δήμου glossema videtur esse,’ Frankio ‘certe τοῦ δήμου ψήφισμα scribendum.’ τοῦ δήμου τὸ ψήφισμα habent *scd*: δήμου non glossema esse, sed πάντων ἐμφατικώτατον, pro certo habemus. ἥδη ἐπαναστάσης] ἐπ' ἀναστάσει *bekl*, haud absurde. Probat Hamaker, modo post ἐκκλησίας addatur οὕτως: quod certe elegantius. 8. δὲ ἀφειμένων] Ita *scd* Frank.: ceteri διαφειμένων. 9. τὸν δὲ ἱερομνήμονα] δὲ om. *agtnabekl* B. et S.

6. καὶ γενέσθαι δήμου ψήφισμα. Instead of a προβούλευμα binding on nobody, and for which the mover might be held responsible. The point is, that though the people were not fairly consulted on the question, yet Demosthenes managed to get them involved in at least material, if not formal sacrilege; a point that would be heightened if we read τοῦ δήμου τὸ ψήφισμα, with one group of MSS.

8. ἀφειμένων, or διαφειμένων, whichever we read, is ambiguous, like ἀποδεδεγμένων. For Aeschines cannot mean that the assembly was dismissed, because he says τῶν πολλῶν. He can only mean, they had dispersed with a clear conscience, thinking business was over. If we had any evidence of semi-official party ‘whips’ at Athens, one might conclude that these had given leave to go. Demosthenes asserts that Aeschines’ own election as Pylagoras was similarly managed, De Cor. § 189.

9. τὸν δὲ ἱερομνήμονα. Cp. sup. ad § 74. τοὺς πυλαγόρους τοὺς αἰὶ πυλαγοροῦντας. ‘The Pylagori for the time being,’ opposed to the Hieromnemon, who held office for life.

12. κωλύει γὰρ might be quasi-impersonal, or refer to τὸ ψήφισμα; but from the following γράφει and φησὶ of the next section, it seems likelier that the subject is the same as that of διεπράξατο.

§ 127. And even denounced it in terms, or, in other words, defied the God and the curse.

αὐτῷ ψηφίσματι πολὺ καὶ σαφέστερον καὶ πικρότερον σύγ-
 γραμμα γράφει “τὸν ἱερομνήμονα” φησὶ “τῶν Ἀθηναίων καὶ
 τοὺς πυλαγόρους τοὺς αἰὶ πυλαγοροῦντας μὴ μετέχειν τοῖς ἐκεῖ
 5 πρᾶξεως μηδεμίας.” τὸ δὲ μὴ μετέχειν τί ἐστὶ; πότερα τάλη-
 θες εἶπω ἢ τὸ ἥδιστον ἀκοῦσαι; τὸ ἀληθές ἐρῶ· τὸ γὰρ αἰὶ
 πρὸς ἡδονὴν λεγόμενον οὕτως τὴν πόλιν διατέθεικεν. οὐκ ἐᾷ
 μεμνησθαι τῶν ὅρκων, οὓς ἡμῶν οἱ πρόγονοι ὤμοσαν, οὐδὲ τῆς
 ἀράς οὐδὲ τῆς τοῦ θεοῦ μαντείας.
 10 Ἡμεῖς μὲν οὖν, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, κατεμείναμεν διὰ τοῦτο τὸ 128
 ψήφισμα, οἱ δ’ ἄλλοι Ἀμφικτύονες συνελέγησαν εἰς Πύλας
 πλὴν μιᾶς πόλεως, ἧς ἐγὼ οὗτ’ ἂν τοῦνομα εἵποιμι, μήθ’ αἱ
 συμφοραὶ παραπλήσιοι γένοιτο αὐτῆς μηδενὶ τῶν Ἑλλήνων.
 καὶ συνελθόντες ἐψηφίσαντο ἐπιστρατεύειν ἐπὶ τοὺς Ἀμφισ-
 15 σέας, καὶ στρατηγὸν εἵλοντο Κόττυφον τὸν Φαρσάλιον τὸν
 τότε τὰς γνώμας ἐπιψηφίζοντα, οὐκ ἐπιδημούντος ἐν Μακε-
 δονίᾳ Φιλίππου, ἀλλ’ οὐδ’ ἐν τῇ Ἑλλάδι παρόντος, ἀλλ’ ἐν
 Σκύθαις οὕτω μακρὰν ἀπόντος· ὃν αὐτίκα μάλα τολμήσει λέγειν
 Δημοσθένης ὡς ἐγὼ ἐπὶ τοὺς Ἕλληνας ἐπήγαγον. καὶ παρελ- 129
 20 θόντες τῇ πρώτῃ στρατείᾳ καὶ μάλα μετρίως ἐχρήσαντο τοῖς
 Ἀμφισσεῦσιν· ἀντὶ γὰρ τῶν μεγίστων ἀδικημάτων χρήμασιν
 αὐτοὺς ἐζημίωσαν, καὶ ταῦτ’ ἐν ῥητῷ χρόνῳ προείπον τῷ θεῷ
 καταθεῖναι, καὶ τοὺς μὲν ἐναγείς καὶ τῶν πεπραγμένων αἰτίους

1. σύγγραμμα] πρόσταγμα *bekl*, γρ. *gm*.
 proposit Frankius. Mox pro primo *μήτε agnosc* habent *mh*.
 restitui Frankius jubet: quod librorum solus habet *e*.
 7. λεγόμενον] ‘Nescio an corrup-
 tum sit.’ Frank. διατέθεικεν] διέθηκεν *agn*.

3. ἐκεῖ] ἐκεῖσε *bekl* Bekk.: idque nunc
 6. τὸ ἀληθές] τάληθε
 7. λεγόμενον] ‘Nescio an corrup-
 18. τολμήσει] τολμήσειε *agn*.

7. οὕτως τὴν πόλιν διατέθεικεν. ‘Has brought the city to its present state.’ Though claiming to be a personal friend of Alexander, Aeschines does not refuse to regret the subjection of Athens to him.

οὐκ ἐᾷ. If taken in the ordinary sense, ‘it bids you not remember,’ the subject must be τὸ ψήφισμα rather than τὸ μὴ μετέχειν: if the latter is the real subject, οὐκ ἐᾷ must be in the literal sense, ‘it cuts you off from remembering.’

§ 128. So the *synod met*, without us or the Thebans; and marched on the Amphibians, without Philip.

12. πλὴν μιᾶς πόλεως. Thebes,—being grateful for the subservience of the Amphibians. That they would co-operate with Athens against the Amphictyons was not yet certain.

14. ἐψηφίσαντο ἐπιστρατεύειν. This went beyond the resolution of the preceding *synod*; which may be explained by the absence of Thebes.

16. τότε. ‘On the former occasion.’ οὐκ ἐπιδημούντος κ.τ.λ. (This was not, as Demosthenes will insinuate, at Philip’s instigation, since) ‘he was not at home in Macedonia, but had not come down into Greece either; he was in Scythia, all that way off.’ If Philip had been at home he might have instigated the Amphictyonic execution, if he had been in Greece he might even have shared in it; as it was, the charge against me of bringing him into Greece is absurd.

§ 129. They at first inflicted the mildest penalty possible; but the Amphibians being still refractory, they had to invoke Philip.

μετεστήσαντο, τοὺς δὲ δι' εὐσεβίαν φυγόντας κατήγαγον. ἐπει-
δὴ δὲ οὔτε τὰ χρήματα ἐξέτινον τῷ θεῷ τοὺς τ' ἐναγείς κατή-
γαγον καὶ τοὺς εὐσεβεῖς κατελθόντας διὰ τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων
ἐξέβαλον, οὕτως ἤδη τὴν δευτέραν ἐπὶ τοὺς Ἀμφισσέας στρα-
τείαν ἐποιήσαντο, πολλῷ χρόνῳ ὕστερον, ἐπανεληλυθότος Φιλίπ- 5
που ἐκ τῆς ἐπὶ τοὺς Σκύθας στρατείας, τῶν μὲν θεῶν τὴν ἡγε-
μονίαν τῆς εὐσεβείας ἡμῖν παραδεδοκότων, τῆς δὲ Δημοσθένους
δωροδοκίας ἐμποδῶν γεγεννημένης.

- 130 Ἄλλ' οὐ προὔλεγον, οὐ προεσήμαινον ἡμῖν οἱ θεοὶ φυλά-
ξασθαι, μόνον γε οὐκ ἀνθρώπων φωνὰς προσκτησάμενοι; οὐδε- 10
μίαν τοι πάποτε ἔγωγε μᾶλλον πόλιν ἐώρακα ὑπὸ μὲν τῶν
θεῶν σωζομένην, ὑπὸ δὲ τῶν ῥητόρων ἐνίῳν ἀπολλυμένην. οὐχ
ἱκανὸν ἦν τὸ τοῖς μυστηρίοις φανέν σημεῖον φυλάξασθαι, ἢ τῶν
μυστῶν τελευτή; οὐ περὶ τούτων Ἀμεινιάδης μὲν προὔλεγεν
εὐλαβεῖσθαι καὶ πέμπειν εἰς Δελφοὺς ἐπερησυσμένους τὸν θεόν, 15
ὅ τι χρὴ πράττειν, Δημοσθένης δὲ ἀντέλεγε φιλιππίζειν τὴν Πυ-
θίαν φάσκων, ἀπαίδευτος ὢν καὶ ἀπολαύων καὶ ἐμπιπλάμενος

1. φυγόντας] *φεύγοντας* *bekl* Cobet., probante Frankio. 2. οὔτε] οὐδὲ *agm* *scd*.
4. ἐξέβαλον] Ita *abehl*: ἐξέβαλον *eis* Χερώνειαν *g*; ceteri ἐξέβαλλον. ἐπὶ τοῖς Ἀμ-
φισσέας] Post στρατείαν ponunt *agm*: 'puto glossema esse' Spp. Ἀμφισσέας scribunt
agm *scd* B. et S. 10. μόνον γε οὐκ] μόνον οὐκ *agm* *scd* B. et S. Frank. 13 φανέν]
† φάμεν *pr.* *g* †. φυλάξασθαι] 'Malim abesse' Bait., idemque censent Scheib. et
Hamaker.

1. μετεστήσαντο. Perhaps a euphemism for ἐξήλασαν; perhaps it is meant that they withdrew without waiting for a sentence. In *Orat. c. Aristog.* 2, § 7, p. 802, it is used of ostracism.

τοὺς δι' εὐσεβίαν φυγόντας. The anti-Theban party, we may presume,—expelled after the sacrilege.

5. πολλῷ χρόνῳ ὕστερον. Measured by the next words, ἐπανεληλυθότος Φιλίππου *κ.τ.λ.*

6. τῶν μὲν θεῶν . . ἡμῖν παραδεδοκότων. Not only had Solon of old, and Aeschines now, taken the lead in denouncing the sacrilege, but while Philip was absent, and Thebes recusant, Athens would have been the most powerful state in the confederacy.

§ 130. Thus Demosthenes led us on, in spite of the warnings of the Gods,

10. μόνον γε οὐκ. B. and S. and Franke omit *γε*, with most MSS. But *μόνον οὐκ* is such a common expression, that the omission of *γε* in the middle of it is an easier error than its insertion, while here it has a peculiar force. The sense is not merely 'having all but taken a human voice to tell you,' but 'having done everything to warn you, ex-

cept gain men to speak on their side.' This gives a good meaning to *προσκτησάμενοι* (which Bekker and one or two other editors wish to correct to *προηράμενοι*, a rare though not impossible form), and harmonises better with the next words.

13. φυλάξασθαι. 'Malim abesse,' Baiter, followed by several other critics; and it does look like a weak repetition from the first clause of the section. If genuine, it depends on *σημεῖον* rather than *ικανόν*; 'was not that enough as a sign to be careful, which was shewn us at the Mysteries?'

ἢ τῶν μυστῶν τελευτή. One or two of them were seized by a shark, while purifying themselves in the sea.

16. φιλιππίζειν. Formed on the analogy of *Μηδίξειν*, *Λακωνίζειν*, *Βουαρδιάζειν*, etc. There is no previous case of such a verb formed from a personal name, except *Κυβελάλζειν* in *Theognis*, 890. It occurs two or three times in Demosthenes, e.g. *De Cor.* § 226.

17. ἀπαίδευτος. The insinuation is, 'never taught to say his prayers.'

ἀπολαύων καὶ ἐμπιπλάμενος. 'Glutting himself with the enjoyment of the licence you gave him.'

τῆς δεδομένης ὑφ' ὑμῶν αὐτῷ ἐξουσίας; οὐ τὸ τελευταῖον 131
 ἀθύτων καὶ ἀκαλλιερήτων τῶν ἱερῶν ὄντων ἐξέπεμψε τοὺς στρα-
 τιώτας ἐπὶ τὸν πρόδηλον κίνδυνον; καίτοι γε πρῶην ἀπετόλ-
 μησε λέγειν, ὅτι παρὰ τοῦτο Φίλιππος οὐκ ἦλθεν ἡμῶν ἐπὶ
 5 τὴν χώραν, ὅτι οὐκ ἦν αὐτῷ καλὰ τὰ ἱερά. τίνος οὖν ζημίας
 ἄξιος εἰ τυχεῖν, ὃ τῆς Ἑλλάδος ἀλιτήριε; εἰ γὰρ ὁ μὲν κρατῶν
 οὐκ ἦλθεν εἰς τὴν τῶν κρατουμένων χώραν, ὅτι οὐκ ἦν αὐτῷ
 καλὰ τὰ ἱερά, σὺ δ' οὐδὲν προειδὼς τῶν μελλόντων ἔσσεσθαι
 πρὶν καλλιερῆσαι τοὺς στρατιώτας ἐξέπεμψας, πότερον στεφα-
 10 νοῦσθαί σε δεῖ ἐπὶ ταῖς τῆς πόλεως ἀτυχαίαις ἢ ὑπερωρίσθαι;

Τοιγάρτοι τί τῶν ἀνελπίστων καὶ ἀπροσδοκῆτων ἐφ' ἡμῶν 132
 οὐ γέγονεν; οὐ γὰρ βίον γε ἡμεῖς ἀνθρώπινον βεβιώκαμεν,
 ἀλλ' εἰς παραδοξολογίαν τοῖς ἐσομένοις μεθ' ἡμᾶς ἔφουμεν. οὐχ
 ὁ μὲν τῶν Περσῶν βασιλεὺς, ὁ τὸν Ἄθω διορύξας, ὁ τὸν Ἑλλη-
 15 σποντον ζεύξας, ὁ γῆν καὶ ὕδωρ τοὺς Ἕλληνας αἰτῶν, ὁ τολ-
 μῶν ἐν ταῖς ἐπιστολαῖς γράφειν, ὅτι δεσπότης ἐστὶν ἀπάντων
 ἀνθρώπων ἀφ' ἡλίου ἀνιόντος μέχρι δυσμένου, νῦν οὐ περὶ τοῦ
 κύριος ἐτέρων εἶναι διαγωνίζεται, ἀλλ' ἤδη περὶ τῆς τοῦ σώ-

1. δεδομένης] δεδομένης g, superscr. m, et bekl.

ἱερῶν bekl Bekk.

ἐξέπεμψε] ἐξέπεμψε gmn.

2. τῶν ἱερῶν ὄντων] ὄντων τῶν

4. ἐπὶ τὴν χώραν] εἰς τὴν χώραν bekl

Bekk. Vid. annot.

5. ζημίας ἄξιος εἰ] εἰ σὺ ζημίας ἄξιος bekl Bekk.

13. ἐσο-

μένους] Post ἡμᾶς ponunt bekl et (qui ἐσομένοις scribit) ε: omitti volt Cobet.

14. Ἄθω]

Ἄθων b Bekk.

18. ἐτέρων] Om. agmn B. et S.

§ 131. Even as at Chaeroneia he courted defeat by defying the omens, while Philip obeyed them after victory.

2. ἀθύτων καὶ ἀκαλλιερήτων. The second as a consequence of the first. If something interrupted the proper oblation of the sacrifices (e.g. if the offerings would not burn) they would be ἀκαλλιέρητα.

3. πρῶην. Perhaps at some time when the difficulties of Alexander's position (cp. below, §§ 163 sqq.) had encouraged him to depreciate the strength of Macedon. Else we might suppose that Demosthenes retorted on the party who boasted of Philip's clemency, or depreciated his own works of defence, 'Oh, of course he was too pious to attack us when the sacrifices were against it.'

4. ἐπὶ τὴν χώραν. Volg. et Bekk. εἰς; a more natural expression in general, but the MS. reading suits the actual circumstances better. The battle was not on the Athenian frontier, so that it was open to the victor to march into Attica, but so far off that it was a question whether to march upon Attica.

6. τῆς Ἑλλάδος ἀλιτήριε. 'With the blood of Greece upon thy head.'

8. σὺ δ' οὐδὲν προειδὼς. Whereas Philip after the battle might calculate that

the chances against him were exhausted.

10. ἢ ὑπερωρίσθαι. 'Or to have been carried beyond our borders long ago,' as an unclean thing (ἀλιτήριος). Cp. § 245.

§ 132. Therefore the Gods have turned everything upside down: the great king is a fugitive, overthrown by the champion of religion,

11. τοιγάρτοι. 'Therefore,' i.e. as this was not done; or, generally, as no regard was paid to the warnings of the Gods.

12. ἀνθρώπινον. With limited and calculable chances.

14. ὁ τὸν Ἄθω . . αἰτῶν. The king is treated as a *perpetua persona*, and both the individual acts (διορύξας, ζεύξας) of Serxes, and the permanent policy (αἰτῶν) of Darius and his son, the permanent style (τολμῶν) of all the kings, are ascribed to the unlucky Codomannus.

18. ἐτέρων. Necessary to the sense, but omitted by Baiter and Sauppe, in accordance with their canon, that an interpolation is always to be suspected rather than an accidental omission in any decent MS.

ἀλλ' ἤδη περὶ τῆς . . σωτηρίας. In fact he was probably already dead, though it was not known at Athens.

- ματος σωτηρίας; καὶ τοὺς αὐτοὺς ὁρῶμεν τῆς τε δόξης ταύτης καὶ τῆς ἐπὶ τὸν Πέρσην ἡγεμονίας ἡζιωμένους, οἱ καὶ τὸ ἐν
- 133 Δελφοῖς ἱερὸν ἡλευθέρωσαν; Θῆβαι δὲ, Θῆβαι, πόλις ἀστυγέων, μεθ' ἡμέραν μίαν ἐκ μέσης τῆς Ἑλλάδος ἀνῆρπασται, εἰ καὶ δικαίως, περὶ τῶν ὄλων οὐκ ὀρθῶς βουλευσάμενοι, ἀλλὰ τὴν γε θεοβλάβειαν καὶ τὴν ἀφροσύνην οὐκ ἀνθρωπίνως, ἀλλὰ δαιμονίως κτησάμενοι. Λακεδαιμόνιοι δ' οἱ ταλαίπωροι, προσ- αψάμενοι μόνον τούτων τῶν πραγμάτων ἐξ ἀρχῆς περὶ τὴν τοῦ ἱεροῦ κατάληψιν, οἱ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ποτὲ ἀξιούντες ἡγε- μόνες εἶναι, νῦν ὀμηρέουσιν καὶ τῆς συμφορᾶς ἐπίδειξιν ποιη- σόμενοι μέλλουσιν ὡς Ἀλέξανδρον ἀναπέμπεσθαι, τοῦτο πει- σόμενοι καὶ αὐτοὶ καὶ ἡ πατρίς, ὃ τι ἂν ἐκείνῳ δόξη, καὶ ἐν τῇ
- 134 τοῦ κρατοῦντος καὶ προηδικημένου μετριότητι κριθήσονται. ἡ δ' ἡμετέρα πόλις, ἡ κοινὴ καταφυγὴ τῶν Ἑλλήνων, πρὸς ἣν ἀφικνούντο πρότερον ἐκ τῆς Ἑλλάδος αἱ πρεσβεῖαι, κατὰ πόλεις ἕκαστοι παρ' ἡμῶν τὴν σωτηρίαν εὐρησόμενοι, νῦν οὐκέτι περὶ τῆς τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἡγεμονίας ἀγωνίζεται, ἀλλ' ἤδη περὶ τοῦ τῆς πατρίδος ἐδάφους. καὶ ταῦθ' ἡμῖν συμβέβηκεν

3. Θῆβαι alterum om. *afed.*

etiam Herodian. et Longinus.

κριθήσονται] κρηθιόμενοι *behl Bekk* : † in *g* lineola per litteras *-σονται* ducta est †.

14. τῶν Ἑλλήνων] † in *g* crasa sunt †.

ὁμῶν *agmifid.*

4. μεθ' ἡμέραν μίαν] Om. Demetr., μίαν om.

13. κρατοῦντος καὶ] † in *g* erasum est †.

16. ἡμῶν] ὁμῶν *ekl.*

18. ἡμῖν]

1. τῆς τε δόξης ταύτης refers back to § 129, τῶν μὲν θεῶν τὴν ἡγεμονίαν κ.τ.λ.

§ 133. *Thebes for their sacrilege blotted out from the map of Greece, Sparta ruined for their slight share in it,*

5. περὶ τῶν ὄλων. The general interest of Greece; also the whole course of their policy.

6. οὐκ ἀνθρωπίνως. Their punishment came by the heaven-sent madness that made them rebel against Alexander: their guilt was in their aid and comfort to the sacrilegious Amphissians. It is also probably meant, that this guilt came from a heaven-sent insatiation: for it was unlike their old policy; they had resisted and avenged the sacrilege of the Phocians, and prospered in so doing.

7. προσαιψάμενοι μόνον. 'Though they did but touch...' As they owed 1000 talents to the Amphictyons for their treacherous attack on the Cadmea, they had supported Phocis at the beginning of the war, and aided them, like the Athenians, to the extent of purely defensive measures. Aeschines intimates in the next section, that Athens was guilty to the same slight extent.

13. κριθήσονται. So most MSS. for the

vulgate κρηθιόμενοι. The sense has a shade of difference, the indic. implying more decidedly than the partic. would, that they will get the benefit of Alexander's merciful disposition.

§ 134. *We deprived of everything but our independence for ours: all during Demosthenes' career.*

14. ἡ κοινὴ καταφυγὴ. Having sheltered the Heraclids, and the Ionians of Peloponnesus after them: having freed the Greeks from both Persian and Spartan tyranny in the days of Aristides. It is hardly likely that Aeschines refers (as Demosthenes does, *De Cor.* § 121) to the way that Athens interposed to save Thebes from Sparta in the campaign of Leuctra, and Sparta from Thebes in that of Mantinea; it is characteristic of his mind to recur rather to mythical or semi-mythical illustrations than to recent historical ones.

18. καὶ ταῦθ' ἡμῖν. Most MSS. have ὁμῖν, but no editor appears to have accepted it. It would be rather offensive in point of taste; instead of expressing sympathy with his country's misfortunes, he would seem to taunt his countrymen, 'It serves you right for trusting Demosthenes.' But it is not

✓ ἐξ ὅτου Δημοσθένης πρὸς τὴν πολιτείαν προσελήλυθεν. εὖ γὰρ περὶ τῶν τοιούτων Ἡσίοδος ὁ ποιητὴς ἀποφαίνεται. λέγει γάρ που, παιδεύων τὰ πλήθη καὶ συμβουλευόν ταῖς πόλεσι τοὺς πονηροὺς τῶν δημαγωγῶν μὴ προσδέχεσθαι. λέξω δὲ 5 καὶ γὰρ τὰ ἔπη· διὰ τοῦτο γὰρ οἶμαι παῖδας ὄντας ἡμᾶς τὰς 135 τῶν ποιητῶν γνώμας ἐκμανθάνειν, ἵν' ἄνδρες ὄντες αὐταῖς χρώμεθα.

- πολλάκι δὴ ξύμπασα πόλεις κακοῦ ἀνδρὸς ἀπήνρα,
ὅς κεν ἀλιτράιῃ καὶ ἀτάσθαλα μηχανάσται.
10 τοῖσιν δ' οὐρανόθεν μέγ' ἐπήγαγε πῆμα Κρονίων,
λιμὸν ὁμοῦ καὶ λοιμὸν, ἀποφθινύθουσι δὲ λαοί·
ἢ τῶν γε στρατὸν εὐρὺν ἀπώλεσεν ἢ ὃ γε τείχος,
ἢ νέας ἐν πόντῳ ἀποτίννται εὐρύσπα Ζεὺς.
εἰ δὲ περιελόντες τοῦ ποιητοῦ τὸ μέτρον τὰς γνώμας ἐξετά- 136
15 ζῃτε, οἶμαι ὑμῖν δόξειν οὐ ποιήματα Ἡσιόδου εἶναι, ἀλλὰ
χρησμὸν εἰς τὴν Δημοσθένους πολιτείαν· καὶ γὰρ ναυτικὴ καὶ
πεζὴ στρατιὰ καὶ πόλεις ἄρδην εἰσὶν ἀνηρπασμένοι ἐκ τῆς
τούτου πολιτείας.

10. μέγ' ἐπήγαγε πῆμα] μέγα πῆμα ἔδωκε teste Bekk. *ekl*, testibus nobis etiam *g*. μέγα πῆμα δῶκε *apn* (hic quidem verbo supra addito) *scd* et teste Bekk. *g*. μεγ' ἐπήγαγε πῆμα *b*, *Ald.*, codd. Hesiodi secuti: μέγ' ἐπῆλασε πῆμα *Plut.* πῆμα μέγα δῶκε *p*: 'Fort. δῶκεν μέγα πῆμα' *Spp*. 11. Post *λαοὶ b* add. οὐδὲ γυναῖκες τίκτουσιν, μινύθουσι δὲ οἴκοι, [Ζηνὸς φρασμοσύνησιν Ὀλυμπίου, ἄλλοτε δ' αὐτε, *e* textu Hesiodi. 13. ἢ .. πόντῳ] ἢ νῆας ἐν πόντῳ teste Bekk. *ae*, testibus nobis etiam *g*, ἢ νῆας ἐν πόντῳ *ceteri* scripti et Bekk. Aldina pro toto versu habet ἢ νέας ἐν πόντῳ Κρονίδης ἀποτίννται αὐτῶν, ut legitur in textu Hesiodi. ἀποτίννται εὐρύσπα Ζεὺς *B. et S.* In *p* *τίννται* scriptum est, in *ekl* *τείννται*, in ceteris *τίννται*.

certain that Aeschines was incapable of this fault. If ἡμῖν be read, it will mean not 'Athens,' but 'the present generation.'

2. λέγει γάρ που. 'Works and Days,' *vv.* 245 sqq.

3. τὰ πλήθη. Aeschines treats the poet as if he had written in reference to a state of things like that of his own age. But Hesiod's political philosophy (if it may be called so) is addressed to corrupt oligarchies, not misguided democracies; and his notion of the dangerous citizen is rather the oppressive and unjust lord than the unprincipled demagogue.

§§ 135, 136. *Demosthenes is the sinner whom Hesiod describes as the bane of a state.*

4. λέξω δὲ καὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Aeschines seems to apologise for reciting the passage himself, instead of letting it be read by the scribe; while at the same time he fishes for a compliment to his powers of memory and apt quotation, and no doubt to his fine recital also. Apparently Lycurgus recites himself

the long and miscellaneous extracts in his speech against Leocrates, with the same object, of representing himself as the champion of the wisdom of the ancients. In the Timarchus, Aeschines had been content to have Homer read.

One might take καὶ γὰρ as pointing a parallel to λέγει γάρ που, 'in Hesiod's words, which I will make my own.' The two first verses are quoted by Aeschines also in *De F. L.* § 168, *p.* 49.

The third and last lines of the extract are corrupt. The reading of the text for the former is that of one MS., the Aldine edition, and of the known text of Hesiod; and is preferred because the common reading of the MSS. here, μέγα πῆμα δῶκε, will not scan. As to the latter, the text is the nearest to the MS. reading, ἢ νῆας ἐν πόντῳ τίννται εὐρύσπα Ζεὺς, that will scan decently.

14. περιελόντες .. τὸ μέτρον. One does not see why this should be necessary. Would not the oracle have been in hexameters?

- 137 Ἄλλ', οἶμαι, οὔτε Φρυγῶνας οὔτε Εὐρύβατος οὐτ' ἄλλος οὐδεὶς πώποτε τῶν πάλοι πονηρῶν τοιοῦτος μάγος καὶ γόης ἐγένετο, ὃς, ὃ γῆ καὶ θεοὶ καὶ δαίμονες καὶ ἄνθρωποι, ὅσοι βούλεσθε ἀκούειν τάληθῃ, τολμᾷ λέγειν βλέπων εἰς τὰ πρόσ-
 138 ωπα τὰ ὑμέτερα, ὡς ἄρα Θηβαῖοι τὴν συμμαχίαν ὑμῖν ἐποιή-
 139 σαντο οὐ διὰ τὸν καιρὸν, οὐ διὰ τὸν φόβον τὸν περιστάντα αὐτοὺς, οὐ διὰ τὴν ὑμετέραν δόξαν, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὰς Δημοσθέ-
 νους δημηγορίας. καίτοι πολλὰς μὲν πρότερον πρεσβείας ἐπρέ-
 σβευσαν εἰς Θήβας οἱ μάλιστα οἰκείως ἐκείνοις διακείμενοι, πρῶ-
 τος μὲν Θρασύβουλος ὁ Κολλυτεὺς, ἀνὴρ ἐν Θήβαις πιστευθεὶς 10
 ὡς οὐδεὶς ἕτερος, πάλιν Θράσων ὁ Ἐρχιεὺς, πρόξενος ὢν Θη-
 139 βαίους, Λεωδάμας ὁ Ἀχαρνεὺς, οὐχ ἥττον Δημοσθένους λέγειν
 δυνάμενος, ἀλλ' ἔμοιγε καὶ ἡδίων, Ἀρχέδημος ὁ Πήληξ, καὶ
 δυνατὸς εἰπεῖν καὶ πολλὰ κεκινδυνευκὼς ἐν τῇ πολιτείᾳ διὰ Θη-
 βαίους, Ἀριστοφῶν ὁ Ἀζηνιεύς, πλείστον χρόνον τὴν τοῦ 15

2. πάλοι] Om. *agm*.
 volgato ἡμῖν.

9. Post πρῶτος μὲν volg. ante Bekk. addebatur οὗτος στρατηγός:
 om. *agm*, οὗν στρατηγός b. 11. Ἐρχιεύς] Ἀρχιεὺς *afce*, ἀρχιεὺς pr. b l, ceteri
 Ἐρχιεὺς.

13. Post ἡδίων addebatur ῥήτωρ καὶ οὗτος: quod si genuinum esset, post
 ῥήτωρ fuisset interpungendum. Om. *agm*: † in b καὶ οὗτος a sec. m. subscripta lineola
 notatum est †.

14. καὶ πολλὰ] ὡς πολλὰ *agm*, δε πολλὰ n. 15. Ante Ἀριστο-
 φῶν addebatur δημαγωγός, ante Πύρρανδρος ῥήτωρ. Prius habent libri omnes, alterum om.
agm *bekl*. Quattuor glossemata manifesta (nam ῥήτωρ καὶ οὗτος, quamvis per se probabile,
 cum ceteris cadit) uncis inclusit Bekk., eiecerunt B. et S.

§ 137. Then, more scandalously yet, he pretends to have secured the Theban alliance by his unaided eloquence:

1. Φρυγῶνας .. Εὐρύβατος. The first of these worthies is unknown: for the second, cp. D. de Cor. § 29.

2. μάγος καὶ γόης. The second of these words is commoner in the moral or metaphorical sense; it is also a shade more contemptuous. μάγος is the recognised counterpart in the Persian religion at once of *lepers* and *magicians*: he is only suspicious to a Greek as outlandish. γόης is a mere vulgar enchanter, without a place in any recognised religious system, but the parasite of all.

3. ὃ γῆ καὶ θεοὶ would have been ordinarily sufficient to relieve the speaker's feelings, as D. de Cor. § 363. But Aeschines wishes to make the point καὶ ἄνθρωποι ὅσοι βούλεσθε κ.τ.λ., so he introduces the δαίμονες as well, to soften the transition from heaven to earth.

7. Δημοσθένους. Not αὐτοῦ, that the name may be said with a sneer. The rhetorical force is something like *Alchîns* Δημοσθένους in D. de Cor. § 251.

§ 138. While many leading politicians

had before him tried to effect that alliance,

10. Θρασύβουλος. A companion of Thrasylulus the Stirian at Phyle and at Piræus. He commanded in Thrace in B.C. 388.

11. Θράσων. Endeavoured to obtain the restitution of the Cadmea.

12. Λεωδάμας. A pupil of Isocrates.

§ 139. Though without success, which was not their fault.

15. Ἀριστοφῶν. See D. de Cor. § 207. Aeschines did not admire him: cp. below, § 195. And long before, he had made against Demosthenes the same *alîan* τοῦ βουλευτῆ-
 ζειν, Ae. de F. L. § 112, p. 42. Most MSS. insert before the name of Thrasylulus οὗτος στρατηγός; before that of Archedemus, ῥήτωρ καὶ οὗτος; before Aristophon's, δημαγωγός (he is so called instead of ῥήτωρ, on the strength of Aeschines' censure, l.c.); and ῥήτωρ before Pyrrhanders. All are manifest glosses but the second, which can be punctuated ἀλλ' ἔμοιγε καὶ ἡδίων ῥήτωρ καὶ οὗτος Ἀριστοφῶν. But the best MSS. omit it, as well as οὗτος στρατηγός. The fact that they do not omit the two glosses in the next section proves that all four are old, while MSS. existed late without them.

βοιωτιάξιν ὑπομείνας αἰτίαν, Πύρρανδρος ὁ Ἀναφλύστιος, ὃς
 ἔτι καὶ νῦν ζῇ. ἀλλ' ὅμως οὐδεὶς πώποτε αὐτοὺς ἐδυνήθη προ-
 τρέψασθαι εἰς τὴν ὑμετέραν φιλίαν. τὸ δ' αἴτιον οἶδα μὲν,
 λέγειν δ' οὐδὲν δέομαι διὰ τὰς ἀτυχίας αὐτῶν. ἀλλ', οἶμαι, 140
 5 ἐπειδὴ Φίλιππος αὐτῶν ἀφελόμενος Νίκαιαν Θετταλοῖς παρέ-
 δωκε, καὶ τὸν πόλεμον, ὃν πρότερον ἐξήλασεν ἐκ τῆς χώρας
 τῆς τῶν Βοιωτῶν, τοῦτον πάλιν τὸν αὐτὸν πόλεμον ἐπήγαγε διὰ
 τῆς Φωκίδος ἐπ' αὐτὰς τὰς Θήβας, καὶ τὸ τελευταῖον Ἑλλά-
 τειαν καταλαβὼν ἐχαράκωσε καὶ φρουρὰν εἰσήγαγεν, ἐνταῦθ'
 10 ἤδη, ἐπεὶ τὸ δεινὸν αὐτῶν ἤπτετο, μετεπέμψαντο Ἀθηναίους,
 καὶ ὑμεῖς ἐξήλθετε καὶ εἰσήεите εἰς τὰς Θήβας ἐν τοῖς ὅπλοις
 διεσκευασμένοι, καὶ οἱ ἱππεῖς καὶ οἱ πεζοί, πρὶν περὶ συμμα-
 χίας μίαν μόνην συλλαβὴν γράψαι Δημοσθένην. ὁ δ' εἰσάγων 141
 ἦν ὑμᾶς εἰς τὰς Θήβας καιρὸς καὶ φόβος καὶ χρεῖα συμμαχίας,
 15 ἀλλ' οὐ Δημοσθένης, ἐπεὶ περὶ γε ταύτας τὰς πράξεις τρία τὰ
 πάντων μέγιστα Δημοσθένης εἰς ὑμᾶς ἐξημάρτηκε, πρῶτον μὲν,
 ὅτι Φιλίππου τῷ μὲν ὀνόματι πολεμοῦντος ὑμῖν, τῷ δ' ἔργῳ

1. Πύρρανδρος] Ita hic agnoscitur, et bis libri omnes ad A. in Tim. p. 11, § 84. Legebatur Πύρανδρος. 7. τῆς τῶν] τῶν γνησ, τῆς ἀρε B. et S. 8. Θήβας] πόλιν γνη: † in g volgatum restituitur a m. sec. †. 12. καὶ οἱ ἱππεῖς καὶ οἱ πεζοὶ] καὶ οἱ πεζοὶ καὶ οἱ ἱππεῖς bekl Bekk.

3. τὸ δ' αἶσιον κ.τ.λ. It would be invidious to say that it was their pride and steady malice, when their malice had been renounced under duress, and their pride had such a fatal fall.

§ 140. But Philip's advance as Amphictyonic general left them no alternative but recourse to you.

4. ἀλλ' οἶμαι. The connection is, 'Whatever the cause, it was of a nature to cease when Philip ...'

7. τοῦτον πάλιν τὸν αὐτὸν πόλεμον. Viz. an Amphictyonic war, or (if the former one could hardly be called so) a sacred war on behalf of Delphi.

11. ἐξήλθετε refers, apparently, to the march to Eleusis recommended by Demosthenes, De Cor. § 227. But it seems incredible that the Athenians can have been invited to the alliance then, though Demosthenes had knowledge (ibid. 224 sqq.) of an Athenian party at Thebes. Probably his account of these events is much truer than Aeschines'.

εἰσῆγε. 'Were moving into Thebes.' The change of tense from ἐξήλθετε is intentional.

12. πρὶν περὶ συμμαχίας. If this statement is to be believed or reconciled with

Demosthenes', we must take it to mean merely, that Demosthenes had proposed that an alliance should be concluded, and gone himself to negotiate it, but not yet sent back a draught treaty for ratification.

§ 141. Demosthenes' share in the business was confined to three wrongs to you, as if you instead of Thebes had needed aid:

15. τρία τὰ πάντων μέγιστα. Recurring to the topic started but postponed at § 84.

16. πρῶτον μὲν. Not answered till the δεύτερον δὲ of § 145; the first charge is subdivided into two branches, and the second of these into two again. 'He concealed from you that Philip's real object of assault was Thebes, not you (ταῦτα μὲν .. πρεσβείας), and so (I.) persuaded you to give him extravagant powers (πρῶτον μὲν συνέπεισε .. εἰ γίγνεται), which he used (τοῦτο δὲ προλαβὼν) (II.) 1. to surrender Boeotia to Thebes (ἐκδοτον μὲν .. ἀναγκασσοντας), 2. to surrender Athens to Thebes also. The sentence, though somewhat involved, is perfectly symmetrical.

17. τῷ μὲν ὀνόματι πολεμοῦντος ὑμῖν. Since the relief of Byzantium, the war between Philip and Athens may only have existed on paper. The Greeks, moreover,

πολὺ μᾶλλον μισοῦντος Θηβαίους, ὡς αὐτὰ τὰ πράγματα δε-
 δήλωκε, καὶ τί δεῖ τὰ πλείω λέγειν; ταῦτα μὲν τὰ τηλικαῦτα
 τὸ μέγεθος ἀπεκρύψατο, προσποιησάμενος δὲ μέλλειν τὴν συμ-
 μαχίαν γενήσεσθαι οὐ διὰ τοὺς καιροὺς, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὰς αὐτοῦ
 142 πρεσβείας πρῶτον μὲν συνέπεισε τὸν δῆμον μηκέτι βουλευέσθαι, 5
 ἐπὶ τίσι δεῖ ποιεῖσθαι τὴν συμμαχίαν, ἀλλ' ἀγαπᾶν μόνον, εἰ
 γίγνεται, τοῦτο δὲ προλαβὼν ἐκδοτον μὲν τὴν Βοιωτίαν πᾶσαν
 ἐποίησε Θηβαίοις, γράψας ἐν τῷ ψηφίσματι, εἴαν τις ἀφιστῇ-
 ται πόλις ἀπὸ Θηβαίων, βοηθεῖν Ἀθηναίους Βοιωτοῖς τοῖς ἐν
 Θήβαις, τοῖς ὀνόμασι κλέπτων καὶ μεταφέρων τὰ πράγματα, 10
 ὥσπερ εἶωθεν, ὡς τοὺς Βοιωτοὺς ἔργῳ κακῶς πάσχοντας τὴν
 τῶν ὀνομάτων σύνθεσιν τῶν Δημοσθένους ἀγαπήσοντας, ἀλλ'
 143 οὐ μᾶλλον ἐφ' οἷς κακῶς πεπόνθεσαν ἀγανακτήσοντας· δεύτερον
 δὲ τῶν εἰς τὸν πόλεμον ἀναλωμάτων τὰ μὲν δύο μέρη ὑμῖν
 ἀνέθηκεν, οἷς ἦσαν ἀπατέρῳ οἱ κίνδυνοι, τὸ δὲ τρίτον μέρος 15
 Θηβαίοις, δωροδοκῶν ἐφ' ἐκάστοις τούτων, καὶ τὴν ἡγεμονίαν
 τὴν μὲν κατὰ θάλατταν ἐποίησε κοινὴν, τὸ δ' ἀνάλωμα ἴδιον

6. ποιεῖσθαι] ποιήσασθαι *bekl* Bekk.

7. πᾶσαν] Sic *agm* : ceteri et Bekk. *ἅπασαν*.

13. πεπόνθεσαν] *ἐπεπόνθεσαν ekl*, idque legi volt Frankius.

17. μὲν] Post prius τὴν

ponit *g*, post utrumque *bl*.

θάλατταν] θάλασσαν *gmn fcd*.

did not think much of a war that did not involve an invasion: see Thuc. 5. 25, ἐπὶ ἔτη μὲν καὶ δέκα μῆνας ἀπέσχοτο μὴ ἐπὶ τὴν ἑκατέρην γῆν στρατεύσαι .. ἔπειτα μέντοι καὶ ἀναγκασθέντες λύσαι τὰς .. σπονδὰς αὐτοῖς ἐν πόλεμον φανερόν κατέστησαν.

1. ὡς αὐτὰ .. τὰ πλείω λέγειν. Parenthetical, 'as the facts proved, and why need I add more?' τὰ πλείω almost = τὰ λοιπὰ, the long story that could be made to explain the situation.

§ 142. *First, be made Thebes mistress of Boeotia under our guarantee,*

5. συνέπεισε. 'Persuaded the people and carried them with him,' unless the point be, that Demosthenes cannot claim the exclusive credit even of the pernicious measures.

9. Βοιωτοῖς τοῖς ἐν Θήβαις. The Boeotian synod held in Thebes, and thus no doubt under Theban control.

10. τοῖς ὀνόμασι κ.τ.λ. The charge is, that Demosthenes affected to consider Theban supremacy in Boeotia as not a tyranny of one city over the rest, but an equal federation of all. It sounded less invidious to secure the right to prevent secession to the latter than the former; but, says Aeschines, what was called a federation was really an empire: it was absurd to suppose that the subjects of it would be happier for a verbal

recognition of their rights that was the means of perpetuating their wrongs.

11. ὡς τοὺς Βοιωτοὺς κ.τ.λ. In the accusative, as being (in a vague sense) part of Demosthenes' proposition, being in fact part of his thought. Cp. D. de Cor. § 157; below, § 190.

§ 143. *Gave us the greater share of the expense by land with no share in the command, the whole expense by sea with only an equal share in the command,*

14. τὰ μὲν δύο μέρη κ.τ.λ. It is not clear how far this, or indeed any other of the items censured by Aeschines, are articles expressly contained in the treaty, how far Aeschines' own deductions as to the practical effect the treaty would have. Perhaps the charge of unequal division of expense is not distinct from what is repeated in the next clause. Athens was to bear the whole expense by sea and divide the command, to share the expense by land and practically resign the command. The latter may rest on what is said in § 145, that the Athenian generals acted, not independently, but in council of war at Thebes, which would give Thebes a veto on all movements of the allies. Or it may have been specified, that the nation in whose country the battle was fought should have the command: cp. Thuc. 5. 67.

ὑμέτερον, τὴν δὲ κατὰ γῆν, εἰ μὴ δεῖ ληρεῖν, ἄρδην φέρων ἀνέθηκε Θηβαίοις, ὥστε παρὰ τὸν γενόμενον πόλεμον μὴ κύριον γενέσθαι Στρατοκλέα τὸν ἡμέτερον στρατηγὸν βουλευσάσθαι περὶ τῆς τῶν στρατιωτῶν σωτηρίας. καὶ ταῦτ' οὐκ ἐγὼ μὲν 144
 5 κατηγορῶ, ἔτεροι δὲ παραλείπουσιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ γὰρ λέγω καὶ πάν-
 τες ἐπιτιμῶσι καὶ ὑμεῖς σύνιστε καὶ οὐκ ὀργίζεσθε. ἐκείνο γὰρ
 πεπόνθατε πρὸς Δημοσθένην· συνείθισθε ἤδη τὰ δίκηματ' αὐτοῦ
 τούτου ἀκούειν, ὥστε οὐ θαυμάζετε. δεῖ δὲ οὐχ οὕτως, ἀλλ'
 ἀγανακτεῖν καὶ τιμωρεῖσθαι, εἰ χρή τὰ λοιπὰ τῇ πόλει καλῶς
 10 ἔχειν.

Δεύτερον δὲ καὶ πολὺ τούτου μείζον ἀδίκημα ἠδίκησεν, ὅτι 145
 τὸ βουλευτήριον τὸ τῆς πόλεως καὶ τὴν δημοκρατίαν ἄρδην
 ἔλαθεν ὑφελόμενος καὶ μετήνεγκεν εἰς Θήβας εἰς τὴν Καδμείαν,
 τὴν κοινωρίαν τῶν πράξεων τοῖς Βοιωτάρχαις συνθέμενος· καὶ
 15 τηλικαύτην αὐτὸς αὐτῷ δυναστείαν κατεσκεύασεν, ὥστ' ἤδη πα-
 ριῶν ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα πρσβεύσειν μὲν ἔφη ὅπου ἂν αὐτῷ δοκῇ,

7. τὰ τούτου] τούτου *agmp*, αὐτοῦ *ceteri*. Articulum τὰ inseruerunt B. et S. Vid. annot. 16. ὅπου] Sic *agmp* *bel*: ὅπου *scd*, ὅποι *k* et editi plerique.

1. εἰ μὴ δεῖ ληρεῖν. 'If we are to speak practically,' 'to say what is really meant,' 'to call things by their right names.' So D. de Cor. § 367, and so in a noble fragment of Hegesippus: καὶ τις ἀναστὰς εἶπε, 'πόλεμον εἰσάγει, Κροβύλε' καὶ δὲ 'οὐ μόνον γε,' ἔφη, 'πόλεμον, ἀλλὰ καὶ θανάτους ἄνθρωπων, καὶ μέλανα ἰμάτια, καὶ δημοσίας ταφάς, καὶ λόγους ἐπιταφίους, εἰ γε βούλεσθε μὴ ληρεῖν (if you are willing to look facts in the face), ἀλλὰ τοὺς Ἕλληνας ἐλευθερώσαι, καὶ κτήσασθαι πάλιν αὐτὴν πατρίαν ἡγεμονίαν.'

3. Στρατοκλέα. Chares and Lysicles were, by all accounts, the commanders at Chaeronea itself; Stratocles perhaps in the earlier and successful part of the campaign. The change in the command seems an additional reason for the view, that the fighting in Boeotia lasted during part of two seasons. περὶ τῆς τῶν στρατιωτῶν σωτηρίας looks as though Aeschines had no fault to find with the operations in which Stratocles took part, except that his men were sacrificed, i. e. exposed to more than their fair share of loss, in what were actual successes of the allies. It is hardly likely that Stratocles is the same as the orator, whom we hear of later with a very unfavourable character.

§ 144. As is notorious, but too little noticed.

4. καὶ ταῦτ' οὐκ ἐγὼ κ.τ.λ. 'This is not a charge made by me and omitted by others, but a fact mentioned by me and condemned by all.' There is a climax in πάντες

after ἔτεροι, and a designed anti-climax in λέγω after κατηγορῶ.

7. τὰ δίκηματα [τὰ] τούτου. The best MSS. have τούτου, the rest αὐτοῦ; none τὰ, which was inserted by Baiter and Sauppe. They adduce a number of passages from Isaeus to prove that the repetition of the article is required before the genitive of any pronoun not strictly personal; and would, therefore, correct Isaeus 9. 10, οἱ ἀναγκαῖοι [οἱ] ἐκείνου, where the vulgate has the same construction as here, and where, as here, the article was liable to be lost by the homoeoteleuton.

9. εἰ χρή τὰ λοιπὰ τῇ πόλει καλῶς ἔχειν. The occurrence of iambic verses in prose is not uncommon, but they rarely form, as here, clauses complete in sense. Such a line as this would probably be considered a fault by a Greek rhetorician (see Dionys. de Comp. Verb. p. 196), as certainly by Cicero (Orat. c. 56).

§ 145. Secondly, he contrived to subordinate our lawful government to himself sitting at Thebes.

11. ὅτι τὸ βουλευτήριον .. συνθέμενος. He did this by getting it agreed that everything should be done in common with the Boeotarchs, which implied that he was to do it: it was he, not Stratocles, who exercised sovereign functions at the Cadmea: 'he and the Boeotarchs managed everything between them.'

16. ὅπου. So all the best MSS.; some

- 146 **κάν** μὴ ὑμεῖς ἐκπέμπητε, εἰ δέ τις αὐτῷ τῶν στρατηγῶν ἀντεί-
ποι, καταδουλούμενος τοὺς ἄρχοντας καὶ συνεθίζων μηδὲν αὐτῷ
ἀντιλέγειν διαδικασίαν ἔφη γράφειν τῷ βήματι πρὸς τὸ στρα-
τήγιον· πλείω γὰρ ὑμᾶς ἀγαθὰ ὑφ' ἐαυτοῦ ἔφη ἀπὸ τοῦ βή-
ματος πεπονθέναι ἢ ὑπὸ τῶν στρατηγῶν ἐκ τοῦ στρατηγίου. 5
μισθοφορῶν δ' ἐν τῷ ξενικῷ κεναῖς χώραις, καὶ τὰ στρατιωτικὰ
χρήματα κλέπτων, καὶ τοὺς μυρίους ξένους ἐκμισθώσας Ἀμφισ-
σεῦσι πολλὰ διαμαρτυρομένου καὶ σχετλιάζοντος ἐν ταῖς ἐκ-
κλησίαις ἐμοῦ, προσέμιξε φέρων ἀναρπασθέντων τῶν ξένων τὸν
147 κίνδυνον ἀπαρασκεύῃ τῇ πόλει. τί γὰρ ἂν οἴεσθε Φίλιππον ἐν ¹⁰
τοῖς τότε καιροῖς εὐχασθαι; οὐ χωρὶς μὲν πρὸς τὴν πολιτικὴν
δύναμιν, χωρὶς δ' ἐν Ἀμφίσσῃ πρὸς τοὺς ξένους διαγωνίσασθαι,
ἀθύμους δὲ τοὺς Ἑλλήνας λαβεῖν τηλικαύτης πληγῆς προγεγε-
νημένης; καὶ τηλικούτων κακῶν αἴτιος γεγεννημένος Δημοσθένης οὐκ
ἀγαπᾷ, εἰ μὴ δίκην δέδωκεν, ἀλλ' εἰ μὴ καὶ χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ στε- ¹⁵
φανωθήσεται, ἀγανακτεῖ· οὐδ' ἱκανὸν ἐστὶν αὐτῷ ἐναντίον ὑμῶν
κηρύττεσθαι, ἀλλ' εἰ μὴ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐναντίον ἀναρρηθήσεται,

2. αὐτῷ] Ita B. et S., cum e et pr. z ἐαυτῷ habeant, ceteri αὐτῷ.

3. στρατήγιον]

Ita *amnbekl*: ceteri et volgo -γιόν. Mox στρατηγίου iidem praeter mb: † στρατήγιαιον
g †.

6. κεναῖς] *καυαῖς amnbekl*.

9. ἀναρπασθέντων τῶν ξένων] Sic *agm* :

ceteri ἐκ τῶν ἀναρπασθέντων ξένων.

13. προγεγεννημένης] Sic *agm* : ceteri et Bekk.
γεγεννημένης.

have *δοτε*, only one bad one the common reading *δοι*. *δοι* is of course an inaccuracy, but one that is natural in all languages, and common in most: rare in good Greek; but cp. Isaeus 9. 14.

§§ 146, 147. *Whereby he was emboldened to insult our generals, plunder our funds, and divide our forces:*

1. εἰ δέ τις αὐτῷ τῶν στρατηγῶν ἀντεί-
ποι. Demosthenes had (D. de Cor. § 228) carried a resolution that the ambassadors should be free to undertake any mission in concert with the generals: when the generals hesitated to grant their sanction, Demosthenes, it seems, threatened to go without.

3. διαδικασίαν. A suit for precedence; probably Demosthenes had used the word in a context that worked out the metaphor more fully, a suit between the Bema and the Strategion for the possession of (perhaps) the people's gratitude.

6. μισθοφορῶν . . κεναῖς χώραις. Of course in concert with their commander. The use of *χώραις*, exactly as we say 'empty places,' is not common; but the use of ἐν *χώρῳ* or ἐπὶ *χώρῳ*, 'at one's post,' may be reckoned as an approximation to it.

7. ἐκμισθώσας. Perhaps Aeschines feels safe in imputing bad motives for a blunder: perhaps Demosthenes advocated sending the mercenaries as a financial measure. It doubtless was a blunder in a military sense, though without the misconduct (Dinarchus seems to impute treachery, c. Demosth. p. 99, § 74) of their commander it might not have been a fatal one; and Demosthenes may be held to repudiate responsibility for it in such passages as De Cor. § 305. Probably the mercenary commander was really beyond the control of the government: possibly political considerations might justify the rash strategy.

8. διαμαρτυρομένου. Exactly 'protesting' in the etymological sense: *σχετλιάζοντος*, 'insisting how hard it was,' almost 'grumbling,' only without vulgar association.

13. τοὺς Ἑλλήνας. Almost 'the confederacy.' The loss of the *ξένοι* was a common loss, and a greater blow than if one state had suffered to the same extent in its *πολιτικὴ δύναμις*. Note the vagueness of the words *τηλικαύτης πληγῆς*: Aeschines habitually prefers to allude to the national misfortunes caused by Demosthenes, rather than to specify them.

τοῦτ' ἤδη ἀγανακτεῖ. οὕτως, ὡς ἔοικε, πονηρὰ φύσις μεγάλης ἐξουσίας ἐπιλαβομένη δημοσίας ἀπεργάζεται συμφοράς.

Τρίτον δὲ καὶ τῶν προειρημένων μέγιστόν ἐστιν ὃ μέλλω ¹⁴⁸ λέγειν. Φιλίππου γὰρ οὐ καταφρονούντος τῶν Ἑλλήνων, οὐδ' ⁵ ἀγνοούντος, οὐ γὰρ ἦν ἀσύνετος, ὅτι περὶ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων ἀγαθῶν ἐν ἡμέρας μικρῷ μέρει διαγωνιέται, καὶ διὰ ταῦτα βουλομένου ποιήσασθαι τὴν εἰρήνην καὶ πρεσβείας ἀποστέλλειν μέλλοντος, καὶ τῶν ἀρχόντων τῶν ἐν Θήβαις φοβουμένων τὸν ἐπιόντα κίνδυνον, εἰκότως· οὐ γὰρ ῥήτωρ ἀστράτευτος καὶ λιπὼν ¹⁰ τὴν τάξιν αὐτοὺς ἐνουθέτησεν, ἀλλ' ὁ Φωκικὸς πόλεμος δεκαετὸς γεγενοὺς ἀείμνηστον παιδείαν αὐτοὺς ἐπαίδευσεν, τούτων δὲ ¹⁴⁹ ἐχόντων οὕτως αἰσθόμενος Δημοσθένης, καὶ τοὺς Βοιωτάρχας

1. ἤδη] Sic *bekl* Bekk.: ceteri et B. et S. om. in *g* scriptum fuit; nos autem ejus lectionem perspicere non potuimus †.

4. Ἑλλήνων] † Aliter, ut visum est, 6. μικρῷ] Ita *bk* et edd. recentiores: ceteri et Bekk. *συμφορῶ*. 7. τὴν] In *g* crasum est.

1. τοῦτ' ἤδη ἀγανακτεῖ. 'Goes so far as to complain of *that*.' ἤδη is omitted by the best MSS., and by B. and S. and later editors. But it seems hardly like a gloss; Aeschines would hardly have written the two successive and parallel clauses ending ἀγανακτεῖ and τοῦτ' ἀγανακτεῖ without something to emphasise the climax; and if he had done so, an editor who wanted to improve him would more readily have written καὶ τοῦτ' than τοῦτ' ἤδη. At the same time one may remember, that τοῦτ' may have been so *delivered* as to emphasise the climax sufficiently by the voice alone.

οὕτως. Almost = ἐπὶ τούτοις, 'this is the price we have to pay an evil nature in high authority to work out the ruin of the state.'

§ 148. And thirdly, be violently repulsed Philip's offers of negotiation,

3. τῶν προειρημένων μέγιστον may be explained as the ordinary Greek idiom, 'the goodliest of men since born;' but as that idiom is generally where the superlative is of a large and indefinite class, and would here have to mean only 'greater than the two I have named,' it seems easier to make προειρημένων refer back to § 141, 'greatest of the three that I named,' treating this as named already, having been included in the number given.

6. ἐν ἡμέρας μικρῷ μέρει. To be taken quite literally. A pitched battle between two Greek armies must have been, as a rule, decided in a very few minutes from its actual commencement, though manœuvring and skirmishing might go on for any time before the serious fighting began.

7. τὴν εἰρήνην. 'Wishing to make *the* peace' he spoke of; being sincere in his offers.

9. οὐ γὰρ ῥήτωρ κ.τ.λ. Giving the reason for εἰκότως, not for φοβούμενοι: 'they had a better teacher than the cowardice of Demosthenes.' He, having never seen service, but deserted when he ought to have, did not know what war was like; but they did. ἀστράτευτος is the exact reverse of the French *aguerri*, and, so far as we know, would strictly apply to Demosthenes. λιπὼν τὴν τάξιν is a stock charge against him, founded on his return to Athens for the Dionysia, from the expedition to Euboea, where he seems to have been acting strictly within his duty as Chorus. The phrase is an unvarying one: λιπὼν τὰς τάξεις, the reading of a few MSS., is clearly wrong.

10. δεκαετής. The proper accentuation of this word is disputed. Ammonius says that the compounds of ἔτος are oxytone when marking age, and barytone when duration; but this distinction is not supported by MSS. Götting makes all adjectives oxytone, and the neuters used adverbially barytone. But there seems not sufficient certainty to justify a change of the ordinary writing where, as here, the MSS. conform to it.

§ 149. For fear the Boeotarchs might get more bribes than he;

12. οὕτως. Viz. with a mutual disposition to treat, Philip feeling the risk of attempting too much, and the Thebans fearing war after their experience of it. τοῦτων ἐχόντων is probably rather a gen. abs. than dependent on αἰσθόμενος.

ὑποπτεύσας μέλλειν εἰρήνην ἰδίᾳ ποιῆσθαι χρυσίον ἄνευ αὐτοῦ
 παρὰ Φιλίππου λαβόντας, ἀβίωτον ἡγησάμενος εἶναι, εἴ τινος
 ἀπολειφθῆσεται δωροδοκίας, ἀναπηδήσας ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ, οὐδε-
 νὸς ἀνθρώπων λέγοντος οὐθ' ὥς δεῖ ποιῆσθαι πρὸς Φίλιππον
 εἰρήνην οὐθ' ὥς οὐ δεῖ, ἀλλ' ὥς ἔφeto, τοῦτο κήρυγμά τι τοῖς 5
 Βοιωτάρχαις προκηρύττων ἀναφέρειν αὐτῷ τὰ μέρη τῶν λημ-
 150 μάτων, διώμνυτο τὴν Ἀθηναίαν, ἣν, ὥς ἔοικε, Φειδίας ἐνεργολα-
 βεῖν εἰργάσατο καὶ ἐνεπιορκεῖν Δημοσθένει, ἥ μὴν, εἴ τις ἐρεῖ,
 ὥς χρὴ πρὸς Φίλιππον εἰρήνην ποιήσασθαι, ἀπάξειν εἰς τὸ
 δεσποτῆριον ἐπιλαβόμενος τῶν τριχῶν, ἀπομιμούμενος τὴν Κλεο- 10
 φῶντος πολιτείαν, ὃς ἐπὶ τοῦ πρὸς Λακεδαιμονίους πολέμου, ὥς
 λέγεται, τὴν πόλιν ἀπώλεσεν. ὥς δ' οὐ προσείχον αὐτῷ οἱ
 ἄρχοντες οἱ ἐν ταῖς Θήβαις, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς στρατιώτας τοὺς
 ὑμετέρους πάλιν ἀνέστρεψαν ἐξεληλυθότας, ἵνα βουλευσῇσθε
 151 περὶ τῆς εἰρήνης, ἐνταῦθα παντάπασιν ἔκφρων ἐγένετο, καὶ 15

5. τοῦτο κήρυγμά τι] κήρυγμά τι τοῦτο z: atque id sane facilius et elegantius.
 6. αὐτῷ] Ita B. et S.: αὐτῷ ἀγνοῖδ. Legebatur ἰαντῷ. 14. βουλευσῇσθε] 'Fort.
 βουλευσάσθε' Spp. 15. ἐνταῦθα] ἐνταῦθ' ἤδη bekl Bekk.

1. Ἰδίᾳ. It is extraordinary that Aeschines should not see, that whatever Demosthenes' faults, he was right in preventing Thebes from making a separate peace, which would have enabled Philip to enter Attica at once. The statement at the end of the next section, τοὺς στρατιώτας . . ἀνέστρεψαν, would go far to justify, not only his policy, but his violence of language in enforcing it.

2. ἀβίωτον ἡγησάμενος. Cp. above, § 81, ὑπὲρ τῆς δωροδοκίας (ζηλοτυπίας).

3. ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ. Apparently at Thebes, not at Athens.

οὐδενὸς ἀνθρώπου κ.τ.λ. 'Not that any one was speaking on the question of peace, but to give notice, as he thought, in this way to the Boeotarchs to hand him over his share of the spoils.' He *thought* that they would know him well enough to guess what he wanted; but in fact they took no notice of him at first, and then, when his violence forced them, took him at his word and dropped the negotiations. Accepting Aeschines' statement of facts, his imputation of motives is extraordinary: this is unquestionably the weakest part of his case.

§ 150. And so, like Cleophon, led us on to our ruin,

7. ἣν, ὥς ἔοικε κ.τ.λ. 'Whom, as it seems, Phidias made for Demosthenes to traffic in perjury thereby.' τὴν Ἀθηναίαν, by force of the article, will be Ἀθηναῖα πολιοῦ-

χος, Athena as tutelary goddess of Athens, and so identified with her statue in the Acropolis.

11. ὥς λέγεται. Aeschines' views of history were sufficiently vague (De F. L. §§ 183 sqq., p. 51), but he speaks more confidently of the story of Cleophon in the same speech, § 80. It seems not clear whether he repeated the same policy after Arginusae as after Cyzicus: but the parallel seems to imply that Aeschines admits the successes of the allies in the first campaign.

12. ὥς δ' οὐ προσείχον κ.τ.λ. 'As the Boeotarchs, instead of taking him into their counsels, and sharing their profits with him, actually went on negotiating, and sent your troops home, to decide whether you would negotiate too.' For the Athenian troops in Boeotia were citizens, and therefore the people could hardly hold an assembly to make peace in their absence; while, on the other hand, when they were at home all chance of a favourable peace was lost, as Demosthenes saw. He might, in concert with the Boeotarchs, have negotiated a peace on behalf of the whole confederacy; but if the allies negotiated separately, they lost the benefit of the alliance in case the negotiations failed, and gave Philip a strong temptation to break them off.

§ 151. Violently denouncing the Boeotarchs for treating, and forcing them to continue the war.

παρελθὼν ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα προδότας τῶν Ἑλλήνων τοὺς Βοιω-
τάρχας ἀπεκάλεσε, καὶ γράψαι ἐφη ψήφισμα ὁ τοῖς πολεμίοις
οὐδέποτε ἀντιβλέψας πέμπειν ὑμᾶς πρέσβεις εἰς Θήβας αἰτή-
15 σοντας Θηβαίους δίδον ἐπὶ Φίλιππον. ὑπεραισχυθέντες δὲ
τῶν Ἑλλήνων, ἀπὸ μὲν τῆς εἰρήνης ἀπετρέποντο, ἐπὶ δὲ τὴν
παράταξιν ὥρμησαν.

Εὐθα δὴ καὶ τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀνδρῶν ἄξιόν ἐστιν ἐπιμνησθῆναι, 152
οὓς οὗτος ἀθύτων καὶ ἀκαλλιερῶν ὄντων τῶν ἱερῶν ἐκπέμψας
10 ἐπὶ τὸν πρόδηλον κίνδυνον ἐτόλμησε τοῖς δραπέταις ποσὶ καὶ
λελοιπόσι τὴν τάξιν ἀναβὰς ἐπὶ τὸν τάφον τῶν τετελευτηκό-
των ἐγκωμιάζειν τὴν ἐκείνων ἀρετὴν. Ὡς πρὸς μὲν τὰ μεγάλα
καὶ σπουδαῖα πάντων ἀνθρώπων ἀχρηστότατε, πρὸς δὲ τὴν ἐν
τοῖς λόγοις τόλμαν θαυμασιώτατε, ἐπιχειρήσειν ἐθελήσεις αὐ-
15 τικά μάλα, βλέπων εἰς τὰ τούτων πρόσωπα, λέγειν, ὥς δεῖ
σε ἐπὶ ταῖς τῆς πόλεως συμφοραῖς στεφανοῦσθαι; ἐὰν δ' οὗτος
λέγῃ, ὑμεῖς ὑπομενεῖτε, καὶ συναποθανεῖται τοῖς τελευτήσασιν,
ὥς ἔοικε, καὶ ἡ ὑμετέρα μνήμη; γένεσθε δὴ μοι μικρὸν χρόνον 153

5. ἀρχοντες] Om. z. 6. ἀπετρέποντο] ἀπετρέποντο *behl* Bekk. 8. τῶν ἀγα-
θῶν ἀνδρῶν] τῶν ἀνδρῶν τῶν ἀγαθῶν *behl* Bekk. 11. τῶν τετελευτηκότων] Habet &
τὸν τῶν τετελευτησάντων, τετελευτησάντων *etiam* *bel*. Et articulum et aoristum servabat Bekk.
13. σπουδαῖα πάντων ἀνθρώπων] σπουδαῖα τῶν πραγμάτων ἀπάντων *scd* σπουδαῖα τῶν
ἀνθρώπων *amh*, μεγάλα τῶν ἔργων ἀπάντων *vel* ἔργων τὰ μεγάλα ἀπάντων Alexander,
Zonaras, etc. 'Fort. μεγάλα καὶ σπουδαῖα τῶν ἔργων ἀπάντων' Spp. 14. ἐπιχειρή-
σειν ἐθελήσεις] Ita libri plerique, ἐπιχειρήσειν ἐθέλεις *bel*, ἐπιχειρήσεις Reisk. B. et S. Vid.
annot.

2. ὁ τοῖς πολεμίοις οὐδέποτε ἀντιβλέ-
ψας. Our phrase 'to look a man in the
face' covers the distinct meanings of two
Greek ones: ἀντιβλέπειν with dat. (as here),
with *eis* or *πρὸς* and accus., or, in late writers,
with accus. only, is 'to look in the face with
proper courage'; βλέπειν *eis* τὸ πρόσωπον
with a gen. is 'to look in the face without
shame', i. e. often (according to the context)
with exceptional impudence; as in the next
section.

5. ὥς ἀληθῶς. Perhaps Aeschines means
to admit, that Greece would have been really
ruined if Athens had fought alone: perhaps
he wishes only to emphasise δόξασιν, 'lest
it should be thought Demosthenes' charge
was true.' But the last sense would probably
have been expressed by ἀληθῶς simply, not
by ὥς ἀληθῶς.

§ 152. Then, when he had led our brave
men to their death, he had the impudence to
pronounce their funeral oration; and now to
ask for a crown for killing them.

9. ἀθύτων .. κίνδυνον. Repeated almost
verbatim from § 131. It is strange that
glossematum venatores like Baier and Sauppe
have not suspected that some words, in one
or other place, have stolen in from the
parallel passage.

10. δραπέταις. That had fled at Chae-
ronea, as well as deserted before danger ap-
proached in Euboea.

14. ἐπιχειρήσειν ἐθελήσεις. So, prac-
tically, all the MSS. As some grammarians
insisted that the future infin. ought not to
be used with verbs of volition, B. and S. fol-
lowing Reiske read ἐπιχειρήσεις. But the
periphrasis, though hard to justify logically,
is natural: one might compare it with the
passive form *coertus* used with passive verbs;
and the objections to it are probably grounded
on logic, not on usage.

αὐτῶν μάλα seems to ignore the long
interval between the facts and the trial.

§ 153. Imagine the scene in the theatre
when the crown should be given.

- τὴν διάνοιαν μὴ ἐν τῷ δικαστηρίῳ, ἀλλ' ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ, καὶ νομίσαθ' ὅρᾶν προϊόντα τὸν κήρυκα καὶ τὴν ἐκ τοῦ ψηφίσματος ἀνάρρησιν μέλλουσαν γίνεσθαι, καὶ λογίσασθε, πό-
 154 ταῦτ' ἐπεισιούσιν ἢ ἐπὶ τῇ τῆς πόλεως ἀγνωμοσύνῃ. τίς γὰρ οὐκ ἂν ἀλγήσειεν ἄνθρωπος Ἑλλήνῃ ἢ καὶ παιδευθεῖς ἐλευθέρως, ἀναμνησθεῖς ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ ἐκεῖνό γε, εἰ μὴδὲν ἕτερον, ὅτι ταύτῃ ποτὲ τῇ ἡμέρᾳ μελλόντων ὥσπερ νυνὶ τῶν τραγωδῶν γίνεσθαι, ὅτ' εὐνομεῖτο μᾶλλον ἢ πόλις καὶ βελτίοσι προστάταις ἐχρήτο, 10 προελθὼν ὁ κῆρυξ καὶ παραστησάμενος τοὺς ὀρφανούς, ὧν οἱ πατέρες ἦσαν ἐν τῷ πολέμῳ τετελευτηκότες, νεανίσκους πανοπλία κεκοσμημένους, ἐκήρυττε τὸ κάλλιστον κήρυγμα καὶ προ-
 155 καλεῖ εἰς προεδρίαν. τότε μὲν ταῦτ' ἐκήρυττεν, ἀλλ' οὐ νῦν, ἀλλὰ παραστησάμενος τὸν τῆς ὀρφανίας τοῖς παισὶν αἴτιον τί ποτ' ἀνερεῖ ἢ τί φθέγγεται; καὶ γὰρ ἐὰν αὐτὰ διεξῇ τὰ ἐκ 20

1. τὴν διάνοιαν] 'Malim τῇ διανοίᾳ' Bait. : idque habet Reiski marg. Bern., et Frankius, ut videtur, Baitero assentit. Vide vero annot. 7. ἢ καὶ] Sic *agmised* : ceteri et volg. καὶ. Mox ἐλευθέρως mavolt Cobet.

8. ταύτῃ τῇ ἡμέρᾳ] 'Scribe ἐν ταύτῃ' Frank. 9. γίνεσθαι] γένεσθαι *agm.*, 'sed vid. Frank. ad I (Timarch) § 117' B. et S. 11. προ-
 10. ελθὼν] Sic *k.* παρελθὼν *bel.* ceteri προσελθὼν.

14. πρὸς ἀρετὴν] εἰς ἀρετὴν *gm.* 20. ἀνερεῖ] Sic corr. *n* et post Bekkerum editi. Legebatur ἀν ἐρεῖ. ἢ τί φθέγγεται] Delet Cobet. ἐάν] Sic *as* et post Bekk. editi. Ceteri et Ald. ἀν.

1. τὴν διάνοιαν. Cp. Tim. § 179, p. 25. *ἐπειδὴν . . τὰς ψυχὰς ἐφ' ἐτέρῳ γένησθε*, an exactly parallel construction. Baiter, and even Franke, would like to correct the accusatives in both passages to datives, like τῇ διανοίᾳ or ταῖς διανοίαις in §§ 157, 187 inf. But the construction is not exactly the same: 'be in such a place *mentally*' is one thing, 'go to such a place' or 'look at such an object *with* your mind' is another. The instrumental case is proper in the latter sense, not in the former.

§ 154. Contrast the old custom, of bringing to the theatre the orphans of your brave citizens in full arms,

7. ἢ καὶ. So the best MSS.; but ἢ has been omitted by previous editors. It seems to heighten the sense a little, 'any man who had the generous nature of a Greek, or even the generous education of a freeman.' Can the point be intended, 'A Greek would be ashamed, and Demosthenes, Scythian as he is, ought to be'?

10. ὅτ' εὐνομεῖτο μᾶλλον ἢ πόλις. Probably before the disastrous close of the Peloponnesian war: at all events before the general employment of mercenaries made the deaths of citizens in battle rarer.

11. προσελθὼν must be right, though found only in one bad MS. Its congeners read παρελθὼν, the good ones keep traces of the right reading in προσελθὼν.

§ 155. *With Ctesiphon's decree, bringing at the same time and place their murderer to be crowned.*

19. τί ποτ' ἀνερεῖ. An apparently necessary correction (supported by one MS.) for the vulgar ἀν ἐρεῖ. There seems no proof that τί ποτ' ἀν had ever so much run into one word that the common construction of ἀν was lost. ἀνερεῖ and φθέγγεται are not quite synonymous: 'What will his proclamation be, or for what words will he find voice? Even if he succeeds in reciting the mere words prescribed by the decree, that will not hide the difficulty.'

τοῦ ψηφίσματος προστάγματα, ἀλλ' οὐ τό γ' ἐκ τῆς ἀληθείας αἰσχρὸν σιωπηθήσεται, ἀλλὰ τὰναντία δόξει τῇ τοῦ κήρυκος φωνῇ φθέγγεσθαι, ὅτι τόνδε τὸν ἄνδρα, εἰ δὴ καὶ οὗτος ἄνθρωπος, στεφανοὶ ὁ δῆμος τῶν Ἀθηναίων ἀρετῆς ἕνεκα τὸν κάκι-
 5 στον καὶ ἀνδραγαθίας ἕνεκα τὸν ἀνάνδρον καὶ λελοιπότα τὴν τάξιν. μὴ πρὸς τοῦ Διὸς καὶ τῶν ἄλλων θεῶν, ἱκετεύω ὑμᾶς, 156
 ὃ Ἀθηναῖοι, μὴ τρόπαιον ἵστατε ἀφ' ὑμῶν αὐτῶν ἐν τῇ τοῦ Διονύσου ὀρχήστρᾳ, μηδ' αἰρεῖτε παρανομίας ἐναντίον τῶν Ἑλλήνων τὸν δῆμον τῶν Ἀθηναίων, μηδ' ὑπομιμνήσκετε τῶν ἀνιά-
 10 των καὶ ἀνγκέστων κακῶν τοὺς ταλαιπώρους Θηβαίους, οὓς φυγόντας διὰ τοῦτον ὑποδέδεχθε τῇ πόλει, ὧν ἱερὰ καὶ τέκνα καὶ τάφους ἀπώλεσεν ἡ Δημοσθένους δωροδοκία καὶ τὸ βασιλικὸν χρυσίον· ἀλλ' ἐπειδὴ τοῖς σώμασιν οὐ παρεγένεσθε, ἀλλὰ ταῖς 157
 15 ὁρᾷν ἀλσικομένην τὴν πόλιν, τειχῶν κατασκαφὰς, ἐμπρήσεις οἰκῶν, ἀγομένας γυναῖκας καὶ παῖδας εἰς δουλείαν, πρεσβύτας ἀνθρώπους, πρεσβύτιδας γυναῖκας, ὅψ' ἐ μεταμανθάνοντας τὴν

4. τῶν Ἀθηναίων] Sic *agmhr* Ald.: *δ fcd* Bekk.: artic. om. *bekl*. 5. Prius *καὶ*] Om. *bekl* Bekk. 6. τῶν ἄλλων] Om. *bekl*. Mox *μὴ* om. *bekl* Cobet. 7. ἀφ'] *καθ'* superscr. in *gmb*. 'Neutro opus est' Bait.: vid. annot. 10. φυγόντας] 'Imo *φεύγοντας*' Frank., collato § 129: sed hic nihil variant *codd.*: neque sensus est 'in exilio degentes' sed 'in exilium actos.'

1. οὐ τό γ' ἐκ τῆς ἀληθείας κ.τ.λ. 'The disgrace that truth establishes against him will not be unheard, but will seem to utter a voice contradicting his,' by a running commentary such as he goes on to give; *ἄνδρα*, *ἀρετῆς*, *ἀνδραγαθίας* are all the exact reverse of the truth, and to hear them said of Demosthenes is only to obtrude the truth upon the audience. *σιωπηθήσεται* does not balance *δόξει* *φθέγγεσθαι* perfectly, and some MSS., and editors before Bekker, read *σιωπήσεται*, 'will not be silent, but seem to speak.' Perhaps the true subject to *δόξει* *φθέγγεσθαι* is not *τὸ αἰσχρὸν* but *ἡ ἀλήθεια*, so that *ἐκ τῆς ἀληθείας* *σιωπηθήσεται* is almost—ὡς τῆς ἀληθείας, only with the personification less marked.

3. εἰ δὴ καὶ οὗτος ἄνθρωπος. Cp. Ae. in Tim. § 131, p. 18. The point must be different from the *ἀνάνδρον καὶ λελοιπότα τὴν τάξιν* that follows.

§ 156. *Do not be mad enough, thus to disgrace yourselves and insult the Thebans.*

7. ἀφ' ὑμῶν αὐτῶν. 'Do not set up a trophy won from yourselves.' The ordinary construction, like *triumphare de* in Latin: commoner than the more logical *κατὰ* which some MSS. would introduce here, or the simple genitive which Baiter inclines to.

8. μηδ' αἰρεῖτε παρανομίας κ.τ.λ. 'Do not convict the people of Athens of insanity in the eyes of the Greeks'—perhaps one should say 'of decrepitude,' as the *γραφὴ παρανομίας* was ordinarily against a father in his dotage. As *αἰρεῖν* strictly applies to the prosecutor only, the point of *ἐναντίον τῶν Ἑλλήνων* is not that they are unnaturally exposing their country's shame, but that the Greeks are a court, which the acquittal of Ctesiphon would compel to pronounce Athens mad. Or else we must assume a little confusion in the metaphor.

12. ἡ Δημοσθένους δωροδοκία καὶ τὸ βασιλικὸν χρυσίον. The charge here is, that Demosthenes ruined Thebes by his general venality, while the king sent money to stir up strife in Greece. Afterwards, in § 241, there is a more definite charge not very consistent with this, that Demosthenes received money from the king with which to support the Theban revolt, and did not do it.

§ 157. *Imagine the misery of their enslavement, their indignation against the cause of it.*

17. μεταμανθάνοντας τὴν ἐλευθερίαν. 'Learning to forget their free estate,' a rather uncommon usage of the word, as the object

- ἐλευθερίαν, κλαίοντας, ἰκετεύοντας ὑμᾶς, ὀργιζομένους οὐ τοῖς τιμωρουμένοις, ἀλλὰ τοῖς τούτων αἰτίοις, ἐπισκῆπτοντας μηδενὶ τρόπῳ τὸν τῆς Ἑλλάδος ἀλιτῆριον στεφανοῦν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸν δαίμονα καὶ τὴν τύχην τὴν συμπαρακολουθοῦσαν τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ
 158 φυλάσσειν. οὔτε πόλις γὰρ οὔτ' ἰδιώτης ἀνὴρ οὐδεὶς πώποτε 5 καλῶς ἀπήλλαξε Δημοσθένει συμβούλῳ χρησάμενος. ὑμεῖς δ', ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, οὐκ αἰσχύνεσθε, εἰ ἐπὶ μὲν τοὺς πορθμέας τοὺς εἰς Σαλαμίνα πορθμύοντας νόμον ἔθεσθε, εἰάν τις αὐτῶν ἄκων ἐν τῷ πόρῳ πλοῖον ἀνατρέψῃ, τούτῳ μὴ ἐξεῖναι πάλιν πορθμεὶ γενέσθαι, ἵνα μηδεὶς αὐτοσχεδιάξῃ εἰς τὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων 10 σώματα, τὸν δὲ τὴν Ἑλλάδα καὶ τὴν πόλιν ἄρδην ἀνατετροφύτα, τοῦτον εἴσατε πάλιν ἐπευθύνειν τὰ κοινά;
- 159—Ἴνα δ' εἶπω καὶ περὶ τοῦ τετάρτου καιροῦ καὶ τῶν νυνὶ καθεστηκότων πραγμάτων, ἐκεῖνο ὑμᾶς ὑπομῆσαι βούλομαι, ὅτι Δημοσθένης οὐ τὴν ἀπὸ στρατοπέδου μόνον τάξιν ἔλιπεν, ἀλλὰ 15 καὶ τὴν ἐκ τῆς πόλεως, τριήρη προσλαβὼν ὑμῶν, καὶ τοὺς Ἑλλήνας ἡργυρολόγησε. καταγαγούσης δ' αὐτὸν εἰς τὴν πόλιν

5. πόλις γὰρ] γὰρ πόλις *bekl* Bekk., et mox ἀνὴρ ἰδιώτης *iidem*.

φύτα] ἀνατετροφύτα *gfdek* Bekk.

11. ἀνατετροφύτα] ἀπευθύνειν *del pr. b* Bekk.

16. Post πόλεως Reiskio videbatur aliquid deesse: Bakiusque c § 254 de τὸν ἀπὸ τῆς πόλεως supplevit.

is generally (if expressed) a neutral one, applicable to both the old and new knowledge, as *ἔμνηον* in Aesch. Ag. 709, γλῶσσαν in Hdt. I. 57. The nearest approach to this is perhaps Plat. Rep. p. 413 A, ἐκουλίας μὲν ἢ ψευδῆ [δόξα ἐξίεται ἐκ διανοίας] τοῦ μεταμεινάνοντος.

4. τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ. 'The wretch,' with a mixture of pity and horror: cp. sup. ad § 107. It is doubtful whether the καὶ goes more closely with τὸν δαίμονα or with φυλάσσειν: it depends on which of these sentiments predominates. Either 'do not crown him, for he is a villain: even avoid having anything to do with him, for a curse is on him,' or 'do not crown him, for he is a villain: avoid having anything to do with him, for he is unlucky also.' For τὸν δαίμονα καὶ τὴν τύχην, cp. above, § 115.

§ 158. *One accident disqualifies a boatman: does not Demosthenes' whole career disqualify him for steering the state?*

7. ἐπὶ τοὺς πορθμέας. Almost 'against the ferry-men,' because the law, though not intended to injure them, injured those it affected. As is not wonderful, this is the only passage where the law is mentioned.

10. αὐτοσχεδιάξῃ *els*. The construction is not a common one, though the sense of the verb is exactly the same as usual. 'Make experiments upon' is perhaps the nearest

English idiom, though not exactly equivalent to the Greek.

12. ἐπευθύνειν. With more or less notion of *setting right* after the upset: so still more if ἀπευθύνειν were read.

§ 159. *In the fourth period, he first bid himself and got money away from Athens, then stayed at home in obscurity:*

15. τὴν ἀπὸ στρατοπέδου .. τὴν ἐκ τῆς πόλεως. 'Deserted not only from the army, but from his country.' The common explanation of the position of the article before these prepositions, 'left his post in the army by going from it,' seems hardly satisfactory. If the phrase occurred with ἐκ only, one might explain it 'on the side of,' the sense of motion, if any, being supplied by the verb. Here it might be enough to say, that τὴν τάξιν *λεπεῖν* is almost one verb, 'to desert.'

16. τριήρη προσλαβὼν ὑμῶν. 'Not only did he go off, but he took a trireme of yours into the bargain.' A very ingenious turn to give to the fact that he went with a public commission.

17. καταγαγούσης κ.τ.λ. When the treaty was concluded, not only was Demosthenes comparatively safe at Athens, but it was unnecessary to levy contributions from the few remaining dependencies.

τῆς ἀπροσδοκήτου σωτηρίας τοὺς μὲν πρώτους χρόνους ὑπό-
τρομος ἦν ἄνθρωπος, καὶ παριὼν ἡμιθνής ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα εἰρηνο-
φύλακα ὑμᾶς αὐτὸν ἐκέλευε χειροτονεῖν· ὑμεῖς δὲ κατὰ μὲν τοὺς
πρώτους χρόνους οὐδ' ἐπὶ τὰ ψηφίσματα εἰάτε τὸ Δημοσθέ-
5 νους ἐπιγράφειν ὄνομα, ἀλλὰ Ναυσικλεί τοῦτο προσετάττετε·
νυνὶ δ' ἤδη καὶ στεφανοῦσθαι ἀξιοί. ἐπειδὴ δ' ἐτελεύτησε Φί- 160
λιππος, Ἀλέξανδρος δ' εἰς τὴν ἀρχὴν κατέστη, πάλιν αὖ τερα-
τευόμενος ἱερά μὲν ἰδρύσατο Πausανίου, εἰς αἰτίαν δὲ εὐαγγε-
λίων θυσίας τὴν βουλὴν κατέστησεν, ἐπωνυμίαν δ' Ἀλεξάνδρῳ
10 Μαργίτην ἐτίθετο, ἀπετόλμα δὲ λέγειν, ὥς οὐ κινήσεται ἐκ
Μακεδονίας· ἀγαπᾶν γὰρ αὐτὸν ἔφη ἐν Πέλλῃ περιπατοῦντα
καὶ τὰ σπλάγχχνα φυλάττοντα. καὶ ταῦτα λέγειν ἔφη οὐκ
εἰκάζων, ἀλλ' ἀκριβῶς εἰδὼς, ὅτι αἵματός ἐστιν ἡ ἀρετὴ ὠνία,
αὐτὸς οὐκ ἔχων αἷμα καὶ θεωρῶν τὸν Ἀλέξανδρον οὐκ ἐκ τῆς
15 Ἀλεξάνδρου φύσεως, ἀλλ' ἐκ τῆς ἑαυτοῦ ἀνανδρίας, ἥδη δ' 161

2. ἄνθρωπος] Libri ἄνθρωπος, δ ἄνθρωπος Markl. Aut hoc aut nostrum (quod habent Dind. Frank.) recipi volunt B. et S. Minus tamen certa videtur correctio.

3. αὐτὸν ἐκέλευε] αὐτὸν ἐκέλευε libri plerique, ἐκέλευε ε, ἐκέλευεν αὐτὸν cdl et volg. ante Bekk. ἐκέ-
λευεν αὐτὸν Bekk.

6. Post ἐτελεύτησε μὲν add bek. Bekk.: idque probat Frank.
8. Post εὐαγγελίων καὶ add agmn. 9. 8] Om. agmifed. 10. ἐτίθετο] ἔθετο Harpocr. Suid.

12. ταῦτα] Sic agmn.: ceteri ταυτί.

4. οὐδ' ἐπὶ τὰ ψηφίσματα κ.τ.λ. According to Plutarch, the arrangement was due to the shame or timidity of Demosthenes himself; a much more probable view. Aeschines, in attributing it to the indignation of Athens, seems to have in his mind something like Ae. in Tim. §§ 180, 181, p. 25.

5. 160. When Philip was dead, he ridiculed Alexander as a timid school-boy.

7. πάλιν αὖ τερατευόμενος. 'He turned round, and went in again for the prodigious.' It may mean that his conduct was prodigious, but far more probably refers to the story in §§ 77, 78.

8. ἱερά μὲν ἰδρύσατο Πausανίου. Consisting of an altar with a crowned statue, like those of the native tyrannicides.

εἰς αἰτίαν δὲ κ.τ.λ. 'Made the senate responsible' to Alexander 'for a sacrifice for glad tidings.'

10. Μαργίτην. Who πόλλ' ἠπίστατο ἔργα, καὶ οὕτως ἠπίστατο πάντα. There is a sneer at Alexander as a carefully educated and universally accomplished school-boy; perhaps also especially at his desire to emulate Achilles. 'There is another hero of Homer's,' says Demosthenes, 'whom he resembles more.'

11. περιπατοῦντα continues the same sneer, whether the precise allusion be to the pupil of Aristotle or to the steady young man

who took regular exercise. From Plut. Vit. Alex. 7. 2, the former seems likely; but the two may be combined, as the object of Aristotle's open-air discourses was no doubt in part sanitary. Cp. Plat. Phaedr. p. 227 A.

12. τὰ σπλάγχχνα φυλάττοντα. Another sneer of Demosthenes at the piety of the Macedonian princes, which their partisans boasted of. As regular attendance on sacrifices was really a characteristic habit of Alexander's, and it harmonises with the preceding ridicule, it seems better to take the words thus, not as 'keeping a whole skin,' as Bremi suggests on the analogy of a popular proverb in Italy and a vulgar one in Germany. Besides, after Chaeroneia it would have been hard to say that Alexander was a coward, though it might not be known that he was a hero.

14. οὐκ ἔχων αἷμα. Demosthenes was a water drinker (D. de F. L. § 51, p. 355, etc.), and not a man of robust health, so had a double right to paleness. Cp. Eth. Nic. 3. 8; 4. 9. 2, for the contemporary Greek opinion as to the connection of courage and bodily temperament.

§ 166. But was afraid to go near him at Thebes; and rewarded your misplaced generosity

15. ἥδη ἐψηφισμένων Θεσσαλῶν. The Athenians were uncertain whether the expe-

ἐψηφισμένων Θετταλῶν ἐπιστρατεύειν ἐπὶ τὴν ὑμετέραν πόλιν, καὶ τοῦ νεανίσκου τὸ πρῶτον παροξυνθέντος εἰκότως, ἐπειδὴ περὶ Θήβας ἦν τὸ στρατόπεδον, πρεσβευτὴς ὑφ' ὑμῶν χειροτονηθεὶς, ἀποδράς ἐκ μέσου τοῦ Κιθαιρώνος ἤκεν ὑποστρέψας, οὗτ' ἐν εἰρήνῃ οὗτ' ἐν πολέμῳ χρήσιμον ἑαυτὸν παρέχων. καὶ 5 τὸ πάντων δεινότατον, ὑμεῖς μὲν τοῦτον οὐ προὔδοτε, οὐδ' εἰσάσατε κριθῆναι ἐν τῷ τῶν Ἑλλήνων συνεδρίῳ, οὗτος δ' ὑμᾶς νῦν
 162 προέδωκεν, εἴπερ ἀληθὴ ἐστὶν ἃ λέγεται. ὥς γάρ φασιν οἱ Πάραλοι καὶ οἱ πρεσβεύσαντες πρὸς Ἀλέξανδρον, καὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα εἰκότως πιστεύεται, ἔστι τις Ἀριστίων Πλαταϊκός, ὁ τοῦ 10 Ἀριστοβούλου τοῦ φαρμακοπώλου υἱός, εἴ τις ἄρα καὶ ὑμῶν γινώσκει. οὗτός ποτε ὁ νεανίσκος ἐτέρων τὴν ὄψιν διαφέρων γενόμενος ᾤκησε πολὺν χρόνον ἐν τῇ Δημοσθένους οἰκίᾳ· ὃ τι δὲ πράττων ἢ πάσχων, ἀμφίβολος ἡ αἰτία καὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα οὐδαμῶς εὐσχημον ἐμοὶ λέγειν. οὗτος, ὥς ἐγὼ ἀκούω, ἡγνοη- 15 μένος ὅστις καὶ πῶς ποτ' ἐστὶ βεβιωκώς, τὸν Ἀλέξανδρον ὑποτρέχει καὶ πλησιάζει ἐκείνῳ. διὰ τούτου γράμματα πέμψας ὥς Ἀλέξανδρον ἄδειάν τινα εὔρηται καὶ διαλλαγὰς καὶ
 163 πολλὴν κολακείαν πεποιήται. ἐκείθεν δὲ θεωρήσατε, ὥς ὁμοίον

1. ὑμετέραν] *ἡμετέραν gbel.*

παράλοι.

13. γενόμενος] *Om. d, abesse malit Frank.*

πῶς ποτ' ἐστὶ] *ὅστις ποτ' ἐστὶ καὶ πῶς bekl et corr. g m et volg.*

Δημοσθένῃ add. *fcd et volg.* ante Bekk.

9. Πάραλοι] *Sic amn + et corr. g +: ceteri*

16. ὅστις καὶ

17. Post πέμψας

dition of Alexander was against Thebes only or against themselves also: the decree of his allies threw an alarming light upon the question.

4. ἐκ μέσου τοῦ Κιθαιρώνος. When half-way across the border.

7. ἐν τῷ τῶν Ἑλλήνων συνεδρίῳ. The Amphictyonic council according to Bremi, who compares D. de Cor. § 396 init. But perhaps rather the synod of Greeks under Alexander's supremacy.

οὗτος δ' ὑμᾶς νῦν προέδωκεν. The argument to prove this is weak: 'he has made his peace with Alexander, and he has let opportunities pass for injuring him: he therefore must have bargained to keep you quiet, as the price of his own safety.'

§ 162. *By bargaining for his safety I brought a worthless boy, at a price which may be guessed*

10. Πλαταϊκός. Not Πλαταῖος, though that is also used of status, not birth, in Ar. Ran. 694. Aristion was, according to some of Harpocration's authorities, a Samian; whence the charitable may conjecture that he was a relation of Demosthenes' wife,

who according to Plutarch was also from Samos.

11. καὶ ὁμῶν. As well as of those who had seen him at Alexander's court.

12. ἐτέρων τὴν ὄψιν διαφέρων. Apparently a rather contemptuous description of good looks: cp. Ae. in Tim. § 75, p. 11. 'γενόμενος cum d abesse malim' Franke; but, being a stock phrase, it might be used with another participle as if it were an adjective. Vid. Bremi ad § 10 of this speech.

16. ὅστις καὶ πῶς ποτ' ἐστὶ βεβιωκώς. So the best MSS.: volg. ὅστις ποτ' ἐστὶ καὶ πῶς βεβιωκώς: the text is rather more forcible, as well as less obvious.

17. ὑποτρέχει. We should say, 'crept up his sleeve,' 'slunk up to him,' 'wormed himself into his favour'; the Greek word expresses something of surprise. According to Harpocr., he made interest with Hephaestion.

§ 163. *From the way in which, while professing to be Alexander's enemy, he has lost three good chances of injuring him: first at his entrance into Asia,*

ἔστι τὸ πρᾶγμα τῇ αἰτίᾳ. εἰ γάρ τι τούτων ἐφρόνει Δημο-
σθένης καὶ πολεμικῶς εἶχεν, ὥσπερ καὶ φησὶ, πρὸς Ἀλέξαν-
δρον, τρεῖς αὐτῷ καιροὶ κάλλιστοι παραγεγόνασιν, ὧν οὐδενὶ
φαίνεται κεχρημένους. εἰς μὲν ὁ πρῶτος, ὅτ' εἰς τὴν ἀρχὴν οὐ
5 πάλαι καθεστηκὼς Ἀλέξανδρος ἀπαρασκευῶν αὐτῷ τῶν ἰδίων
ὄντων εἰς τὴν Ἀσίαν διέβη, ἡκμαζε δ' ὁ τῶν Περσῶν βασι-
λεὺς καὶ ναυσὶ καὶ χρήμασι καὶ πεζῇ στρατιᾷ, ἄσμενος δ' ἂν
ὑμᾶς εἰς τὴν συμμαχίαν προσεδέξατο διὰ τοὺς ἐπιφερομένους
αὐτῷ κινδύνους. εἰπᾶς τινα ἐνταῦθα λόγον, Δημόσθενες, ἢ
10 ἔγραψας τι ψήφισμα; βούλει σε θῶ φοβηθῆναι καὶ χρήσα-
σθαι τῷ αὐτοῦ τρόπῳ; καίτοι ῥητορικὴν δειλίαν δημόσιος και-
ρὸς οὐκ ἀναμένει. ἀλλ' ἐπειδὴ πάσῃ τῇ δυνάμει Δαρεῖος κατε- 164
βεβήκει, ὁ δ' Ἀλέξανδρος ἦν ἀπειλημμένος ἐν Κιλικίᾳ πάντων
ἐνδεῆς, ὡς ἔφησθα σὺ, αὐτίκα μάλα δ' ἤμελλεν, ὡς ἦν ὁ παρὰ
15 σοῦ λόγος, συμπατηθῆσθαι ὑπὸ τῆς Περσικῆς ἱππου, τὴν δὲ
σὴν ἀπόδιαν ἢ πόλιν οὐκ ἐχώρει καὶ τὰς ἐπιστολάς, ἃς ἐξηρη-
μένος ἐκ τῶν δακτύλων περιήεις, ἐπιδεικνύν τισὶ τὸ ἐμὸν πρόσ-
ωπον ὡς ἐκπεπληγμένου καὶ ἀθυμοῦντος, καὶ χρυσόκερων ἀπο-

5. ἀπαρασκευῶν] ἀκατασκευῶν *bhl* Bekk. αὐτῷ] αὐτῷ sine causa B. et S. 7. δ'
ἀν] ἀν δ' *agm.* Statim ἡμᾶς *ehl* Bekk. 9. αὐτῷ] Ita B. et S., cum *afed* αὐτῷ habeant,
ceteri et Bekk. αὐτῷ. 10. Ante φοβηθῆναι καὶ inserunt *fed.* 11. αὐτοῦ] Ita
B. et S., cum pro *volgato* αὐτοῦ *am* † et pr. *g* † habeant αὐτοῦ, *pl* αὐτῷ, *σαντοῦ* *ze* et corr.
gb. 'Vide tamen, ne *σαντοῦ* praestet' Frank.: idque receperat Bekk. 12. καταβεβή-
κει] Ita *amnk*: ceteri et Bekk. καταβεβήκει. 13. ἀπειλημμένος] ἀπειλημένος *g.*
14. μάλα δὲ] δὲ μάλα *bekl* Bekk.

1. τὸ πρᾶγμα τῇ αἰτίᾳ. As we should say, 'the facts look very like this charge.'
3. παραγεγόνασιν = 'have come and passed.'

5. ἀπαρασκευῶν. 'Before he had everything ready at home.' Bekker's reading *ἀκατασκευῶν* would suit the sense much better, 'while everything at home was unsettled;' but the MS. authority against it is too great.

7. ἄσμενος δ' ἂν κ.τ.λ. Cp. below, § 239 sq.

11. ῥητορικὴν δειλίαν might be simply 'cannot wait for a cowardly orator,' but better, 'cannot wait as long as it takes an orator's cowardice to screw itself up to action.'

§ 164. Then before Issus, when Demosthenes ostentatiously proclaimed his case desperate,

12. πάσῃ τῇ δυνάμει. Opposed to the small force that fought at the Granicus.

13. ἀπειλημμένος ἐν Κιλικίᾳ refers to Alexander's position before the manœuvres

that led to the battle of Issus. He had already carried one pass by strategical skill, before fighting the battle.

16. ἃς ἐξηρητημένους κ.τ.λ. Each letter being tied up with a string, and he carrying a letter hanging by its string from each finger. The passage is repeated almost verbally by Dinarchus, c. Dem. § 36, p. 94 fin.

18. χρυσόκερων .. καταστρέφει. 'If any misfortune happens to Alexander, Aeschines had already got his horns gilded and his garlands on, ready to be sacrificed.' There may be an allusion to the oracle said to have been given to Philip while meditating the expedition into Asia, *ἔσται μὲν ὁ ταῦρος, ἔχει τέλος, ἔστιν ὁ θύσων*; it was no doubt meant to be ambiguous, and was applied to his own approaching death. Demosthenes may have proposed the further interpretation, 'The bull is Alexander's friends in Greece.' There may also be an allusion to 'Macedonian gold,' as having only served to deck the receiver for the slaughter.

καλῶν καὶ κατεστέφθαι φάσκων, εἴ τι πταῖσμα συμβήσεται
 'Αλεξάνδρῳ, οὐδ' ἐνταῦθα ἔπραξας οὐδέν, ἀλλ' εἰς τινα καιρὸν
 165 ἀνεβάλλου καλλίω. ὑπερβὰς τοῖνυν ἅπαντα ταῦτα ὑπὲρ τῶν
 νυνὶ καθεστηκότων λέξω. Λακεδαιμόνιοι μὲν καὶ τὸ ξενικὸν
 ἐπέτυχον μάχῃ καὶ διέφθειραν τοὺς περὶ Κόρραγον στρατιώ- 5
 τας, 'Ηλείοι δ' αὐτοῖς συμμετεβάλλοντο καὶ 'Αχαιοὶ πάντες
 πλὴν Πελλῆναιών καὶ 'Αρκαδία πᾶσα πλὴν Μεγάρων πόλεως,
 αὕτη δὲ ἐπολιορκεῖτο καὶ καθ' ἐκάστην ἡμέραν ἐπίδοξος ἦν
 ἀλῶναι, ὁ δ' 'Αλέξανδρος ἔξω τῆς ἄρκτου καὶ τῆς οἰκουμένης
 ὀλίγου δεῖν πάσης μεθιστήκει, ὁ δὲ 'Αντίπατρος πολὺν χρόνον 10
 συνῆγε στρατόπεδον, τὸ δ' ἐσόμενον ἄδηλον ἦν. ἐνταῦθ' ἡμῖν
 ἀπόδειξιν ποιῆσαι, Δημόσθενες, τί ποτ' ἦν ἃ ἔπραξας ἢ τί
 ποτ' ἦν ἃ ἔλεγες· καὶ εἰ βούλει, παραχωρῶ σοι τοῦ βήματος,
 166 ἕως ἂν εἴπῃς. ἐπειδὴ δὲ σιγᾶς, ὅτι μὲν ἀπορεῖς, συγγνώμην
 ἔχω σοι, ἃ δὲ τότε ἔλεγες, ἐγὼ νῦν λέξω. οὐ μέμνησθε αὐτοῦ 15
 τὰ μιὰ καὶ ἀπίθανα ῥήματα, ἃ πῶς ποθ' ὑμεῖς, ὧ σιδηροί,

5. μάχη] μάχης g.

6. συμμετεβάλλοντο] Sic *ehl* et editi: *συνεπιβάλλοντο* rec. marg. i, *συνεπλάθοντο* Flor. pr. z, 'quod praetulerim' Frank. et habet Schultz.; *συμ-*

μετεβάλλοντο ceteri. 7. Πελλῆναιών] Imo Πελλήνηων, Cobet. Frank. Schultz.

12. Δημόσθενες] Om. e: δὲ Δημόσθενες *hl* Bekk. 4] Sic *agmp*: ceteri et Bekk.

15. νῦν] *νυνὶ* *bekl* Bekk. 16. δὲ σιδηροί] δὲ σιδήρειοι libri, nisi quod

de habent *bekl*, iidemque in voce subsequenti temere nonnihil variant.

3. ἀνεβάλλου. The middle is used by the orators of delay by which a man cheats himself, the active of delay by which he cheats his neighbours.

§ 165. *And, lastly, when the Spartans had a fair prospect of victory,*

ὕπερ τῶν νυνὶ καθεστηκότων. He reckons the situation as unchanged in the whole period after Issus; as, in regard to the state of Athens particularly, after Chae-
 ronea (§§ 55, 159).

4. τὸ ξενικόν. The mercenaries sent over after Issus.

5. τοὺς περὶ Κόρραγον στρατιώτας. The construction is rarely used of the relation of soldiers to their general, oftener of partisans to their chief. Probably we are to take *στρατιώτας* as meaning mercenaries, who would be spoken of as fellow-adventurers or personal adherents of their commander. It is very unlikely that the name of a *place* should be used in this construction.

9. ἔξω τῆς ἄρκτου καὶ τῆς οἰκουμένης. Whether the former phrase means 'beyond the pole' the other side of the Bear, or 'beyond the tropics' where the Bear is lost sight of, may be doubted: perhaps Aeschines himself conceived the matter vaguely. 'Out of our hemisphere' is the sense in either

case. *τῆς οἰκουμένης* may mean 'the world of known civilization,' like the well-known later usage of the Roman empire; but perhaps is rather to be taken literally, 'out of the habitable world,' into desert and half-mythical countries.

10. πολὺν χρόνον συνῆγε στρατόπεδον. So that Athens had plenty of time to move.

12. τί ποτ' ἦν ἃ ἔλεγες. One might translate 'What did what you said come to?' but for what follows, which shows that the point is, 'You cannot point to anything you did: I will point to things ridiculous as well as disloyal that you said.'

13. παραχωρῶ σοι τοῦ βήματος. Cp. sup. ad § 55, D. de Cor. 176. Probably the usage of the court was too uniform to make such appeals more than a matter of form.

§ 166. *You, instead of doing anything, gave us obscure and nasty metaphors as reasons for doing nothing.*

16. ἀπίθανα. Implying that his violence defeated his object.

δὲ σιδηροί. Meant probably for a left-handed compliment: 'You must have had a marvellous constitution to endure the disgust, which I will not insult you by doubting that you felt.' Aeschines in every other passage uses the contracted forms of the

ἐκαρτερεῖτε ἀκροώμενοι; ὅτ' ἔφη παρελθὼν “ἀμπελουργοῦσί
τινες τὴν πόλιν, ἀνατετμήκασί τινες τὰ κλήματα τοῦ δήμου,
ὑποτέτμηται τὰ νῦρα τῶν πραγμάτων, φορμορραφούμεθα ἐπὶ
τὰ στενὰ, τινὲς πρῶτον ὥσπερ τὰς βελῶνας διείρουσι.” ταῦτα 167
5 δὲ τί ἐστίν, ὦ κίναδος; ῥήματα ἢ θαύματα; καὶ πάλιν ὅτε
κύκλῳ περιδινὼν σεαυτὸν ἐπὶ τοῦ βήματος ἔλεγες ὡς ἀντιπράτ-
των Ἀλεξάνδρῳ “ὁμολογῶ τὰ Λακωνικὰ συστήσαι, ὁμολογῶ
Θετταλοὺς καὶ Περραιβοὺς ἀφιστάναι.” [σὺ Θετταλοὺς ἀφι-

3. ὑποτέτμηται] + ὑποτέτμητε in litura g †. φορμορραφούμεθα] Statim post hanc
vocem interpungunt Dionys. B. et S., mox omisso πρῶτον. 4. τὰς βελῶνας] τοὺς βε-
λῶνας + g † bel, τὰς βελῶνας Vat. 5. τ[Sic *dehl* et editi: ceteri *τίνος*. 6. ἀντι-
πράττων] + ἐναντιούμενος corr. g †.

metallic adjectives: Phrynichus states the rule as universal in Attic, and Cobet and Franke follow him. Libri et volgo *σιδήρειοι*; but the MSS. of Aeschines are not infallible on a point of grammatical criticism, even when unanimous, and here there is a little confusion in some.

1. ἀμπελουργοῦσί τινες κ.τ.λ. For *τῶς*, see on § 1. Here they must mean Aeschines and his friends: Demosthenes does commonly avoid naming political opponents. He would have named Alexander, had he been the man intended. It seems just possible to explain all the metaphors, except perhaps *ὑποτέτμηται τὰ νῦρα τῶν πραγμάτων*, of vine-dressing: ‘certain men have cut back the shoots of democracy: the main fibres of our fortunes are cut through: we are being stitched with matting into a corner: some people are getting their needles threaded to begin with.’ On the whole, perhaps this would give the best meaning to the difficult words *φορμορραφούμεθα ἐπὶ τὰ στενὰ*, which might be a description of a process intended to keep a vine from spreading over the ground. It is hard to believe that at his harshest Demosthenes mixed up two metaphors in defiance of logic, and meant, ‘We have no more room to turn than if we were sewn up in matting like a mummy’ (it is hardly necessary to mention Wunderlich’s unpleasant emendation for *πρῶτον*, which would continue that image: yet cp. Aen. de F. L. p. 31, § 22). Any way, the first two clauses must be understood as implying that Athens was to be kept subservient rather than exactly mutilated: it was to be trained and trimmed, not allowed to grow its own way. It is as hard to accept the last words, as they stand, of the section as genuine, as it is to suggest any probable correction. The reading of Dionysius, adopted by B. and S., *φορμορραφούμεθα, ἐπὶ*

τὰ στενὰ τινες ὥσπερ κ.τ.λ., would mean, ‘we are being dragged through a needle’s eye,’ which makes sense at the expense of introducing a third metaphor, but fails entirely to account for the insertion of *πρῶτον*. *πρῶτον* is probably right, for ‘threading a needle’ is a natural prelude to *φορμορραφούμεθα*. But an accusative seems to be wanted, to be illustrated by *ὥσπερ τὰς βελῶνας*, if it means ‘some people are first of all threading [their instruments] like needles;’ if we take it ‘some people are as it were passing needles through [us] first of all,’ we lose the only possible force of *πρῶτον*, and still want the remoter object of *διείρουσι* to be expressed. No reading has yet been extracted from the fact, that four MSS. have *τοὺς βελῶνας*.

§ 167. *Claiming all the credit for what other people were doing—things which you have never the heart to do, but always the face to ask to be paid for.*

5. ῥήματα ἢ θαύματα. Aeschines is rather fond of these imperfect assonances: one might translate ‘metaphors or monsters?’

καὶ πάλιν ὅτε. Exactly like *vel quom* in Aen. 11. 406. Had Virgil this passage in his head? since the idiom is noted as strange in Latin.

7. ὁμολογῶ. Demosthenes was alternately taunted as a coward and a firebrand; his reply to the second class of charges was to boast of what he was accused of doing. Aeschines presses the first class, to shew the emptiness of the boast.

8. [Σὺ Θετταλοὺς ἀφιστάναι]. We bracket these words because other editors have not adopted them, rather than because we doubt their genuineness. The MSS. exhibit marks of confusion, some omitting this clause and some the next; but there is hardly better authority for omitting one than the other, and it is clear that, from the

στάναι;] σὺ γὰρ ἂν κώμην ἀποστήσεις; σὺ γὰρ ἂν προσέλ-
θοις μὴ ὅτι πρὸς πόλιν, ἀλλὰ πρὸς οἰκίαν, ὅπου κίνδυνος πρόσ-
εστιν; ἀλλ' εἰ μὲν που χρήματα ἀναλίσκεται, προσκαθίσεις,
πρᾶξιν δὲ ἀνδρὸς οὐ πράξεις· ἐὰν δ' αὐτόματόν τι συμβῇ, προσ-
ποιήσει καὶ. σαυτὸν ἐπὶ τὸ γεγεννημένον ἐπιγράψεις· ἂν δ' 5
ἔλθῃ φόβος τις, ἀποδράσει· ἐὰν δὲ θαρρήσωμεν, δωρεὰς αἰτή-
σεις καὶ χρυσοῖς στεφάνοις στεφανοῦσθαι.

168 Ναι, ἀλλὰ δημοτικός ἐστίν. ἐὰν μὲν τοίνυν πρὸς τὴν εὐ-
φημίαν αὐτοῦ τῶν λόγων ἀποβλέπητε, ἐξαπατηθήσεσθε, ὥσπερ
καὶ πρότερον, ἐὰν δ' εἰς τὴν φύσιν καὶ τὴν ἀλήθειαν, οὐκ 10
ἐξαπατηθήσεσθε. ἐκείνως δὲ ἀπολάβετε παρ' αὐτοῦ τὸν λόγον.

1. σὺ . . ἀποστήσεις] σὺ + Θετταλίαν + ἀφιστάναι; σὺ γὰρ ἂν κώμην ἀποστήσεις;
marg. g (cum a pr. m. omissum esset σὺ Θετταλοὺς ἀφιστάναι), σὺ Θετταλοὺς ἀφιστάναι;
σὺ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. marg. m (itaque teste Bekk. marg. g), σὺ Θετταλοὺς ἀποστήσεις; σὺ γὰρ
κ.τ.λ. c, σὺ Θετταλοὺς ἀφιστάναι; σὺ γὰρ ἂν κώμην ἀποστήσεις; bk σὺ Θετταλοὺς ἀφιστά-
ναι; οὐ γὰρ ἂν κώμην ἀποστήσεις e, οὐ Θετταλοὺς ἀφιστάναι σὺ γὰρ ἂν κώμην ἀποστήσεις
Flor. l, σὺ Θετταλοὺς ἀποστήσεις f, σὺ Θετταλοὺς ἀφιστάναι d. σὺ γὰρ ἂν κώμην ἀπο-
στήσεις an pr. gm et editi. ἀποστήσεις mavolt Frank., habet Schultz. 3. προσκα-
θίσεις] προσκαθίξει post Lobeck. editi, habetque προσκαθίσεις z, προσκαθίξεις eis k, προ-
σκαθίξιν eis be, quae pro προσκαθίξει posita esse probabile est. προκαθίστησι fā,
ceteri προκαθεσθήσθ. 8. πρὸς] eis be Cobet. 9. αὐτοῦ τῶν λόγων] τῶν
λόγων αὐτοῦ αγμπρ: vid. annot.

similarity of their beginning and end, either was very likely to be omitted accidentally. And the vigorous scorn of the question, and the climax of the next, are too like Aeschines' best manner to have been forced on him by a copyist. The true reading of one MS. (marg. g.) may perhaps be thought to throw a little doubt on the subject, as proving some uncertainty in the body of the clause. But perhaps Θετταλίαν may be right: it would be an abbreviated expression, including the two nations of Thessalians and Perrhaebians. Note the change of tense from ἀφιστάναι to ἀποστήσεις: 'I confess that I am getting up a revolt in Thessaly.' 'You get up a revolt in Thessaly! Could you bring a single village to the point of revolting?' and be there, as the next clause proves, at the time of the outbreak, to see that your work prospered.

3. προσκαθίσεις. This reading is now found in one good MS., and, considering that another group seem to point to προσκαθίσεις, has as fair a chance of probability as the vulgar προσκαθίξει, itself a correction of Lobeck's for the reading of most MSS., προκαθεσθήσθ. The last is, according to Phrynichus, a post-classical form: Demosthenes uses προσκαθεδεῖναι, Olynt. i, § 18, p. 24. And, moreover, the metaphor, 'you will besiege, blockade it,' seems violent.

προσκαθίσεις would mean no more than 'you will sit by, watching for something to turn up,' like a beggar, or at best a Micawber.

5. σαυτὸν . . ἐπιγράψας. Perhaps simply claim credit for it in a fussy, self-important speech, as described above; perhaps more definitely, 'move a decree in your own name,' which your partisans can quote as having produced what had happened already; as, according to Aeschines, in the case of the Theban alliance.

§ 168. Then he calls himself a friend to the Constitution. But is he?

8. τὴν εὐφημίαν αὐτοῦ τῶν λόγων. So Bekker. B. and S. and later editors transpose τῶν λόγων αὐτοῦ; but the old reading is less like late Greek, and gives a more forcible sense, 'His delicacy, which stops at words.' εὐφημία, as usual, implies negative rather than positive commendation: 'There is nothing to offend in his language, he speaks like a sober incorruptible man.' We should have a specimen of εὐφημία in Demosthenes' constant affectation of reserve on personal matters which he contrasts with the ribaldry of Aeschines.

11. ἐκείνως. Rarely thus used of what is immediately to be explained, like ὅδε: cp. Dem. Lept. § 69, p. 475. The distinction between the two adverbs is probably that ὅδε means merely 'in the way I am

ἐγὼ μὲν μεθ' ὑμῶν λογιούμαι, ἀ δεῖ ὑπάρξει ἐν τῇ φύσει τῷ δημοτικῷ ἀνδρὶ καὶ σώφρονι, καὶ πάλιν ἀντιθήσω, ποῖόν τινα εἰκὸς ἐστὶν εἶναι τὸν ὀλιγαρχικὸν ἄνθρωπον καὶ φαῦλον ὑμεῖς δ' ἀντιθέντες ἐκάτερα τούτων θεωρήσατ' αὐτὸν, μὴ ὁποτέρου 5 τοῦ λόγου, ἀλλ' ὁποτέρου τοῦ βίου ἐστίν. οἶμαι ποίνυν ἀπαν- 169 τας ἂν ὁμολογήσειν ὑμᾶς τάδε δεῖν ὑπάρξει τῷ δημοτικῷ, πρῶτον μὲν ἐλεύθερον αὐτὸν εἶναι καὶ πρὸς πατρὸς καὶ πρὸς μητρὸς, ἵνα μὴ διὰ τὴν περὶ τὸ γένος ἀτυχίαν δυσμενὴς ᾖ τοῖς νόμοις, οἱ σώζουσι τὴν δημοκρατίαν, δεύτερον δ' ἀπὸ τῶν προ- 10 γόνων εὐεργεσίαν τινα αὐτῷ πρὸς τὸν δῆμον ὑπάρχειν, ἣ τό γ'

6. &v] 'Deletum malim' Frank.: namque ὁμολογήσειν habent omnes praeter bekl. ὁμολογήσειν ὑμᾶς habent ἀγνήρ Vat. Laur., ὑμᾶς ὁμολογήσαι bekl Bekk. ceteri ὑμᾶς ὁμολογήσιν. 7. ἐλεύθερον αὐτὸν] αὐτὸν ἐλεύθερον fcdcl, αὐτῷ ἐλεύθερον d. πρὸς] ante μητρὸς om. ἀγνήρ fcdq Vat. Barb. Flor.: mox πρὸς pro περὶ ponunt ἀγνήρ Laur. Flor. cd.

going to tell you; 'ἐκείνους has the further force 'in the way you never have yet,' or 'the reverse way to that which he suggests to you.' Perhaps the article with λόγον, 'the account he invites you to scrutinise,' marks the latter sense as most prominent.

1. τῷ δημοτικῷ ἀνδρὶ καὶ σώφρονι. Democracy, being so long established at Athens, had all the respectable and conservative associations which we, and most Greeks likewise, connect rather with aristocracy. The democrat is a sober man of assured position; the oligarch a dangerous adventurer and revolutionist. Such was the universal Athenian sentiment, strengthened and largely justified by reminiscences of the Four Hundred and of the Thirty; but cosmopolitan thinkers like Socrates and his disciples fell in rather with the general view, which saw in Sparta the best type of a strong legitimate government. The great speech of Pericles is almost the only work of any speculative depth that attempts to justify the affection felt by every loyal Athenian for his own constitution.

4. μὴ ὁποτέρου τοῦ λόγου κ.τ.λ. 'To which party he belongs, not by profession but by action,' lit. 'to which life he belongs, not to which profession.' ὁ δημοτικὸς βίος and ὁ ὀλιγαρχικὸς βίος are contrasted, being identified with ὁ σώφρων and ὁ φαῦλος βίος respectively: the contrast between 'popular professions' and 'oligarchic professions' is more easily translatable.

§ 169. To prove himself so, a man should be a true-born citizen, or he will hate the constitution that excludes him: a man whose ancestors have been the city's friends, or he will keep up the feud against the city:

7. ἐλεύθερον αὐτὸν εἶναι. It is probable

that there were many men allowed to pass as citizens, but known to have very doubtful claims. The διαφήμις seems to have commanded little respect (vid. ad D. de Cor. § 168), and it was invidious to appeal to it (Ae. de F. L. § 193): while the fact that accusations of civil illegitimacy could be bandied about as they are by Demosthenes and Aeschines, or introduced quite parenthetically and jestingly, as by Demosthenes against the aristocratic Midias, suggests that there must have been a vague and uncomfortable suspicion, that if the matter were pressed, no one would be safe.

πρὸς μητρὸς .. περὶ τὸ γένος. Most good MSS. omit πρὸς before μητρὸς, and substitute it for περὶ afterwards: the first is probably an error and the cause of the other, which if it stood alone might be defended.

10. εὐεργεσίαν .. πρὸς τὸν δῆμον. It would be just possible to translate, 'that he should have from his ancestors some benefit (received from the people) binding him to gratitude to the people.' This suits the context and the parallelism of clauses, but the sense it requires for πρὸς is a very forced one; had it been meant, Aeschines would have written πρὸς τοῦ δήμου. We must therefore translate the natural way, 'that he should have some good deed to shew done by his ancestors to the people, or at least no enmity' between them and it: εὐεργεσία being conceived as implying mutual good will, as ἔχθρα naturally does mutual ill will. It is assumed that if his fathers have done the state some service, the state will know it, and will have rewarded them and trust him. The assumption is aided by the latitude of meaning of χάρις.

- ἀναγκαιότατον μηδεμίαν ἔχθραν, ἵνα μὴ βοηθῶν τοῖς τῶν προ-
 170 γόνων ἀτυχήμασι κακῶς ἐπιχειρῇ ποιεῖν τὴν πόλιν. τρίτον
 σώφρονα καὶ μέτριον χρὴ πεφυκεῖν αὐτὸν πρὸς τὴν καθ' ἡμέραν
 διαίταν, ὅπως μὴ διὰ τὴν ἀσέλγειαν τῆς δαπάνης δωροδοκῇ κατὰ
 τοῦ δήμου, τέταρτον εὐγνώμονα καὶ δυνατόν εἰπεῖν· καλὸν γὰρ 5
 τὴν μὲν διάνοιαν προαιρεῖσθαι τὰ βέλτιστα, τὴν δὲ παιδείαν
 τὴν τοῦ ῥήτορος καὶ τὸν λόγον πείθειν τοὺς ἀκούοντας· εἰ δὲ
 μὴ, τὴν γ' εὐγνωμοσύνην αἰεὶ προτακτέον τοῦ λόγου. πέμπτον
 ἀνδρεῖον εἶναι τὴν ψυχὴν, ἵνα μὴ παρὰ τὰ δεινὰ καὶ τοὺς πο-
 λέμους ἐγκαταλείπῃ τὸν δῆμον. τὸν δ' ὀλιγαρχικὸν πάντα 10
 δεῖ τὰναντία τούτων ἔχειν· τί γὰρ δεῖ πάλιν διεξιέναι; σκέ-
 ψασθε δὴ, τί τούτων ὑπάρχει Δημοσθένει· ὁ δὲ λογισμὸς ἔστω
 ἐπὶ πᾶσι δικαίοις.
- 171 Τούτῳ πατὴρ μὲν ἦν Δημοσθένης ὁ Παιανιεύς, ἀνὴρ ἐλεύ-
 θερος· οὐ γὰρ δεῖ ψεύδεσθαι· τὰ δ' ἀπὸ τῆς μητρὸς καὶ τοῦ 15
 πάππου τοῦ πρὸς μητρὸς πῶς ἔχει αὐτῷ; ἐγὼ φράσω. Γύλων
 ἦν ἐκ Κεραμίων. οὗτος προδοὺς τοῖς πολεμίοις Νύμφαιον τὸ
 ἐν τῷ Πόντῳ, τότε τῆς πόλεως ἐχούσης τὸ χωρίον τοῦτο, φυ-
 γὰς ἐκ τῆς πόλεως ἐγένετο θανάτου καταγνωσθέντος αὐτοῦ,

9. πολλήμους] κινδύνους bek. corr. g Bekk.

et Bekk. -λίτηρ.

14. ἦν] Om. p Vat. Laur.

10. ἐγκαταλείπῃ] Sic agnosc: ceteri

add. g et marg. mb.

ceteri articulum omittunt.

18. τῷ Πόντῳ] Ita gmnpcdb et duo codd. Harpocratonis:

Post φυγὰς ἀπ' εἰσαγγελίας add. cekl et marg. gmf, ἀπὸ ἀγγελίας pr. g, ἐπ' εἰσαγγελίας b. 'Glossema e § 79 irrepsit' B. et S. Bakio θανάτου καταγνωσθέντος αὐτοῦ ejiciendum esse videbatur: facilius fuisset θάνατον γὰρ .. κατέγνωτε in proximo § ejicere, ut glossema videlicet hinc desumptum.

§ 170. A man of virtuous private life, or he will take bribes to support his profligacy: a sound thinker and, if possible, a trained speaker: and last not least, a brave and high-minded man.

4. κατὰ τοῦ δήμου. Not τῆς πόλεως, because it is ὁ δημοτικὸς not ὁ εἰρηνὸς πόλι-της that is under discussion.

5. εὐγνώμονα would naturally coincide with the intellectual side only of the ambiguous εὖ φρονεῖν; but, being explained by τὴν μὲν διάνοιαν προαιρεῖσθαι τὰ βέλτιστα, it gains something of the notion of good will, while this explanation itself includes something of good judgment. Some take διάνοιαν and παιδείαν καὶ λόγον as subjects to the infinitives: better as accusatives of respect. Note that he conceives oratorical ability as depending entirely on παιδεία.

9. παρὰ τὰ δεινὰ. Perhaps 'at the time of,' rather than 'by reason of:' at any rate it illustrates the origin of the latter sense.

13. ἐπὶ πᾶσι δικαίοις. 'Be made on the fairest terms,' under conditions of the most perfect justice.'

§ 171. Now Demosibenes' father was a real citizen: his mother the daughter of Gylon who betrayed Nymphaeum,

15. οὐ γὰρ δεῖ ψεύδεσθαι. Probably 'I will not tell lies about his father, as he does about mine.' But if we admit the genuineness of the exquisite fragments of speeches by Demades preserved in Tzetzes, it will appear that Demosthenes the elder, though a wealthy man, was not thought well-connected enough to justify his son's claim to honour and thorough respectability: and then the point might be, 'a free man certainly—but an utter snob.' Those who heard the speech would know which was meant.

16. Γύλων ἦν ἐκ Κεραμίων. 'There was one Gylon ..:' he begins at the beginning. Some MSS. add ἀπόδημος, probably a corruption of a gloss explaining that ἐκ Κεραμίων meant ἀπὸ δήμου Κεραμίων.

19. θανάτου καταγνωσθέντος αὐτοῦ. Apparently he had really been sentenced on some criminal charge. Demosthenes only confesses to a fine, which he asserts had been paid before his death: D. in Aph. 2. ad init.

τὴν κρίσιν οὐχ ὑπομείνας, καὶ ἀφικνεῖται εἰς Βόσπορον, κακεῖ 172
 λαμβάνει ὄρεαν παρὰ τῶν τυράννων τοὺς ὀνομασμένους Κή-
 πους, καὶ γαμεί γυναῖκα πλουσίαν μὲν νῆ Δία καὶ χρυσίον ἐπι-
 φερομένην πολὺν, Σκύθιν δὲ τὸ γένος, ἐξ ἧς γίγονται αὐτῷ
 5 θυγατέρες δύο, ἃς ἐκεῖνος δεῦρο μετὰ πολλῶν χρημάτων ἀπο-
 στείλας συνώκισε τὴν μὲν ἑτέραν ὀψωδήποτε, ἵνα μὴ πολλοῖς
 ἀπεχθάνωμαι· τὴν δ' ἑτέραν ἔγημε παριδὼν τοὺς τῆς πόλεως
 νόμους Δημοσθένης ὁ Παιανιεύς, ἐξ ἧς ὑμῖν ὁ περιέργος καὶ
 συκοφάντης Δημοσθένης γεγέννηται. οὐκοῦν ἀπὸ μὲν τοῦ πάπ-
 10 που τοῦ πρὸς μητρὸς πολέμιος ἂν εἴη τῷ δήμῳ, θάνατον γὰρ
 αὐτοῦ τῶν προγόνων κατέγνωτε, τὰ δ' ἀπὸ τῆς μητρὸς Σκύ-
 θης, βάρβαρος ἐλληνίζων τῇ φωνῇ· ὅθεν καὶ τὴν πονηρίαν οὐκ
 ἐπιχώριός ἐστι. περὶ δὲ τὴν καθ' ἡμέραν δίαιταν τίς ἐστίν; 173
 ἐκ τριηράρχου λογογράφος ἀνεφάνη, τὰ πατρῷα καταγελά-

6. στείλας] ἀποστείλας *scd* *bekl* et *volg.* usque ad *Bekk.* 9. Δημοσθένης γεγέννη-
 ται] Δημοσθένης γεγέννηται *pe.* γεγέννηται Δημοσθένης *gmfd* *Bekk.* 10. τοῦ πρὸς
 μητρὸς] *Om. bekl.*

§ 172. By a Scythian woman whom he married in exile: so Demosthenes is by descent a barbarian and a traitor.

3. ἐπιφερομένην. As *Lys. pro bonis* Aristoph. 14.

4. Σκύθιν δὲ τὸ γένος. No doubt she was practically a Greek; but Aeschines uses even stronger language about her barbarism in *De F. L.* § 82, p. 38, *ἐκ τῶν νομάδων Σκυθῶν τὸ πρὸς μητρὸς γένος ἄν.*

6. συνώκισε would cover both the case of legitimate marriage, and of what passed as such but was not.

ὀψωδήποτε. Demochares: *D. in Aph.* 2. § 4, p. 836. See the whole passage, which tells strongly against the truth of this story. If Cleobule had been no true Athenian, Aphobus would have been certain to plead the fact as a reason for not marrying her; instead of the very lame defence which he appears to have made, that the elder Demosthenes purposely left his accounts in disorder, lest he should be called upon for his father-in-law's fine. This Demochares, Demosthenes' uncle, seems to be sometimes confounded with his namesake, Demosthenes' nephew, an orator and historian.

10. τοῦ πρὸς μητρὸς is omitted by one group of MSS., and looks very like a gloss: besides that, it makes τοῦ πάππου a weak as well as formally a false anthesis to τὰ δ' ἀπὸ μητρὸς below. The next clause, θάνατον .. κατέγνωτε, also looks like a gloss, τῶν προγόνων being especially suspicious, and though all MSS. have the words and it is one of the commonest faults of Aeschines'

style to put glosses on his own sentences, it is more certain that he ought not to have written these words than that he wrote them.

11. τὰ δ' ἀπὸ μητρὸς. As we say, 'in the female line'; his mother's mother was a Scythian, his grandfather (who can, as his wife cannot, be spoken of as Demosthenes' direct relation) being a traitor.

12. βάρβαρος ἐλληνίζων τῇ φωνῇ. 'A Scythian' in the fullest sense (not merely a Greek of one of the colonies on the Pontic coast), 'a barbarian with nothing Greek about him, but that he has learnt the language.'

οὐκ ἐπιχώριος. Not only 'too wicked to be like an Athenian,' but 'with something outlandish even in his style of wickedness' as well as his language.

§ 173. As to his daily life, he ruined a good fortune by extravagance, and had to make another by betraying first his clients and then his country. By the latter he has succeeded—for the present only.

14. ἐκ τριηράρχου λογογράφος ἀνεφάνη. 'He sank as a trierarch to rise as a pettifogger.' The position of the συνήγορος was honourable, like that of the Roman *patronus*, but the λογογράφος was a mere tradesman who dealt in speeches—different, also, from the λογοποιεῖς or composer of shew declamations.

τὰ πατρῷα καταγελάσας προέμενος. One might be tempted to take this of the way in which, apparently, Demosthenes compromised his lawsuit with his guardians,

στως προέμενος· ἄπιστος δὲ καὶ περὶ ταῦτα δόξας εἶναι καὶ τοὺς λόγους ἐκφέρων τοῖς ἀντιδίκοις ἀνεπήδησεν ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα· πλείστον δ' ἐκ τῆς πόλεως εἰληφὼς ἀργύριον ἐλάχιστα περιποιήσατο νῦν μέντοι τὸ βασιλικὸν χρυσίον ἐπικέκλυκε τὴν δαπάνην αὐτοῦ, ἔσται δ' αὐδὲ τοῦθ' ἱκανόν· οὐδεὶς γὰρ πώποτε 5 πλοῦτος τρόπου πονηροῦ περιεγένετο· καὶ τὸ κεφάλαιον, τὸν βίον οὐκ ἐκ τῶν ἰδίων προσόδων πορίζεται, ἀλλ' ἐκ τῶν ὑμε-
174 τέρων κινδύνων. περὶ δ' εὐγνωμοσύνην καὶ λόγου δύναμιν πῶς πέφυκε; δεινὸς λέγειν, κακὸς βιώναι. οὕτω γὰρ κέχρηται καὶ τῷ ἑαυτοῦ σώματι καὶ παιδοποιία ὥστ' ἐμὲ μὴ βούλεσθαι λέγειν 10

3. πόλεως] πολιτείας *bekl et marg. gm Bekk.*

9. βιώναι] ἴσως βίωσιν *marg. gbm.*

10. ὥστ' ἐμὲ μὴ] Ita Bekk., cum *bekl* ὥστε μὴ με habeant, π ὥστε μὴ, ceteri ὥστε με μὴ.

accepting (or at least being only able to get) a much smaller sum than he had claimed (*Mid. p. 565, § 200*). But this would not harmonise with the tone taken by Aeschines elsewhere in speaking of Demosthenes' conduct in that matter: see *Ae. de F. L. § 105, p. 41*, where he treats the prosecution as unnatural and fraudulent. And besides it does not suit the context: the imputation is not that he was a bad man of business, but that he was a man of expensive tastes, which he gratified at any cost. *καταγέλαστος* is a word of serious censure, 'contemptibly,' perhaps 'in low pleasures': cp. *Ae. in Tim. § 76, p. 11*.

1. ἄπιστος .. καὶ τοὺς λόγους ἐκφέρων τοῖς ἀντιδίκοις. The same charge is made in *Ae. de F. L. § 176, p. 50*, with a specification of the case of Phormion and Apollodorus. Now we know that, in the series of lawsuits that arose in Pasion's family, Demosthenes wrote a speech on each side at different stages of the process; and that is perhaps the whole foundation for this charge. If so, it is very inaccurately put: Demosthenes' conduct might bear a bad colour, though probably it would not be proscribed by modern professional honour; but it was not fraudulent on the surface. For the construction, both *ἄπιστος* and *ἐκφέρων* depend on *δόξας εἶναι*. As it is rather unusual in Greek to put a participle in this construction like an adjective and coordinate with one (but cp. *sup. ad § 162*), there is a temptation either to strike out *καὶ* before *τοὺς λόγους*, or to suppose a slight breach of grammatical continuity; so that *τοὺς λόγους ἐκφέρων* .. *ἀνεπήδησεν ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα* should be parallel to *ἐκ τριηράρχου λογογράφος ἀνεφάνη*. 'Opening life as a man of independent property, he ran through his fortune and had to turn legal hack. Having a new

opening in this lower character, he lost even that (*καὶ περὶ ταῦτα*) by dishonesty, and had to turn statesman,' as 'the last refuge of a scoundrel.' In any case, the two are probably so far parallel, that both *ἀνεφάνη* and *ἀνεπήδησεν* are meant to imply a certain Jack-in-the-box vehemence and indecorum in his behaviour, both as a legal and a political speaker; the latter, also, of the suddenness of his appearance as a politician.

3. ἐκ τῆς πόλεως. *πολιτείας*, false as a reading, is right as a gloss. He has got his money 'out of (his connection with) the city,' not, all of it, from public funds.

4. ἐπικέκλυκε. 'Has come like a flood over his extravagance,' so that he is afloat again, and the money he spends is but a drop in the ocean of the money he has got. The point is not that Demosthenes ran into debt by his extravagance, but that he earned money by treason to meet it: else we might translate, 'has passed a sponge over his extravagance.'

§ 174. *As to sound judgment and eloquence, his clever speaking disguises scandalous profligacy.*

10. τῷ ἑαυτοῦ σώματι καὶ παιδοποιία. According to a tradition general among later rhetoricians, he had two illegitimate sons; and is described, on his trial in the matter of Harpalus, as bringing them into court, but not their mother, from a regard to decorum. But Plutarch knows nothing of this story, which is very hard to reconcile with § 78 above, where we read of his *only* child, clearly legitimate. Besides, if this were all alluded to, it would be hard to say that it was too disgusting to mention directly: though this view may gain some support from *Ae. de F. L. § 188, p. 52*. But more probably, *σώματι* is an insinuation like *Ae. in Tim. § 151, p. 18; de F. L. § 105, p. 41*,

ἃ τούτῳ πέπρακται· ἤδη γάρ ποτε εἶδον μισηθέντας τοὺς
 τὰ τῶν πλησίων αἰσχρὰ λίαν σαφῶς λέγοντας. ἔπειτα τί
 συμβαίνει τῇ πόλει; οἱ μὲν λόγοι καλοὶ, τὰ δ' ἔργα φαῦλα.
 πρὸς δὲ ἀνδρίαν βραχὺς μοι λείπεται λόγος. εἰ μὲν γὰρ 175
 ἤρνεϊτο μὴ δειλὸς εἶναι ἢ ὑμεῖς μὴ. συνήδευτε, διατριβὴν ὁ
 λόγος ἂν μοι παρέσχεν· ἐπειδὴ δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς ὁμολογεῖ ἐν ταῖς
 ἐκκλησίαις καὶ ὑμεῖς σύνιστε, λοιπὸν ὑπομνήσαι τοὺς περὶ τού-
 των κειμένους νόμους. ὁ γὰρ Σόλων ὁ παλαιὸς νομοθέτης ἐν
 τοῖς αὐτοῖς ἐπιτιμίοις ᾤετο δεῖν ἐνέχεσθαι τὸν ἀστράτευτον
 10 καὶ τὸν λελοιπότεν τὴν τάξιν καὶ τὸν δειλὸν ὁμοίως· εἰς γὰρ
 καὶ δειλίας γραφαί. καίτοι θαυμάσειεν ἂν τις ὑμῶν, εἰ εἰς
 φύσεως γραφαί. εἰσὶν. τίνας ἔνεκα; ἴν' ἕκαστος ἡμῶν τὰς ἐκ
 τῶν νόμων ζημίας φοβούμενος μᾶλλον ἢ τοὺς πολεμίους ἀμεί-
 νων ἀγωνιστῆς ὑπὲρ τῆς πατρίδος ὑπάρχη. ὁ μὲν τοίνυν νομο- 176
 θέτης τὸν ἀστράτευτον καὶ τὸν δειλὸν καὶ τὸν λιπόντα τὴν
 τάξιν ἔξω τῶν περιρραντηρίων τῆς ἀγορᾶς ἐξείργει, καὶ οὐκ
 ἔῃ στεφανοῦσθαι οὐδ' εἰσιέναι εἰς τὰ ἱερὰ τὰ δημοτελῆ· σὺ
 δὲ τὸν ἀστεφάνωτον ἐκ τῶν νόμων κελεύεις ἡμᾶς στεφανοῦν,
 καὶ τῷ σαντοῦ ψηφίσματι τὸν οὐ προσήκοντα εἰσκαλεῖς τοῖς
 20 τραγῳδοῖς εἰς τὴν ὀρχήστραν, εἰς τὸ ἱερὸν τοῦ Διονύσου τὸν
 τὰ ἱερὰ διὰ δειλίαν προδεδωκότα.

4. ἀνδρίαν] † ἀνδρείαν pr. g †.
 6. παρέσχεν] παρείχεν bekī Bekk.
 ὑμῶν agnoscēd. 14. ὑπάρχη] γίγνηται bekī Flor., ὑπάρχει a Vat. Laur. fē et superscr. Barb.

5. Post συνήδευτε αὐτῷ add. fēd et pr. b et Bekk.
 11. καίτοι... γραφαί] Om. ekī. 12. ἡμῶν]

and παιδοποιεῖς refers to the story in Ae. de F. L. § 158, p. 48, of which that in Din. in Dem. § 71, p. 99 is probably a variant form. The contrast with Ctesiphon's πορνοβοσκία, below, § 215, makes it, perhaps, unlikely that the charge is of personal profligacy. If, as Plutarch says, his wife was a Samian, there may have been doubts as to her legitimate status that would account for both forms of the scandal.

1. ἤδη γὰρ ποτε. When I prosecuted Timarchus.

2. ἔπειτα... φαῦλα, i. e. a man cannot be εὐγνώμων—well-meaning and well-judging on behalf of Athens—when all she gets out of him is fair words and foul deeds. The assumption is, that an honourable policy cannot be carried out at the suggestion of a man who leads a dishonourable life.

§§ 175–176. As for courage, he admits he has none—*whicb Solon treats as a crime in itself, not an apology for the further crime of desertion. Is a man like this a friend to the Constitution?*

6. ὁμολογεῖ: Ae. de F. L. § 106, p. 42.

8. ἐν τοῖς αὐτοῖς... δειλίας γραφαί. 'Thought there ought to be just the same penalties to bind the coward as the man who refuses to serve, or the man who quits his post: for the law recognises prosecutions for cowardice,' as well as for the other two crimes, of which, he would insinuate, Demosthenes is also guilty.

11. θαυμάσειεν ἂν τις κ.τ.λ. One might have thought, 'A man cannot help constitutional timidity: if he is φύσει δειλός, it is hard that he should be prosecuted for it.' 'But the Law,' says Aeschines, 'does provide prosecution for it; for, if it cannot change the man's nature, it can give him something else to fear, so that he may be depended on' (ὑπάρχη) 'to serve his country in battle,' and at least not *act* like a coward. Some MSS. omit this clause, no doubt accidentally, from its homoioteleuton with the preceding.

16. ἔξω τῶν περιρραντηρίων τῆς ἀγορᾶς. Cp. Aristoph. Eccl. 379.

20. τὸν τὰ ἱερὰ κ.τ.λ. The point may be only 'Demosthenes' cowardice placed all

“Ἵνα δὲ μὴ ἀποπλανῶ ὑμᾶς ἀπὸ τῆς ὑποθέσεως, ἐκείνο μέμνησθε, ὅταν φῇ δημοτικὸς εἶναι· θεωρεῖτ’ αὐτοῦ μὴ τὸν λόγον, ἀλλὰ τὸν βίον, καὶ σκοπεῖτε, μὴ τίς φησιν εἶναι, ἀλλὰ τίς ἐστίν.

- 177 Ἐπεὶ δὲ στεφάνων ἀνεμνήσθην καὶ δωρεῶν, ἕως ἔτι μέμνη- 5
μαι, προλέγω ὑμῖν, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, εἰ μὴ καταλύσετε τὰς
ἀφθόλους ταύτας δωρεὰς καὶ τοὺς εἰκὴ διδομένους στεφάνους,
αὐθ’ οἱ τιμώμενοι χάριν ὑμῖν εἰσονται οὔτε τὰ τῆς πόλεως
πράγματα ἐπανορθωθήσεται· τοὺς μὲν γὰρ πονηροὺς οὐ μὴ
ποτε βελτίους ποιήσετε, τοὺς δὲ χρηστοὺς εἰς τὴν ἐσχάτην 10
ἀθυμίαν ἐμβαλεῖτε. ὅτι δ’ ἀληθὴ λέγω, μεγάλα τούτων οἶμαι
178 σημεῖα δείξιν ὑμῖν. εἰ γάρ τις ὑμᾶς ἐρωτήσῃ, πότερον ὑμῖν
ἐνδοξοτέρα δοκεῖ ἢ πόλις ἡμῶν εἶναι ἐπὶ τῶν νυνὶ καιρῶν ἢ ἐπὶ
τῶν προγόνων, ἅπαντες ἂν ὁμολογήσατε, ἐπὶ τῶν προγόνων.
ἄνδρες δὲ πότερον τότε ἀμείνους ἦσαν ἢ νυνί; τότε μὲν δια- 15
φέροντες, νυνὶ δὲ πολλῶ καταδεέστεροι. δωρεαὶ δὲ καὶ στέφα-
νοι καὶ κηρύγματα καὶ σιτήσεις ἐν πρυτανείῳ πότερον τότε

9. γάρ] Om. *gmibekl.*
om. *scdlb* B. et S. Schultz.
et Schultziani.

10. ποιήσετε] ποιήσετε *scd.*
ἐπὶ] ὅτι ἐπὶ *b Bekk.*

13. ἡμῶν] ὑμῶν *b.*
17. σιτήσεις] σιτίσεις *azp*

our temples at the mercy of the enemy,' but as no actual profanation followed, and as τὰ λερά comes immediately after the name of Dionysus, it is likelier that the allusion is to the abandoning the charge of impiety against Midias. As the charge of abandoning the proceedings from cowardice is not very consistent with that already made, of abandoning them for a bribe, can Aeschines mean that he ought to have killed Midias on the spot? which he takes great credit to himself, as a law-abiding citizen, for not doing. (*Mid.* § 96, p. 538.)

1. Ἵνα δὲ μὴ ἀποπλανῶ. By insisting on his cowardice, which may be thought chiefly a technical disqualification.

§ 177. *In fact, it is a common fault in our generation to be too lavish of crowns and public honours.*

5. ἕως ἔτι μέμνημαι. An affectation of extempore delivery not uncommon in the orators; though it is not unlikely that Aeschines' speech as delivered was not as entirely pre-composed as the one we read. He probably was less dependent on premeditation than Demosthenes, as we may guess from the way he tells the story in *De F. L.* §§ 37 sq., pp. 32 sq., especially the words τῶν γεγραμμένων διεσφάλῃ.

9. τοὺς μὲν γὰρ πονηροὺς κ.τ.λ. 'You will not reform the rogues while you reward them, and while you reward them, you will

discourage the honest men.'

11. ὅτι δ' ἀληθὴ λέγω κ.τ.λ. He has asserted two things, (A) 'those you honour will be ungrateful,' (B) 'your affairs will not recover.' As a sign of the truth of the first, he points out that when honours were scarce great men were plentiful: as a sign of the second, that when honours were scarce Athens flourished more. The arrangement of clauses is governed by the principle of Chiasmus, thus: (a) οὐθ' οἱ τιμώμενοι χάριν ὑμῖν εἰσονται, (b) οὐτε τὰ τῆς πόλεως πράγματα ἐπανορθωθήσεται, (b) εἰ γὰρ τις ὑμᾶς ἐρωτήσῃ . . ὁμολογήσατε, ἐπὶ τῶν προγόνων, (a) ἄνδρες δὲ πότερον . . καταδεέστεροι.

§ 178. *The city is worse off than in past ages, and the citizens less meritorious, yet public rewards are now a matter of course that then were rare.*

14. ἐπὶ τῶν προγόνων. Bekker with one MS. read ὅτι ἐπὶ, which is a more common construction. It is likelier that the word was introduced into one MS. by criticism, than that it should have fallen by accident out of all, easy as the latter would have been, from the resemblance of the characters of ὅτι and ἐπὶ.

17. σιτήσεις ἐν πρυτανείῳ. Several good MSS. have σιτίσεις, which may be only the common blunder of itacism, but if genuine would affect the sense. σιτήσεις is the privi-

ἦσαν πλείους ἢ νυνί; τότε μὲν ἦν σπάνια τὰ καλὰ παρ' ἡμῖν
καὶ τὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς ὄνομα τίμιον· νῦν δ' ἤδη καταπέλνται τὸ
πρᾶγμα, καὶ τὸ στεφανοῦν ἐξ ἔθους, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐκ προνοίας
ποιεῖσθε. οὐκ οὖν ἄτοπον οὕτωςι διαλογιζομένοις τὰς μὲν 179
5 δωρεὰς νῦν πλείους εἶναι, τὰ δὲ πράγματα τῆς πόλεως τότε
μᾶλλον ἢ νῦν ἰσχύειν, καὶ τοὺς ἄνδρας νῦν μὲν χεῖρους εἶναι,
τότε δ' ἀμείνους; ἐγὼ δὲ τοῦθ' ὑμᾶς ἐπιχειρήσω διδάσκειν.
οἴεσθ' ἂν ποτε, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ἐθελῆσαι τινα ἐπασκεῖν εἰς τὰ
10 Ὀλύμπια ἢ εἰς ἄλλον τινὰ τῶν στεφανιτῶν ἀγώνων παγκρά-
τιον ἢ καὶ ἄλλο τι τῶν βαρυτέρων ἄθλων, εἰ ὁ στέφανος
ἐδίδοτο μὴ τῷ κρατίστῳ, ἀλλὰ τῷ διαπραξαμένῳ; οὐδεὶς ἂν
ποτ' ἠθέλησεν ἐπασκεῖν. νῦν δ', οἶμαι, διὰ τὸ σπάνιον καὶ τὸ
περιμάχητον καὶ τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὸ ἀείμνηστον ἐκ τῆς νίκης ἐθέ-
λουσί τινες τὰ σώματα παρακαταθέμενοι καὶ τὰς μεγίστας
15 ταλαιπωρίας ὑπομείναντες διακινδυνεύειν. ὑπολάβετε τοίνυν 180
ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς εἶναι ἀγωνοθέτας πολιτικῆς ἀρετῆς, κἀκείνο ἐκλο-
γίσασθε, ὅτι, ἐὰν μὲν τὰς δωρεὰς ὀλίγοις καὶ ἀξίοις καὶ κατὰ
τοὺς νόμους διδῶτε, πολλοὺς ἀγωνιστὰς ἐξετε τῆς ἀρετῆς, ἐὰν

1. καλὰ] ἀθλα Markl. 4. διαλογιζομένοις] † Aliter, ut videbatur, in g scriptum erat †.
6. ἢ νυν] Om. bekī Bekk. Cobet. Schultz. 8. οἴεσθ' ἂν ποτε] οἴεσθ' ποτε agmnsd.

lege of taking meals, *σίτισις* the act of giving a meal: hence only the *δείσιτοι* could be said strictly speaking to have *σίτησις*, while *σίτισις* would cover the case of ambassadors and others invited for one occasion only. But *σίτισις* apparently never occurs except as a v.l. for *σίτησις*, while the latter is an unquestioned word, e.g. below, § 197.

2. *καταπέλνται τὸ πρᾶγμα*. By a Chiasmus similar to that noted just above, this clause answers to *τὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς ὄνομα τίμιον*. In the first half of the sentence he puts the fact first and its consequence second, in the latter half the effect first and its cause second, because he wishes them from the effect to infer the tendency of the cause. The metaphor in *καταπέλνται* is apparently 'the thing has all the colour washed out of it,' or possibly, 'is knocked to pieces at the wash,' and so 'is hackneyed, grown stale.' The use of *πλύνω* in the sense of *laver la tête*, 'give one a dressing,' implies more active vilification than is appropriate here.

3. *ἐξ ἔθους, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐκ προνοίας*. This statement receives some support from Demosthenes' assertion (De F. L. § 35, p. 350) that it was unheard of for an embassy not to be invited to the Prytaneum on its return: however foolish may be the pedantry, rather characteristic of Aeschines, which attributes the decline of the state to no

deeper cause.

§ 179. *And these two facts tend to perpetuate each other: just as no one would train for the Olympia if the prize went by intrigue,*

7. *ἐγὼ δὲ τοῦθ' ὑμᾶς ἐπιχειρήσω διδάσκειν*. 'I will try to explain the fact to you' seems to be the force rather than 'I will prove that it is absurd.' The explanation is, that by making honours cheap, they make it cease to be worth while to pay the cost of deserving them.

11. *κρατίστῳ*. Not necessarily 'strongest,' but 'best' in the matter of strength.

14. *τὰ σώματα . . διακινδυνεύειν*. They trust their bodies to the judges, leave it to rest with them that their trouble shall not be in vain; and then, as they can trust them, endure the certainty of suffering for the chance of winning.

§ 180. *So you must be no less careful to give no prize except to surpassing merit, or you will destroy the competition.*

16. *ἀγωνοθέτας*. The Agonothetae were probably the most incorruptible court in Greece, and nearly the only one whose decisions were universally respected. It is remarkable, that when he speaks of the more intellectual trial of the Dionysia, Aeschines thinks it necessary to apologize for the comparison: below, § 233.

δὲ τῷ βουλομένῳ καὶ τοῖς διαπραξαμένοις χαρίζεσθε, καὶ τὰς
 181 ἐπικεῖς φύσεις διαφθερεῖτε. ὅτι δὲ ὀρθῶς λέγω, ἔτι μικρῷ
 σαφέστερον ὑμᾶς βούλομαι διδάξαι. πότερον ὑμῖν ἀμείνων ἀνὴρ
 εἶναι δοκεῖ Θεμιστοκλῆς ὁ στρατηγῆσας, ὅτ' ἐν τῇ [περὶ]
 Σαλαμῖνι ναυμαχίᾳ τὸν Πέρσην ἐνικᾶτε, ἢ Δημοσθένης ὁ τὴν 5
 τάξιν λιπών; Μιλτιάδης δὲ ὁ τὴν ἐν Μαραθῶνι μάχην τοὺς
 βαρβάρους νικήσας, ἢ οὗτος; ἔτι δ' οἱ ἀπὸ Φυλῆς φεύγοντα
 τὸν δῆμον καταγαγόντες; Ἀριστείδης δ' ὁ δίκαιος, ὁ τὴν
 182 ἀνόμοιον ἔχων ἐπωνυμίαν Δημοσθένη; ἀλλ' ἔγωγε μὰ τοὺς
 θεοὺς τοὺς Ὀλυμπίους οὐδ' ἐν ταῖς αὐταῖς ἡμέραις ἄξιον ἡγοῦμαι 10
 μεμνησθαι τοῦ θηρίου τούτου καὶ ἐκείνων τῶν ἀνδρῶν. ἐπιδειξάτω

4. ὅτ' ἐν] *δε* *sed* Ald. B. et S. *περὶ Σαλαμῖνι*] Sic *az* Laur. Flor. Barb. *sed*, *Σαλα-*
μῖνι *p* Vat. B. et S. Schultz., *παρὰ Σαλαμῖνι* *gmh* *παρὰ Σαλαμῖνα* *bekl* et teste Bekk. *f*: vid
 Annot. Certe ἐν Σαλαμῖνι et Μαραθῶνι usitatio est formula; *sed* iis qui et hic et infra ea
 reponi jubent non est cur assentiamus. 8. Post δίκαιος *ἐπικαλούμενος* add. omnes
praeter agma. 11. καὶ ἐκείνων] *κακείνων* *c* Bekk.: idque reponi volt Frank.

1. χαρίζεσθε in sense belongs more appropriately to τῷ βουλομένῳ than to τοῖς διαπραξαμένοις: in fact the latter would be better away. Aeschines' argument is, 'You ought to give crowns only to rare merit: by lavishing them τῷ βουλομένῳ, you make them accessible τοῖς διαπραξαμένοις,' i. e. by giving them recklessly to men who have perhaps done some *slight* service, you make it easy for a traitor like Demosthenes to get them by intrigue. But he is so anxious to say that Demosthenes has got them by intrigue, that he inserts the statement against him where he ought to keep to the general principle. The rhetorical symmetry, however, is not sacrificed, even if the sense be confused: τῷ βουλομένῳ answers to ὀλίγοις, τοῖς διαπραξαμένοις to ἄλίοις.

§ 181. Compare *then* Demosthenes with *the great men of old*.

2. μικρῷ σαφέστερον. Almost 'in more detail,' with concrete instances, instead of in general terms.

4. Θεμιστοκλῆς. His subsequent treason being conveniently ignored.

[περὶ] Σαλαμῖνι. Most MSS. have the dative, and nearly all have either *περὶ* or *παρὰ*. *παρὰ* with the dative is hardly possible, while *περὶ* with the dative might have seemed strange to a copyist, and so have furnished a motive for altering either the preposition or the case. *περὶ Σαλαμῖνι* would be much like *περὶ τῇ κεφαλῇ* in Thuc. 6. 34, very nearly identical with the Homeric usage of fighting *over* a dead man. The local sense predominates, 'fighting *off* Salamis,' but fighting 'for Salamis' also enters into the idea. And considering how far the

line of ships must have reached, especially after the Persians were broken, *περὶ* would be the most accurate word that could be used. The simple *Σαλαμῖνι* is less accurately descriptive: we should say, 'Nelson commanded at Trafalgar,' but only because the name suggests to us the battle rather than the cape. If we wished to describe the locality, we should say 'off Trafalgar,' and similarly in Greek (though ἐν Σαλαμῖνι came to be familiarised in usage) *Σαλαμῖνι* would be harsh. *παρὰ Σαλαμῖνα* would describe the scene of action almost as well as the text, but the authority is against it.

8. ὁ τὴν ἀνόμοιον ἔχων ἐπωνυμίαν Δημοσθένης. 'Whose surname is such a contrast to the character of Demosthenes.'

§ 182. *Nay, be is not comparable with them: yet they, true patriots as they were, were never crownded.*

10. ἐν ταῖς αὐταῖς ἡμέραις: cp. ad § 117. This phrase is exactly like ours, 'not fit to be named in the same day with them;' but the Greek assumes that there would be many days on which they would be spoken of, and expresses that on any of those days it would be a shame to speak of Demosthenes.

11. θηρίου seems to have been almost a nickname of Demosthenes, who had attained a distinction that made even his enemies concede the *oderint dum metuant* test of greatness. Here the antithesis to ἀνδρῶν is almost too pointed for good effect. Note also how *ἐκείνων*, opposed to τούτων, comes to express eminence, 'the great men of the good old times,' contrasted with the scoundrels who pass for great men now.

τοίνυν Δημοσθένης ἐν τῷ αὐτοῦ λόγῳ, εἴ που γέγραπται
τινα τῶν ἀνδρῶν τούτων στεφανῶσαι. ἀχάριστος ἄρ' ἦν
ὁ δῆμος; οὐκ, ἀλλὰ μεγαλόφρων, κἀκεῖνοί γε οἱ μὴ τετιμη-
μένοι τῆς πόλεως ἄξιοι· οὐ γὰρ φόντο δεῖν ἐν τοῖς γράμμασι
5 τιμαῖσθαι, ἀλλ' ἐν τῇ μνήμῃ τῶν εὖ πεπονθότων, ἢ ἀπ' ἐκείνου
τοῦ χρόνου μέχρι τῆσδε τῆς ἡμέρας ἀθάνατος οὔσα διαμένει.
δωρεὰς δὲ τίνας ἐλάμβανον; ὧν ἄξιόν ἐστι μνησθῆναι.

Ἦσαν τινες κατὰ τοὺς τότε καιροὺς, οἱ πολὺν ὑπομείναντες 183
πόνον καὶ μεγάλους κινδύνους ἐπὶ τῷ Στρυμόνι ποταμῷ
10 ἐνίκων μαχόμενοι Μήδους· οὗτοι δεῦρο ἀφικόμενοι τὸν δῆμον

2. τῶν ἀνδρῶν τούτων] Sic *agripp* Vat. Laur. Flor.: ceteri et volg. τούτων τῶν ἀνδρῶν.
3. κἀκεῖνοί] κἀκεῖνό *am* et teste Bekk. *g*: † nisi mea vox legentis fratrem fecellit, *g*
κἀκεῖνφ a m. pr. habet, quod verum esse credimus †. οἱ μὴ] εἰ μὴ α, οἱ μὲν ν, οἱ μὴ

οὕτω *scd*q Barb. Reisk. Hoc sane glossema videtur esse: nec tamen est cur οἱ μὴ τετιμη-
μένοι cum Hamakero et Frankio dealeamus. 4. ἄξιοι] ἀνάξιοι *scd*. 7. ὧν] Om.

scd et volg. ante Bekk. Veterem lectionem (δωρεὰς .. ἐλάμβανον, ἄξιον κ.τ.λ.) restitui
jubent Bakk. Cobet. Frank. ἐστὶ μνησθῆναι] † ἐτι μέμνησθαι pr. *g* †. 8. Post

ἦσαν δὲ add. *gmn*. Post τινες ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι add. *bekk* Bekk. πολὺν
ὑπομείναντες πόνον] πολὺν πόνον ὑπομείναντες Bekk. Schultz.: πόνον ὑπομείναντες χρόνον
teste illo habent *agripp*, etiam Laur.: † nobis videbatur *g* a pr. m. habuisse πόνον ὑπομεί-
ναντες, a secunda ὑπομείναντες χρόνον, denique χρόνον subscriptis punctis notatum esse,
profecto ut vetus scriptura restitueretur †; ὑπομείναντες χρόνον habent Vat. *pfcd*q Barb.

3. κἀκεῖνοί γε .. ἄξιοι. Reading as in the text, which no doubt is the easiest and perhaps as likely as any, τῆς πόλεως ἄξιοι will mean 'they were μεγαλόφρονες too,' and their preferring the honour of memorials in men's hearts to those of decrees or inscriptions is given as a proof of it. The reading κἀκεῖνό γε of the best MSS. is probably to be explained as an error for κἀκεῖνφ γε, the way it is written in one at least of them: 'and through that very fact, those who received no honour became worthy of the city,' i.e. because the city did not lavish petty rewards for petty services, they were forced to the true nobleness that wins enduring honour. Another group of MSS. has ἀνάξιοι for ἄξιοι, which must be either a genuine reading, or a literary correction. 'And were they, who received no honour, unworthy of the city? Nay, they thought it right to win honour, not in ...' Reading ἀνάξιοι, it would still be an improvement to have ἐκεῖνφ, 'But did that fact,' viz. their receiving no honour, 'make them unworthy of the city?' The objection to the reading ἀνάξιοι, is that it would seem to necessitate the change of γε into δέ, for which there is no MS. authority. The advantage of it would be, that the passage would be more symmetrical. Reading as we do below, in the sentence δωρεὰς δὲ .. μνησθῆναι, we should thus have three questions and answers, (1) ἀχάριστος .. μεγαλόφρων, (2) κἀκεῖνφ

γε (or κἀκεῖνό γε) .. διαμένει, (3) δωρεὰς .. μνησθῆναι, only the second of which is of any length. As it is, we have one question with a very long answer, followed by a very short one. But it is remarkable that the MSS. which read ἀνάξιοι here are the same that omit ὧν. κἀκεῖνφ we believe to be right: but one is afraid to trust one's own eyes against Bekker's. Vid. Conspectus Codicum.

7. δωρεὰς δὲ .. μνησθῆναι. 'But what kind of gifts did they receive?' (lit. 'what gifts was it usual for them to receive?') 'Gifts that it will be worth while to specify,' viz. such as those mentioned below, as given to the captors of Eion. Bekker with the great majority of MSS. read as in the text: but some editors desire to return to the old reading δωρεὰς δὲ τίνας ἐλάμβανον, ἄξιόν ἐστι μνησθῆναι. The MS. reading is less obvious, and for reasons given in the last note perhaps more forcible.

§§ 183-186. The conquerors of Eion were rewarded by an inscription not giving their names, but ascribing the glory of their deed to the nation.

10. ἐνίκων μαχόμενοι. In fact the place was reduced by famine, as is admitted in the first inscription; and the siege seems on the whole to have been one from which the besiegers reaped less glory than the defenders. See Hdt. 7. 107, Thuc. 1. 98.

τὸν δῆμον ἤγησαν δωρεάν. If we compare this, and the request of Miltiades

- ἤτησαν ὠρεᾶν, καὶ ἔδωκεν αὐτοῖς ὁ δῆμος τιμὰς μεγάλας, ὥς
 τότε ἔδοκει, τρεῖς λιθίνους Ἑρμᾶς στῆσαι ἐν τῇ στοᾷ τῇ τῶν
 Ἑρμῶν, ἐφ' ᾧτε μὴ ἐπιγράφειν τὰ ὀνόματα τὰ ἑαυτῶν, ἵνα
 μὴ τῶν στρατηγῶν, ἀλλὰ τοῦ δήμου δοκῇ εἶναι τὸ ἐπίγραμμα.
 184 ὅτι δ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, ἐξ αὐτῶν πῶν ποιημάτων εἴσεσθε. ἐπιγέ- 5 Τ/
 γραπται γὰρ ἐπὶ τῷ μὲν πρώτῳ τῶν Ἑρμῶν
 ἦν ἄρα κἀκεῖνοι ταλακάρδιοι, οἱ ποτε Μήδων
 παισὶν ἐπ' Ἡϊόνι, Στρυμόνος ἀμφὶ ροᾶς,
 λιμόν τ' αἰθῶνα κρατερόν τ' ἐπάγοντες Ἄρῃα
 πρῶτοι δυσμενέων εὖρον ἀμηχανίην. 10
 185 ἐπὶ δὲ τῷ δευτέρῳ
 ἡγεμόνεσσι δὲ μισθὸν Ἀθηναῖοι τὰδ' ἔδωκαν
 ἀντ' εὐεργεσίας καὶ μεγάλης ἀρετῆς.
 μᾶλλον τις τὰδ' ἰδὼν καὶ ἐπεσσομένων ἐθελήσει
 ἀμφὶ ξυνοῖσι πράγμασι μόχθον ἔχειν. 15
 186 ἐπὶ δὲ τῷ τρίτῳ ἐπιγέγραπται Ἑρμῇ
 ἔκ ποτε τῆσδε πόλῃος ἄμ' Ἀτρεΐδῃσι Μενεσθεὺς
 ἡγείτο ζᾶθεον Τρωικὸν ἄμ πεδίον,
 ὃν ποθ' Ὀμηρος ἔφη Δαναῶν πύκα χαλκοχιτώνων
 κοσμητῆρα μάχης ἔζοχον ἄνδρα μολεῖν. 20

3. ἐφ' ᾧτε] ἔφητε *gamnc*, ἔφηντε *a*, ἔφησε *fd*.

6. τῷ μὲν] Sic *amh* Vat. Laur.

Barb.: ceteri μὲν τῷ, itaque volg. usque ad Bekk.

13. ἀρετῆς] ἀμοιβῆς *a*.

15. ἀμφὶ ξυνοῖσι] ἀμφὶ περὶ ξυνοῖς Anthol. Gr. Plut. in vit. Cimon. c. 7. B. et S. Schults.

19. χαλκοχιτώνων] περ φρονέοντων *a*, θαρηκτῶν (ut Plut. l. c.) marg. Bern. et super versum *gmh*. Vid. annot. 20. κοσμητῆρα] Ita Vat. Barb. *bekl*: ceteri κοσμητόρα vel. -τοῦρα.

mentioned below, which was categorically refused, with the arrogant inscription of Pausanias (Thuc. i. 132), and with the general tone of Pindar's heroes, it seems likely enough that there was a real collision between the ambition of Cimon and the jealousy of Athenian democracy.

3. ἵνα μὴ τῶν στρατηγῶν. Almost 'in order that the inscription might belong to the people, not the generals,' that it might be an ἀνάθημα of the nation's, not of theirs. Putting it up was a national act: the nation reserved jealously to itself the honour of it.

9. λιμόν τ' αἰθῶνα. It is noted that the Germans have exactly the same idiom, *ein brennender Hunger*.

10. ἀμηχανίην. Commonly taken, 'found means of bringing the foe to helplessness.' But perhaps the sense is, 'they were the first Greeks to find a Persian army at their mercy,' as Herodotus remarks that the Athenians at Marathon were the first to

meet a Persian army in the field without dishonour (6. 112 fin.) The superstitious horror of the Persians which was felt to the last, seems as though the superstitious terror there mentioned had been a reality: and the capitulation of Eion may have been a moral surprise like that of Sphacteria.

19. Ὀμηρος: Il. 2. 553. The commendation of Menestheus was declared by some to be an Athenian interpolation.

πύκα χαλκοχιτώνων. A meaningless phrase: in Homer we have constantly πύκα θαρηκτῶν, and that is the text of this inscription as given by Plutarch. Some MSS. correct the text accordingly: but Aeschines, quoting from memory, is likelier to have made the blunder than either the epigrammatist or copyist. πύκα περ φρονέοντων, the reading of one of the best MSS. known, is not impossible, 'wise as all Greeks are, he surpassed them in skill:' i. e. if Aeschines blundered, he is likelier to have fallen into a blunder that was not nonsensical.

οὕτως οὐδὲν ἀεικὲς Ἀθηναίοισι καλεῖσθαι
κοσμητὰς πολέμου τ' ἀμφὶ καὶ ἡγορέης.

ἔστι που τὸ τῶν στρατηγῶν ὄνομα; οὐδαμοῦ, ἀλλὰ τὸ τοῦ
δήμου.

- 5 Προέλθετε δὴ τῇ διανοίᾳ καὶ εἰς τὴν στοᾶν τὴν ποικίλην 187
ἀπάντων γὰρ ὑμῖν τῶν καλῶν ἔργων τὰ ὑπομνήματα ἐν τῇ
ἀγορᾷ ἀνάκειται. τί οὖν ἐστίν, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ὃ ἐγὼ λέγω;
ἐνταῦθα ἢ ἐν Μαραθῶνι μάχῃ γέγραπται. τίς οὖν ἦν ὁ
στρατηγός; οὕτως μὲν ἐρωτηθέντες ἅπαντες ἀποκρίναισθε ἂν,
10 ὅτι Μιλτιάδης, ἐκεῖ δὲ οὐκ ἐπιγέγραπται. πῶς; οὐκ ἤτησε
τὴν δωρεὰν ταύτην; ἤτησεν, ἀλλ' ὁ δῆμος οὐκ ἔδωκεν, ἀλλ'
ἀντὶ τοῦ ὀνόματος συνεχώρησεν αὐτῷ πρώτῳ γραφῆναι, παρα-
καλοῦντι τοὺς στρατιώτας. ἐν τοίνυν τῷ μητρώῳ παρὰ τὸ 188
βουλευτήριον, ἦν ἔδοτε δωρεὰν τοῖς ἀπὸ Φυλῆς φεύγοντα τὸν
15 δῆμον καταγαγούσιν, ἔστιν ἰδεῖν. ἦν μὲν γὰρ ὁ τὸ ψήφισμα
γράψας καὶ νικήσας Ἀρχίνος ὁ ἐκ Κοίλης, εἰς τῶν καταγα-
γόντων τὸν δῆμον, ἔγραψε δὲ πρῶτον μὲν αὐτοῖς εἰς θυσίαν
καὶ ἀναθήματα δοῦναι χιλίας δραχμὰς, καὶ τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ἔλατ-
τον ἢ δέκα δραχμαὶ κατ' ἄνδρα ἕκαστον, ἔπειτα κελεύει στε-
20 φανοῦσθαι θαλλοῦ στεφάνῳ αὐτῶν ἕκαστον, ἀλλ' οὐ χρυσῷ·
τότε μὲν γὰρ ἦν ὁ τοῦ θαλλοῦ στέφανος τίμιος, νυνὶ δὲ καὶ
ὁ χρυσοῦς καταπεφρόνηται. καὶ οὐδὲ τοῦτο εἰκὴ πρᾶξαι κε-

5. προέλθετε] Sic Barb. f.dq bekl Bak. Cobet.: ceteri et Schultz. προσέλθετε 9. ἀπο-
κρίναισθε] ἀποκρίνεσθε pr. g, -νεῖσθε corr. id., † mox deletο ἂν †. ἀποκρίνεσθε ἂν pr Vat.

Laur. Barb. f. ἀποκρίνασθε ἂν n, ἀποκρίνασθε ἂν m, ἀποκρίνεῖσθε bel, ἂν ἀποκρίνεῖσθε h.
19. στεφανοῦσθαι] στεφανώσαι bekl Bekk.

§ 187. So in the painting of Marathon, you see Miltiades' figure, but not his forgotten name: that was refused to him.

6. ἀπάντων γὰρ gives the reason for the preceding καὶ: 'You have only to turn from the Portico of the Hermae to the Portico of the Pictures: your country is not ungrateful, all the achievements of her children are on record in the market-place.' This sense gives force to the reading *προέλθετε*, 'go on a little further: volgo *προσέλθετε*.

9. οὕτως. 'When a man asks you as I ask you now;' when he appeals to your general knowledge, to which the picture would add nothing.

ἀποκρίναισθε ἂν. We have to choose between this reading and ἀποκρίνεῖσθε, to which several MSS. point. 'I know you will answer' is harsh, but perhaps with a harshness more like the effort of an author than the error of a transcriber: however, we follow the balance of authority.

12. πρώτῳ. 'In the foremost place,' at the head of the troops.

§ 188. The restorers of the democracy were crowned—with olive, not with gold: in those days the olive was thought honour enough—but only after a rigid proof of their services.

13. ἐν τῷ μητρώῳ. The temple of Cybele built by Phidias, to expiate the slaughter of a *μητράγούρης* who had initiated the women of Attica. In Pausanias' time the building was extant, and still used as a record office. παρὰ τὸ βουλευτήριον is suspected as a gloss by many critics, not unreasonably.

14. τοῖς ἀπὸ Φυλῆς .. καταγαγούσιν. 'To the men from Phyle who brought back the Commons.'

22. καὶ οὐδὲ τοῦτο κ.τ.λ. 'And even this moderate honour was not allowed to be given carelessly, but only after the Senate had determined the true list of those actually

λέγει, ἀλλ' ἀκριβῶς τὴν βουλὴν σκεψαμένην, ὅσοι αὐτῶν ἐπὶ Φυλῇ ἐπολιορκήθησαν, ὅτε Λακεδαιμόνιοι καὶ οἱ τριάκοντα προσέβαλλον τοῖς καταλαβοῦσι Φυλὴν, οὐχ ὅσοι τὴν τάξιν ἔλιπον ἐν Χαιρωνείᾳ τῶν πολεμίων ἐπιόντων. ὅτι δ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, ἀναγνώσεται ὑμῖν τὸ ψήφισμα.

5

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ ΠΕΡΙ ΔΩΡΕΑΣ ΤΟΙΣ ΑΠΟ ΦΥΛΗΣ.

189 Παρανάγνωθι καὶ ὁ γέγραφε Κτησιφῶν Δημοσθένει τῷ τῶν μεγίστων κακῶν αἰτίῳ.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

Τούτῳ τῷ ψηφίσματι ἐξαλείφεται ἡ τῶν καταγαγόντων¹⁰ τὸν δῆμον δωρεά. εἰ τοῦτ' ἔχει καλῶς, ἐκείνο αἰσχρῶς· εἰ ἐκεῖνοι κατ' ἀξίαν ἐτιμήθησαν, οὗτος ἀνάξιος ᾧ στεφανοῦνται.

190 Καίτοι πυνθάνομαί γ' αὐτὸν μέλλειν λέγειν, ὥς οὐ δίκαια

2. Φυλῇ] Φυλὴ *agm* B. et S., qui conferunt Lys. 12, § 52. Volg. et Bekk. Φυλῆς. Ante Λακεδαιμόνιοι ol add. z. 7. Post παρανάγνωθι δῆ add. z.

engaged in the defence of Phyle.' No one who had not been there, or had not done his duty, was to be allowed a chance of getting in by mistake: much less was a man who had done the reverse of his duty to be treated better than they were. For the construction, τὴν βουλὴν is of course the subject of πράξει, and the object of κελεύει, but it is an afterthought to express it, as in both relations it might have been omitted. τὴν βουλὴν, without further designation, usually means the Five Hundred: else the investigation is a business of a kind that was usually referred to the Areopagus.

1. ἐπὶ Φυλῇ. So the best MSS.: volg. ἐπὶ Φυλῆς. B. and S. compare Lys. 12, § 52.

3. οὐχ ὅσοι τὴν τάξιν κ.τ.λ. The symmetry of the clauses is rather a fictitious one, but the object of it is to imply what is stated below, § 245, 'if you ask what are Demosthenes' qualifications for a crown, you can only say, His vices.'

§ 189. *You bear what was done for them—a disgracefully mean reward, if Ctesiphon be right.*

10. ἐξαλείφεται. It ceases to be a *doreia* worth mentioning: it becomes a fact, that the people ungratefully gave no reward to the restorers of their liberty, if a man who had done so little service to the state—in fact, less than none—received a reward such as Ctesiphon proposed.

12. στεφανοῦνται. In an imperfect sense,

'it is proposed to crown him.' But there are occasional indications, that Aeschines felt as he went on that his case was hopeless, and this perhaps is one of them: he admits that Demosthenes is in a fair way to get the crown, but can only protest that he does not deserve it.

§ 190. *Tben he pretends that he ought to be compared with his contemporaries only. But no one deserves a crown unless he can afford to be compared with the highest standard of absolute merit.*

13. πυνθάνομαί γ' αὐτὸν μέλλειν λέγειν κ.τ.λ. The illustration does actually occur in Demosthenes' speech as we have it, § 392. There is no difficulty in supposing that the whole of this section was added in preparing the speech for publication, or rather for use in Aeschines' school of rhetoric. And although Aeschines may have guessed the line of Demosthenes' argument, or even have had intelligence of the concrete shape it would take (neither Demosthenes in describing his intended point, nor the reporter in telling Aeschines, was likely to omit the vivid details which made it telling and easy to remember), it is hardly likely that Demosthenes would have repeated his illustration unaltered after this attempt to mar its point. φησὶ (volgo φήσκει) is to be referred to Demosthenes' habitual language about the trial, though it would suit the point of view of a man with Demosthenes' speech before him.

ποιῶ παραβάλλων αὐτῷ τὰ τῶν προγόνων ἔργα· οὐδὲ γὰρ Φιλάμμωνα φησι τὸν πύκτην Ὀλυμπίασι στεφανωθῆναι νικήσαντα Γλαῦκον τὸν παλαιὸν ἐκείνον πύκτην, ἀλλὰ τοὺς καθ' ἑαυτὸν ἀγωνιστάς, ὥσπερ ὑμᾶς ἀγνοοῦντας, ὅτι τοῖς μὲν πύκ-
 5 ταις ἐστὶν ὁ ἀγὼν πρὸς ἀλλήλους, τοῖς δ' ἀξιοῦσι στεφανοῦσθαι πρὸς αὐτὴν τὴν ἀρετὴν, ἧς καὶ ἔνεκα στεφανοῦνται. δεῖ γὰρ τὸν κήρυκα ἀψευδεῖν, ὅταν τὴν ἀνάρρησιν ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ ποιῇται πρὸς τοὺς Ἑλληνας. μὴ οὖν ἡμῖν, ὡς Παταικίωνος ἄμεινον πεπολίτευσαι, διέξιθι, ἀλλ' ἐφικόμενος τῆς ἀνδραγα-
 10 θίας, οὕτω τὰς χάριτας τὸν δῆμον ἀπαίτει.

Ἴνα δὲ μὴ ἀποπλανῶ ὑμᾶς ἀπὸ τῆς ὑποθέσεως, ἀναγνώσεται 191
 ὑμῖν ὁ γραμματεὺς τὸ ἐπίγραμμα, ὃ ἐπιγέγραπται τοῖς ἀπὸ Φυλῆς τὸν δῆμον καταγαγούσιν.

ΕΠΙΓΡΑΜΜΑ.

15 Τούσδ' ἀρετῆς ἔνεκα στεφάνοις ἐγέραιρε παλαίχθων
 δῆμος Ἀθηναίων, οἱ ποτε τοὺς ἀδίκους
 δεσμοῖς ἄρξαντας πρῶτοι πόλεως καταπαύειν
 ἦρξαν, κίνδυνον σώμασιν ἀράμενοι.

Ὅτι τοὺς παρὰ τοὺς νόμους ἄρξαντας κατέλυσαν, διὰ τοῦτ' αὖ- 192
 20 τοὺς φησιν ὁ ποιητὴς τιμηθῆναι. ἔναυλον γὰρ ἦν ἔτι τότε πᾶσιν,

2. φησὶ] Sic *agmā* Vat. Laur., *φασὶ* b, *φασὶ* el: *volgo* φήσει. Vid. annot.
 4. ὑμᾶς] ἡμᾶς *fedbehl*. 5. ὁ ἀγὼν] *ἀγὼν fedq*: 'scrib. opinor *ἀγὼν*' Frank.
 9. ἐφικόμενος] *καταλαμβάνον* corr. g. 17. πρῶτοι πόλεως] *πόλιος* πρῶτοι *bh*
Beck., *πόλιος* πρῶτοι *el*. 'Scribe *πόλεως* πρῶτοι' Frank. 20. *ἔτι*] *Om. a et fortasse*
per incuriam Frank.

4. ὥσπερ ὑμᾶς ἀγνοοῦντας. The accusative by a kind of attraction to *Φιλάμμωνα* .. *στεφανωθῆναι νικήσαντα*: what Demosthenes *thought* is expressed in the same construction as what he *said*.

6. δεῖ γὰρ τὸν κήρυκα κ.τ.λ. Half ironical: 'if you are vain enough to require that your virtue shall be proclaimed to all Greece in spite of the law, you ought at least to let the virtue be real.'

8. Παταικίωνος. According to Suidas, he traded upon quarrels with young men of rank and character: but the context here would lead us to think of political rather than private rascality.

10. οὕτω. Almost equivalent to *εἴτα*, 'first attain to virtue, and *then* ask to be thanked for it.' Only *οὕτω* does not emphasise the idea of time: it is 'when you are in that state,' not, 'afterwards, when you have done that.'

§ 191. But consider the spirit of those men, the restorers of the democracy.

11. Ἴνα δὲ μὴ .. ὑποθέσεις. He con-

cludes the digression about the comparative frequency of crowns in old and recent times, but from the mention of the restorers of the democracy he passes back to the subject of τὸ παράνομον gradually.

15. παλαίχθων δῆμος. With special reference to the autochthonous character of the nation, and its antiquity, Thuc. 2. 36. The word *παλαίχθων* also occurs in Aesch. S. c. T. 105 *παλαίχθων Ἀρης*, where the sense is very similar. Ares was the father of Harmonia, the ancestress of the royal line: and the Sparti were descended from his sacred dragon.

§ 192. *In those days (I heard it from my father, who shared in them) men knew what it was for Law to be overthrown:*

20. ἔναυλον γὰρ .. πᾶσιν. 'At that time every one had it dinned into their ears,' because the Four Hundred had abolished the *γραφὴ παρανόμων* as the first step in their revolution: of which that of the Thirty was considered the natural sequel. *ἑνὲς* will probably mean the Four Hundred.

ὅτι τηνικαῦτα ὁ δῆμος κατελύθη, ἐπειδὴ τινες τὰς γραφὰς τῶν
 παرانόμων ἀνείλον. καὶ γάρ τοι, ὡς ἐγὼ τοῦ πατρὸς τοῦ
 ἑμαντοῦ ἐπυνθανόμην, ὃς ἔτη βιούς ἐνενήκοντα καὶ πέντε ἐτελεύ-
 τησεν, ἀπάντων μετασχὼν τῶν πόνων τῇ πόλει, ὃς πολλάκις
 πρὸς ἐμὲ διεξήκει ἐπὶ σχολῇς· ἔφη γάρ, ὅτε ἀρτίως κατελη-
 198 ρον ἀνδρὸς παράνομα λέγοντος καὶ πράττοντος; καὶ τὴν ἀκρό-
 ασιν, ὡς ἐκεῖνος ἀπήγγελλεν, οὐ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ἐποιοῦντο,
 ὥσπερ νῦν γίγνεται, ἀλλ' ἦσαν πολὺ χαλεπώτεροι οἱ δικασταὶ 10
 τοῖς τὰ παράνομα γράφουσιν αὐτοῦ τοῦ κατηγόρου, καὶ πολ-
 λάκις ἀνεπὸδιζον τὸν γραμματέα καὶ ἐκέλευον πάλιν ἀναγιγνώ-
 σκειν τοὺς νόμους καὶ τὸ ψήφισμα, καὶ ἡλίσκοντο οἱ παρά-
 νομα γράφοντες, οὐκ εἰ πάντας παραπήδησαι τοὺς νόμους,
 ἀλλ' εἰ μίαν μόνον συλλαβὴν παραλλάττειαν. τὸ δὲ νυνὶ γιγ-
 15 νόμενον πρᾶγμα ὑπερκαταγέλαστόν ἐστιν· ὁ μὲν γὰρ γραμ-
 ματεὺς ἀναγιγνώσκει τὸ παράνομον, οἱ δὲ δικασταὶ ὥσπερ ἐφωδῇν

4. δὲ] Vid. annot. Mox Frank. διεξήκειν scribi jubet.

11. τὰ] Om. *fed bekl* Bekk.

16. γὰρ] Om. *gmel*.

6. γραφὴν] γραφή q.

12. ἀνεπὸδιζον] ἐνεπὸδιζον b, corr. g, et marg. m.

2. τοῦ πατρὸς τοῦ ἑμαντοῦ: cp. Ae. de F. L. p. 38, § 82. Aeschines knew the discreditable stories about his father's origin and, it appears, could afford to notice them as there, or to treat them as beneath notice, as here.

3. ἐνενήκοντα καὶ πέντε: cp. Ae. de F. L. fin. He therefore died the year after his son's acquittal.

4. δὲ πολλάκις. As the repetition of the relative, after *ὃς ἔτη βιούς κ.τ.λ.*, is clumsy, one is tempted to adopt Markland's conjecture *ὅς*. Taylor's, *ὡς*, is exposed to just the same objection as the text.

5. διεξήκει ἐπὶ σχολῇς. 'Used to tell me all about it.'

ἔφη γὰρ resumes *καὶ γάρ τοι*, and gives the reason of *ἐναυλον ἦν*. The form of the paragraph is lively and ungrammatical: we should have expected *ὅμοιον ἦν*, to complete *ὡς... ἐπυνθανόμην*, instead of *ἔφη... εἶναι ὅμοιον*.

6. εἰσίοι γραφὴν. Volg. ante Bekk. *γραφὴ*: the only attraction of which is the occurrence of the phrase below, § 198. Only one MS. has it.

7. εἶναι ὅμοιον τὸ ὄνομα καὶ τὸ ἔργον. 'The thing was treated in fact as it was in theory,' the trial in name was a trial indeed; 'and reason good; for what is more abominable than a man who breaks the law in

word and deed?' The antithesis of the last clause is a temptation to take that of the former as parallel to it, so that τὸ ὄνομα καὶ τὸ ἔργον should balance λέγοντος καὶ πράττοντος. But τὸ ὄνομα then would have to mean 'his proposition,' which is hardly possible; if it were, the point would be 'a mover of illegal resolutions is as bad as a law-breaker in action,' a common criminal. It would be a bad commendation to say, 'when a man was brought to trial for an unconstitutional law, men were as indignant at bearing the name as at the thing itself,' i. e. they started with a prejudice against the prisoner, thinking that to be even suspected of such a crime was a crime in itself. But Aeschines does sometimes pay such doubtful compliments.

§ 193. *The court attended to the legal details, and punished the slightest irregularity; now they do not attend to the laws, but only to the speeches.*

11. πολλάκις. Probably 'often' in every trial, not merely 'in many trials.'

12. ἀνεπὸδιζον. 'Pulled him up,' made him go over the ground again: explained by the next clause.

17. ἐφωδῇν. A magical formula, that had to be gone through before the trial could legitimately commence, but which no one was expected to understand.

ἢ ἀλλότριόν τι πρᾶγμα ἀκροώμενοι πρὸς ἑτέρῳ τινὶ τὴν γνώμην ἔχουσιν. ἤδη δ' ἐκ τῶν τεχνῶν τῶν Δημοσθένους αἰσ- 194
χρὸν ἔθος ἐν τοῖς δικαστηρίοις παραδέχεσθε, μετενήνεκται γὰρ ὑμῖν τὰ τῆς πόλεως δίκαια· ὁ μὲν γὰρ κατήγορος ἀπολο-
5 γείται, ὁ δὲ φεύγων τὴν γραφὴν κατηγορεῖ, οἱ δὲ δικασταὶ ἐνίοτε ὧν μὲν εἰσι κριταὶ ἐπιλανθάνονται, ὧν δ' οὐκ εἰσὶ δικασ-
ται, περὶ τούτων ἀναγκάζονται τὴν ψήφον φέρειν. λέγει δὲ ὁ φεύγων, ἂν ἄρα ποθ' ἄψηται τοῦ πράγματος, οὐχ ὡς ἐννομα γέγραπεν, ἀλλ' ὡς ἤδη ποτὲ καὶ πρότερον ἕτερος τοιαῦτα
10 γράψας ἀπέφυγεν. ἐφ' ᾧ καὶ νυνὶ μέγα φρονεῖν ἀκούω Κτησιφῶντα. ἐτόλμα δ' ἐν ὑμῖν ποτε σεμνύνεσθαι Ἀριστοφῶν 195
ἐκεῖνος ὁ Ἀζχιεὺς λέγων, ὅτι γραφᾶς παρανόμων πέφυγεν ἐβδομήκοντα καὶ πέντε. ἀλλ' οὐχὶ ὁ Κέφαλος ὁ παλαιὸς

4. ὑμῖν] Ita Markl. Bekk. Frank. Schultz: ἡμῖν bek, ceteri ὑμῶν, unde ὑφ' ὑμῶν Reisk. : om. B. et S. 11. Ἀριστοφῶν] † ὁ Ἀριστοφῶν g †. 12. πέφυγεν] ἀπέφυγεν bek Cobet. 13. ὁ Κέφαλος] Κέφαλος z.

1. πρὸς ἑτέρῳ τινὶ. Perhaps he means, that they do not attend to the trial at all *during* the reading of the impeached resolution and the παραγεγραμμένοι νόμοι. He does not mean that they are inattentive to the trial *throughout*, but the ἤδη δὲ of the next sentence makes it unlikely that he here introduces the charge, which follows there, of deciding the trial on a false issue. Here the point seems to be, 'they form their opinion of the illegality, not from the laws but from the speeches;' then he goes on to say, 'they often come to decide without regarding the question of illegality at all.'

§ 194. *And since Demosthenes has influenced the courts, often decide on a totally irrelevant issue, because the speeches are about one.*

2. ἤδη .. παραδέχεσθε. 'It has come to this, through the arts of Demosthenes, that you habitually tolerate a shameful custom in the courts:' the force of the present is fixed by μετενήνεκται.

4. ὁ μὲν γὰρ .. κατηγορεῖ: cp. Ae. in Tim. p. 25, § 175, which proves that ascribing the custom to Demosthenes is not a meaningless invective. Here the moral is, 'If you had attended to the technicalities I began with, you would have voted right; as it is, I have to defend myself against Demosthenes' stock accusations, and you will vote on the general merits of our respective policy.'

5. οἱ δὲ δικασταὶ .. κριταὶ .. δικασταί. 'The judges in the court forget the questions they have to *decide*, and have to vote on questions that are not *before the court*,' even

supposing it is the judges' business, as individual citizens, to have an opinion on them, δικασταὶ being the more technical word. Cobet and Schultz would omit the second δικασταί, but the above point seems to justify its retention.

7. ἀναγκάζονται. They are forced to decide the issue they have allowed to be raised.

10. ἐφ' ᾧ καὶ κ.τ.λ. The argument is actually brought forward, D. de Cor. § 281: cp. ibid. §§ 103 sq., 145 sqq. Of course a modern court would consider the defence a good one: it is the only technical weakness of Aeschines' case.

§ 195. *In consequence of this change of spirit, Aristophanes prides himself on his skill in getting off charges, which Cephalus prided himself on never incurring.*

11. Ἀριστοφῶν: cp. sup. ad § 139.

13. Κέφαλος. Sometimes confounded, even by ancient writers, with the friend of Pericles, and interlocutor in Plato's Republic. But he was a Syracusan, and never was admitted to Athenian citizenship, and so cannot have proposed resolutions in the Assembly. This Cephalus was a demagogue of rather later date, one of those who overthrew the Thirty (by which time his namesake was dead). He is mentioned by Aristophanes in Eccles. 248, as one of the speakers Praxagora will find it hardest to reply to: but whether we are to understand from that passage that the author thought him a scurrilous debater or a steady friend to the constitution is not clear. Modern writers have assumed the former.

ἐκείνος, ὁ δοκῶν δημοτικώτατος γεγονέναι, οὐχ οὕτως, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τοῖς ἐναντίοις ἐφιλοτιμεῖτο, λέγων, ὅτι πλείστα πάντων γεγραφώς ψηφίσματα οὐδεμίαν πώποτε γραφὴν ἐπέφευγε παρανόμων, καλῶς, οἶμαι, σεμνυνόμενος. ἐγράφοντο γὰρ ἀλλήλους παρανόμων οὐ μόνον οἱ διαπολιτευόμενοι, ἀλλὰ καὶ οἱ φίλοι τοὺς φίλους, 5
 196 εἴ τι ἐξαμαρτάνοιεν εἰς τὴν πόλιν. ἐκείθεν δὲ τοῦτο γνῶσθε. Ἀρχίνος γάρ ὁ ἐκ Κοίλης ἐγράψατο παρανόμων Θρασύβουλον τὸν Στειριά [γράψαντά τι παρὰ τοὺς νόμους], ἕνα τῶν συγκατελθόντων αὐτῷ ἀπὸ Φυλῆς, καὶ εἶλε νεωστὶ γεγεννημένων αὐτῷ τῶν εὐεργεσιῶν, αἷς οὐχ ὑπελογίσαντο οἱ δικασταί· ἡγούντο 10 γὰρ, ὥσπερ τότε αὐτοὺς φεύγοντας ἀπὸ Φυλῆς Θρασύβουλος κατήγαγεν, οὕτω νῦν μένοντας ἐξελαύνειν παρὰ τοὺς νόμους
 197 γράφοντά τι. ἀλλ' οὐ νῦν, ἀλλ' ἅπαν τούναντίον γίγνεται· οἱ γὰρ ἀγαθοὶ στρατηγοὶ ὑμῖν καὶ τῶν τὰς σιτήσεις τινὲς εὐρημένων ἐν τῷ πρυτανείῳ ἐξαιτοῦνται τὰς γραφὰς τῶν παρα- 15 νόμων, οὓς ὑμεῖς ἀχαρίστους εἶναι δικαίως ἂν ὑπολαμβάνοιτε· εἰ γὰρ τις ἐν δημοκρατίᾳ τιμημένος, ἐν τοιαύτῃ πολιτείᾳ, ἣν οἱ θεοὶ καὶ οἱ νόμοι σώζουσι, τολμᾷ βοηθεῖν τοῖς παράνομα γράφουσι, καταλύει τὴν πολιτείαν, ὑφ' ἧς τετίμηται.

3. ἐπέφευγε] ἐφευγε Bekk., ἐφυγε Cobet.

8. ἕνα] στεφανοῦν ἕνα libri praeter *sdg* Barb.: vid. annot. Paullo supra γράψαντα .. νόμους omitti jubent Hamak. Frank. Schultz.

12. παρὰ τοὺς νόμους γράφοντά τι] γράφοντά τι παρὰ τοὺς νόμους Bekk.

13. ἀλλ' ἅπαν] ἀλλὰ πᾶν Vat. Bekk. Schultz.

14. ὑμῖν] Sic ek Bekk. Frank.

ἡμῖν bl, ὑμῶν ceteri, om. B. et S.

5. οἱ διαπολιτευόμενοι. Just equivalent to the Latin '*de republica dissentire*,' which implies the previous possibility of agreement, and explains the distinction of Ammonius, διαπολιτεύεσθαι λέγουσι τοὺς ἐκ τῆς αὐτῆς πόλεως ἀντιπολιτεύεσθαι δὲ τοὺς ἐξ ἐτέρας δι' ἐτέρας ἀντιδιαστατοῦντας ἀλλήλοις. E.g. Demosthenes would be said διαπολιτεύεσθαι Ἀλσχίνοι, but ἀντιπολιτεύεσθαι would be applied to his debate with Philip's ambassadors at Thebes.

§ 196. *Thrasylbulus did not escape for his services: Archinus himself did not hesitate to prosecute him: for his services were the restoration of law, and law overrides friendship.*

6. ἐκείθεν. Much like ἐκείνως above, § 168. So D. de Cor. § 192.

8. [γράψαντα .. νόμους]. Naturally suspected as a gloss by Hamaker. The proposal was to confer citizenship on Lysias for his services against the Thirty, without the necessary προβούλευμα.

ἕνα τῶν κ.τ.λ. The story is given in Ps. Plut. Vit. X. Orat. Lysias, p. 835 fin: from the form of his sentence, Orelli (ap. Bremi ad hunc loc.) conjectures that he read

ἐνί, which would be a curious *dativus comodi*. If we retain the clause γράψαντα .. νόμους, we must take the two accusatives as qualifications, in different senses, of Θρασύβουλον, 'because he proposed . . , though he was one . . .' not as though ἕνα τῶν referred to Lysias, and were a double accusative after γράψαντα. But probably the latter view was taken by the author of the gloss στεφανοῦν found in nearly all MSS. before ἕνα, as well as by those who read ἐνί.

12. μένοντας ἐξελαύνειν. Compare the expression in the Heliastic Oath (given ap. Dem. in Timocr. pp. 746, 747) οὐδὲ τοῖς μένοντας ἐξελαῶ παρὰ τοὺς νόμους τοὺς κειμένους κ.τ.λ.

§ 197. *Now men, themselves good and honoured public servants, turn against the public service in cases like this.*

15. ἐξαποτύνται τὰς γραφάς. The common sense of ἐξαποτύνειν is to withdraw by entreaty, whether from judgment or from vengeance. Hence it can be used with an accusative either of the criminal, as Dem. Mid. p. 426, § 27, or of the crime, as Eur. Andr. 53-55, or of the case, as here.

18. οἱ θεοὶ καὶ οἱ νόμοι: cp. above, § 6.

τίς οὖν ἀποδέδεικται λόγος ἀνδρὶ δικαίῳ συνηγόρῳ καὶ σώ- 198
φρονι; ἐγὼ λέξω. εἰς τρία μέρη διαιρεῖται ἡ ἡμέρα, ὅταν
εἰσὶν γραφὴ παρανόμων εἰς τὸ δικαστήριον. ἐγχείται γὰρ
τὸ μὲν πρῶτον ὕδωρ τῷ κατηγόρῳ καὶ τοῖς νόμοις καὶ τῇ δη-
5 μοκρατίᾳ, τὸ δὲ δεύτερον ὕδωρ τῷ τὴν γραφὴν φεύγοντι καὶ
τοῖς εἰς αὐτὸ τὸ πρᾶγμα λέγουσιν· ἐπειδὴν δὲ τῇ πρώτῃ
ψήφῳ μὴ λυθῇ τὸ παράνομον, ἤδη τὸ τρίτον ὕδωρ ἐγχείται
τῇ τιμῇ καὶ τῷ μεγέθει τῆς ὀργῆς τῆς ὑμετέρας. ὅστις 199
μὲν οὖν ἐν τῇ τιμῇ τὴν ψήφον αἰτεῖ, τὴν ὀργὴν τὴν ὑμε-
10 τέραν παραιτεῖται· ὅστις δ' ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ λόγῳ τὴν ψήφον
αἰτεῖ, ὅρκον αἰτεῖ, νόμον αἰτεῖ, δημοκρατίαν αἰτεῖ, ὧν οὔτε
αἰτῆσαι οὐδὲν ὅσιον οὐδενὶ οὔτ' αἰτηθέντα ἐτέρῳ δοῦναι. κε-
λεύσατε οὖν αὐτοὺς, ἑάσαντας ὑμᾶς τὴν πρώτην ψήφον κατὰ
✓ τοὺς νόμους διενεγκεῖν, ἀπαντᾶν εἰς τὴν τιμῇσιν. ὅλως δ' 200
15 ἔγωγε, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ὀλίγου δέω εἰπεῖν, ὥς καὶ νόμον δεῖ τεθῆ-
ναι ἐπὶ ταῖς γραφαῖς μόνον τῶν παρανόμων, μὴ ἐξεῖναι μήτε

1. ἀνδρὶ δικαίῳ συνηγόρῳ καὶ σώφρονι.] Ita *afcd*: ἀνδρὶ συνηγόρῳ δικαίῳ καὶ
σώφρονι *gmn* B. et S.: ἀνδρὶ δικαίῳ συνηγόρῳ *bekl*. Vid. annot. 7. μὴ] Om.
bekl. 10. παραιτεῖται] αἰτεῖται *gmn*. 11. ὅρκον αἰτεῖ] Om. *agmn*, post
νόμον αἰτεῖ ponunt z Flor. *bekl*, post τὴν ψήφον αἰτεῖ Vat. *p*. 14. ἀπαντᾶν] ἐπειτα
ἀπαντᾶν *p*. Reisk. 16. ταῖς .. τῶν] ταῖς *b* Bekk., ceteri τῶν.

§ 198. *The right thing for a man to do in defence of such a case is to speak only in mitigation of penalty:*

1. ἀνδρὶ δικαίῳ συνηγόρῳ καὶ σώφρονι. So most MSS.: B. and S. and others transpose *συνηγόρῳ δικαίῳ*. As a matter of taste, it is hard to say which order is preferable: 'what is a man to say who wishes to be loyal to his client, without transgressing his duty to the state?' is the sense in either case. To express this, it is more obvious to couple *δικαίῳ καὶ σώφρονι* immediately together; but, some may think, less artistic.

2. ὅταν εἰσὶν γραφὴ παρανόμων. The arrangement was common to all public prosecutions.

4. τῷ κατηγόρῳ .. δημοκρατίᾳ. Aeschines does not quite assume that the accused is guilty, but does assume that the accuser has no motive but loyalty for the prosecution.

6. εἰς αὐτὸ τὸ πρᾶγμα. To prove that the decree is consistent with the letter of the law.

§ 199. *Then, and then only, are appeals to your favour admissible without treason.*

9. τὴν ψήφον αἰτεῖ. 'Asks for your vote as a favour,' instead of attempting to convince you that the vote favourable to him will be right.

10. παραιτεῖται. 'Tries by his entrea-

ties to turn aside your anger' is the force of the preposition: 'to beg off' in English covers the sense both of *παραιτεῖσθαι* and *ἐξαιτεῖσθαι*.

11. ὅρκον αἰτεῖ, νόμον αἰτεῖ, δημοκρατίαν αἰτεῖ. This figure *ἐπιμονή*, which produces its effect by dwelling on a subject through a juxtaposition of equivalent clauses in *crescendo*, is characteristic of Aeschines, as polysyndeton is of Demosthenes. Cp. above, §§ 24, 25, 94: below, §§ 201 fin. 203.

13. αὐτοὺς. The *συνήγοροι* whom Aeschines feels obliged to treat without abuse. It is not known who they were: one might almost guess Phocion, from his known indifference to party ties, and Aeschines' deprecatory respect. But in § 230, it seems hinted the general engaged was no speaker.

§§ 200, 201. *In fact, I wish that in these trials advocates were not allowed at all, to obscure the simple legal issue.*

16. μόνον. In other public trials, there will ordinarily be room for differences of opinion, either as to the facts or the merits of the case (*ἀρίστων τὸ δίκαιον*): in these the thing to be done is simply to compare the texts of two documents. Of course Aeschines exaggerates the simplicity of the latter problem; perhaps honestly, because, having himself a lawyer's intellect, he found it easy.

- τῷ κατηγορῷ συνηγόρους παρασχέσθαι μήτε τῷ τὴν γραφὴν τῶν παρανόμων φεύγοντι. οὐ γὰρ ἀόριστόν ἐστι τὸ δίκαιον, ἀλλ' ὠρισμένον τοῖς νόμοις τοῖς ὑμετέροις. ὥσπερ γὰρ ἐν τῇ τεκτονικῇ, ὅταν εἰδέναι βουλόμεθα τὸ ὀρθὸν καὶ τὸ μὴ, τὸν **201** κανόνα προσφέρομεν, ᾧ διαγιγνώσκεται, οὕτω καὶ ἐν ταῖς γραφαῖς τῶν παρανόμων παράκειται κανὼν τοῦ δικαίου τουτί τὸ σανίδιον καὶ τὸ ψήφισμα καὶ οἱ παραγεγραμμένοι νόμοι. ταῦτα συμφωνοῦντα ἀλλήλοις ἐπιδείξας κατὰβαινε· καὶ τί δέῃ σε Δημοσθένην παρακαλεῖν; ὅταν δ' ὑπερπηδήσας τὴν δικαίαν ἀπολογίαν παρακαλῇς κακοῦργον ἄνθρωπον καὶ τεχνίτην λόγων, **10** κλέπτεις τὴν ἀκρόασιν, βλάπτεις τὴν πόλιν, καταλύεις τὴν δημοκρατίαν.
- 202** Τίς οὖν ἐστὶν ἀποτροπὴ τῶν τοιούτων λόγων; ἐγὼ ἐρῶ. ἐπειδὰν προσελθὼν ἐνταυτοῖ Κτησιφῶν διεξέλθῃ πρὸς ὑμᾶς τοῦτο δὴ τὸ συντεταγμένον αὐτῷ προοίμιον, ἔπειτ' ἐνδιατρίβῃ **15** καὶ μὴ ἀπολογῇται, ὑπομνήσατ' αὐτὸν ἄνευ θορύβου τὸ σανίδιον λαβεῖν καὶ τοὺς νόμους τῷ ψηφίσματι παραναγνῶναι. εἰ δὲ μὴ προσποιῇται ὑμῶν ἀκούειν, μηδὲ ὑμεῖς ἐκείνου ἐθέλετε

6. τῶν] ταῖς τῶν k Bekk. Mox 'καὶ ante τὸ ψήφισμα puto delendum esse' Saupp.
14. προσελθὼν] προελθὼν ekl.

6. τουτί τὸ σανίδιον is the whole, τὸ ψήφισμα and οἱ παραγεγραμμένοι νόμοι the parts, the two written in parallel columns on the tablet, for comparison and contrast.

9. ὑπερπηδήσας. 'Overleaping the limits:' cp. above, § 12. The word is chosen to express the extent, the easy magnificence, so to speak, of Ctesiphon's contempt for law.

10. κακοῦργον. Fitted to carry you through in setting aside the constitution by violence: τεχνίτην λόγων, fitted to disguise the true nature of your attempt. τεχνίτην λόγων of Demosthenes also in Ae. in Tim. p. 24, § 170.

11. κλέπτεις τὴν ἀκρόασιν: cp. above, § 99, which seems to indicate that the sense is rather 'playing tricks with the ears' of the courts, 'taking in those who allow you a hearing,' than 'gaining a hearing under false pretences,' the most obvious sense here.

§ 202. *It rests with you, judges, to remove these abuses, by refusing Ctesiphon a hearing except when speaking to the point:*

15. τοῦτο δὴ τὸ συντεταγμένον αὐτῷ προοίμιον. 'The introductory remarks, which you will of course regard as assigned to him by Demosthenes.' The ablest orator on the side seems to have undertaken the conduct of the defence generally, and to

have assigned to each of the συνηγόροι their respective parts; it does not follow that Ctesiphon's speech is supposed to have been written by Demosthenes, but only so arranged by him as to serve as a προοίμιον to his own.

ἔπειτ' ἐνδιατρίβῃ. 'And then begins making a delay, instead of proceeding with his defence.' Having said a few things calculated to introduce Demosthenes' speech, he goes on for a little while, lest curttness should seem disrespectful to the court; but never gets beyond his exordium, and then, apologising for his inexperience in speaking, asks to be allowed to entrust his cause to Demosthenes.

16. ἄνευ θορύβου goes with ὑπομνήσατ' αὐτὸν, and is answered by μηδὲ ὑμεῖς ἐκείνου ἐθέλετε ἀκούειν. For the danger of the court scraping a man down, and the use of θορυβεῖν in the technical sense of refusing him a hearing by such means, cp. Plat. Apol. p. 20 E, Andoc. Alcib. p. 30, init. § 4, the latter in a context somewhat like this.

18. εἰ δὲ μὴ προσποιῇται. 'If he pretends not to hear you.' The pretence would not be absurd, as 500 men could hardly cry out in perfect unison, even if unanimously in making the demand Aeschines suggests.

ἀκούειν· οὐ γὰρ τῶν φευγόντων τὰς οὐ δικαίας ἀπολογίας εἰσεληλύθατε ἀκροασόμενοι, ἀλλὰ τῶν ἐθελόντων δικαίως ἀπολογεῖσθαι. ἐὰν δ' ὑπερπηδήσας τὴν δικαίαν ἀπολογία²⁰³ Δημοσθένην παρακαλῇ, μάλιστα μὲν μὴ προσδέχεσθε κακοῦργον ἄνθρωπον, οἰόμενον ῥήμασι τοὺς νόμους ἀναιρήσειν, μὴδ' ἐν ἀρετῇ τοῦθ' ὑμῶν μηδεὶς καταλογιζέσθω, ὃς ἂν ἐπανερομένου Κτησιφῶντος, εἰ καλέσῃ Δημοσθένην, πρῶτος ἀναβοήσῃ “κάλει, κάλει.” ἐπὶ σαυτὸν καλεῖς, ἐπὶ τοὺς νόμους καλεῖς, ἐπὶ τὴν δημοκρατίαν καλεῖς. ἂν δ' ἄρα ὑμῖν δόξῃ ἀκούειν, ¹⁰ ἀξιώσατε τὸν Δημοσθένην τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ἀπολογεῖσθαι, ὄνπερ καὶ γὰρ κατηγόρηκα. ἐγὼ δὲ πῶς κατηγόρηκα; ἵνα καὶ ὑπομνήσω ὑμᾶς. οὔτε τὸν ἴδιον βίον τὸν Δημοσθένους πρό- ²⁰⁴ τερον διεξῆλθον οὔτε τῶν δημοσίων ἀδικημάτων οὐδενὸς πρότερον ἐμνήσθην, ἄφθονα δὴπου καὶ πολλὰ ἔχων λέγειν, ἢ πάν- ¹⁵ των γ' ἂν εἶην ἀπορώτατος· ἀλλὰ πρῶτον μὲν τοὺς νόμους ἐπέδειξα ἀπαγορεύοντας μὴ στεφανοῦν τοὺς ὑπευθύνους, ἔπειτα τὸν ῥήτορα ἐξήλεγξα γράψαντα Δημοσθένην ὑπεύθυνον ὄντα

1. Alterum οὐ om. Flor. Hamaker.

ante Bekk., sine librorum auctoritate.

2. τῶν ἐθελόντων] τὰς τῶν ἐθελόντων volg.
 4. 'Verba κακοῦργον .. ἀναιρήσειν Bakius ut
 ex § 16 repetita delet:' atque ex eodem loco z iis σοφιστὴν praeposit. 7. εἰ καλέσῃ]
 εἰ καλέσω agrippa Joan. Sicul., εἰ καλέσει fedqz, εἰ καλέσειε Bekk., εἰ καλέσοι Ald. Reisk. ἢ
 καλέσω B. et S. 11. ὄνπερ .. πῶς] ὄνπερ καὶ γὰρ. ὡς πᾶς fed Barb. 13. οὐδενὸς
 πρότερον] πρότερον οὐδένος a.

2. ἀκροασόμενοι. Perhaps with the notion of 'listening for entertainment.'

§ 203. And by refusing Demosthenes a bearing at all, except on condition of following the order of my speech:

3. ὑπερπηδήσας .. ἀπολογία. It is hard to avoid thinking that some of these words are a repetition from § 201. Similarly those that follow, κακοῦργον .. ἀναιρήσειν, are repeated from § 16, with only the substitution of ἀνθρώπου for σοφιστὴν: and one good MS. puts σοφιστὴν before κακοῦργον here.

5. μὴδ' ἐν ἀρετῇ κ.τ.λ. 'Let none of you make it a merit, if he is the first, when Ctesiphon asks if he shall call Demosthenes, to cry out "Call him."' ἐν ἀρετῇ, 'as a proof of kindness:' cp. Thuc. 2. 40.

7. εἰ καλέσῃ. Bekker compares Ae. de F. L. p. 36, §§ 67 fin., 71, for εἰ with a deliberative conjunctive: in the former passage there are traces of a v.l. with the future. The best group of MSS., with Joannes Sicelioti, here read εἰ καλέσω; whence B. and S. ἢ καλέσω, which may be right, and in any case is what Ctesiphon would actually say. But the variations of the MSS. suggest the

view that they are all conjectural emendations of the text.

8. κάλει, κάλει. Repeated, in a good-natured eagerness to give the man on his trial every chance..

11. ὄνπερ καὶ γὰρ. The force of καὶ is 'to submit to the same restrictions in his defence to which I have submitted in my accusation,' trying to disguise the fact, that his demand deprives his enemy of a liberty which he himself has used. Demosthenes naturally refuses this demand at the outset, De Cor. § 15.

§ 204. First I alleged the law against crowning accountable officials, and proved that Ctesiphon violated it openly; replying to objections.

12. οὔτε τὸν ἴδιον βίον κ.τ.λ. According to the hackneyed custom of vulgar orators (followed even by Cicero). Demosthenes does not begin with attacks on Aeschines' private life, and De Cor. § 13 may be held to refer to this passage: whence it appears that here Aeschines has not altered the speech since delivery.

15. ἀπορώτατος. 'Most helpless,' unable to avail myself of an extensive choice (εὐπορία) of topics.

στεφανοῦν οὐδὲν προβαλλόμενον, οὐδὲ προσεγγράψαντα “ἐπει-
 δὴν δὴ τὰς εὐθύνας,” ἀλλὰ παντελῶς καὶ ὑμῶν καὶ τῶν νόμων
 καταπεφρονηκότα· καὶ τὰς ἐσομένας πρὸς ταῦτα προφάσεις
 205 εἶπον, ὡς ἀξιῶ καὶ ὑμᾶς διαμνημονεύειν. δεύτερον δ’ ὑμῖν διε-
 ξήλθον τοὺς περὶ τῶν κηρυγμάτων νόμους, ἐν οἷς διαρρήδην ὡς
 ἀπείρηται τὸν ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου στεφανούμενον μὴ κηρύττεσθαι
 ἔξω τῆς ἐκκλησίας· ὁ δὲ ῥήτωρ ὁ φεύγων τὴν γραφὴν οὐ τοὺς
 νόμους μόνον παραβέβηκεν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸν καιρὸν τῆς ἀναρρή-
 σως καὶ τὸν τόπον, κελύων οὐκ ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ, ἀλλ’ ἐν τῷ
 θεάτρῳ τὴν ἀναρρῆσιν γίγνεσθαι, οὐδ’ ἐκκλησιαζόντων Ἀθη- 10
 ναίων, ἀλλὰ μελλόντων τραγῳδῶν εἰσιέναι· ταῦτα δ’ εἰπὼν
 206 μικρὰ μὲν περὶ τῶν ἰδίων εἶπον, τὰ δὲ πλείστα περὶ τῶν δη-
 μοσίων ἀδικημάτων λέγω. οὕτω δὲ καὶ τὸν Δημοσθένην ἀξιῶ-
 σατε ἀπολογεῖσθαι πρὸς τὸν τῶν ὑπευθύνων νόμον πρῶτον καὶ
 τὸν περὶ τῶν κηρυγμάτων δεύτερον, τρίτον δὲ τὸ μέγιστον 15
 λέγω, ὡς οὐδὲ ἀξίός ἐστι τῆς δωρεᾶς. εἰάν δ’ ὑμῶν δέηται

1. προβαλλόμενον] προβαλλόμενον Steph. Bekk., idque mavolt Frank. προσεγ-
 γραψαντα] προσγράφαντα Bp. 3. ταῦτα] ταύτας agnosc. 13. λέγω] Om. fcdq
 B. et S. Schultz. 15. τὸ μέγιστον] τὸν μέγιστον a: ‘malim δ’ Bekk. 16. οὐδὲ
 ἀξίός] οὐδὲν ἀνάξιος Bcl γρ. g, οὐδὲ ἀνάξιος k, οὐκ ἀνάξιος f; quae omnia fortasse ex οὐδὲν
 ἀξίος nata sint. Durum est ut οὐκ ἀνάξιος cum ἀπολογεῖσθαι construere.

1. οὐδὲν προβαλλόμενον. So the MSS., B. and S., and Franke in his text. Bekker, and Franke in his second edition, follow Stephanus in reading προβαλλόμενον. The aorist is of course more symmetrical with προσεγγράψαντα; on the other hand, the present may have a distinctive force, ‘he has moved to crown Demosthenes . . . , without attempting a disguise, and without having used the specific precaution of a clause . . .’

2. παντελῶς. Such a saving clause would have been a sign, not of respect, but of incomplete contempt. Cp. § 11 sq.

§ 205. Then I proved that the manner of the proclamation was as illegal as the time of the gift: lastly, I said a little about Demosthenes’ private vices, and a good deal about his political treasons.

7. ὁ δὲ ῥήτωρ: cp. ad § 31. The designation ὁ φεύγων τὴν γραφὴν is required, lest ὁ ῥήτωρ by itself should seem to mean Demosthenes.

τοὺς νόμους . . τὸν καιρὸν . . καὶ τὸν τόπον. We have had a similar false antithesis in § 34, ubi vide. Note the χιασμοὶ in the next clause, οὐκ ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ ἀλλ’ ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ answering to τὸν τόπον, and οὐδ’ ἐκκλησιαζόντων . . εἰσιέναι to τὸν καιρὸν.

13. λέγω. B. and S. omit this word, as

suggested by Markland and supported by one group of MSS. But Aeschines means to imply, ‘I have continued that subject down to this moment,’ according to the common Greek idiom. Strictly, Aeschines had continued the subject until his digressions on the degeneracy of Athens, as shewn first by recklessness in the bestowal of public honours, and then by disregard of illegal propositions. If λέγω be not genuine, it must be a gloss, to give the sense of Reiske’s punctuation περὶ τῶν δημοσίων, ἀδικημάτων λέγω, which Bremi calls miram rationem.

§ 206. Keep him to the same order: if he tries to transgress it, be sure that his object is to evade the legal point altogether.

15. τὸ μέγιστον. If δ were read, λέγω would be superfluous: with τὸ, it is not necessary but idiomatic.

16. οὐδὲ ἀξίος. ‘Not worthy of the gift either,’ even if the gift were one that might lawfully be given to a worthy man. Some MSS. have ἀνάξιος, the negative being in one οὐκ and in another οὐδὲν instead of οὐδέ. These may be merely traces of a reading οὐδὲν ἀξίος; or may be corrections on the hypothesis that the clause describes, as formally it ought, the most important part of Demosthenes’ case, not of Aeschines’.

συγχωρῆσαι αὐτῷ περὶ τῆς τάξεως τοῦ λόγου, κατεπαγγελλόμενος, ὡς ἐπὶ τῇ τελευτῇ τῆς ἀπολογίας λύσει τὸ παράνομον, μὴ συγχωρεῖτε, μηδ' ἀγνοεῖθ', ὅτι πάλαισμα τοῦτ' ἐστὶ δικαστηρίου οὐ γὰρ εἰσαυθὺς ποτε βούλεται πρὸς τὸ παράνομον ἀπολογεῖσθαι, ἀλλ' οὐδὲν ἔχων δίκαιον εἰπεῖν ἐτέρων παρεμβολῇ πραγμάτων εἰς λήθην ὑμᾶς βούλεται τῆς κατηγορίας ἐμβαλεῖν. ὥσπερ οὖν ἐν τοῖς γυμνικοῖς ἀγῶσιν ὁρᾶτε τοὺς 207 πύκτας περὶ τῆς στάσεως ἀλλήλοις διαγωνιζομένους, οὕτω καὶ ὑμεῖς ὅλην τὴν ἡμέραν ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως περὶ τῆς τάξεως αὐτῷ 10 τοῦ λόγου μάχεσθε, καὶ μὴ ἑάτε αὐτὸν [εἰς τοὺς] ἔξω τοῦ παρανόμου λόγου περιστασθαι, ἀλλ' ἐγκαθήμενοι καὶ ἐνεδρεύοντες ἐν τῇ ἀκροάσει εἰσελαύνετε αὐτὸν εἰς τοὺς τοῦ πράγματος λόγους, καὶ τὰς ἐκτροπὰς αὐτοῦ τῶν λόγων ἐπιτηρεῖτε. ἀλλ' 208 ἃ δὴ συμβήσεται ὑμῖν, ἐὰν τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον τὴν ἀκροάσιν

4. βούλεται] βούλοιτ' ἂν γρ. g.

8. ἀλλήλοις] Ita B. et S., Frankum in Quaest.

Aeschin. apud Act. Soc. Gr. secuti. ἀλλήλους habent *amh*, ἀλλήλους b: vulgo πρὸς ἀλλήλους.

10. ἔξω τοῦ παρανόμου] εἰς τοὺς ἔξω τοῦ παρανόμου λόγους *bekl Bekk.* († εἰς τοὺς delebit corr. b †): ἔξω τοῦ παρανόμου λόγους *agnhrs* B. et S., ἔξω τοῦ παρανόμου λόγου *fdg*, εἰς τοὺς τοῦ παρανόμου λόγους *Plin. Ep. g. 26.* λόγους sive λόγους *ejiciebat Frank., collato Ae. in Tim. p. 25, § 176: idque om. Vat. Laur.*

12. ἀκροάσει] *ἐκκλησίᾳ pr. bekl Plin. l. c., et mox παρανόμου pro πράγματος iidem.*

14. ἐὰν] *ἐὰν μὴ Taylor.*

post Lambinum, idque probat Frank. Vid. annot.

But Aeschines brings in the assertion *ὡς οὐδὲ δεῖνός ἐστι*, where he ought to have quietly asked Demosthenes to prove *ὡς οὐκ ἀνάξιος ἐστίν*. Though a fault, it is more in his own manner than a copyist's.

1. κατεπαγγελλόμενος. The word is used by Aeschines also in *Tim. §§ 117, 173*, pp. 16, 24: below, § 224 ubi vide. In all of these passages the *κατὰ* seems to have its proper force, either 'promising' one person something 'to the detriment' of another, or making a deceitful promise, and so 'promising to the detriment' of the recipient of the promise. Here the reference, if to any definite passage in Demosthenes' speech, is to § 71: but it is not likely that this passage is added after delivery. For Demosthenes does not either promise or give the legal argument at the end of his speech, but in the middle, §§ 140 sqq., as a parenthesis in the political one—*κακοὺς ἐς μέσσον ἐλάσας*, as the writer of the anonymous Hypothesis remarks.

3. πάλαισμα . . δικαστηρίου. As we should say, 'a lawyer's trick of fence.' Cp. ad § 28: the almost tropical use of this word suggests the metaphor that follows.

§ 207. *Do not allow him to fight on his own ground:*

10. [εἰς τοὺς] ἔξω τοῦ παρανόμου λό-

γους περιστασθαι. Franke's omission of λόγους is now supported by two good MSS., but if retained, its retention appears necessarily to involve that of *εἰς τοὺς*, though against the balance of MS. authority. *περιστασθαι* does not appear to be ever used transitively, like *προίστασθαι*, in the sense of 'surrounding oneself with' a thing. With either Bekker's reading or Franke's, the sense will be 'to work his way, shift his ground, off the subject of the breach of law'; with that of B. and S., we must give it this unusual sense, 'entrench himself in arguments outside the question of illegality.' *περίστημι*, in both its transitive and intransitive forms, has generally the sense of changing a position for the worse. *ἐγκαθήμενοι* and *ἐνεδρεύοντες*, and perhaps *ἐκτροπὰς*, continue the metaphor of the Palaestra: mild as the metaphor is, ἐν τῇ ἀκροάσει and τῶν λόγων are introduced to soften it.

§ 208. *Else I will warn you of the consequences. Demosthenes will arise, and identify loyalty to the state with loyalty to his party.*

14. τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον. As *ἐκείνως* in § 168 meant 'in the way I tell you, which is not your way,' so this must mean 'in your natural way, which I am warning you against,' i.e. if you let Ctesiphon call Demosthenes, and Demosthenes argue his own

ποιήσθε, ταῦθ' ὑμῖν ἤδη δίκαιός εἰμι προειπεῖν. ἐπεισάξει γὰρ τὸν γόητα καὶ βαλαντιστόμον καὶ διατετμηκότα τὴν πολιτείαν. οὗτος κλαίει μὲν ῥᾶον ἢ ἄλλοι γελῶσιν, ἐπιорκεῖ δὲ πάντων προχειρότατα· οὐκ ἂν θαυμάσαιμι δὲ, εἰ μεταβαλλόμενος τοῖς ἔξω περιεστηκόσι λοιδορήσεται, φάσκων τοὺς μὲν ὀλιγαρχι- 5 κούς ὑπ' αὐτῆς τῆς ἀληθείας διηριθμημένους ἥκειν πρὸς τὸ τοῦ κατηγοροῦ βῆμα, τοὺς δὲ δημοτικούς πρὸς τὸ τοῦ φεύγοντος.

209 ὅταν δὴ ταῦτα λέγῃ, πρὸς μὲν τοὺς στασιαστικούς λόγους ἐκείνο αὐτῷ ὑποβάλλετε· “ὦ Δημόσθενες, εἰ σοὶ ἦσαν ὅμοιοι οἱ ἀπὸ Φυλῆς φεύγοντα τὸν δῆμον καταγαγόντες, οὐκ ἂν ποθ' 10 ἡ δημοκρατία κατέστη. νῦν δὲ ἐκείνοι μὲν μεγάλων κακῶν συμβάντων ἔσωσαν τὴν πόλιν τὸ κάλλιστον ἐκ παιδείας ῥῆμα φθεγξάμενοι, μὴ μνησικακεῖν· σὺ δὲ ἐλκοποιεῖς, καὶ μᾶλλον σοὶ μέλει τῶν αὐθημερόν λόγων ἢ τῆς σωτηρίας τῆς πόλεως.”

3. ῥᾶον] *ῥαδίως agmnpfd* Ald., *ῥάδιον* Scheib.

add. *fed*. 8. ταῦτα] *τὰ τοιαῦτα bekī Bekk*.

ἔτι: ἔτι om. *agmnp* B. et S.

4. Post προχειρότατα ἀνθρώπων

9. ὑποβάλλετε] *Volg. υποβάλλετε*

14. αὐθημερόν] *αὐθήμερον fcl pr. b, corr. k.*

way. If this sense of *τούτων τὸν τρόπον* seems forced, it is a yet greater difficulty how else to find a suitable subject for *ἐπεισάξει*. If it were permissible to speculate on confusions that may have arisen from successive redactions of the speech, one might be tempted to make the connection as follows: ‘If you hold *Clasipbon* to the point of law, he will bring in *Demosthenes* to save him by rhetoric, treating all after *ἐπὶ τὴν δημοκρατίαν καλεῖς* in § 203 as an afterthought. But this seems precluded by *Demosthenes*’ reference to *Aeschines* dictating to him the order of topics. Some editors in despair propose to read *ἐὰν μὴ τούτων*, which of course cuts the knot.

1. δίκαιός εἰμι. ‘I may be allowed to warn you,’ affecting a disregard, for himself personally, of the consequences of the court deciding wrongly: he only is determined to do his duty to *them*. So *ἤδη*, ‘having told you not to do it, I am not afraid to say what will happen if you do.’

2. διατετμηκότα τὴν πολιτείαν. Probably simply ‘who has mutilated and paralysed the city’s life,’ broken its backbone, as we should say. *διατετμηκότα πολιτείαν* cannot mean the same as *διατεμνόμενα πόλιν*, ‘divides the city into two hostile parties,’ and can hardly mean ‘has made political life sectional,’ though either of these would suit the next sentence, describing what *Aeschines* calls his *στασιαστικούς λόγους*; and would be fairly justified by facts, in the sense that *Demosthenes* had managed to introduce something like the modern ‘government by party,’ without the modern

assumption that ‘his majesty’s opposition’ were as loyal to King *Demos* as the ministry.

4. οὐκ ἂν θαυμάσαιμι. There is no such passage in *Demosthenes*, and the form of this sentence looks as though it were composed really before he heard his speech. Yet *ὑπ’ αὐτῆς τῆς ἀληθείας διηριθμημένους*, ‘told off by the simple force of facts,’ looks like a quotation. The party of *Aeschines* is stigmatised as oligarchical, not that of the prosecutor generally.

§ 209. *To his appeals to the Constitution, reply that it would never have existed if our statesmen had always been like him: to his oaths, that you and the Gods know him too well to trust him:*

12. τὸ κάλλιστον ἐκ παιδείας ῥῆμα φθεγξάμενοι. ‘Giving utterance to that noble lesson’ may express the sense. But in the comprehensive sense of the word *παιδεία* (a favourite of *Aeschines*, *vid. ad* § 117), it almost corresponds to ‘civility’ in its eighteenth century use, for which *Johnson* thought ‘civilisation’ a needless barbarism. *ἀπαιδευσία* at any rate is exactly ‘incivility’ in that sense.

13. ἐλκοποιεῖς. As we speak of ‘establishing a yaw.’

14. αὐθημερόν λόγων. *Plin. Ep. 9. 26* quotes the passage with the reading *αὐθήμερον*; and most MSS. give that form, at least as an alternative. The adjective is very rare in *Attic*: if genuine, the sense is not ‘extempore speeches,’ which *Demosthenes* were not, but ‘speeches that are spoken one day and done with,’ the same as *ρον*.

ὅταν δ' ἐπιόρκος ὦν εἰς τὴν διὰ τῶν ὄρκων πίστιν καταφυγάνη, ἐκείνο ἀπομνημονεύσατε αὐτῷ, ὅτι τῷ πολλάκις μὲν ἐπιόρκουντι, αἰεὶ δὲ μεθ' ὄρκων ἀξιούντι πιστεῦσθαι δυοῖν θάτερον ὑπάρξαι δεῖ, ὦν οὐδέτερόν ἐστι Δημοσθένης ὑπάρχον, ἢ τοὺς 5 θεοὺς καινοὺς ἢ τοὺς ἀκροατὰς μὴ τοὺς αὐτοὺς. περὶ δὲ τῶν 210 δακρύων καὶ τοῦ τόνου τῆς φωνῆς, ὅταν ὑμᾶς ἐπερωτᾷ "κοὶ φύγω, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι; περιγράψατέ με ἐκ τῆς πολιτείας" οὐκ ἔστιν ὅποι ἀναπήσομαι," ἀνθυποβάλλετε αὐτῷ "ὁ δὲ δῆμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων κοὶ καταφύγη, Δημόσθενες; ἢ πρὸς ποῖαν 10 συμμαχῶν παρασκευήν; πρὸς ποῖα χρήματα; τί προβαλλόμενος ὑπὲρ τοῦ δήμου πεπολίτευσαι; ἃ μὲν γὰρ ὑπὲρ σεαυτοῦ βεβούλευσαι, ἅπαντες ὁρῶμεν. ἐκλιπὼν μὲν τὸ ἄστυ οὐκ οἰκεῖς, ὡς δοκεῖς, ἐν Πειραιεῖ, ἀλλ' ἐξορμεῖς ἐκ τῆς πόλεως,

3. πρὸς τοὺς αὐτοὺς, quod post δὲ δὲ legebatur, deleri voluit Dobr., delevit Frank.
7. φύγω] Ita agtnz B. et S. Frank. ceteri καταφύγω. περιγράψατέ] περιγράψατέ bk, περιγράψατέ ei: mox hi omnes om. ἐκ τῆς πολιτείας: (id vero supplevit corr. b) ei περιγράψατε cum marg. Bern. Reisk. Bakius. 8. ὅποι] δη Bekk. 9. ἢ πρὸς] Ita agtnz Flor. fcdq Barb. 11. πεπολίτευσαι] τί πεπολίτευσαι f bekl.

1. ἐπιόρκος ὦν one is tempted to suspect as a gloss, but it is one of Aeschines' own. πρὸς τοὺς αὐτοὺς however, which follows after δὲ in the MSS., suits neither the sense nor construction, and seems rightly omitted. The first insertion at worst is otiose, the second makes one of the alternatives in the apodosis unmeaning.

5. καινοὺς. That one group of MSS. has καινοὺς is nothing remarkable; but that two other copies *correct* to καινοὺς cannot be accidental. 'Imaginary gods,' or 'gods powerless to avenge his perjuries,' would avoid the objection that the antithesis to μὴ τοὺς αὐτοὺς is merely verbal. But the point of the whole sentence seems to be best sustained by the vulgate: and the antithesis is no worse than § 214 below, ἀληθεῖς . . οὐ ψευδεῖς.

§ 210. *To his appeals to compassion, that he is in less danger than the city is through him.*

6. δακρύων. We cannot doubt that Aeschines was fatally disappointed; for, after the modest exordium, there is nothing deprecatory or timid in Demosthenes' speech: see especially § 330.

τοῦ τόνου τῆς φωνῆς. So, again, in the next section. The point corresponds to Demosthenes' of φωνασκία, D. de Cor. §§ 345, 381. Demosthenes had a bad voice, and had to study how to use it (cp. Ae. de F. L. p. 49, § 167); Aeschines had a fine one, and practised to keep it in good condition.

7. περιγράψατέ με. 'Shut me out from

public life' (cp. below, § 227, συκοφαντηθέντας ἐκ τῆς πολιτείας, 'slandered out of public life,' 'and then I have nowhere to go:') εἰ περιγράψατε is therefore a gloss. Above, we have not restored καταφύγω for φύγω, though καταφύγη follows in the parallel clause. The people can 'fly for refuge' in distress, but does not want a *place* to flee to actually: Demosthenes is supposed to say that he does want such a place, and finds none.

8. ἀναπήσομαι. A metaphor of a bird surrounded by snares, and rising into the open air.

9. ἢ πρὸς. We have inserted ἢ with the best MSS., as there seemed no temptation to a copyist to insert it, and the temptation of seeming symmetry to omit it. In truth, it does not damage the symmetry to say 'Where? or to what resources?' breaking up the latter into several subordinate questions, while all together are coordinate with the first.

10. τί προβαλλόμενος. Referring to Demosthenes' constant boasts of the bulwarks with which he had surrounded Athens, above, § 84, D. de Cor. § 370. As the change of person is a little abrupt, one might read σὺ πεπολίτευσαι in place of the τί πεπολίτευσαι of one group of MSS.

12. ἐκλιπὼν κ.τ.λ. 'You have left the City, not to take a house in the harbour quarter, as you would have it thought, but to be *in* the harbour,' ready to sail off at a moment's notice.

- ἐφόδια δὲ πεπόρισται τῇ σαυτοῦ ἀνανδρία τὸ βασιλικὸν χρυσίον καὶ τὰ δημόσια δωροδοκήματα.” ὅλως δὲ τί τὰ δάκρυα; τίς ἡ κραυγή; τίς ὁ τόνος τῆς φωνῆς; οὐχ ὁ μὲν τὴν γραφὴν φεύγων ἐστὶ Κτησιφῶν, ὁ δ’ ἀγὼν οὐκ ἀτίμητος, σὺ δ’ οὔτε περὶ τῆς οὐσίας οὔτε περὶ τοῦ σώματος οὔτε περὶ τῆς ἐπιτιμίας ἀγωνίζεις; ἀλλὰ περὶ τίνος ἐστὶν αὐτῷ ἡ σπουδή; περὶ χρυσῶν στεφάνων καὶ κηρυγμάτων ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ παρὰ τοὺς νόμους· ὃν ἐχρῆν, εἰ καὶ μανείς ὁ δῆμος ἢ τῶν καθεστηκότων ἐπιλελησμένος ἐπὶ τοιαύτης ἀκαιρίας ἐβούλετο στεφανοῦν αὐτὸν, παρελθόντα εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν εἰπεῖν· “ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖσι, τὸν μὲν στέφανον δέχομαι, τὸν δὲ καιρὸν ἀποδοκιμάζω, ἐν ᾧ τὸ κήρυγμα γίγνεται· οὐ γὰρ δεῖ, ἐφ’ οἷς ἡ πόλις ἐπένθησε καὶ ἐκέiraτο, ἐπὶ τούτοις ἐμὲ στεφανοῦσθαι.” ἀλλ’ οἶμαι, ταῦτα μὲν ἂν εἴποι ἀνὴρ ὄντως βεβιωκῶς μετ’ ἀρετῆς· ἃ δὲ σὺ λέξεις, 213 εἴποι ἂν κάθαρμα ζηλοτυποῦν ἀρετὴν. οὐ γὰρ δὴ μὰ τὸν Ἡρακλέα τούτῳ γε ὑμῶν οὐδεὶς φοβήσεται, μὴ ὁ Δημοσθένης, ἀνὴρ μεγαλόψυχος καὶ τὰ πολεμικὰ διαφέρων, ἀποτυχὼν τῶν

12. ἐπένθησε καὶ ἐκέiraτο] ἐκέiraτο καὶ ἐπένθησεν *behl.*
afedbehl: ceteri φοβηθήσεται.

16. φοβήσεται] Sic

§ 211. *Indeed, it is absurd for him to ask for pity for not getting a crown:*

3. ὁ μὲν. Answered by ὁ δ’ ἀγὼν and σὺ δέ, in different relations. (1) Technically, ‘The defendant is Ctesiphon, and the trial one where the penalty rests with the court,’ so that there is a chance of a mild sentence, and you need not excite yourself on his account; (2) personally, ‘It is Ctesiphon that is on his trial, and you risk neither person, civil rights, nor property.’

§ 212. *He ought to be ashamed to take one, even if you were mad enough to give it:*

8. μανείς. They must have been mad to crown him at all, but, in the case supposed, the crown would have been given under lawful conditions of time and place. ἐπὶ τοιαύτης ἀκαιρίας is clearly ‘on such an inauspicious occasion,’ and this fixes the sense of τὸν δὲ καιρὸν ἀποδοκιμάζω, which else one might have thought the same as τὸν καιρὸν τῆς ἀναρρήσεως in § 205. Note that the decree was as yet only a *προβούλευμα*, so that Aeschines could still assume that the people would never vote it, even if it was fit to come before them.

12. ἐπένθησε καὶ ἐκέiraτο. One group of MSS. transposes these verbs; which, if of any significance, points to καὶ ἐπένθησε being a gloss. For ἐκέiraτο is certainly meant to be emphatically opposed to στεφανοῦσθαι, the thought being something like St. Paul’s, Ad Cor. I. 11. 6.

14. ἃ δὲ σὺ λέξεις. The connection with what follows is ‘You will pretend to treat the conviction of Ctesiphon as an intolerable affront;’ but it will be a pretence, ‘for you will not kill yourself, like Ajax.’

15. ζηλοτυποῦν ἀρετὴν. Commonly taken, ‘pretending to virtue,’ which seems a frigid even if a possible sense of the word. Better as Harpocr., ‘in jealous mockery of virtue,’ which he cannot or will not imitate: Bremi compares Cic. Tusc. 4. 8. 18 for this force of *ζηλοτυπία* = *obtreectatio* as distinct from *asimulatio*. And perhaps better still in the ordinary sense of the word, in this construction with an accusative of a person: *ὄντως βεβιωκῶς μετ’ ἀρετῆς* being a metaphor from an honourable and recognised marriage, *κάθαρμα ζηλοτυποῦν ἀρετὴν* from an absurd jealousy of an unattainable mistress.

§ 213. *Nor is he so big-spirited as to be likely to kill himself for honour, though ready enough to wound himself for money.*

μὰ τὸν Ἡρακλέα. As patron of *ἀνδρες μεγαλόψυχοι καὶ τὰ πολεμικὰ διαφέροντες*: cp. ad § 99, for the comparative seriousness of meaning in Aeschines’ rhetorical oaths.

17. ἀποτυχὼν τῶν ἀριστέων. Of course the comparison suggested is with Ajax, *ὁ ἀριστος ἐνν εἰδὸς τε δέμας τε*, so that part of the point may be that Demosthenes was ugly—his face disfigured by dishonourable wounds—as well as feeble in body and cowardly in spirit.

ἀριστείων οἴκαδε ἐπανελθὼν ἑαυτὸν διαχρήσεται· ὃς τοσοῦτον καταγελά τῆς πρὸς ὑμᾶς φιλοτιμίας, ὥστε τὴν μιανὰν κεφαλὴν ταύτην καὶ ὑπεύθυνον, ἣν οὗτος παρὰ τοὺς νόμους γέγραφε στεφανῶσαι, μυριάκις κατατέτμηκε καὶ τούτων μισθοὺς εἴληφε 5 τραύματος ἐκ προνοίας γραφὰς γραφόμενος, καὶ κατακεκονδύλισται, ὥστε αὐτὸν οἶμαι τὰ τῶν κονδύλων ἔχνη τῶν Μειδίου ἔχειν ἔτι φανερά· ὁ γὰρ ἄνθρωπος οὐ κεφαλὴν, ἀλλὰ πρόσ-
δον κέκτῃται.

Περὶ δὲ Κτησιφῶντος τοῦ γράψαντος τὴν γνώμην βραχέα 214
10 βούλομαι εἰπεῖν, τὰ δὲ πολλὰ ὑπερβήσομαι, ἵνα καὶ πείραν [ὑμῶν] λάβω, εἰ δύνασθε τοὺς σφόδρα πονηροὺς, καὶν μὴ τις προείπῃ, διαγιγνώσκειν· ὃ δ' ἐστὶ κοινὸν καὶ δίκαιον κατ' ἀμφοτέρων αὐτῶν ἀπαγγεῖλαι πρὸς ὑμᾶς, τοῦτ' ἐρῶ. περιέρ-
χονται γὰρ τὴν ἀγορὰν ἀληθεῖς κατ' ἀλλήλων ἔχοντες δόξας 15 καὶ λόγους οὐ ψευδεῖς λέγοντες. ὁ μὲν γὰρ Κτησιφῶν οὐ τὸ 215

3. παρὰ τοὺς νόμους] παρὰ πάντα τοὺς νόμους Bekk. cum libris praeter agnir.
7. πρόσδοτον] πρόσδοτον d. De Toup, Orelli, Westermanni, et Franki conjecturis, vid. annot. 10. ἵνα καὶ πείραν λάβω] ὑμῶν post ἵνα ponunt bekl, post λάβω gmn, post πείραν ceteri: eiecerunt B. et S. 14. τὴν ἀγορὰν] κατὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν be, idque mavolt Frank.

2. καταγελά . . . φιλοτιμίας. He dishonours his own head, so he cannot think much of the proposal that you should honour it.

4. μυριάκις κατατέτμηκε. The reference is to the story told in § 51: κατατέτμηκε is a stronger word than the ἐπιτομή there used, because the point there is 'he gave himself a slight wound to substantiate a false charge of assault,' here 'he has not spared himself a serious injury, when he could make money by it.' If we once begin suspecting glosses, one would be inclined to omit καὶ τούτων . . . γραφόμενος: μυριάκις κατατέτμηκε καὶ κατακεκονδύλισται would be a less violent exaggeration of two stories than μυριάκις κατατέτμηκε of one.

7. οὐ κεφαλὴν, ἀλλὰ πρόσδοτον. Toup conjectured that πρόσδοτον is a gloss for κεφάλαιον, 'a capital' to secure πρόσδοτον 'a revenue:' improved by Westermann into οὐ κεφαλὴν ἀλλὰ κεφάλαιον, οὐ πρόσδοτον ἀλλὰ πρόσδοτον κέκτῃται; for which he finds support in the reading πρόσδοτον of one indifferent MS. Orelli ap. Brem. suggests οὐ κεφαλὴν κεφαλὴν, which is approved by Franke with the necessary correction οὐ κεφαλὴν τὴν κεφαλὴν: in that form, it perhaps improves the style a little, but is not necessary. Of Westermann's reading the only thing one can say is, that it is a great pity that Aeschines did not write it: Toup's pun very likely was

present to his mind and to his hearers'.

§ 214. *Of Ctesiphon I will say little harm, leaving it to your own knowledge and to Demosthenes:*

10. ἵνα καὶ πείραν [ὑμῶν] λάβω. ὑμῶν is placed by one group of MSS. immediately after ἵνα, by most of another after λάβω, by the rest (on the whole the best) here; but from the variations, B. and S. and Franke have been led to exclude it. The clause seems a little bald without it: perhaps the likeliest place is after ἵνα, as best accounting for the transposition, while yet most like Aeschines' style: cp. annot. crit. ad § 3. The force of καὶ may be '(both to spare you fatigue), and to prove you for my own satisfaction,' the former clause being suggested by τὰ δὲ πολλὰ ὑπερβήσομαι alone: or rather 'though I have told you he is a rascal, I should like also to see if you would know it without telling:' or perhaps, 'though I have told you Demosthenes is a rascal, I should like to leave you to find it out for yourselves in the case of Ctesiphon.' The last interpretation is favoured by what follows, 'I will not say much harm of Ctesiphon apart from Demosthenes, only that which is common to both, viz. that each is afraid of the other.'

§ 215. *Who owns to Ctesiphon's private vices making him as hard to defend as Ctesiphon says his public corruption makes him to commend.*

καθ' ἑαυτὸν φησι φοβεῖσθαι, ἐλπίζειν γὰρ δόξειν ἰδιώτης εἶναι, ἀλλὰ τὴν τοῦ Δημοσθένους ἐν τῇ πολιτείᾳ δωροδοκίαν φησὶ φοβεῖσθαι καὶ τὴν ἐμπληξίαν καὶ δειλίαν. ὁ δὲ Δημοσθένης εἰς αὐτὸν μὲν ἀποβλέπων θαρρεῖν φησιν, τὴν δὲ τοῦ Κτησιφώντος πονηρίαν καὶ πορνοβοσκίαν ἰσχυρῶς δεδιέναι. τοὺς 5 δὲ δὴ κατεγνωκότας ἀλλήλων ἀδικεῖν μηδαμῶς ὑμεῖς οἱ κοινοὶ κριταὶ τῶν ἐγκλημάτων ἀπολύσῃτε.

- 216 Περὶ δὲ τῶν εἰς ἑμαυτὸν λοιδοριῶν βραχέα βούλομαι προειπεῖν. πυνθάνομαι γὰρ λέξειν Δημοσθένην, ὡς ἡ πόλις ὑπ' αὐτοῦ μὲν ὠφέληται πολλὰ, ὑπ' ἐμοῦ δὲ καταβέβλαπται, καὶ 10 τὸν Φίλιππον καὶ τὸν Ἀλέξανδρον καὶ τὰς ἀπὸ τούτων αἰτίας ἀνοίσειν ἐπ' ἐμέ. οὕτω γάρ ἐστιν, ὡς ἔοικε, δεινὸς δημιουργὸς λόγων, ὥστε οὐκ ἀπόχρη αὐτῷ, εἴ τι πεπολίτευμαι παρ' ὑμῖν
- 217 ἐγὼ ἢ εἴ τις ἀδηγορίας εἶρηκα, τούτων κατηγορεῖν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὴν ἡσυχίαν μου τοῦ βίου διαβάλλει καὶ τῆς σιωπῆς μου 15 κατηγορεῖ, ἵνα μηδεὶς αὐτῷ τόπος ἀσυκοφάντητος παραλείπῃται, καὶ τὰς ἐν τοῖς γυμνασίοις μετὰ τῶν νεωτέρων μου διατριβὰς

5. τοὺς δὲ δὴ] Ita agm, τοὺς δὲ n, τοὺς δὲ ceteri.

εὐλ. 15. τὴν ἡσυχίαν μου] τὴν ἡσυχίαν αὐτὴν fcd.

8. προειπεῖν] προσειπεῖν gmpz

ἀληπται agmncdb Flor. corr. z.

16. παραλείπῃται] παρα-

1. ἐλπίζειν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. 'For, he says, he hopes that no one will take him for a politician.' According to Bremi, there is a kind of pun in the use of ἰδιώτης: Ctesiphon means it as above, while Aeschines, quoting him, implies 'they will take him for an ἰδιώτης indeed, i. e. an idiot.' But though, from the sense of 'not professionally skilled,' ἰδιώτης and the cognate words tend to mean 'unskilful,' it does not appear that in so early Greek it can be used *absolutely*, for 'a fool.'

3. ἐμπληξία. Strictly the condition of the ἐμπληκτος or ἐμβρόντητος (D. de Cor. § 303), the man who has been unhinged by a shock. Hence it appears to indicate an insane and inconsistent violence—vehement sometimes one way, sometimes the other—which is well consistent with δειλία.

4. ἀποβλέπων. Here the preposition has more of its proper force than usual, 'fixing his eyes on his own case,' to the exclusion of Ctesiphon.

5. πορνοβοσκίαν. A meaner vice than παιδοποιεῖσθαι ἐξ ἑταιρῶν, even if that refers to Demosthenes. Vid. ad § 174.

6. οἱ κοινοὶ κριταί. 'Who have no interest in clearing one at the expense of the other,' as each of them has in clearing himself.

§§ 216–218. Then Demosthenes will attack my character;—my political action, my political inaction, my private pursuits. I am ashamed of none.

8. περὶ δὲ τῶν εἰς ἑμαυτὸν λοιδοριῶν. This looks almost like an answer to Demosthenes, written after he had heard what the λοιδορίαι were. But it is impossible to say that any part of the paragraph is added later than § 219, which is actually quoted by Demosthenes, de Cor. § 102.

11. τὰς ἀπὸ τούτων αἰτίας. 'Any charge to which they give occasion.'

12. οὕτω γάρ ἐστιν κ.τ.λ. γὰρ gives the reason for what is not expressed: 'he charges me with having done [no good like himself, in fact] harm.' Or, perhaps, 'he will have it that I have done harm, when the worst he can say of me is that I have done nothing.'

15. ἡσυχίαν . . σιωπῆς: cp. D. de Cor. §§ 253, 379 fin., etc.

17. τὰς ἐν τοῖς γυμνασίοις . . διατριβὰς. See the Life of Aeschines for one interpretation—almost 'my employment of school-master.' Perhaps the order of words is favourable to it, for μου would naturally be taken with νεωτέρων, 'my young people:' and the use of διατριβὰς is illustrated by Ae. in Tim. p. 25, § 175, ἐν τῇ τῶν μερικῶν διατριβῇ. Else one might connect it with ibid. p. 19, § 135, that Demosthenes remarked on his spending his time at the Gymnasia at his rather advanced age (cp. Plat. Rep. 5, p. 452 B, which seems to shew that this was odd though not discreditable), and imputed motives.

καταμέμφεται, καὶ κατὰ τῆσδε τῆς κρίσεως εὐθὺς ἀρχόμενος
 τοῦ λόγου φέρει τινὰ αἰτίαν, λέγων, ὡς ἐγὼ τὴν γραφὴν οὐχ
 ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως ἐγραψάμην, ἀλλ' ἐνδεικνύμενος Ἀλεξάνδρῳ
 διὰ τὴν πρὸς αὐτὸν ἔχθραν. καὶ νῆ Δί', ὡς ἐγὼ πυνθάνο-
 5 μαι, μέλλει με ἀνερωτᾶν, διὰ τί τὸ μὲν κεφάλαιον τῆς πολι-
 τείας αὐτοῦ ψέγω, τὰ δὲ καθ' ἕκαστα οὐκ ἐκώλουν οὐδ' ἐγ-
 ραφόμην, ἀλλὰ διαλιπὼν καὶ πρὸς τὴν πολιτείαν οὐ πυκνὰ
 ✓ προσιών ἀπήνεγκα τὴν γραφὴν. ἐγὼ δὲ οὔτε τὰς Δημοσθένους 218
 διατριβὰς ἐζήλωκα, οὔτ' ἐπὶ ταῖς ἐμαυτοῦ αἰσχύνομαι, οὔτε
 10 τοὺς εἰρημένους ἐν ὑμῖν λόγους ἐμαυτῷ ἀρρήτους εἶναι βου-
 λοίμην, οὔτε τὰ αὐτὰ τούτῳ δημηγορήσας ἐδεξάμην ἂν ζῆν.
 \ τὴν δ' ἐμὴν σιωπὴν, ὦ Δημοσθένης, ἡ τοῦ βίου μετριότης παρε- 219
 σκεύασεν· ἀρκεῖ γάρ μοι μικρὰ καὶ μειζόνων αἰσχυρῶς οὐκ
 ἐπιθυμῶ, ὥστε καὶ σιγῶ καὶ λέγω βουλευσάμενος, ἀλλ' οὐκ
 15 ἀναγκαζόμενος ὑπὸ τῆς ἐν τῇ φύσει δαπάνης. σὺ δ', οἶμαι,
 λαβὼν μὲν σεσίγηκας, ἀναλώσας δὲ κέκραγας. λέγεις δὲ οὐχ
 ὁπόταν σοι δοκῇ οὐδ' ἂ βούλει, ἀλλ' ὁπόταν οἱ μισθοδότηται
 σοι προστάττωσιν· οὐκ αἰσχύνη δὲ ἀλαζονεύομενος, ἂ παρα-

6. ἕκαστα] Ita Vat. Laur. pzf9 Flor. Barb.: volgo ἕκαστον.
 annot. Mox ταῦτά scribe jubet Frank.

10. βουλοίμην] Vid.

3. ἐνδεικνύμενος differs from ἐπιδεικνύ-
 μενος (cp. D. de Cor. § 345, ἐπιδείξιν), 'let-
 ting a man see' from 'making a display to
 him.'

4. διὰ τὴν πρὸς αὐτὸν ἔχθραν. 'Be-
 cause of his (Alexander's) enmity to him
 (Demosthenes).'

καὶ νῆ Δί' κ.τ.λ.: cp. D. de Cor. §§
 241 sqq., 282 sqq. Perhaps § 285 is as
 much meant as any one passage of the
 actual speech. In truth, the complaint is
 unfairly worded by Aeschines; the contrast
 is not between denouncing offences singly
 and in the lump, but between denouncing
 pernicious counsels, or suggesting better
 ones, at the time of action, and making
 criminal charges when the time for action is
 past.

8. ἐγὼ δὲ οὔτε .. ἐζήλωκα. Whatever
 be the sense of διατριβὰς, one point will be
 'my young friends turn out better than De-
 mosthenes,' alluding perhaps especially to
 Aristarchus: cp. Ac. in Tim. p. 24, § 170
 sqq., de F. L. p. 50, § 177; and a further
 one, on the one view, 'My steady profes-
 sional rise is more creditable than his multi-
 farious devices for money-making.' on the
 other, 'My open-air flirtations are more
 honourable than his secret intrigues,' above,
 § 162.

10. βουλοίμην. 'Deest ἂν,' says Bekker,
 meaning probably that it ought to be in-
 serted. But no one can find a place for it
 without hurting the cadence: and all later
 editors have agreed that, for this reason,
 Aeschines left it to be supplied from the
 next clause.

§ 219. *I speak when I have something to
 say, not, like you, because I am short of
 money: and hence I speak less often.*

12. ἡ τοῦ βίου μετριότης. Answered
 by Demosthenes, § 380, in a deprecatory
 tone which looks as though this passage had
 told, or might be expected to tell, on the
 sympathies of the court.

14. ὥστε .. βουλευσάμενος. 'So that I
 can afford to make up my mind beforehand
 whether to speak or not to speak.'

15. σὺ δ', οἶμαι κ.τ.λ.: D. de Cor. §
 102.

17. ἀλλ' ὁπόταν. One MS. adds καὶ ἂ,
 which was approved by Taylor; but it
 probably only shews that the copyist felt
 the same desire as Bremi for the antithesis
 to run on all fours. But was less exigent as
 to the two pairs of legs matching.

18. οἷα αἰσχύνη κ.τ.λ. Having put
 one charge in a telling form, he does not
 let his hearers dwell on it, but hurries them
 back to another.

- 220 **χρῆμα ἐξελέγχῃ ψευδόμενος.** ἀπηνέχθη γὰρ ἡ κατὰ τοῦδε τοῦ ψηφίσματος γραφή, ἣν οὐχ ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως, ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ τῆς εἰς Ἀλέξανδρον ἐνδείξεώς με φῆς ἀπενεγκεῖν, ἔτι Φιλίππου ζῶντος, πρὶν Ἀλέξανδρον εἰς τὴν ἀρχὴν καταστῆναι, οὐπω σοῦ τὸ περὶ Πausaniān ἐνύπνιον ἐωρακότος οὐδὲ πρὸς τὴν 5 Ἀθηναίων καὶ τὴν Ἑβραν νύκτωρ διειλεγμένον. πῶς ἂν οὖν ἐγὼ προενεδεικνύμην Ἀλέξανδρον; εἰ γε μὴ ταῦτόν ἐνύπνιον ἐγὼ καὶ
- 221 **Δημοσθένους εἶδομεν.** ἐπιτιμᾶς δέ μοι, εἰ μὴ συνεχῶς, ἀλλὰ διαλείπων πρὸς τὸν δῆμον προσέρχομαι, καὶ τὴν ἀξίωσιν ταύτην οἷε λανθάνειν μεταφέρων οὐκ ἐκ δημοκρατίας, ἀλλ' ἐξ 10 ἐτέρας πολιτείας. ἐν μὲν γὰρ ταῖς ὀλιγαρχίαις οὐχ ὁ βουλόμενος, ἀλλ' ὁ δυναστεύων κατηγορεῖ, ἐν δὲ ταῖς δημοκρατίαις ὁ βουλόμενος καὶ ὅταν αὐτῷ δοκῇ. καὶ τὸ μὲν διὰ χρόνον λέγειν σημείον ἐστὶν ἐπὶ τῶν καιρῶν καὶ τοῦ συμφέροντος

1. ἐξελέγχῃ] ἐξελεγχθήσῃ *bekl* et γρ. *gm*.

Hoc volunt Cobet. et Frank.: volg. ταῦτό.

am B. et S.

12. κατηγορεῖ] De Bekkeri conjectura *δημηγορεῖ* vid. annot.Ita *apbekl*: ceteri et volg. usque ad Bekk. *δόξῃ*.3. εἰς] *παρὰ* *bekl* Bekk.

7. ταῦτόν]

10. Post λανθάνειν addebat *ἡμᾶς*. Om.13 *δοκῇ*]

§ 220. *The present prosecution was commenced in Philip's lifetime, not to please his successor.*

1. ἀπηνέχθη γὰρ κ.τ.λ. But probably Aeschines was responsible for having let the case lie dormant for eight years: and the practical question was not his motive in bringing the charge, but in calling it on for trial.

5. πρὸς τὴν Ἀθηναίων καὶ τὴν Ἑβραν. In § 77 it is *παρὰ τοῦ Διὸς καὶ τῆς Ἀθηνᾶς*: probably Athena was especially mentioned, and other gods and goddesses spoken of vaguely, in Demosthenes' own story.

7. εἰ γε μὴ. 'Nisi forte': a contemptuous adjunct to a contemptuous question. It does not at all support Böhnecke's theory, that the trial must have been commenced only just before Philip's death, or indeed after it happened but before it was known.

§ 221. *In prosecuting now and not sooner, I use my discretion like a free citizen.*

8. ἐπιτιμᾶς δέ μοι κ.τ.λ. He returns to the charge of intermittent political activity, seeking to obscure that of inconsistency in his intermittent prosecution of *Ctesiphon*, by confusing it with the more general one. 'You attribute to me,' he says, 'the responsibilities of an oligarchical statesman as well as his power. In a democracy every citizen is free to act; and, as a consequence, to choose his time.'

12. ὁ δυναστεύων κατηγορεῖ. So the MSS.: Dindorf, Franke, and Schultz adopt Bekker's conjecture *δημηγορεῖ*. Orelli ap. Brem. observes, that there would be little or no *δημηγορία* in an oligarchy, where there was no *ισσηγορία*: and suggests, more questionably, that there may be a brachylogy, 'in oligarchia potentissimus quisque *judicia exerceat*, in democratia quicunque *vult adversario diem dicit*.' Is it incredible, that in an average Greek oligarchy no one could bring a criminal action, except through a patron belonging to the privileged classes? A *μέτοικος* needed a *προστάτης* at Athens, and the typical oligarchy would be one where the *μέτοικοι* had become a *plebs*, and the full citizens an aristocracy. At any rate, none but one of the ruling body would have any interest in bringing a *public* accusation.

ἐν δὲ ταῖς δημοκρατίαις. A nearer, approach than is often avowed to Mitford's view of the cherished rights of a free Athenian.

13. καὶ τὸ μὲν κ.τ.λ. 'And to take time before speaking is a note of a man with a policy adapted to circumstances and to the public interest: but to speak every day, and miss none, is a note of a trader and a hireling.' *ἄνδρες πολιτευόμενοι* are, from their position, both emphatic—'a man, with a policy,' both worthy of the name: and thus balance *ἐργαζόμενοι καὶ μισθοῖν οὖντος*.

ἀνδρὸς πολιτευομένου, τὸ δὲ μηδεμίαν παραλιπεῖν ἡμέραν
 ἐργαζομένου καὶ μισθαρνούντος. ὑπὲρ δὲ τοῦ μηδέπω κεκρί- 222
 σθαι ὑπ' ἐμοῦ μηδὲ τῶν ἀδικημάτων τιμωρίαν ὑποσχεῖν, ὅταν
 καταφεύγῃς ἐπὶ τοὺς τοιούτους λόγους, ἢ τοὺς ἀκούοντας ἐπι-
 5 λήσμονας ὑπολαμβάνεις ἢ σαντὸν παραλογίζῃ. τὰ μὲν γὰρ
 περὶ τοὺς Ἀμφισσέας ἡσεβημένα σοι καὶ τὰ περὶ τὴν Εὐβοίαν
 δωροδοκηθέντα, χρόνων ἐγγεγεννημένων ἐν οἷς ὑπ' ἐμοῦ φανερώς
 ἐξηλέγχου, ἴσως ἐλπίζεις τὸν δῆμον ἀμνημονεῖν τὰ δὲ περὶ 223
 10 τὰς τριήρεις καὶ τοὺς τριηράρχους ἀρπάγματα τίς ἂν ἀποκρύ-
 ψαι χρόνος δύναται ἂν, ὅτε νομοθετήσας περὶ τῶν τριακοσίων
 καὶ σαντὸν πείσας Ἀθηναίους ἐπιστάτην τάξαι τοῦ ναυτικοῦ,
 ἐξηλέγχθης ὑπ' ἐμοῦ ἐξήκοντα καὶ πέντε νεῶν ταχυναντου-
 σῶν τριηράρχους ὑφηρημένους, πλεον τῆς πόλεως ἡμῶν ἀφανίζων

1. παραλιπεῖν] Ita *agm* fed Ald.: ceteri et edd. plerique παραλείπειν. Vid. annot.
 2. μηδέπω] Sic *agm*nc: ceteri et Bekk. μήπω. 10. τριακοσίων] Legebatur τριακο-
 σίων νεῶν: om. *agm*np B. et S. Frank. 13. πλέων] Sic *anp*z Vat. Laur. Barb. Flor.
 Ald.: ceteri πλείων. ἡμῶν] Om. *bekl* Bekk. Mox pro *ὅτε*, *ὅτῃ* conj. Cobetus.

1. παραλιπεῖν. So all the best MSS. and the Aldine edition: volg. -λείπειν. 'Not to let a single day pass' is equivalent to a permanent habit, but 'to let a single day pass' would be an individual act: and thus the aorist is quite intelligible. It would be more strictly logical, if Aeschines had said *μηδέποτε μίαν ἡμέραν παραλιπεῖν*.

§ 222. You say I have never prosecuted you, when I exposed your sacrilege at Amphissa, your treachery in Euboea.

3. ὅταν καταφεύγῃς κ.τ.λ.: D. de Cor. § 313. The argument is an *ignoratio elenchi*: Demosthenes says, 'You never brought me to trial'; Aeschines says, 'I denounced you.' In § 224, he accounts for his failure to prosecute, in the only case where he had attempted it.

5. τὰ μὲν γὰρ περὶ . . . δωροδοκηθέντα. These charges we have had already, above, §§ 91-105, 114, 125 sqq. In § 125 Aeschines asserts, as here, that he denounced Demosthenes' conduct at the time.

§ 223. And notably your frauds in the naval department.

10. περὶ τῶν τριακοσίων. νεῶν, omitted by the best MSS., is a gloss, or rather a correction arising from ignorance. Οἱ τριακόσιοι are the same as in D. de Cor. § 221: perhaps the authors of the reading thought that Demosthenes reduced the strength of the fleet from 365 ships to 300—the same number as before the Peloponnesian war.

12. ἐξηλέγχθης κ.τ.λ. In this matter we very likely have simply the two sides of

the shield. Under the old law the trierarchical body were liable for fitting out 65 more ships than under the new, but under the new levy the liability was more equitably divided, and so much more certainly enforced. See D. de Cor. § 136. Else Demosthenes says, *ibid.* § 385 fin., that Aeschines had not only opposed his trierarchic law, but had spoilt it, i. e. carried some modifications, which Demosthenes did not accept as improvements, though content with the success of his measure as a whole. And Aeschines may refer to these amendments, as proving that the original scheme was treasonable. It is hard to see how it can even have appeared corrupt, when the measure was against the interests of the rich: Demosthenes' assertion (D. de Cor. § 129), that he had large bribes offered him to abandon it, is perfectly credible, and his charge against Aeschines of being paid for his opposition to it not unlikely. B. and S. compare Hyperides ap. Harpocr. p. 172. 14, Dinarchus in Demosth. p. 95, § 42.

13. πλεον τῆς πόλεως ἡμῶν ἀφανίζων ναυτικόν. 'Making away with a larger Athenian fleet than that which fought at Naxos.' It may be doubted whether ἡμῶν goes with πόλεως, 'a fleet of our city,' or whether it can possibly depend on ἀφανίζων, 'withdrawing from our eyes.' There is no good authority for omitting it, with Bekker and B. and S.; but it is rather otiose on the former and more obvious view. ἀφανίζων in any case is a technical word, especially

ναυτικόν, ἢ ὅτε Ἀθηναῖοι τὴν ἐν Νάξῳ ναυμαχίαν Δακεδαίμο-
 224 νίους καὶ Πόλλιν ἐνίκησαν; οὕτω δὲ ταῖς αἰτίαις ἐνέφραξας τὰς
 κατὰ σαυτοῦ τιμωρίας, ὥστε τὸν κίνδυνον εἶναι μὴ σοὶ τῷ ἀδι-
 κήσαντι, ἀλλὰ τοῖς ἐπεξιούσι, πολὺν μὲν τὸν Ἀλέξανδρον καὶ
 Φίλιππον ἐν ταῖς διαβολαῖς φέρων, αἰτιώμενος δὲ τινὰς ἐμπο- 5
 διζειν τοὺς τῆς πόλεως καιροὺς, αἰεὶ τὸ παρὸν λυμαινόμενος, τὸ
 δὲ μέλλον κατεπαγγελλόμενος. οὐ τὸ τελευταῖον εἰσαγγέλ-
 λεσθαι μέλλων ὑπ' ἐμοῦ, τὴν Ἀναξίνου σύλληψιν τοῦ Ὠρεῖτου
 225 κατασκευάσας, τοῦ τὰ ἀγοράσματα Ὀλυμπιάδι ἀγοράζοντος,
 καὶ τὸν αὐτὸν ἄνδρα δις στρεβλώσας τῇ σαυτοῦ χειρὶ, ἔγραψας 10
 αὐτὸν θανάτῳ ζημιῶσαι; καὶ παρὰ τῷ αὐτῷ ἐν Ὠρεῷ κατήγου,
 καὶ ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς τραπέζης ἔφαγες καὶ ἔπιες καὶ ἔσπεισας,
 καὶ τὴν δεξιὰν ἐνέβαλες ἄνδρα φίλον καὶ ξένον ποιούμενος.
 καὶ τοῦτον ἀπέκτεινας, καὶ περὶ τούτων ἐν ἅπασιν Ἀθηναῖοις

5. Ante Φίλιππον τὸν inserunt *bekl Bekk.*
 ἔγραψας] Sic agm, et (nisi quod διαστρεβλώσας habet) Vat. et κατασκευάσας habent *phk*,
 διαστρεβλώσας *p*, δις στρεβλώσας *n*, ἔγραψας *ph*, cum in ceteris volgato κατεσκευάσας .. διε-
 στρέβλωσας .. γράψας consentiunt.

applicable to the loss of *ships* (e.g. Thuc. 8. 38 init.): one might almost translate 'sending to the bottom of the Aegæan,' while its more general sense would make the construction suggested possible.

§ 224. *I have not formally prosecuted you, because you had taken good care to make it unsafe:*

2. ταῖς αἰτίαις .. τιμωρίας: cp. above, § 194.

4. πολὺν μὲν τὸν κ.τ.λ. 'Making a great deal of Alexander and Philip in your accusations,' inserting their names in them frequently: perhaps making their actions a reproach to those who had nothing to do with them.

6. λυμαινόμενος. Just as we say 'vilifying,' 'reviling the present situation.'

7. κατεπαγγελλόμενος. 'Discounting' in the American sense, exhausting in promises. Vid. ad § 206.

εἰσαγγέλλεσθαι. On account of intrigues with Persia.

8. Ἀναξίνου: D. de Cor. § 174. It would seem that Aeschines was unable to deny the assertion there made of his private interview with this man, or the fact that he was in some sense an agent of the Macedonian court. Hence he confesses that he suffered so much in credit, that it was hopeless to bring an action against his rival. Yet as Athens was then in theory the ally of Alexander, it is hard to see how his conduct was criminal, or what was the crime even of Anaxinus.

9. κατασκευάσας. So the best MSS.,

9. κατασκευάσας .. δις στρεβλώσας .. *blass*as in the next section.
 § 225. *You murdered your old host Anaxinus to escape from one prosecution:*

ἀγοράσματα. Especially of small goods: Aeschines tries to extenuate the importance of his commission from Macedonia to the utmost. It is scarcely necessary to notice Bishop Thirlwall's extraordinary translation of the passage, 'on mercantile business, connected with the Olympic festival of the year!' This is worthier of Mr. Grote than of the author.

10. δις στρεβλώσας τῇ σαυτοῦ χειρὶ, ἔγραψας. So the best group of MSS., one having διαστρεβλώσας, which Franke adopts. Volg. et Bekk. διεστρέβλωσας .. γράψας. If the latter reading be right, the sting of the charge will be, 'You tortured him, not before conviction to ascertain whether he was guilty, but after sentence, out of mere cruelty.' In either case, τῇ σαυτοῦ χειρὶ must go with στρεβλώσας. Aeschines can hardly mean that Demosthenes worked the rack, but he says it: he can hardly mean merely, 'You put your own hand to the motion for his torture,' but that Demosthenes was present at the torture, as commissioner to take down his disclosures; so that it would be a slight hyperbole to speak of him as the actual torturer.

11. παρὰ τῷ αὐτῷ. Correlative to τὸν αὐτὸν ἄνδρα just before. In Latin, *idem* in the second clause would have been enough.

14. περὶ τούτων. Aeschines being unable, it would seem, to assert that Anaxinus

ἐξελεγχθεὶς ὑπ' ἐμοῦ καὶ κληθεὶς ξενοκτόνος οὐ τὸ ἀσέβημα ἤρνήσω, ἀλλ' ἀπεκρίνω, ἐφ' ᾧ ἀνεβόησεν ὁ δῆμος καὶ ὅσοι ξένοι περιέεστασαν τὴν ἐκκλησίαν· ἔφησθα γὰρ τοὺς τῆς πόλεως ἅλας περὶ πλείονος ποιήσασθαι τῆς ξενικῆς τραπέζης.
 5 ἐπιστολὰς δὲ σιγῶ ψευδεῖς καὶ κατασκόπων συλλήψεις καὶ βα- 226
 σάνους ἐπ' αἰτίαις ἀγενήτοις, ὡς ἐμοῦ μετὰ τινων ἐν τῇ πόλει νεωτερίζειν βουλομένου. ἔπειτα ἐπερωτᾶν με, ὡς ἐγὼ πυνθάνομαι, μέλλει, τίς ἂν εἴη τοιοῦτος ἰατρός, ὅστις τῷ νοσοῦντι μεταξὺ μὲν ἀσθενοῦντι μὴδὲν συμβουλευοί, τελευτήσαντος δὲ
 10 αὐτοῦ ἐλθὼν εἰς τὰ ἔνατα διεξίει πρὸς τοὺς οἰκείους, ἃ ἐπιτη- 227
 δεύσας ὑγιῆς ἂν ἐγένετο. σαυτὸν δ' οὐκ ἀντερωτᾷς, τίς ἂν εἴη
 δημαγωγὸς τοιοῦτος, ὅστις τὸν μὲν δῆμον θωπεύσαι δύναίτο, τοὺς δὲ καιροὺς, ἐν οἷς ἦν σώζεσθαι τὴν πόλιν, ἀποδοίτο, τοὺς δ' εὖ φρονοῦντας κωλύει διαβάλλων συμβουλεύειν, ἀπο-
 15 δρὰς δ' ἐκ τῶν κινδύνων καὶ τὴν πόλιν ἀνηκέστοις κακοῖς περι-
 βαλὼν ἄξιόι στεφανοῦσθαι ἐπ' ἀρετῇ, ἀγαθὸν μὲν πεποιηκὼς

4. ποιήσασθαι] ποιεῖσθαι *bhl* Schultz.
ceteri ἐνάτα.

10. ἔνατα] Sic *azdqe* Laur. Flor., ἔνατα *f*:

was not a spy, produced minute proofs of the hospitality received from him by Demosthenes; and urged the point so eloquently, that the people were shocked when Demosthenes admitted the fact. Cp. sup. ad § 52.

3. τοὺς τῆς πόλεως ἅλας. A favourite phrase of Demosthenes: Ae. de F. L. p. 31, § 24.

§ 226. *You have invented plenty of false charges to evade others: yet you expect me to have had my remedy ready before the disease.* 5. ἐπιστολὰς .. ψευδεῖς. False accusations of intrigues with Macedon, alleged to come from foreign correspondents. Letters in the name of Aeschines or his partisans would have been hard to forge and, probably, easy to detect.

κατασκόπων συλλήψεις. Besides Anaxinus, we have the story of one Antiphon told by Demosthenes, de Cor. § 168 sq., where he says that Aeschines then also denounced his conduct, and was publicly censured for so doing.

6. ἐπ' αἰτίαις ἀγενήτοις. 'To discover their share in crimes never committed,' a supposed conspiracy of Aeschines and his party in the Macedonian interest.

7. ἐνάτα. 'After this,' when you have behaved in this fashion. Though this passage must, like § 190, have been inserted after hearing Demosthenes' speech (§ 303), it is far more artistically worked into the context than that. τὸ πάντων τελευταῖον in the beginning of § 228, is perhaps a trace

of the way the sentence ran before the insertion: the reading ἀποκρίνουντο may possibly be another.

10. ἔνατα. Commonly explained as a sacrifice the *ninth* day from the funeral. The custom cannot be denied, and may be connected with the notion of 9 as a number of the dead, in the ninefold Styx, the nine worlds of Hel, the nine circles of Dante's Inferno, and perhaps the nine lives (Plat. Phaedr. p. 248, Rep. 9, p. 387) of a human soul, and even of the proverbial cat, herself a magical, electrical, and perhaps infernal animal. Yet it seems a question, whether the word itself should not be rather connected with *ένος* (implied in *ἐνν καὶ νέα*), to which it would bear the same relation as the musical term *νέατη* does to *νέος*: so that ἔνατα should mean 'the last offices' = τὰ νομίζόμενα in the parallel passage in Demosthenes. It should always be written with one ν: so it is in the best MSS. of each group here.

§ 227. *As if I were responsible for not stopping it, not you for causing it.*

14. κωλύει .. συμβουλεύειν .. συκοφαντηθέντας ἐκ τῆς πολιτείας are nearly synonymous clauses, giving (from his own point of view) the true explanation of his inactivity, viz. that during Demosthenes' ascendancy he had no chance of a hearing. For ἐκ τῆς πολιτείας, vid. ad § 210.

16. ἐπ' ἀρετῇ, ἀγαθόν. Virtually correlative words, though not etymologically cognate, as if he had said 'to be crowned

- μηδὲν, πάντων δὲ τῶν κακῶν αἴτιος γεγωνὺς, ἐπερωτῶν δὲ τοὺς συκοφαντηθέντας ἐκ τῆς πολιτείας ἐπ' ἐκείνων τῶν καιρῶν, ὅτ' ἐνὴν σώζεσθαι, διὰ τί αὐτὸν οὐκ ἐκώλυσαν ἐξαμαρτάνειν,
- 228 ἀποκρύπτοιο δὲ τὸ πάντων τελευταῖον, ὅτι τῆς μάχης ἐπιγενομένης οὐκ ἐσχολάζομεν περὶ τὴν σὴν εἶναι τιμωρίαν, ἀλλ' ὅτι ὑπὲρ τῆς σωτηρίας τῆς πόλεως ἐπρεσβεύομεν· ἐπειδὴ δὲ οὐκ ἀπέχρη σοι δίκην μὴ δεδωκέναι, ἀλλὰ καὶ δωρεὰς αἰτεῖς καταγέλαστον ἐν τοῖς Ἑλλήσι τὴν πόλιν ποιῶν, ἐνταυθ' ἐνέστην καὶ τὴν γραφὴν ἀπήνεγκα.
- 229 Καὶ νῦν τοὺς θεοὺς τοὺς Ὀλυμπίους, ὧν ἐγὼ πυνθάνομαι¹⁰ Δημοσθένην λέξειν, ἐφ' ᾧ νυνὶ μέλλω λέγειν ἀγανακτῶ μάλιστα.

4. ἀποκρύπτοιο] ἀποκρίντο *nsed* Reisk. 10. ὧν] *ὡς agnsc bekl.* 11. ἀγανακτῶ μάλιστα] Sic Laur. Flor. et a m. pr. corr. z, et μάλιστα ἀγανακτῶ l: μάλιστα ἀγανακτῶν *bek*, ἀγανακτῶν μάλιστα *ceteri*.

for goodness, when you have done no good, but only harm.'

2. ἐπ' ἐκείνων .. σώζεσθαι. Most naturally taken with what goes before: but it is not unlike Aeschines' style to have put διὰ τί .. ἐξαμαρτάνειν after it, if he meant it to be taken with it.

§ 228. Besides, Chaeronea gave us other things to think of more important than you.

4. ἀποκρύπτοιο. A brilliant conjecture of Stephanus for ἀποκρίντο, disapproved of by Reiske, but universally received since Bekker proved it to have the immense balance of MS. authority. ἀποκρίντο would have to mean 'a popular leader who should .. ask the victims of his arts .., while they would have the answer ..,' which could hardly stand without ἐκείνοι δ' ἂν ἀποκρίνοιντο. See on § 226.

6. ἐπρεσβεύομεν. It was Demades who negotiated the peace (D. de Cor. § 352); but Aeschines either accompanied him, or went on an independent mission to Philip: *ibid.* § 347.

ἐπειδὴ δὲ proves that Ctesiphon's motion was after Chaeronea, which has been questioned.

8. ἐνέστην. 'Stood up in the way:' exactly the sense in which it is used in later Greek, as i. q. *intercedo*, of the Roman tribunes.

§ 229. Then he compares the charm of my eloquence to that of the Sirens' songs:

This illustration does not, like the last, occur in Demosthenes' speech as we have it. It seems hardly possible to believe, with Dindorf, that all these illustrations were taken *bona fide* from rumours of what Demosthenes meant to say, and sometimes did

say and sometimes not. From Ae. in Tim. p. 25, § 175, we must suppose that even Demosthenes' friends and pupils did not know until afterwards even the general line he intended to take in a difficult case. Yet we must either assume this, or suppose that Demosthenes suppressed the passage afterwards; which points to Dissen's opinion, that neither orator had read the other's speech when he retouched his own, but only heard it. It could hardly, with Aeschines' speech before them, have been cut out by the critics, like the passage in D. de Cor. § 324, quoted by Hermogenes.

The reading of the first sentence is doubtful. Nearly all MSS. have *ὡς* for *ὧν*, and ἀγανακτῶν for ἀγανακτῶ. It would be just possible to construe this, making ἀφομοιοῦ γὰρ .. τῶν ἀκούντων a sort of parenthesis, with only colons before and after it; and supposing that when the thread of the sentence is resumed by καίτοι τὸν λόγον τούτου κ.τ.λ., there is an anacoluthon or modification of the sense to suit the parenthesis, and that the object to λέγειν is omitted, having been virtually expressed. One would then translate, 'As I hear that Demosthenes will urge a point (with more indignation at hearing of that point than of any other), comparing me to the Sirens .., yet' that illustration I am not afraid of, for the cap does not fit me, and Demosthenes is not the man to put it on me if it did: καίτοι introducing the apodosis to *ὧν*. But it is far likelier that *ὧν* is right and *ὡς* a corrupt repetition from *ὡς ἐγὼ πυνθάνομαι* in § 226: and as for ἀγανακτῶ, two or three good MSS. have it, and so has one indifferent one, of the group that transposes μάλιστα ἀγανακτῶ.

ἀφομοιοῖ γάρ μου τὴν φύσιν ταῖς Σειρήσιν, ὡς ἔοικε. καὶ γάρ ὑπ' ἐκείνων οὐ κηλείσθαι φησι τοὺς ἀκροωμένους, ἀλλ' ἀπόλλυσθαι, διόπερ οὐκ εὐδοκμεῖν τὴν τῶν Σειρήνων μουσικὴν καὶ δὴ καὶ τὴν τῶν [ἐμῶν] λόγων ἐμπειρίαν καὶ τὴν φύσιν μου γεγενῆσθαι ἐπὶ βλάβῃ τῶν ἀκούοντων. καίτοι τὸν λόγον τοῦτον ὅλως μὲν ἔγωγε οὐδενὶ πρέπειν ἡγοῦμαι περὶ ἐμοῦ λέγειν· τῆς γὰρ αἰτίας αἰσχυρὸν τὸν αἰτιώμενόν ἐστι τὸ ἔργον μὴ ἔχειν ἐπιδείξαι· εἰ δ' ἦν ἀναγκαῖον ῥηθῆναι, οὐ Δημοσθένους 230 ἦν ὁ λόγος, ἀλλ' ἀνδρὸς στρατηγοῦ μεγάλα μὲν τῇ πόλει 10 κατειργασμένου, λέγειν δὲ ἀδυνάτου καὶ τὴν τῶν ἀντιδίκων διὰ τοῦτο ἐζηλωκότος φύσιν, ὅτι σύννοιδεν ἑαυτῷ μὲν οὐδὲν ὦν διαπέπρακτα δύναμένῳ φράσαι, τὸν δὲ κατήγορον ὁρᾷ δυνάμενον

1. ὡς ἔοικε] Post φύσιν ponunt *bekl*, quasi illorum scriptores tanquam glossema intellexissent.

3. οὐκ] οὐδ' Vat. *pn bekl* Bekk. B. et S. Schultz. Σειρήνων] *ἐρημένων* *ηῖδῃ* Barb. Ald.: vid. annot.

4. ἐμῶν] Ejecit Sauppis: *ἐμὴν* volunt Bakius et Hamaker. Mox *ἐμπειρίαν* ἀπορίαν *a*, *εὐπορίαν bekl* (qui ante *λόγων* ponunt) et γρ. *gm*.

9. τῇ πόλει] *τὴν πόλιν bekl* Bekk.

1. ἀφομοιοῖ... ὡς ἔοικε. 'He compares my nature, it appears, to the Sirens.' If it were necessary to logic to say 'to *ἔοικε* of the Sirens,' one might illustrate from the Homeric *κόμαι Χαρίτεσσιν ὁμοίαι*. 'ὡς ἔοικε malim abesse,' says Baiter. One group of MSS. puts it before *ταῖς Σειρήσιν*, which looks as though it were understood as a gloss, 'that it is like them.'

2. κηλείσθαι. Especially of the charms of music.

3. διόπερ οὐκ εὐδοκμεῖν looks like a direct quotation from Demosthenes. Bekker and B. and S. have οὐδ' εὐδοκμεῖν, which is more elegant, but most of the best MSS. have οὐκ: and the δ might have got there from the next syllable.

τὴν τῶν Σειρήνων μουσικὴν. Volg. ante Bekk. *τῶν ἐρημένων*, which is very flat, in fact probably post-classical. Some MSS. have it, including the oldest known, and one not of the same family with it; so the reading must be old: and in fact it is not unlikely that *τῶν ἐρημένων* is the original gloss, and *τῶν Σειρήνων* a not unintelligent correction of it: for the repetition of the name is harsh, though less flat than the pronominal phrase.

4. τὴν τῶν [ἐμῶν] λόγων ἐμπειρίαν. So most MSS.: one group has *εὐπορίαν λόγων*, and one or two others mention or point towards that word, without noticing the transposition. *εὐπορίαν* suits rather better with what Demosthenes does say (de Cor. § 381) of the character of Aeschines' eloquence; but no doubt he is trying to get a higher compliment out of the comparison than was intended or expressed. *ἐμῶν* is

in all the MSS., and we do not venture to omit it with B. and S. and Franke: 'the abundance of my words,' or even 'the skillfulness of my speaking,' is not a very harsh phrase. Or had Demosthenes said, it was better to stop your ears like Ulysses' men than to be *ἐμπειροὶ τῶν ἐκείνου λόγων*? As for the correction *τὴν ἐμὴν τῶν λόγων ἐμπειρίαν*, that would have been much easier for Aeschines to have written—much likelier, also, to have been put down as a gloss.

6. ὅλως μὲν οὐδενὶ answers to εἰ δ' ἦν ἀναγκαῖον ῥηθῆναι, οὐ Δημοσθένους ἦν ὁ λόγος.

7. τῆς αἰτίας... τὸ ἔργον. 'The fact which corresponds to his charge:' it is a shame to say my eloquence is pernicious, when you cannot point to the harm it has done.

§ 230. Though Demosthenes is the last person who should talk of the mischief of seductive eloquence.

8. εἰ δ' ἦν ἀναγκαῖον ῥηθῆναι. If my enemies could not do without the illustration, they should have put it in somebody else's mouth. *ἦν* without *ἂν* will probably mean, 'The topic did not belong to Demosthenes,' and should not have been given to him when Ctesiphon's *σύνδικοι* were arranging their parts: cp. ad § 202. One of them was a general, § 197: can Aeschines, who could not venture to attack his character, intend a sweeter at his abilities?

9. τῇ πόλει. One group of MSS. has *τὴν πόλιν*—a correction after their manner, to correspond with the commoner Attic usage.

12. δυνάμενον. One is almost tempted

καὶ τὰ μὴ πεπραγμένα ὑφ' αὐτοῦ παριστάναι τοῖς ἀκούουσιν ὡς διώκηκεν. ὅταν δ' ἐξ ὀνομάτων συγκείμενος ἄνθρωπος, καὶ τούτων πικρῶν καὶ περιέργων, ἔπειτα ἐπὶ τὴν ἀπλότητα καὶ τὰ ἔργα καταφεύγῃ, τίς ἂν ἀνάσχοιτο; οὐ τὴν γλῶτταν ὥσπερ τῶν αὐλῶν ἂν τις ἀφῇλῃ, τὸ λοιπὸν οὐδέν ἐστιν.

- 231 Θαυμάζω δ' ἔγωγε ὑμῶν, ὧ Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ ζητῶ, πρὸς τί ἂν ἀποβλέποντες ἀποψηφίσαισθε τὴν γραφήν. πότερον ὡς τὸ ψήφισμά ἐστιν ἔννομον; ἀλλ' οὐδεμία πώποτε γνώμη παρανομωτέρα γεγένηται. ἀλλ' ὡς ὁ τὸ ψήφισμα γράψας οὐκ ἐπιτήδειός ἐστι δίκην δοῦναι; οὐκ ἄρ' εἰσὶ παρ' ὑμῖν εὐθυναὶ βίου, εἰ τοῦτον ἀφήσετε. ἐκεῖνο δ' οὐ λυπηρὸν, εἰ πρότερον μὲν ἐνεπίμπλατο ἡ ὀρχήστρα χρυσῶν στεφάνων, οἷς ὁ δῆμος ἐστεφανοῦτο ὑπὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων, διὰ τὸ ξενικοῖς στεφάνοις ταύτην ἀποδεδόσθαι τὴν ἡμέραν, ἐκ δὲ τῶν Δημοσθένους πολιτευμάτων ὑμεῖς μὲν ἀστεφάνωτοι καὶ ἀκήρυκτοι γίγνεσθε, 15
- 232 οὗτος δὲ κερυχθήσεται; καὶ εἰ μὲν τις τῶν τραγικῶν ποιητῶν τῶν μετὰ ταῦτα ἐπέισαγόντων ποιήσειεν ἐν τραγωδίᾳ τὸν Θεραίτην ὑπὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων στεφανούμενον, οὐδεὶς ἂν ὑμῶν ὑπομείνειεν, ὅτι φησὶν Ὅμηρος ἄναδρον αὐτὸν εἶναι καὶ συκοφάντην

6. Post ζητῶ, πηθέσθαι add. fcd.

ἀποψηφίσαισθε] Sic Steph., z Flor., et si ex Bekkeri silentio fas est conjectare c:

ἀποψηφίσεσθαι a, -σεσθε gmf, -σησθε Vat. p, -σασθε l, ἀπειψήφισασθε b, ἀποψη-

φίσεσθαι d. πότερον] πότερ' bekl Bekk. 10. οὐκ] Om. a. 12. ἐνεπίμ-

πλάτο] ἐνεπίπλατο acc. οὐς] οὐς bekl, quod fortasse verum.

to put a comma after this word, so as to complete the sense, and make what fills up the sentence a more forcible climax: 'but he sees the accuser can, and can even ascribe to him ...'

3. πικρῶν. Aeschines is not saying, as Dionysius (περὶ τῆς λεκτικῆς Δημοσθένους δεινότητος, c. 55 sq.) supposes, that πικρότης is a fault in an orator. He says that Demosthenes, a man of words (aye, and bitter artificial words), has no right to assume the tone of an honest man of action.

4. τὴν γλῶτταν, ὥσπερ τῶν αὐλῶν either was a proverb, or, from a vague recollection of this passage, was ascribed to Demades as a description of the Athenians.

§ 231. How can you absolve an unlawful decree, moved by a scoundrel, to crown a man who has discredited the nation?

6. ζητῶ. Volg. ante Bekk. added the gloss πηθέσθαι, with one group of MSS.

7. ἀποψηφίσαισθε. Most MSS. have ἀποψηφίσεσθε, or what seems to be meant for it, but only one MS. omits ἂν (three confuse it with the next word), so it is no doubt a mere phonetic corruption of -σαισθε.

Moreover, had Aeschines meant to use the future, he would have written ἀποψηφίσεισθε.

10. οὐκ ἐπιτήδειός ἐστι δίκην δοῦναι. 'Not a suitable person to select to make an example of,' i. e. that though Ctesiphon has broken the law, one would sooner strain a point than punish so upright a man. There is an exactly similar sentiment in Demosth. Androt. p. 610, § 57, ἐπιτήδειαι ἐκεῖναι (αἱ πόρραι as here ὁ πορνοβόσκος) παθεῖν κακά.

13. διὰ τὸ ξενικοῖς κ.τ.λ. Aeschines recurs to his own interpretation of the law (above, § 41 sqq.); strengthening his argument, it seems, by the assertion that the law had been acted on in that sense.

§ 232. How can you give Demosthenes an honour in the theatre, which he deserves no better than Thersites, without making the state answerable for the evil deeds of the citizens, not for the good?

17. ἐπέισαγόντων. Not elsewhere used absolutely in this sense; but exactly correlative to ἐπεισιούσιν in § 153, of the actors: so that perhaps one should rather supply τοὺς τραγικοὺς or τοὺς χώρους than τὰ δράματα.

αὐτοὶ δ' ὅταν τὸν τοιοῦτον ἄνθρωπον στεφανῶτε, οὐκ οἶεσθε ἐν ταῖς τῶν Ἑλλήνων δόξαις συρίττεσθαι; οἱ μὲν γὰρ πατέρες ὑμῶν τὰ ἔνδοξα καὶ λαμπρὰ τῶν πραγμάτων ἀνέτιθσαν τῷ δήμῳ, τὰ δὲ ταπεινὰ καὶ καταδέεστερα εἰς τοὺς ῥήτορας τοὺς φαύλους ἔτρεπον. Κτησιφῶν δ' ὑμᾶς οἶεται δεῖν ἀφελόντας τὴν ἀδοξίαν ἀπὸ Δημοσθένους περιθεῖναι τῷ δήμῳ. καὶ φατὲ μὲν εὐτυχεῖς εἶναι, ὥς καὶ ἐστὲ καλῶς ποιούντες, ψηφιεῖσθε δ' ὑπὸ μὲν τῆς τύχης ἐγκαταλελειφθαι, ὑπὸ Δημοσθένους δὲ εὖ πεπονθέναι; καὶ τὸ πάντων ἀτοπώτατον, ἐν τοῖς αὐτοῖς δικαστηρίοις τοὺς μὲν τὰς τῶν δώρων γραφὰς ἀλισκομένους ἀτιμοῦτε, ὃν δ' αὐτοὶ μισθοῦ πολιτευόμενον σύνιστε, στεφανώσετε; καὶ τοὺς μὲν κριτὰς τοὺς ἐκ τῶν Διονυσίων, εἰ μὴ δικαίως τοὺς κυκλίους χοροὺς κρίνωσι, ζῆμιούτε· αὐτοὶ δὲ οὐ κυκλίων χορῶν κριταὶ καθεστηκότες, ἀλλὰ νόμων καὶ πολιτικῆς ἀρετῆς, τὰς δωρεὰς οὐ κατὰ τοὺς νόμους οὐδ' ὀλίγοις καὶ τοῖς ἀξίοις, ἀλλὰ τῷ διαπραξαμένῳ δώσετε; ἔπειτ' ἔξεισιν ἐκ τοῦ δικαστηρίου ὁ τοιοῦτος κριτῆς ἑαυτὸν μὲν ἀσθενῇ πε-

3. τὰ ἔνδοξα] τὰ μὲν ἔνδοξα. Vat. pz fgi Laur. Flor. Schultz.
Διονυσίων om. Bekk. cum codd. praeter a Laur.
post καθεστηκότες ponunt bekl Bekk.

12. τῶν] Ante

14. κριταὶ] Om. mfd B. et S.,

3. ἀνέτιθσαν. The reference is to § 186 fin. Bremi is wrong in thinking there is a metaphor, of 'dedicating' an honour like a votive offering: ἀνατίθηναι is constantly no more than 'to ascribe' a thing to a person. There is perhaps here something of a play on the word περιθεῖναι in the next sentence.

4. τὰ δὲ ταπεινὰ κ.τ.λ. He asserts, truly, no doubt, that the spirit of the old times was always to hold that there must be some individual traitor responsible for the national ill success: in the next section, he goes on to denounce Demosthenes' common-sense view, that failure in an honourable cause was a misfortune, not a fault of either the nation or an individual.

§ 233. *Can the gods' blessing on Athens have failed, rather than a man have been in fault? or will you give a false judgment in law and politics, when you punish one at the games?*

7. καὶ φατὲ μὲν εὐτυχεῖς εἶναι: cp. D. de Cor. § 316 sqq., which are probably meant to reply to this passage, as well as to § 114, etc.

καλῶς ποιούντες. One might translate 'as you are when you act rightly,' but since the argument is 'King Demos can do no wrong, nor has he bad luck,' it seems better to take it in the common sense, almost equivalent to ἀγαθὴ τύχη, 'you have good fortune, and much good may it do you!' Bremi thinks that these phrases have usually a slight irony—as have our equivalent ones.

11. ὃν δ' αὐτοὶ .. σύνιστε. He appeals, as in the Timarchus, pp. 11, 13, §§ 78 sqq., 92, to the personal extra-judicial knowledge of the court: not very consistently with § 59, above. αὐτοὶ σύνιστε is thus opposed to ἀλισκομένους, convicted on investigation.

13. κυκλίους χοροὺς. Dithyrambic choruses, as opposed to dramatic: not = ἐγκύκλιοι, 'yearly choruses,' as F. A. Wolf and Bremi. It appears from Ar. Nub. 333, that they were not very respectable, from Ran. 365, that they were not always in the theatre: hence, when they were performed at the Dionysia, it would perhaps be thought the least serious part of the judges' business to decide on them, as compared with the tragic or even comic.

14. κυκλίων χορῶν κριταὶ. B. and S. omit κριταὶ with four respectable MSS.: but though the sense is clear enough for a copyist not to feel the want, it is not likely that Aeschines would have ventured to write such an elliptical sentence.

15. ὀλίγοις .. διαπραξαμένῳ. 'Not to rare cases of desert, but to mere intrigue.'

§ 234. *If you do, you will have sold your constitutional freedom, and incurred the curse of perjury, for nothing.*

16. ἔπειτ'. 'And then, besides the absurdities pointed out above.'

17. ὁ τοιοῦτος κριτῆς. 'The man who decides on such principles,' τὰς δωρεὰς .. τῷ

ποιηκῶς, ἰσχυρὸν δὲ τὸν ῥήτορα. ἀνὴρ γὰρ ἰδιώτης ἐν πόλει δημοκρατουμένη νόμῳ καὶ ψήφῳ βασιλεύει· ὅταν δ' ἐτέρῳ ταῦτα παραδῶ, καταλέλκεν αὐτὸς τὴν αὐτοῦ δυναστείαν. ἔπειθ' ὁ μὲν ὄρκος, ὃν ὁμωμοκῶς δικάζει, συμπαρακολουθῶν αὐτὸν λυπεῖ· δι' αὐτὸν γὰρ, οἶμαι, γέγονε τὸ ἀμάρτημα· ἡ δὲ χάρις ⁵ πρὸς ὃν ἐχαρίζετο ἄδελος γεγενῆται· ἡ γὰρ ψήφος ἀφανὴς φέρεται.

- 235 Δοκοῦμεν δ' ἔμοιγε, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ἀμφοτέρα καὶ κατορθοῦν καὶ παρακινδυνεύειν εἰς τὴν πολιτείαν οὐ σωφρονοῦντες. ὅτι μὲν γὰρ ἐπὶ τῶν νῦν καιρῶν οἱ πολλοὶ τοῖς ὀλίγοις προῖσθε ¹⁰ τὰ τῆς δημοκρατίας ἰσχυρὰ, οὐκ ἐπαινῶ· ὅτι δ' οὐ γεγενῆται φορὰ καθ' ἡμᾶς ῥητόρων πονηρῶν ἅμα καὶ πολυμῶν, εὐτυχοῦμεν. πρότερον μὲν γὰρ τοιαύτας φύσεις ἤνεγκε τὸ δημόσιον, αἱ ῥαδίως οὕτω κατέλυσαν τὸν δῆμον· ἔχαιρε γὰρ κολακευόμενος, ἔπειτ' αὐτὸν οὐχ οὓς ἐφοβεῖτο, ἀλλ' οἷς ἑαυτὸν ¹⁵ 236 ἐνεχείριζε, κατέλυσαν· ἔνιοι δὲ καὶ αὐτοὶ τῶν τριάκοντα ἐγένοντο, οἱ πλείους ἢ χιλίους καὶ πεντακοσίους τῶν πολιτῶν ἀκρίτους ἀπέκτειναν πρὶν καὶ τὰς αἰτίας ἀκοῦσαι, ἐφ' αἷς ἔμελλον ἀποθνήσκειν, καὶ οὐδ'. ἐπὶ τὰς ταφὰς καὶ ἐκφορὰς τῶν τελευτησάντων εἶων τοὺς προσήκοντας παραγενέσθαι. οὐκ ὑφ' ²⁰ ὑμῖν αὐτοῖς ἔξετε τοὺς πολιτευομένους; οὐ ταπεινώσαντες ἀποπέμψετε τοὺς νῦν ἐπηρεμένους; οὐ μέμνησθ', ὅτι οὐδεὶς πώποτε

3. αὐτὸς τὴν] τὴν αὐτὸς bek. Bekk.

volgo πρὶν.

Om. a Vat. p pr. Laur.

18. πρὶν καὶ] Sic agm et supra versum b:

20. παραγενέσθαι] Sic anfd: ceteri et Bekk. παραγίγνεσθαι.

ὁφ']

διαπραξαμένοι δεδοθε, so far as any special words are referred to.

5. δι' αὐτὸν γάρ. As the words stand, they can only mean, 'For his offence is committed because of the oath,' i. e. the wrong vote is a crime, because it is a perjury. One or two MSS. have αὐτοῦ, which shews that the writers felt a difficulty. It would be easy to read αὐτὸν, and perhaps possible to find a meaning for it: 'he himself will suffer from the guilt of perjury (and it was to benefit himself that he incurred it), while the other man' (Demosthenes or Ctesiphon), 'whose favour he hoped to secure, cannot thank him if he would.' Or one might transpose δι' αὐτὸν . . . ἀμάρτημα and ἡ δὲ χάρις πρὸς ὃν ἐχαρίζετο, and translate, 'and the favour done to the person favoured (for it is for his benefit that he has done the wrong) has passed unknown and unthanked, since the vote is given in secret.' The argument of the worthlessness of χάρις was a commonplace with orators: cp. Dem. Mid. pp. 575, 582, §§ 188, 212.

§ 235. In truth, whether we are fortunate or no, we do nothing to deserve it: it is mere good fortune

8. δοκοῦμεν δ' ἔμοιγε κ.τ.λ. 'It seems to me, Athenians, that there is no sense either in the hazards or in the successes of our policy.' Both the clauses in the next sentence are proofs of this want of sense: in a clever nation, there would have been plenty of orators to profit by the supineness of the Assembly.

11. τὰ τῆς δημοκρατίας ἰσχυρὰ. Especially the γραφὴ παρανόμων, as in § 5.

§ 236. That our demagogues are not in the position of the Thirty, who began in the same way.

18. πρὶν τὰς αἰτίας . . . ἀποθνήσκειν. A climax upon ἀκρίτους, not only without giving them a chance of proving their innocence, but without letting them or others know the real or pretended crime.

20. παραγενέσθαι. So perhaps the best MSS.: al. παραγίγνεσθαι. Cp. ad § 221 for the rationale of either tense.

ἐπέθετο πρότερον τῇ τοῦ δήμου καταλύσει, πρὶν ἂν μεῖζον τῶν δικαστηρίων ἰσχύσῃ;

Ἡδέως δ' ἂν ἔγωγε, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ἐναντίον ὑμῶν ἀναλογισ- 237
σαίμην πρὸς τὸν γράψαντα τὸ ψήφισμα, διὰ ποίας εὐεργε-
5 σίας ἀξιοὶ Δημοσθένην στεφανῶσαι. εἰ μὲν γὰρ λέγεις, ὅθεν
τὴν ἀρχὴν τοῦ ψηφίσματος ἐποιήσω, ὅτι τὰς τάφρους τὰς
περὶ τὰ τεῖχη καλῶς ἐτάφρευσε, θαυμάζω σου. τοῦ γὰρ
ταῦτ' ἐξεργασθῆναι καλῶς τὸ γεγενῆσθαι τούτων αἴτιον μεῖζω
κατηγορίαν ἔχει· οὐ γὰρ περιχαρακώσαντα χρὴ τὰ τεῖχη οὐδὲ
10 τὰς δημοσίας ταφὰς ἀνελόντα τὸν ὀρθῶς πεπολιτευμένον δω-
ρεὰς αἰτεῖν, ἀλλ' ἀγαθοῦ τινος αἴτιον γεγεννημένον τῇ πόλει.
εἰ δὲ ἦξεις ἐπὶ τὸ δεύτερον μέρος τοῦ ψηφίσματος, ἐν ᾧ τε- 238
τόλμηκας γράφειν, ὡς ἔστιν ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸς καὶ διατελεῖ λέγων
καὶ πράττων τὰ ἄριστα τῷ δήμῳ τῶν Ἀθηναίων, ἀφελὼν τὴν
15 ἀλαζονείαν καὶ τὸν κόμπον τοῦ ψηφίσματος ἄψαι τῶν ἔργων,
ἐπίδειξον ἡμῖν ὅ τι λέγεις. τὰς μὲν γὰρ περὶ τοὺς Ἀμφισ-

1. μεῖζον] μεῖζω *agmifede*.
B. et S. Frank.: *vid. annot.*

θῆναι
ἐξεργάσασθαι *b*.

3. ἀναλογισαίμην] ὁμολογησαίμην *Vat. Laur. agmp*
8. ἐξεργασθῆναι] ἐξεργάσασθαι *k*, ἐξεργάσασθαι *ei Bekk.*,

14. τῶν Ἀθηναίων] τῷ Ἀθηναίων *nf et, ut semper, Bekk.*

1. ἐπέθετο. Exactly as we say 'set upon putting down democracy.'

πρὶν ἂν . . ἰσχύσῃ. 'No one ever yet set upon putting down democracy, before he makes himself stronger;' the sentiment being general, the conjunctive is used in the dependent clause, as a present would be.

§ 237. *What are Demosthenes' merits? that he prepared the City for a siege he tried to bring upon it?*

3. ἀναλογισαίμην. 'I should like to examine the account,' to go over again the sum that Ctesiphon has done, whereby he seeks to prove that Demosthenes has a balance due from the city. The reading ὁμολογησαίμην, 'came to an understanding with you,' is a stranger sense of the word, and seems less appropriate: the middle form, also, is rare, but it has rather the better authority. ἀναλογίζομαι appears always to refer to this deliberate afterthought: e.g. Thuc. 5. 7 init. ἀναλογιζόμενον δὲ τὴν ἐκείνου ἡγεμονίαν, πρὸς οἷαν ἐμπειρίαν καὶ τόλμην μετὰ *εἰας ἀνεπιστημοσύνης καὶ μαλακίας γενήσονται*, 'when the thought grew upon them,' though at first they may have trusted Cleon like their fellow-citizens who elected him.

6. τάφρους . . ἐτάφρευσε: *vid. ad § 95*. τὰς περὶ τὰ τεῖχη makes it likely that they were still in existence, as a permanent addition to the fortifications.

7. τοῦ γὰρ ταῦτ' κ.τ.λ. 'For to have performed this well is nothing to the blame of having made it necessary:' lit. 'there is more blame in having made this necessary than (credit) in having done it well.'

9. περιχαρακώσαντα is contemptuous; there were the walls, what were a few stakes more or less?

10. τὰς δημοσίας ταφὰς ἀνελόντα is invidious. We must suppose that the entrenchments ran over the site of the tombs in the Ceramicus; possibly also the monuments were used for materials, as in the original fortification of the city (Thuc. 1. 93): the precedent would prevent objections like Aeschines' being raised until the danger was past. (See Lyc. in Leocr. p. 153, § 44, where 'the dead' are said to 'offer their tombs' to the work of defence, while though Demosthenes is not named, his services are unmistakably alluded to.) Demosthenes having claimed credit for his munificence, both the participles are meant to point to the shabby, patchwork character of the repairs.

§ 238. *Or on his general high character and services? The less you say about Amphibissa or Euboea the better, as for Thebes, will you credit him at the expense of Athens?*

14. ἀφελὼν κ.τ.λ.: *cp. § 101*.

16. τὰς μὲν γὰρ . . δωροδοκίας. A sort of sneer *παρὰ προσδοκίαν*, 'his alleged ser-

- σέας καὶ τοὺς Εὐβοᾶς δωροδοκίας παραλείπω· ὅταν δὲ τῆς πρὸς Θηβαίους συμμαχίας τὰς αἰτίας ἀνατιθῆς Δημοσθένης, τοὺς μὲν ἀγνοοῦντας ἐξαπατᾷ, τοὺς δ' εἰδότας καὶ αἰσθανομένους ὑβρίζει. ἀφελὼν γὰρ τὸν καιρὸν καὶ τὴν δόξαν τὴν τούτων, δι' ἣν ἐγένετο ἡ συμμαχία, οἷε λανθάνειν ἡμᾶς τὸ τῆς 5
- 239 πόλεως ἀξίωμα Δημοσθένης περιτιθείς. ἡλίκον δ' ἐστὶ τὸ ἀλαζόνεμα τοῦτο, ἐγὼ πειράσομαι μεγάλῳ σημείῳ διδάξαι. ὁ γὰρ τῶν Περσῶν βασιλεὺς οὐ πολλῶ πρότερον χρόνῳ πρὸ τῆς Ἀλεξάνδρου διαβάσεως εἰς τὴν Ἀσίαν κατέπεμψε τῷ δῆμῳ καὶ μάλα ὑβριστικὴν καὶ βάρβαρον ἐπιστολὴν, ἐν ᾗ τὰ 10 τε δὴ ἄλλα καὶ μάλ' ἀπαιδευτῶς διελέχθη, καὶ ἐπὶ τελευτῆς ἐνέγραψεν ἐν τῇ ἐπιστολῇ, "ἐγὼ" φησὶν "ὑμῖν χρυσίον οὐ
- 240 δώσω· μή με αἰτεῖτε· οὐ γὰρ λήψεσθε." οὗτος μέντοι ὁ αὐτὸς ἐγκαταληφθεὶς ὑπὸ τῶν νυνὶ παρόντων αὐτῷ κινδύνων, οὐκ αἰτούντων Ἀθηναίων, αὐτὸς ἐκὼν κατέπεμψε τριακόσια τάλαντα 15 τῷ δῆμῳ, ἃ σωφρονῶν οὐκ ἐδέξατο. ὁ δὲ κομίζων ἦν τὸ χρυσίον καιρὸς καὶ φόβος καὶ χρεῖα συμμάχων. τὸ δὲ αὐτὸ τοῦτο καὶ τὴν Θηβαίων συμμαχίαν ἐξεργάζετο. σὺ δὲ τὸ μὲν τῶν Θηβαίων ὄνομα καὶ τὸ τῆς δυστυχεστάτης συμμαχίας ἐνοχλεῖς αἰεὶ λέγων, τὰ δ' ἐβδομήκοντα τάλαντα ὑποσιωπᾷς, ἃ 20
- 241 προλαβὼν τοῦ βασιλικοῦ χρυσίου ἀπεστέρησας. οὐ δι' ἐνδειαν

5. οἷε λαθάνειν] λανθάνειν οἷε *bekl Bekk.*
τῇ ἐπιστολῇ] *Om. Hamak. Schultz.*
16. Post σωφρονῶν ὁ δῆμος add. *bekl Bekk.*
quod mavolt Frank., habetque Frank.

8. πρότερον] *Om. bekl.* 12. ἐν
15. τριακόσια] πενήκοντα α, τριάκοντα *gmbekl.*
18. ἐξεργάζετο] ἐξεργάσατο *bekl,*

vices in the Euboean and Amphissian affairs I need not mention: he was paid for what he did, so it must have been bad,' is the argument, but it is expressed 'I need not speak of the bribes he took in the Euboean and Amphissian affairs.'

5. τὸ τῆς πόλεως ἀξίωμα Δημοσθένης περιτιθείς. 'You think that we shall not see how you clothe Demosthenes in the majesty that belongs to Athens:' the clause is correlative to ἀφελὼν γὰρ τούτων, and the object is almost the same; τὴν δόξαν τὴν τούτων = τὸ τῆς πόλεως ἀξίωμα. Aeschines had named before the same motives as inducing the Thebans to join Athens, § 137: Ctesiphon attributed their action to Demosthenes.

§ 239. Just as the King insolently refused us money before Alexander's invasion,

12. φησὶν. Pleonastically to mark a *verbatim* quotation, though ἐνέγραψεν has been expressed already.

χρυσίον οὐ δώσω... οὐ γὰρ λήψεσθε. One cannot help comparing *Ar. Ach.* 104.

It is scarcely possible that Aeschines is imitating it; but that is an imitation, this perhaps a real quotation, of the bald style of a communication meant to be dictatorial, and perhaps the more rudely worded through imperfect translation. It is this fault of style which Aeschines characteristically attributes to ἀπαιδευσία as well as ὑβρις.

§ 240. But prayed us in vain to take it after, so was it with Thebes joining us: which Demosthenes is always talking of, while afterwards he ruined them by keeping back the King's money:

17. καιρὸς καὶ φόβος καὶ χρεῖα συμμάχων. Exactly the same as with the Thebans, § 137: only with him the need of any allies, with them the need of so powerful allies, was what determined their application to Athens.

21. ἀπεστέρησας. 'You embezzled;' a technical term, used in just the same way, *Ae. de F. L.* p. 50, § 177. The story was, that Demosthenes had 70 talents (300 according to Dinarchus, in *Dem.* p. 92, § 18) from the King to administer at his discre-

μὲν χρημάτων, ἔνεκα πέντε τάλαντων οἱ ξένοι τοῖς Θηβαίοις τὴν ἄκραν οὐ παρέδωσαν; διὰ ἑνέα δὲ τάλαντα ἀργυρίου πάντων Ἀρκαδίων ἐξεληλυθότων καὶ τῶν ἡγεμόνων ἐτοιμῶν ὄντων βοηθεῖν ἢ πράξις οὐ γεγένηται; σὺ δὲ πλουτεῖς καὶ ταῖς ἡδοναῖς ταῖς σαυτοῦ χορηγεῖς. καὶ τὸ κεφάλαιον, τὸ μὲν βασιλικὸν χρυσίον παρὰ τούτῳ, οἱ δὲ κίνδυνοι παρ' ὑμῖν.

Ἄξιον δ' ἐστὶ καὶ τὴν ἀπαιδευσίαν αὐτῶν θεωρῆσαι. εἰ γὰρ 242
τολμήσει Κτησιφῶν μὲν Δημοσθένην παρακαλεῖν λέξοντα εἰς ὑμᾶς, οὗτος δ' ἀναβὰς ἑαυτὸν ἐγκωμιάσει, βαρύτερον τῶν ἔργων ὧν πεπόνθατε τὸ ἀκρόαμα γίγνεται. ὅπου γὰρ δὴ τοὺς μὲν ὄντως ἀνδρας ἀγαθοὺς, οἷς πολλὰ καὶ καλὰ σύνισμεν ἔργα, εἰάν τοὺς καθ' ἑαυτῶν ἐπαίνους λέγωσιν, οὐ φέρομεν ὅταν δὲ ἄνθρωπος αἰσχύνη τῆς πόλεως γεγονώς ἑαυτὸν ἐγκωμιάζῃ, τίς ἂν τὰ τοιαῦτα καρτερήσκειν ἀκούων;

Ἀπὸ μὲν οὖν τῆς ἀναισχύντου πραγματείας, εἰάν σωφρονῇς, 243
ἀποστήσει, ποιῆσαι δὲ, ὃ Κτησιφῶν, διὰ σαυτοῦ τὴν ἀπολο-

1. μὲν] Dubitasse videntur codd. nonnulli, utrum hoc omittendum esset.

2. διὰ ἑνέα δὲ] Frankio Bekkerus visus est referre, codd. aliquot qui διὰ δὲ ἑνέα habent, διὰ δὲ ἑνέα δὲ habere. Unde in Quaest. Aeschin. ipse διὰ δέκα δὲ coniecit: in editione sua meliore consilio rem omnino siluit. 8. τολμήσει] τολμήσειε agnoscitur. 9. οὗτος] αὐτὸς g.

10. δὴ] Sic agnoscitur Vat. Laur.: ceteri et Bekk. om.

12. δὴ] Om. p. Markl. 14. ἀκούων] Om. Vat. Laur. Flor. gmpzbbk. 16. ποιῆσαι] 'Malim ποιῆσαι, sive ποιήσει' Bekk.: malitque Cobet. -σει.

tion, and refused to spend any: no doubt he took, and was expected to take, a considerable commission.

§ 241. *When five talents would have given them the Cadmea, and nine the Arcadian alliance.*

δι' ἑνῶν μὲν χρημάτων, ἔνεκα πέντε τάλαντων. 'Was it not because money failed, in fact for a matter of five talents, that the mercenaries did not give up the Citadel to the Thebans?' This seems more forcible than to make ἔνεκα πέντε τάλαντων merely a specification of the χρήματα, for want of which the scheme failed: we put a comma after χρημάτων to mark this view of the construction.

2. διὰ ἑνέα δὲ. So the best MSS. for διὰ δὲ ἑνέα: it seems to be rather characteristic of Aeschines to put particles and enclitics as late in the sentence as they will go. The sum of *ten* talents is mentioned by Dinarchus (in Dem. p. 92, § 20), where the story is told more fully: and Franke once imagined there were traces of the reading δέκα here; but no doubt the details varied of a story that was left as a subject of gossip, without judicial investigation.

§ 242. *Consider the bad taste of self-praise, and that from a rascal.*

8. τολμήσει. So Bekker and most edi-

tors since, though the old reading τολμήσειε has much better MS. authority. But it seems hard to give a meaning to the change of mood between τολμήσειε and ἐγκωμιάσει. Demosthenes, if called on to speak, is sure to praise himself, so that the two verbs are of just the same degree of certainty: it is considered as bad taste in Ctesiphon to call on him, as in him to rise. Demosthenes apologises for the necessity of self-praise, in De Cor. §§ 4 sq.

10. ὅπου γὰρ .. ὅταν δὲ. It seems truer to say that the sentence is irregular—constructed on two different plans, ὅπου implying one with protasis and apodosis, δὲ one with thesis and antithesis—than that δὲ introduces the apodosis. It is idle to wish to omit δὲ, though one good MS. does so.

§ 243. *You had much better speak for yourself, Ctesiphon: favour the Court with the eloquence and pathos that served for Cleopatra.*

16. ποιῆσαι. 'Malim ποιῆσαι sive ποιήσει' Bekk. But the change of construction is too little obvious to be due to a copyist. If Ctesiphon had any sense or taste, he would abstain from calling on Demosthenes, but it is not sense or taste that will make him speak for himself. Perhaps if he had a little more he would say nothing, but throw himself on the mercy of the Court.

γίαν. οὐ γὰρ δὴ που τοῦτό γε σκίψει, ὡς οὐ δυνατὸς εἰ
λέγειν. καὶ γὰρ ἄτοπὸν σοι συμβαίνει, εἰ πρῆν μὲν ποθ'
ὑπέμεινας πρεσβευτῆς ὡς Κλεοπάτραν τὴν Φιλίππου θυγατέρα
χειροτονεῖσθαι συναχθεσθσόμενος ἐπὶ τῇ τοῦ Μολοττῶν βα-
σιλέως Ἀλεξάνδρου τελευτῇ, νυνὶ δὲ οὐ φήσεις δύνασθαι λέγειν. 5
ἐπειτα γυναῖκα μὲν ἄλλοτρίαν πενθοῦσαν δύνασαι παραμυθεῖ-
244 σθαι, γράψας δὲ μισθοῦ ψήφισμα οὐκ ἀπολογήσει; ἢ τοιοῦτός
ἐστὶν ὃν γέγραφας στεφανοῦσθαι, οἷος μὴ γιγνώσκεσθαι ὑπὸ
τῶν εὐ πεπονθότων, ἂν μὴ τις σοι συνείπῃ; ἐπερώτησον δὴ
τοὺς δικαστὰς, εἰ ἐγίγνωσκον Χαβρίαν καὶ Ἰφικράτην καὶ Τι- 10
μόθεον, καὶ πυθοῦ παρ' αὐτῶν, διὰ τί τὰς δωρεὰς αὐτοῖς ἔδο-
σαν καὶ τὰς εἰκόνας ἔστησαν. ἅπαντες γὰρ ἅμα σοι ἀποκρι-
νοῦνται, ὅτι Χαβρία μὲν διὰ τὴν περὶ Νάξον ναυμαχίαν, Ἰφι-
κράτει δὲ, ὅτι μόραν Λακεδαιμονίων ἀπέκτεινε, Τιμοθέω δὲ
διὰ τὸν περίπλουν τὸν εἰς Κέρκυραν, καὶ ἄλλοις, ὧν ἐκάστῳ 15
245 πολλὰ καὶ καλὰ κατὰ πόλεμον ἔργα πέπρακται. Δημοσθένης

2. συμβαίνει.] Sic agm Laur. Flor., et ἂν om. z: ceteri et Schultz. καὶ γὰρ ἂν ἄτοπὸν σοι συμβαίνει.

4. συναχθεσθσόμενος.] συναχθεσθόμενος gg, -χθεσόμενος Cobet. 14. μόραν.] Ita Vat. Flor. z, hic in rasura: ceteri μοῖραν. Correx. H. Wolf. 16. Δημοσθένης δ' κ.τ.λ.] Δημοσθένης δ' ἀντεροῦ διὰ τί δώσετε bekl Bekk.: post οὐ δώσετε, φή-
σετε add. pc, et teste Bekk. f: Δημοσθένης δ' ἔαν τις ἐρωτῇ διὰ τί; ὅτι κ.τ.λ. B. et S.

2. καὶ γὰρ ἄτοπὸν σοι συμβαίνει. 'For your case is really ridiculous,' the indicative affecting to conceive the alleged excuse as possibly true. But see the critical note.

πρῆν. In the course of the previous year, when he had been killed, and his army destroyed, in his expedition to aid Tarentum against the Bruttians.

3. ὑπέμεινας. A sarcastic word, almost 'were not too shy:' perhaps also it has the same point as τὴν Φιλίππου θυγατέρα certainly has, 'With all your hatred for Macedonia, you could bring yourself to be civil to a Macedonian princess; so do the same for the law of your country.'

6. ἄλλοτρίαν. Answered by γράψας μισθοῦ: he had a personal interest in the defence of a mercenary decree.

§ 244. Or is Demosthenes' fame so obscure, that his praise cannot be justified without an elaborate panegyric? He is not like our real great men, if so.

8. οἷος μὴ γιγνώσκεσθαι. We should say, 'not the sort of man to be known.'

9. ἂν μὴ τις σοι συνείπῃ. 'Except you have a speaker to support you:' tis, because it is on the supposition that Demosthenes comes, not to defend himself personally, but to supply to Ctesiphon professional aid and skilled eloquence, so that any other practised orator would do as well.

The argument is, 'Real merit is always appreciated at Athens;' Demosthenes answers (De Cor. § 390), 'Yes, sooner or later,' but the συνήγορος is wanted to counteract the σνοκοφάντης, during the great man's lifetime. But Aeschines says, ἐπερώτησον . . . εἰ ἐγίγνωσκον, 'ask whether they knew' the heroes, while they were still alive, the judges being old enough to remember them. Timotheus, at least, is a strange instance to quote to prove that public gratitude was unfailing.

14. ἀπέκτεινε. A rather curious word to use of slaughtering a body of men. Iphicrates' exploit is usually described by κατέκοψε.

§ 245. But Demosthenes' only claim to be known is for his vices: the dumb instruments of murder are unclean.

16. Δημοσθένης . . . οὐ δώσετε. The best MSS. read as in the text, but the sense is rather awkward: for Demosthenes might say, like Phocion, that Aeschines confessed that men would rather ask why he had not a crown than why he had. But Aeschines probably began meaning to say διὰ τί δώσετε, and puts in the negative παρ' ὑπὸνοιαν, meaning to imply, 'You will not do so really,' and forgetting the more logical inference. The various readings, Δημοσθένης δ' ἀντεροῦ διὰ τί δώσετε, and φήσετε added after δώσετε, are both probably conjectures; the first meant to clear up the

δ' εἴαν τις ἐρωτᾷ διὰ τί οὐ δώσετε; ὅτι δωροδόκος, ὅτι δειλός, ὅτι τὴν τάξιν ἔλιπε. καὶ πότερον τοῦτον τιμήσετε, ἢ ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς ἀτιμάσετε καὶ τοὺς ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν ἐν τῇ μάχῃ τελευτήσαντας; οὓς νομίζεθ' ὁρᾶν σχετλιάζοντας, εἰ οὗτος στεφανωθήσεται. καὶ γὰρ ἂν εἴη δεινόν, ὃ Ἀθηναῖοι, εἰ τὰ μὲν ξύλα καὶ τοὺς λίθους καὶ τὸν σίδηρον, τὰ ἄφωνα καὶ τὰ ἀγνώμονα, εἴαν τῷ ἐμπεσόντι ἀποκτείνῃ, ὑπερορίζομεν, καὶ εἴαν τις αὐτὸν διαχρήσῃται, τὴν χεῖρα τὴν τοῦτο πράξασαν χωρὶς τοῦ σώματος θάπτομεν, Δημοσθένην δέ, ὃ Ἀθηναῖοι, τὸν γράψαντα 246
10 μὲν τὴν πανυστάτην ἔξοδον, προδόντα δὲ τοὺς στρατιώτας, τοῦτον ὑμεῖς τιμήσετε. οὐκοῦν ὑβρίζονται μὲν οἱ τελευτήσαντες, ἀθυμότεροι δὲ οἱ ζῶντες γίνονται ὁρῶντες τῆς ἀρετῆς ἄθλον τὸν θάνατον κείμενον, τὴν δὲ μνήμην ἐπιλείπουσαν. τὸ δὲ μέγιστον, εἴαν ἐπερωτῶσιν ὑμᾶς οἱ νεώτεροι, πρὸς ποῖον χρὴ 15 παράδειγμα αὐτοὺς τὸν βίον ποιεῖσθαι, τί κρινεῖτε; εὖ γὰρ 247 ἴστε, ὅτι οὐχ αἱ παλαιστραι οὐδὲ τὰ διδασκαλεῖα οὐδ' ἡ μουσικὴ μόνον παιδεύει τοὺς νεωτέρους, ἀλλὰ πολὺ μᾶλλον τὰ δημόσια κηρύγματα. κηρύττεται τις ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ, ὅτι στεφανοῦνται ἀρετῆς ἕνεκα καὶ ἀνδραγαθίας καὶ εὐνοίας, ἄνθρωπος 20 ἀσχημονῶν τῷ βίῳ καὶ βδελυρός· ὁ δὲ γε νεώτερος ταύτ' ἰδὼν διεφθάρη. δίκην τις δέδωκε πονηρὸς καὶ πορνοβοσκὸς, ὥσπερ Κτησιφῶν· οἱ δὲ γε ἄλλοι πεπαιδευνται. τὰναντία τις ψη-

2. ἔλιπε] λέλοιπε z.

3. ἀτιμάσετε] Ita n.: ἀτιμωρήτους εἴσετε bekl: ceteri ἀτιμώσετε.

4. νομίζεθ' ὁρᾶσθ' bekl Bekk. 6. Alterum τὰ om. fdk. 16. Post ἴστε ἂν ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι add. bekl Bekk.

20. ἀσχημονῶν] Ita agehl: ceteri et Bekk. ἀσχημων ὢν.

confusion of the thought, the second a gloss to develop the sense of the text. Το ἀντεροῦ there is an objection, that unless the speaker turns from Ctesiphon to the Court, δώσετε has no proper subject: one can hardly make it mean 'ask why you and your countrymen are going to give it.' To omit οὐ δώσετε with B. and S. is cutting the knot.

3. ἀτιμάσετε. So Franke from one MS. It seems to suit the sense better, and ἀτιμῶν being so common a word in the orators, perhaps is the likelier of the two to have got in by an error: else one is tempted to credit Aeschines with the strong image 'deprive yourself and the dead of the name of Athenians.'

4. οὓς νομίζεθ' ὁρᾶν. So below, § 258.

8. τὴν χεῖρα... θάπτομεν. Probably to prevent the corpse becoming a vampire, like the stake through the body in modern times. So murderers were mutilated, and mutilated their victims (ἡκρωτηρίαζον) after death. Josephus, mentioning the custom, gives the sentimental reason, that the hand was considered alien to the body; which was prob-

ably a real Greek sentiment, but an afterthought. From Ar. Eth. 5. II. 3, it appears there was felt to be an ethical meaning in the posthumous treatment of suicides.

§ 246. And is not Demosthenes more than a murderer?

10. προδόντα δὲ τοὺς στρατιώτας. The allusion is chiefly to § 146 sq.: also perhaps to § 152.

15. τί κρινεῖτε. i. q. 'what answer will your decision give?'

§ 247. Above all, consider the moral effect, for good or evil, which public proclamations and trials produce.

16. παλαιστραι... διδασκαλεῖα correspond to γυμναστικὴ and γράμματα, and so, without being too formally coordinate with μουσικὴ, exhaust, together with it, the items of the common Greek curriculum.

22. τὰναντία τις κ.τ.λ. 'Let a man go home to educate his son, after recording a vote against virtue and justice, the son has reason to be unbelieving: here we are come to a fair case for calling admonition molestation.'

φισάμενος τῶν καλῶν καὶ δικαίων ἐπανελθὼν οἴκαδε παιδεύει
τὸν υἱόν· ὁ δέ γε εἰκότως οὐ πείθεται, ἀλλὰ τὸ νουθετεῖν
248 ἐνταῦθα ἐνοχλεῖν ἤδη καὶ δικαίως ὀνομάζεται. ὥς οὖν μὴ μόνον
κρίνοντας, ἀλλὰ καὶ θεωρούμενοι, οὕτω τὴν ψῆφον φέρετε, εἰς
ἀπολογισμὸν τοῖς νῦν μὲν οὐ παροῦσι τῶν πολιτῶν, ἐπερσο- 5
μένοις δὲ ὑμᾶς, τί ἐδικάζετε. εὖ γὰρ ἴστε, ὦ Ἀθηναῖοι, ὅτι
τοιαύτη δόξει ἡ πόλις εἶναι, ὁποῖός τις ἂν ἢ ὁ κηρυττόμενος·
ἔστι δὲ ὄνειδος μὴ τοῖς προγόνοις ἡμᾶς, ἀλλὰ τῇ Δημοσθένους
ἀνανδρίᾳ προσεикаσθῆναι. πῶς οὖν ἂν τις τὴν τοιαύτην αἰσχύ-
249 νην ἐκφύγοι; ἐὰν τοὺς προκαταλαμβάνοντας τὰ κοινὰ καὶ 10
φιλάνθρωπα τῶν ὀνομάτων, ἀπίστους ὄντας τοῖς ἡθεσι, φυλά-
ξισθε. ἡ γὰρ εὐνοια καὶ τὸ τῆς δημοκρατίας ὄνομα κείται
μὲν ἐν μέσῳ, φθάνουσι δ' ἐπ' αὐτὰ καταφεύγοντες τῷ λόγῳ
250 ὥς ἐπὶ πολὺ οἱ τοῖς ἔργοις πλείστον ἀπέχοντες. ὅταν οὖν
λάβητε ῥήτορα ξενικῶν στεφάνων καὶ κηρυγμάτων ἐν τοῖς Ἑλ- 15
λῃσιν ἐπιθυμοῦντα, ἐπανάγειν αὐτὸν κελεύετε τὸν λόγον, ὥσπερ

1. παιδεύει] παιδεύειν pr. g.

2. νουθετεῖν] παιδεύειν agm.

3. ἐνταῦθα

ἐνοχλεῖν] Ita agm: ceteri et Schultz. ἐνοχλεῖν ἐνταῦθ'. καὶ] Sic ag: ceteri et Bekk. om.

4. εἰς ἀπολογισμὸν κ.τ.λ.] 'Haec cum superioribus male cohaerent, ita ut ante

ea excidisse quaedam videantur' B. et S.

om. m B. et S.

14. πολὺ] τὸ πολὺ sfg.

8. ἡμᾶς] ἡμῶν g, ὑμῶν b, ὑμᾶς e Bekk.:

om. m B. et S.

16. τὸν λόγον] Ita agm: καὶ τῶν

§ 248. *Vote now so that the City may be clear from Demosthenes' abasms;*

3. μὴ μόνον .. θεωρούμενοι. Having not only a judge's responsibility to abstract truth, but a public officer's to his country and to the world.

4. εἰς ἀπολογισμὸν. He hints as strongly as he can without offence, that they are themselves on their trial: possibly he may have meant to put ἀλλὰ καὶ κρινόμενοι in the first clause, or at least to suggest it by the form of the contrast.

9. προσεикаσθῆναι. Perhaps the preposition may have the force, not so much of 'being thought like Demosthenes' cowardice' as 'being judged of by the standard of Demosthenes' cowardice;' which would imply being actually on a lower moral level than Demosthenes, since he was their great man. But the usage of the word supports the more obvious view, though the etymology would suit the other at least as well.

§ 249. *As it will be if you distrust the fine names he appeals to,*

10. τοὺς προκαταλαμβάνοντας κ.τ.λ. refers to such arguments as are anticipated in § 208, etc.: Demosthenes and his party are the first to call themselves friends to democracy, and so beg the question whether they or their opponents are the truer friends.

In the published form, at least, of Demosthenes' speech, we do not find such claptrap arguments to any considerable extent.

12. ἡ γὰρ εὐνοια κ.τ.λ. 'It is equally easy for everybody to call himself a patriot and a democrat: but the first (φθάνουσι) to screen themselves under such professions are often those whose conduct is most exactly opposite to them,' unpatriotic and oligarchical.

§ 250. *And require him to give evidence of respectability, before you leave the Constitution in his power.*

15. ξενικῶν στεφάνων can hardly bear a different sense from that in § 231. The crown Demosthenes wanted is called a foreign crown, because given when foreign crowns ought to be.

16. ἐπανάγειν αὐτὸν κελεύετε τὸν λόγον. The simplest reading, and also that of the best group of MSS. The rest have καὶ τῶν λόγων instead of τὸν λόγον: Bekker reads καὶ τὸν λόγον, B. and S. τῶν λόγων. One group of MSS. omit καὶ before τὰς βεβαιώσεις, where though convenient it is not necessary: perhaps the doubt about it there led to confusion above. The genitive λόγων, if right, must be by a kind of attraction, to form a parallelism with κτημάτων.

καὶ τὰς βεβαιώσεις τῶν κτημάτων ὁ νόμος κελεύει ποιεῖσθαι, εἰς βίον ἀξιώχρευν καὶ τρόπον σῶφρονα. ὅτῳ δὲ ταῦτα μὴ μαρτυρεῖται, μὴ βεβαιούτε αὐτῷ τοὺς ἐπαίνους, καὶ τῆς δημοκρατίας ἐπιμελήθητε ἥδη διαφευγούσης ὑμᾶς. ἢ οὐ δεινὸν δοκεῖ 251
 5 ὑμῖν εἶναι, εἰ τὸ μὲν βουλευτήριον καὶ ὁ δῆμος παροράται, αἱ δ' ἐπιστολαὶ καὶ πρεσβεῖαι ἀφικνούνται εἰς ἰδιωτικὰς οἰκίας, οὐ παρὰ τῶν τυχόντων ἀνθρώπων, ἀλλὰ παρὰ τῶν πρωτευόντων ἐν τῇ Ἀσίᾳ καὶ τῇ Εὐρώπῃ; καὶ ἐφ' οἷς ἐστὶν ἐκ τῶν νόμων ζημία θάνατος, ταῦτά τινες οὐκ ἐξαρνούνται πράττειν, 10 ἀλλ' ὁμολογοῦσιν ἐν τῷ δήμῳ, καὶ τὰς ἐπιστολὰς ἀλλήλοις παραναγιγνώσκουσιν, καὶ παρακελεύονται οἱ μὲν ὑμῖν βλέπειν εἰς τὰ ἐαυτῶν πρόσωπα ὡς φύλακες τῆς δημοκρατίας, ἕτεροι δ' αἰτοῦσι δωρεὰς ὡς σωτῆρες τῆς πόλεως ὄντες. ὁ δὲ δῆμος 252
 15 παρνοίας ἐαλωκὼς αὐτὸ μόνον τοῦνομα τῆς δημοκρατίας περιποιεῖται, τῶν δ' ἔργων ἑτέροις παρακεχώρηκεν. ἔπειτ' ἀπέρχεσθε ἐκ τῶν ἐκκλησιῶν οὐ βουλευσάμενοι, ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἐκ τῶν ἐράνων, τὰ περιόντα νειμάμενοι. ὅτι δ' οὐ ληρῶ, ἐκείθεν τὸν 253
 λόγον θεωρήσατε. ἐγένετό τις, ἄχθομαι δὲ πολλάκις μεμνη-

1. καὶ] Om. *fed* Bekk. 3. μαρτυρεῖται] *·ρείτε bel*: 'Fort. *μαρτυρεῖ*' Saupp.
 4. δοκεῖ ὑμῖν εἶναι] Ita *agmz*: ὑμῖν εἶναι δοκεῖ *bekl*: *ceteri et Bekk.* ὑμῖν δοκεῖ εἶναι.
 5. εἰ] *ὅτι nfccl* Bekk. 6. πρεσβεῖαι] αἱ πρεσβεῖαι Bekk. cum omnibus praeter *agmp*. 11. οἱ μὲν ὑμῖν] ὑμῖν οἱ μὲν *fedgckl*, οἱ μὲν ὑμᾶς *b*: 'Fort. ὑμῖν *delendum*' Spp., et delet Schultz. 19. πολλάκις μεμνημένος] μεμνημένος *πολλάκις bekl* Bekk.

1. τὰς βεβαιώσεις. Where the vendor's title was disputed, it would often be alleged that he was a mere colourable owner, and then the question of his way of living would be relevant.

§ 251. *Is it not shameful, for private men to assume the dignity and power of the state,*

6. πρεσβεῖαι . . οἰκίας. He is no doubt thinking of the story told by Dinarchus in Dem. p. 92, § 20: whatever were the facts as to Demosthenes' refusal of the application, there seems no room to doubt that it was made. The Theban envoys will thus be οἱ πρωτεύοντες ἐν τῇ Εὐρώπῃ, the Asians, of course, are the Persian court.

8. ἐφ' οἷς ἐστὶν κ.τ.λ. Taking bribes from foreign powers; while Demosthenes claimed credit for having money from Persia to administer.

10. τὰς ἐπιστολὰς . . παραναγιγνώσκουσιν must describe Demosthenes and a partisan each reading a letter from abroad, the information of one supplementing that of the other. We cannot tell what exact story is

alluded to, but it is probably a case of the ἐπιστολὰς ψευδεῖς of § 225.

§ 252. *While the state, like a father in his dotage, is content to be superseded in its lifetime?*

14. παραγεγηρακὼς. 'Having fallen into dotage' gradually and naturally, so that it was not παρνοίας ἐαλωκὼς by one definite act, but the undutiful heir stepped into possession with his father's impotent acquiescence.

18. τὰ περιόντα νειμάμενοι. The politicians divided the substantial proceeds of their policy: out of the surplus, they found funds to pay the citizens, who then went away satisfied.

§ 253. *While law was regarded, the fugitives after Chaeronea were punished:*

19. ἐγένετό τις. We do not know his name: Lyncurgus (in Leocr. p. 154, § 52) says that several persons were put to death by the Areopagus on the charge. From his tone in the passage, it is clear that the people were shocked at their act, at least when the danger was over; especially, no

- μένος τὰς ἀτυχίας τῆς πόλεως, ἐνταῦθ' ἀνὴρ ἰδιώτης, ὃς ἐκπλεῖν μόνον εἰς Σάμον ἐπιχειρήσας ὡς προδότης τῆς πατρίδος αὐθιμερὸν ὑπὸ τῆς ἐξ Ἀρείου πάγου βουλῆς θανάτῳ ἐξῆμιώθη. ἕτερος δ' ἰδιώτης ἐκπλεύσας εἰς Ῥόδον, ὅτι τὸν φόβον ἀνάνδρως ἤνεγκε, πρῶν ποτὲ εἰσηγγέλθη καὶ ἴσαι αἱ ψῆφοι αὐτῷ 5 ἐγένοντο· εἰ δὲ μία μόνον μετέπεσεν, ὑπερώριστ' ἂν ἡ ἀπέ-
 254 θανεν. ἀντιθῶμεν δὴ τὸ νῦν γιγνόμενον. ἀνὴρ ῥήτωρ, ὁ πάντων τῶν κακῶν αἴτιος, ἔλιπε μὲν τὴν ἀπὸ στρατοπέδου τάξιν, ἀπέδρα δ' ἐκ τῆς πόλεως· οὗτος στεφανοῦσθαι ἀξιοὶ καὶ κηρύττεσθαι οἶεται δεῖν. οὐκ ἀποπέμψετε τὸν ἄνθρωπον ὡς κοινήν 10 τῶν Ἑλλήνων συμφοράν; ἢ συλλαβόντες ὡς ληστὴν τῶν πραγμάτων, ἐπ' ὀνομάτων διὰ τῆς πολιτείας πλέοντα, τιμωρήσεσθε;
 255 καὶ τὸν καιρὸν μὴν μνήσθητε, ἐν ᾧ τὴν ψῆφον φέρετε. ἡμερῶν μὲν ὀλίγων μέλλει τὰ Πύθια γίγνεσθαι καὶ τὸ συνέδριον τὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων συλλέγεσθαι· διαβέβληται δ' ἡμῶν ἡ πόλις ἐκ 15 τῶν Δημοσθένους πολιτευμάτων περὶ τοὺς νῦν καιρούς· δόξετε δ', εἰ μὲν τοῦτον στεφανώσητε, ὁμογνώμονες εἶναι τοῖς παραβαίνουσι

2. μόνον] Ita Taylor. et fortasse k: om. *fāq* B. et S.: ceteri *μόνος*.

ἐκπλεύσας] πλεύσας ἰδιώτης Harpocr.: ἐκπλεύσας ἰδιώτης *bekl* B. et S. ἰδιώτης om. Schultz.: ceteri libri et *Bekk.* ἰδιώτης ἐκπλεύσας.

5. Post πρῶν μὲν add. Harpocr. Phot. Suid.

αἱ ψῆφοι αὐτῷ] αὐτῷ αἱ ψῆφοι *bekl* *Bekk.*

'Fortasse utrumque glossatoribus debetur' B. et S.

7. νῦν] νυνὶ *bekl* *Bekk.*

13. μὴν μνήσθητε ...] μὴ μνήσθητε *agm* Vat. *bekl*, μὴ μνήσθητε *p* *Bekk.*, μὴ μνήσθητε Ald. B. et S., ceteri et Schultz. μέμνησθε: 'fort. μὴ οὐ μνήσθητε' Saupp.

bekl *Bekk.* B. et S.

4. ἰδιώτης

σεσθε, 'velut piaculi et monstri,' Orelli ap. Brem. ad loc.: it includes no doubt the literal local sense = ὑπερώρισθαι, above, § 245.

11. ἢ συλλαβόντες κ.τ.λ. 'Or take him up and punish him for a rover upon the sea of politics, who rigs his bark with words and makes prize of things.' ἐπ' ὀνομάτων, more literally, 'embarked on a bottom of words.' For the metaphor, cp. Plat. Phaed. p. 85 D.

§ 255. Will you let Athens be committed to Demosthenes' policy, before the assembly of Greece at the Pythian games?

13. ἡμερῶν μὲν ὀλίγων. They were held, it appears, early in the spring.

14. τὸ συνέδριον. Usually interpreted of the ἐκρινὴ Πυλαία of the Amphictyons: perhaps merely of the πανήγυρις of spectators.

15. διαβέβληται. In consequence of his correspondence with Persia, when the anti-Persian feeling was at its height, and centred in Alexander's person.

17. τοῖς παραβαίνουσι... ἐπ' ἑρην. Especially the Greeks in the Persian service, who were formally traitors to their own governments, these having submitted to Macedon.

doubt, in the case of Autolycus, who only sent away his wife and children. From ἐκπλεῖν μόνον (si vera l.) ἐπιχειρήσας, one might suppose that it is he whom Aeschines means.

4. ἕτερος δ' ἰδιώτης. Leocrates: vid. Lyc. in Leocr. passim. ἰδιώτης twice over, opposed to Demosthenes, whose public character laid on him especial obligations to remain.

5. πρῶν ποτέ. Less than a year before, for Lycurgus' speech (p. 153, § 45) was delivered eight years after his flight.

6. εἰ δὲ μία κ.τ.λ. The process can hardly have been ὀλίγος, but we know from the case of Socrates that a small majority for condemnation did not always involve a mild assessment of penalty.

§ 254. Will you acquit Demosthenes for the same conduct, and thereby declare him to be above law?

8. ἔλιπε μὲν... πόλεως: cp. above, § 159.

10. οἴεται δεῖν. A climax of irony after ἀξιοί, which has come to mean no more than 'claims.' 'He puts in a claim to a crown, and thinks it proper and necessary that he should have a proclamation.'

ἀποπέμψετε. i. q. ἀποπομπὴν ποιή-

τὴν κοινὴν εἰρήνην, εἰάν δὲ τούναντίον τούτου πράττειτε, ἀπολύσετε τὸν δῆμον τῶν αἰτιῶν.

Μὴ οὖν ὡς ὑπὲρ ἀλλοτρίας, ἀλλ' ὡς ὑπὲρ οἰκείας τῆς πό- 256
λεως βουλευέσθε, καὶ τὰς φιλοτιμίας μὴ νέμετε, ἀλλὰ κρίνετε,
καὶ τὰς δωρεὰς εἰς βελτίω σώματα καὶ ἄνδρας ἀξιολογωτέρους
ἀπόθεσθε, καὶ μὴ μόνον τοῖς ὥσιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοῖς ὄμμασι δια-
βλέψαντες εἰς ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς βουλευσάσθε, τίνες ὑμῶν εἰσιν οἱ
βοηθήσοντες Δημοσθένει, πότερον οἱ συγκυνηγέται, ἢ οἱ συγ-
γυμνασταὶ αὐτοῦ, ὅτ' ἦν ἐν ἡλικίᾳ. ἀλλὰ μὰ τὸν Δία τὸν
10 Ὀλύμπιον οἷχ' ὅς ἀγρίους κυνηγετῶν οὐδὲ τῆς τοῦ σώματος
εὐεξίας ἐπιμελούμενος, ἀλλ' ἐπασκῶν τέχνας ἐπὶ τοὺς τὰς οὐσίας
κεκτημένους διαγεγνήνται. ἀλλ' εἰς τὴν ἀλαζονεῖαν ἀποβλέ- 257
ψαντες, ὅταν φῇ Βυζαντίους μὲν ἐκ τῶν χειρῶν πρεσβεύσας
ἐξελέσθαι τοῦ Φιλίππου, ἀποστήσαι δὲ Ἀκαρνανας, ἐκπλήξαι
15 δὲ Θηβαίους δημηγορήσας· οἴεται γὰρ ὑμᾶς εἰς τοσοῦτον εὐη-
θείας ἤδη προβεβηκέναι, ὥστε καὶ ταῦτα ἀναπεισθήσεσθαι, ὥσπερ
Πειθῶ τρέφοντας, ἀλλ' οὐ συκοφάντην ἄνθρωπον ἐν τῇ πόλει.
ὅταν δ' ἐπὶ τελευτῆς ἤδη τοῦ λόγου συνηγόρους τοὺς κοινωνοὺς 258
τῶν δωροδοκημάτων αὐτῷ παρακαλῇ, ὑπολαμβάνετε ὁρᾶν ἐπὶ

5. ἄνδρας ἀξιολογωτέρους] ἀξιολογωτέρους ἄνδρας ἀγμνισδ. 11. ἐπιμελούμενος] Sic ak: ceteri ἐπιμελούμενος. Statim διατετέλεκεν addunt ἀγμνε, διατετέλεκεν p Vat. Laur. Flor. Barb. Inter §§ 256-257, Frankio 'nonnulla excidisse videntur.' 14. τοῦ Φιλίππου] τῶν Φιλίππου nff Bekk. 17. τῇ] Om. z.

§ 256. *Think seriously of your duties: look at the appearance of Demosthenes and his supporters as an index of their habits:*

4. καὶ τὰς φιλοτιμίας μὴ νέμετε, ἀλλὰ κρίνετε. 'Make the objects of ambition a prize and not a lottery.' νέμειν is to give away indiscriminately to the first applicant.

5. εἰς βελτίω σώματα. 'Do not give them except to some one who is more of a man.' Whether the bodily feebleness of Demosthenes' supporters, as well as his own, is intended, will depend on the range of meaning of ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς. Probably it includes the whole audience, or even the whole nation: the thought is, 'Sift Demosthenes' defenders by their looks as well as their words. They do not look like the companions of his youthful sports: they are in fact (§ 256) οἱ κοινωνοὶ τῶν δωροδοκημάτων.' If ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς and ὑμῶν mean the judges only, the point must be 'Do not vote for Demosthenes, unless he has an honourable personal claim on any of you.'

9. ἀλλὰ μὰ τὸν Δία κ.τ.λ. Demosthenes' unmanly habits in youth seem unquestionable: his own explanation (Ae. in Tim. p. 17, § 126) of the nickname Βάτταλος or Βάτταλος, suggests that they arose rather

from his being brought up by women than from weak health.

11. ἐπασκῶν τέχνας κ.τ.λ.: cp. Ae. in Tim. p. 24, §§ 170 sq.

§ 257. *Think of his boastfulness, almost blasphemous:*

12. Franke thinks some words are lost before the beginning of this sentence—whether because there is no apodosis to ἀποβλέψαντες, or for want of connection with the former section, is not stated. The latter is not a good reason, for the sentence is parallel in form with those before and after, and so is not to be expected to start from a point of intersection: and the former is not a decisive one, as the parenthesis οἴεται γὰρ might break the intended construction. Instead of the inference from his ἀλαζονεία, we have an aggravated instance of it.

17. συκοφάντην ἄνθρωπον. Opposed to the eloquent goddess.

§ 258. *Think his array of corrupt supporters met by Solon, defending his own laws.*

18. See the Life of Aeschines for the rhetorical point of this appeal.

19. ἐπὶ τοῦ βήματος, οὐ νῦν κ.τ.λ. With a reference to what Demosthenes is supposed to say in § 208.

τοῦ βήματος, οὗ νῦν ἐστηκὼς ἐγὼ λέγω, ἀντιπαρταταγμένους
 πρὸς τὴν τούτων ἀσέλγειαν τοὺς τῆς πόλεως εὐεργέτας, Σόλωνα
 μὲν τὸν καλλίστοις νόμοις κοσμήσαντα τὴν δημοκρατίαν, ἄνδρα
 φιλόσοφον καὶ νομοθέτην ἀγαθὸν, σωφρόνως, ὡς προσήκεν αὐτῷ,
 δεόμενον ὑμῶν μηδενὶ τρόπῳ τοὺς Δημοσθένους λόγους περὶ 5
 259 πλείονος ποιήσασθαι τῶν ὄρκων καὶ τῶν νόμων, Ἀριστείδην
 δὲ τὸν τοὺς φόρους τάξαντα τοῖς Ἕλλησιν, οὗ τελευτήσαντος
 τὰς θυγατέρας ἐξέδωκεν ὁ δῆμος, σχετλιάζοντα ἐπὶ τῷ τῆς
 δικαιοσύνης προπηλακισμῷ καὶ ἐπερωτῶντα, εἰ οὐκ αἰσχύνεσθε,
 εἰ οἱ μὲν πατέρες ὑμῶν Ἀρθμιον τὸν Ζελεΐτην κομίσαντα εἰς 10
 τὴν Ἑλλάδα τὸ ἐκ Μήδων χρυσίον, ἐπιδημήσαντα εἰς τὴν
 πόλιν, πρόξενον ὄντα τοῦ δήμου τῶν Ἀθηναίων, παρ' οὐδέν
 μὲν ἦλθον ἀποκτείνειν, ἐξεκέρυξαν δ' ἐκ τῆς πόλεως καὶ ἐξ
 ἀπάσης ἧς Ἀθηναῖοι ἄρχουσιν, ὑμεῖς δὲ Δημοσθένην, οὗ κομί-
 σαντα τὸ ἐκ Μήδων χρυσίον, ἀλλὰ δωροδοκήσαντα καὶ ἔτι καὶ 15
 νῦν κεκτημένον, χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ μέλλετε στεφανοῦν. Θεμι-
 στοκλέα δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἐν Μαραθῶνι τελευτήσαντας καὶ τοὺς ἐν
 Πλαταιαῖς καὶ αὐτοὺς τοὺς τάφους τοὺς τῶν προγόνων οὐκ
 οἴεσθε στενάξαι, εἰ ὁ μετὰ τῶν βαρβάρων ὁμολογῶν τοῖς
 Ἕλλησιν ἀντιπράττειν στεφανωθήσεται; 20
 260 Ἐγὼ μὲν οὖν, ὦ γῆ καὶ ἥλιε καὶ ἀρετὴ καὶ σύνεσις καὶ

10. εἰ] *δτι* *bekl.* 12. τῶν Ἀθηναίων] τοῦ Vat. *mz* Flor. Barb. *cdk* et teste Schultzf.
 19. οἴεσθε στενάξαι] *Ita* Flor. *bekl* Frank., *ἀν οἴεσθε στενάξαι* *e*, *ἀν οἴεσθε ἀναστενάξαι* *n*
 Laur. Barb. *fdq*, *οἴεσθε στενάξαι agm* *z* Vat., *ἀν οἴεσθε στενάξαι* *B* et *S*. Schultzf.

2. πρὸς τὴν τούτων ἀσέλγειαν. Several of Demosthenes' partisans were open to attack for personal profligacy: Hyperides and Chares, as well as Ctesiphon.

Σόλωνα κ.τ.λ. He is conceived rather as having provided the democracy with a good code against it arose, than as having founded it: but cp. ad § 38. For the second rather frigid clause of the panegyric, cp. above, § 108: for *σωφρόνως ὡς προσήκεν αὐτῷ*, Ae. in. Tim. p. 4, § 25.

§ 259. By Aristides in the name of justice, by the heroes of the Persian war in that of Hellas.

9. εἰ οὐκ αἰσχύνεσθε, εἰ. The archetype of one group of MSS., being offended by the double εἰ, altered the second to *δτι*. The first would be better worth altering, and as that cannot be altered, we must suppose that Aeschines did not feel it as a fault.

10. Ἀρθμιον τὸν Ζελεΐτην: cp. Demosth. Phil. 3, p. 119, § 42 sqq.

12. παρ' οὐδέν μὲν ἦλθον. 'Came

within a hair's breadth of killing him,' lit. 'within a nothing.'

13. ἐξεκέρυξαν. In terms (Demosth. l. c.) amounting to a sentence of death.

14. οὗ κομίσαντα κ.τ.λ. The point is, 'Arthmius brought the money for the nation: Demosthenes kept it for himself.'

18. οὐκ οἴεσθε στενάξαι. All the best MSS. read *στενάξαι* or *ἀναστενάξαι*, with or without *ἀν*. Hence there is something to be said for Bremi's view, that *ἀν στενάξαι* and *στενάξαι* are rival conjectures, for a harsh but genuine reading *οὐκ οἴεσθε ἀναστενάξαι*. But the future seems really required by the sense.

19. τοῖς Ἕλλησιν. He regards Alexander as the head of a *bona fide* Greek federation, as Isocrates had wished to make Philip.

§ 260. *I at least have done my duty to the cause of virtue and culture.*

21. ὦ γῆ καὶ ἥλιε κ.τ.λ. Ridiculed by Demosthenes, De Cor. § 162.

παιδεία, ἣ διαγιγνώσκομεν τὰ καλὰ καὶ τὰ αἰσχρὰ, βεβοή-
θηκα καὶ εἶρηκα. καὶ εἰ μὲν καλῶς καὶ ἀξίως τοῦ ἀδικήματος
κατηγόρηκα, εἶπον ὡς ἐβουλόμην, εἰ δὲ ἐνδεεστέρως, ὡς ἐδυνά-
μην. ὑμεῖς δὲ καὶ ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων λόγων καὶ ἐκ τῶν παρα-
5 λελειμμένων αὐτοὶ τὰ δίκαια καὶ τὰ συμφέροντα ὑπὲρ τῆς πό-
λεως ψηφίσασθε.

4. παραλειμμένων] παρλειπομένων f Barb. bek Bekk.

1. βεβοήθηκα. 'I have done my duty to you,' to the more abstract, at least, of the deities invoked. As Demosthenes charges him with roaring out these words as if he were on the stage, Reiske conjectured βεβόηκα: which is found in three MSS., and is not absurd, as Demosthenes uses the word for 'lifting up the voice in a protest,' De Cor. § 183. But it is no doubt a mere

conjecture, from unfamiliarity with βοηθεῖν used absolutely.

2. καὶ εἰ μὲν καλῶς. A rhetorical commonplace reproduced by the writer of the Second Book of Maccabees, 15. 38.

5. αὐτοί. Especially correlative to τῶν παραλειμμένων, 'supplying from your own knowledge any point that I have left out.'

ΔΗΜΟΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ
ΥΠΕΡ ΚΤΗΣΙΦΩΝΤΟΣ ΠΕΡΙ ΤΟΥ
ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ ΛΟΓΟΣ.

ΔΗΜΟΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ

ΥΠΕΡ ΚΤΗΣΙΦΩΝΤΟΣ ΠΕΡΙ ΤΟΥ

ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ ΛΟΓΟΣ.

ΛΙΒΑΝΙΟΥ ΤΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ.

Τείχος μὲν ὁ ῥήτωρ ὑπὲρ Ἀθηναίων προὔβαλετο τῶν συνήθων τούτων καὶ χειροποιήτων ἀρραγέστερόν τε καὶ βέλτιον, τήν τε εἰς τὴν πόλιν εὐνοίαν καὶ περὶ λόγους δεινότητα, ὡς αὐτὸς εἴρηκεν “οὐ λίβοις καὶ πλίνθοις τὰς Ἀθήνας ὠχύρωσα, ἀλλὰ μεγάλαις δυνάμεσι καὶ πολλῇ τινὶ συμμαχίᾳ, τῇ μὲν ἐκ γῆς, τῇ δὲ ἐκ θαλάττης.” οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ καὶ εἰς τὸν χειροποιήτον περίβολον οὐ μικρὰ τῇ πόλει συνεβάλετο. πεπονηκότος γὰρ κατὰ πολλὰ μέρη τοῦ τείχους τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις, ἐπεὶ δὲ ἔδοξεν ἀνορθοῦν αὐτὸ, ἤρέθησαν ἐπὶ τὸ ἔργον ἄνδρες δέκα, φυλῆς ἐκάστης εἷς, οὓς ἔδει τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν παρέχεσθαι ψιλῇ· τὸ γὰρ ἀνάλωμα δημόσιον. εἰς τοῖσιν τούτων καὶ ὁ ῥήτωρ γενόμενος οὐχ ὁμοίως τοῖς ἄλλοις τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν μόνῃν εἰσένεγκε τῇ χρείᾳ, ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν ἔργον ἀμέμπτως ἀπετέλεσε, τὰ δὲ χρήματα ἔδωκεν οἰκοθεῖν τῇ πόλει. ἐπῆνεσεν αὐτοῦ τὴν εὐνοίαν ταύτην ἢ βουλή, καὶ τὴν προθυμίαν ἡμείψατο στεφάνῳ χρυσῷ· ἔτοιμοι γὰρ Ἀθηναῖοι πρὸς τὰς χάριτας τῶν εὐ ποιούντων. Κτησιφῶν δὲ ἦν ὁ τὴν γνώμην εἰπὼν ὡς δεῖ στεφανῶσαι τὸν Δημοσθένην, ἐν μὲν καιρῷ τοῖς Διονυσίοις, ἐν δὲ τόπῳ τῷ τοῦ Διονύσου θεάτρῳ, ἐν δὲ θεαταῖς πᾶσι τοῖς Ἕλλησιν, οὓς ἡ πανήγυρις συνήγαγε· καὶ τούτων ἐναντίον ἀναισθήσει τὸν κήρυκα ὅτι στεφάνοι Δημοσθένην Δημοσθένους Παιωνία ἢ πόλις ἀρετῆς συμπάσης ἕνεκα καὶ εὐνοίας τῆς πρὸς αὐτὴν. ἦν οὖν πανταχόθεν ἡ τιμὴ θαυμαστή· διὸ καὶ φθόνος αὐτῆς ἦψατο, καὶ τοῦ ψηφίσματος ἀπηνέχθη παρανόμων γραφῇ. Αἰσχίνης γὰρ ἐχθρὸς ὢν τοῦ Δημοσθένους ἀγῶνα παρανόμων ἐπήγγειλε Κτησιφῶντι, λέγων ἀρχοντα γεγονότα τὸν Δημοσθένην καὶ μὴ δόντα λόγον ὑπεύθυνον εἶναι, νόμον δὲ κελεύειν τοὺς ὑπευθύνους μὴ στεφανοῦν, καὶ πάλιν νόμον παρεχόμενος τὸν κελεύοντα, ἔαν μὲν τινα ὁ δῆμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων στεφάνοι, ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ τὸν στέφανον ἀναγορεύεσθαι, ἔαν δὲ ἢ βουλή, ἐν τῷ βουλευτηρίῳ, ἀλλαχόθι δὲ μὴ ἐξείναι. φησὶ δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἐπαίνους εἶναι

τοὺς ἐπὶ τῷ Δημοσθένει ψευδεῖς· μὴ γὰρ πεπολιτευθῆναι καλῶς τὸν ῥήτορα, ἀλλὰ καὶ θεωροδόκον εἶναι καὶ πολλῶν κακῶν αἴτιον τῇ πόλει. καὶ τάξει γε ταύτῃ τῆς κατηγορίας Αἰσχίνης κέχρηται, πρῶτον εἰπὼν περὶ τοῦ τῶν ὑπευθύνων νόμου καὶ δεύτερον περὶ τοῦ τῶν κηρυγμάτων καὶ τρίτον περὶ τῆς πολιτείας· ἤξιώσε δὲ καὶ τὸν Δημοσθένην τὴν αὐτὴν τάξιν ποιήσασθαι. ὁ δὲ ῥήτωρ καὶ ἀπὸ τῆς πολιτείας τὴν ἀρχὴν ἐποιήσατο καὶ πάλιν εἰς ταύτην τὸν λόγον κατέστρεψε, τεχνικῶς ποιῶν· δεῖ γὰρ ἀρχεσθῆναι τε ἀπὸ τῶν ἰσχυροτέρων καὶ λήγειν εἰς ταῦτα· μέσα δὲ τέθεικε τὰ περὶ τῶν νόμων, καὶ τῷ μὲν περὶ τῶν ὑπευθύνων ἀντιτίθῃσι διανοίας, τῷ δὲ περὶ τῶν κηρυγμάτων νόμον ἕτερον ἦτοι νόμου μέρος, ὥς φησιν αὐτὸς, ἐν ᾧ συγκεχώρηται καὶ ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ κηρύττειν, ἐὰν ὁ δῆμος ἢ ἡ βουλὴ τοῦτο ψηφίσῃται.

ΕΤΕΡΑ ΤΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ.

Ἀθηναῖοι καὶ Θηβαῖοι πολεμοῦντες πρὸς Φίλιππον ἐν Χαερωνείᾳ, πόλει τῆς Βοιωτίας, ἡττήθησαν. ἐπικρατήσας οὖν ὁ Μακεδὼν φρουρὰν μὲν εἰς τὰς Θήβας ἐπέβαλε, καὶ εἶχεν ὑπὸ χεῖρα δουλεύουσιν. ἐλπίσαντες οὖν τὸ αὐτὸ παθεῖν Ἀθηναῖοι καὶ ὅσον οὐδέπω κατ' αὐτῶν ἤξει προσδοκῶντες τὸν τύραννον, ἐσκέψαντο τὰ πεποιηκότα μέρη τῷ χρόνῳ τοῦ τείχους ἐπανορθώσασθαι, καὶ δὴ ἀφ' ἐκάστης φυλῆς τειχοποιοὶ προεβλήθησαν. τοιῶνδε καὶ ἡ Πανδιονίς ἐξ ἑαυτῆς εἴλετο πρὸς τὴν χρεῖαν τὸν ῥήτορα. τῆς τοῖνυν ἐργασίας ἐν χερσὶν οδοῆς, προσδεηθεὶς ἔτι χρημάτων μετὰ τὰ δεδομένα ὑπὸ τῆς πόλεως, ὁ ῥήτωρ ἐκ τῶν ἰδίων ἰδαπάνησε, καὶ οὐκ ἐλογίσαστο αὐτὰ τῇ πόλει, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐχαρίσατο. ταύτην ἀφορμὴν ὁ Κτησιφῶν, εἰς τῶν πολιτευομένων, δεξάμενος εἰσήνεγκε γνώμην ἐν τῇ βουλῇ περὶ αὐτοῦ τοιαύτην, “ἐπειδὴ διατελεῖ Δημοσθένης ὁ Δημοσθένους παρ' ὅλον τὸν βίον εὖνοιαν εἰς τὴν πόλιν ἐπιεικνύμενος, καὶ νῦν δὲ τειχοποιῶν ὢν καὶ προσδεηθεὶς χρημάτων οἴκοθεν παρέσχε καὶ ἐχαρίσατο, διὰ τοῦτο δεδόχθαι τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ στεφανοῦσθαι αὐτὸν χρυσέῳ στεφάνῳ, ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ, τραγῳδιῶν ἀγομένων καινῶν,” ἴσως ὅτε πλήθῃ συντρέχει ἐπιθυμοῦντα καινὰ δράματα βλέπειν. εἰσαγομένου τοῖνυν καὶ εἰς τὸν δῆμον τοῦ προβουλευματος, ἐφίσταται τοῦ Κτησιφῶντος κατήγορος Αἰσχίνης ἐκ τῆς πολιτείας ὑπάρχων ἐχθρὸς, παράνομον εἶναι φάσκων πρὸς τρεῖς νόμους τὸ ψήφισμα, ἓνα μὲν τὸν κελεύοντα τὸν ὑπεύθυνον μὴ στεφανοῦσθαι, πρὶν ἂν δῶ τὰς εὐθύνας· οὕτω δὲ ταύτας, φησὶν, ὁ Δημοσθένης ἐδεδώκει καὶ τὰ θεωρικὰ διοικῶν καὶ τειχοποιῶν, καὶ ἔδει ἀναμειναι καὶ ἐπισχεῖν τὸ γέρας, ἕως ἂν ὀφθῇ καθαρὸς ἐξετασθεὶς. δεύτερον δὲ ἀναγκάζει νόμον τὸν κελεύοντα ἐν πυκνῇ στεφανοῦσθαι, ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ, διαβάλλων τοὺς πολίτας τοὺς δεξαμένους ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ ἀναγορευθῆναι τοῦ Δημοσθένους τὸν στέφανον. ὁ δὲ τρίτος νόμος εἰς τὴν δλην ὁρὰ τοῦ βίου καὶ τῆς πολιτείας ἐξέτασιν· κελεύει γὰρ μηδέποτε ψευδῇ γράμματα εἰς τὸ Μητρώον εἰσάγειν, ἔνθα ἐστὶν ὅλα τὰ δημόσια γράμματα. ἐψεύσατο δὲ, φησὶν, εὖνοιαν καὶ σπουδὴν μαρτυρήσας τῷ Δημοσθένει· κακόνους γὰρ μᾶλλον καὶ πολέμους εὐρίσκεται τῇ πατρίδι. τούτου τοῦ νόμου χρησίμου τυγχάνοντος, τοῦ τρίτου, ἀντιλαβόμενος ὥσπερ τινὲς ἀγκύρας ὁ ῥήτωρ κατεπάλαυσε τὸν ἀντίδικον, μεθύδῳ

δεινотάτῃ καὶ σοφωτάτῃ τῇ περὶ τοῦ κατηγοροῦ χρησάμενος· ἐκείθεν γὰρ ἔσχε λαβὴν ελεῖν καὶ καταγωνίσασθαι τὸν πολέμιον. τοὺς μὲν γὰρ ἄλλους δύο νόμους, τὸν τε τῶν ὑπευθύνων καὶ τὸν τοῦ κηρύγματος, εἰς τὸ μέσον τοῦ λόγου ἀπέρριψε, στρατηγικῶς κακοὺς εἰς μέσον ἐλάσας, τῷ δὲ ἰσχυροτάτῃ εἰς τὰ ἄκρα προσκέρχεται, τὸ σαθρὸν τῶν ἄλλων ἐξ ἐκατέρου ῥωννύς. ζοικε δὲ καὶ διοικεῖν πρὸς τὸ συμφέρον τὸν λόγον, καὶ οὐ σφόδρα ἀναιδῶς τὴν τέχνην ἐπιδεικνύμενος. δοκῶν γὰρ ἐν πρώτοις ὑπερβαίνειν τὸ νόμιμον, ἐτέρῃ τρόπῃ τῷ νομίμῳ προσκέρχεται· καὶ γὰρ νόμον ἀνέγνω Ἀισχίνης τὸν περὶ τῶν στεφάνων ψευδῆ, πρὸς δὲ ὃν ὁ ῥήτωρ ἀποκρινόμενος εὖρε καιρὸν εἰς μέσον ἀγαγεῖν τὰ ἐαυτοῦ πολιτεύματα, ὡς νομίμῳ μαχόμενος. καὶ ἡ μὲν διοίκησις τοῦ λόγου τοιαύτη, κεφάλαιον δὲ ἰσχυρὸν τῷ μὲν Ἀισχίνῃ τὸ νόμιμον, τῷ δὲ ῥήτορι τὸ δίκαιον, κοινὸν δὲ ἀπὸ τοῦ Ἰσίου τὸ συμφέρον, οὐκ ἔχον φανεράν τὴν ἐξέτασιν. ἡ στάσις ἑγγραφος πραγματική· περὶ ῥητοῦ γὰρ τὸ ψήφισμα.

Τῆς δὲ γραφῆς ἔτι Φιλίππου ζῶντος ἀποτεθείσης, ἐπὶ Ἀλεξάνδρου διαδεξιμένου τὴν ἀρχὴν ὁ λόγος ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ κρίσις. ὡς γὰρ ἀπίθαμε Φίλιππος καὶ τὴν φρουρὰν οἱ Θηβαῖοι θεταρσηκότες ἐξέβαλον, ὁ μὲν Ἀλέξανδρος ὡς καταφρονηθεὶς τὰς Θήβας κατέσκαψεν, εἰτα μεταγνοὺς ἐπὶ τῷ πεπραγμένῳ ἐξεχώρησε τῆς Ἑλλάδος αἰσχυνόμενος καὶ κατὰ τῶν βαρβάρων ἰστράτευσεν, οἱ δὲ Ἀθηναῖοι καιρὸν ἔχων ἐνόμισαν κρίσει παραδοῦναι τοὺς προδόντας τοὺς τὴν Ἑλλάδα ἀδύκηστας, καὶ οὕτω συνεκροτήθη τὸ δικαστήριον.

- 1 Πρῶτον μὲν, ὃ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τοῖς θεοῖς εὐχομαι πᾶσι καὶ πάσαις, ὅσῃ εὐνοίαν ἔχων ἐγὼ διατελῶ τῇ τε πόλει καὶ πᾶσιν ὑμῖν, τοσαύτην ὑπάρξαι μοι παρ' ὑμῶν εἰς τουτονὶ τὸν ἀγῶνα, ἔπειθ' ὅπερ ἐστὶ μάλισθ' ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν καὶ τῆς ὑμετέρας εὐσεβείας τε καὶ δόξης, τοῦτο παραστήσαι τοὺς θεοὺς ὑμῖν, μὴ 5 τὸν ἀντίδικον σύμβουλον ποιήσασθαι περὶ τοῦ πῶς ἀκούειν ὑμᾶς ἐμοῦ δεῖ (σχέτλιον γὰρ ἂν εἴη τοῦτό γε), ἀλλὰ τοὺς νόμους καὶ τὸν ὄρκον, ἐν ᾧ πρὸς ἅπασιν τοῖς ἄλλοις δικαίοις 2 καὶ τοῦτο γέγραπται, τὸ ὁμοίως ἀμφοῖν ἀκροάσασθαι. τοῦτο

9. ἀκροάσασθαι] Ita X: ceteri ἀκροῦσθαι.

§§ 1, 2. *I pray first that I may be rewarded for my good will to you, next that you may have grace to keep your oath, and allow me to arrange my defence as I like; you are sworn to give both sides an equal bearing, but if the accuser is to dictate the line of the defence, where is the equality?*

2. *ἐγὼ answers to παρ' ὑμῶν*: in earlier writers the antithesis would have been more symmetrical and emphatic.

4. *ὅπερ* .. ὑμῖν. 'That the Gods may put in your heart what is most profitable for you and for your piety and your honour.'

μάλιστα does not imply that the second part of the prayer concerns them principally, but that it contains the best gift he can ask for them. His first prayer was for a favourable hearing: his second is for simple impartiality.

§ 2. 'This means, not only to have no foregone conclusion, nor yet to render good will to both equally, but also to let the arrangement and the defence be taken in the order that each party has chosen and preferred.' Demosthenes is stating a general principle for his own benefit, and therefore

δ' ἐστὶν οὐ μόνον τὸ μὴ προκατεγνωκέναι μηδὲν, οὐδὲ τὸ τὴν εὐνοίαν ἴσῃ ἀμφοτέροις ἀποδοῦναι, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ τῇ τάξει καὶ τῇ ἀπολογία, ὡς βεβούληται καὶ προήρηται τῶν ἀγωνιζομένων ἕκαστος, οὕτως ἔασαι χρήσασθαι.

- 5 Πολλὰ μὲν οὖν ἔγωγ' ἐλαττοῦμαι κατὰ τουτονὶ τὸν ἀγῶνα 3
 Αἰσχίνου, δύο δ', ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ μεγάλα, ἐν μὲν ὅτι
 οὐ περὶ τῶν ἴσων ἀγωνίζομαι· οὐ γάρ ἐστιν ἴσον ἡν ἐμοὶ τῆς
 παρ' ὑμῶν εὐνοίας διαμαρτεῖν καὶ τούτῳ μὴ ἐλεῖν τὴν γραφὴν,
 ἀλλ' ἐμοὶ μὲν—οὐ βούλομαι δὲ δυσχερὲς εἰπεῖν οὐδὲν ἀρχό- 4
 10 μενος τοῦ λόγου, οὗτος δ' ἐκ περιουσίας μου κατηγορεῖ. ἕτερον
 δ', ὃ φύσει πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις ὑπάρχει, τῶν μὲν λοιδοριῶν καὶ
 τῶν κατηγοριῶν ἀκούειν ἡδέως, τοῖς ἐπαινοῦσι δ' αὐτοὺς ἄχθε-

2. ἀμφοτέροις] Om. pr. Σ.

states it in the form which shews its bearing on his own case: hence, while τῇ τάξει includes Aeschines, it is coupled not with a general word like ἀποδείξει, but one which applies to Demosthenes exclusively. The phrase cannot be explained as a mere hendiadys—τῇ τάξει τῆς ἀπολογίας, for the sense is, 'Your oath requires that, as Aeschines has put his accusation in the order which suited him, so I should be equally free to arrange my defence,' instead of being bound over to follow his order as he had demanded (In Ctes. § 203).

§ 3. Aeschines starts at an advantage. I have more to lose by your censure than Aeschines by simply failing to get a conviction.

§ 3. After saying that he only asks equality, he suggests his need of favour, by saying that Aeschines cannot but have an advantage. 'I know, indeed, that in this contest Aeschines has many advantages over me: he has two great advantages, men of Athens.'

§ 5. ἔγωγ. I, whatever be the case with other defendants: tr. 'A man like me.'

7. οὐ γάρ ἴσων. Contrast below, § 330, where Aeschines is threatened with ruin by the failure of the prosecution (as actually happened); while Demosthenes says he has nothing to lose, only the crown to gain by success. Perhaps his confidence rose in the course of the speech as he watched its effect upon the court (cp. § 64. ἀκούεις & λέγουσιν, if the words were spoken): perhaps this *timidum submissumque principium* (Quinct. 11. 3. 97) is merely intended to disarm criticism.

vñv. 'Now,' after my long services, after your long gratitude.

§§ 4, 5. Also it is always pleasant to

listen to detraction than to self-laudation; yet some measure of self-laudation is forced upon me.

10. οὗτος δὲ answers in a manner to ἐποὶ μὲν; but the latter clause is modified because the former is left incomplete. Demosthenes intended to say, 'I have a great position to lose, he has none:' he does say, 'I have—I won't say what; but he can well afford to accuse me.' δυσχερὲς seems to mean 'offensive' rather than 'ominous': the offensiveness may be either in Demosthenes' praising himself or in the contrast he was going to draw.

ἐκ περιουσίας. 'Risking nothing,' nothing beyond his spare resources, nothing that he cannot afford to lose: the words can scarcely mean 'wantonly,' 'ex mera insolentia,' and in Plat. Theaet. p. 154 D, which is quoted in support of this sense, there is no difficulty in explaining the phrase in its usual and etymological sense, 'with our spare mental energy' which we can devote to mental luxuries: the things spoken of are not necessities.

11. δ is in construction a pronoun, probably with ἕτερον as its antecedent; in translating it would be best expressed by an adverb, like οὕτω which it balances here, or the Latin *quod*. The construction is, 'The second [difficulty is] the tendency innate in all men to hear with pleasure,' etc.; the rhetorical effect is, 'The second, that whereas it is human nature to like abuse of others and dislike self-praise, the first falls to Aeschines, the second to me.' For the sentiment, cp. Thuc. 2. 35. 4, 5, where Pericles dilates on the natural reluctance of men to hear the praise of others; without apologising, like Demosthenes, for what he imputes.

- σθαι· τούτων τοίνυν ὃ μὲν ἐστὶ πρὸς ἡδονήν, τούτῳ δέδοται, ὃ δὲ πᾶσιν ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν ἐνοχλεῖ, λοιπὸν ἐμοί. κἂν μὲν εὐλαβούμενος τοῦτο μὴ λέγω τὰ πεπραγμένα ἐμαυτῷ, οὐκ ἔχειν ἀπολύσασθαι τὰ κατηγορημένα δόξω οὐδ' ἐφ' οἷς ἀξιώ τιμᾶσθαι δεικνύναι· ἐὰν δ' ἐφ' ᾧ καὶ πεποίηκα καὶ πεπολίτευμαι ⁵ βαδίζω, πολλάκις λέγειν ἀναγκασθήσομαι περὶ ἐμαυτοῦ. πειράσομαι μὲν οὖν ὡς μετριώτατα τοῦτο ποιεῖν· ὃ τι δ' ἂν τὸ πρᾶγμα αὐτὸ ἀναγκάξῃ, τούτου τὴν αἰτίαν οὗτός ἐστι δίκαιος ἔχειν ὁ τοιοῦτον ἀγῶνα ἐνστησάμενος.
- ⁶ Οἶμαι δ' ὑμᾶς, ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, πάντας ἂν ὁμολογήσαι ¹⁰ κοινὸν εἶναι τούτον τὸν ἀγῶνα ἐμοί τε καὶ Κτησιφῶντι καὶ οὐδὲν ἐλάττονος ἄξιον σπουδῆς ἐμοί· πάντων μὲν γὰρ ἀποστειρεῖσθαι λυπηρόν ἐστι καὶ χαλεπὸν, ἄλλως τε κἂν ὑπ' ἐχθροῦ τῷ τοῦτο συμβαίῃ, μάλιστα δὲ τῆς παρ' ὑμῶν εὐνοίας καὶ φιλάνθρωπίας, ὅσῳ περ καὶ τὸ τυχεῖν τούτων μέγιστόν ἐστιν. ¹⁵
- ⁷ περὶ τούτων δ' ὅντος τούτον τοῦ ἀγῶνος, ἀξιώ καὶ δέομαι πάντων ὁμοίως ὑμῶν ἀκούσαί μου περὶ τῶν κατηγορημένων ἀπολογουμένου δικαίως, ὥσπερ οἱ νόμοι κελεύουσιν, οὓς ὁ τιθεὶς ἐξ ἀρχῆς Σόλων, εὖνους ὦν ὑμῖν καὶ δημοτικὸς, οὐ μόνον τῷ

10. Ἀθηναῖοι.] Ita Σ et al.: volg. usque ad Bekk. δικασταί. ὑμᾶς add. Σ.

Statim post πάντας,

3. οὐκ ἔχειν .. δεικνύναι. Aeschines had put forward a double plea to prove the decree unlawful: (a) That Demosthenes had done no good; (b) That he had done positive harm. Hence the double position that Demosthenes says he cannot make good without self-praise.

8. τούτου .. ἐνστησάμενος. 'The blame for this ought to rest with him; he is the man who provoked a contest like this.' The presence of the article is a victory of the rhetorical form over the logical: instead of saying 'he, because he provoked this contest,' Demosthenes points out his opponent twice: οὗτος, 'the man before you;' ὁ .. ἐνστησάμενος, 'the man that provoked.'

§§ 6-8. *I have a right to take an interest in the suit: for every loss is painful [and I risk losing my crown]: doubly painful if inflicted by an enemy [and Aeschines is mine]: yet more so when the loss is as serious as mine if I lose your favour. Hence I need the impartiality to which you are sworn: and you are sworn in order to counteract the advantage the accuser has in speaking first.*

10. ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι. One would expect this form of address to be used only where there was a distinct political appeal; but (to take the nearest instances)

in §§ 3 and 10, where Ἀθηναῖοι is used, the appeal seems to be only to their judicial capacity; and even in §§ 1 and 15, the appeal is quite as much to them as judges as citizens. One of the most elaborate passages in Aeschines (§ 211) is to prove that Demosthenes ought to be neutral: here Demosthenes quietly assumes the contrary.

12. πάντων. 'Anything,' not 'everything.'

17. πάντων ὁμοίως. 'To all alike,' whatever your party or inclination may be.

19. Σόλων probably fixed the constitution of the courts; though subsequent legislation extended their jurisdiction and stimulated their activity. Of course much was attributed to him that could not possibly be his; but the original form of the oath here appealed to is almost certain to date from his time. It is quoted in full ap. Dem. in Timoc. pp. 746, 747, where we see that it must have been modified in terms to suit the later constitution (τῆς βουλῆς τῶν πεντακοσίων is on the face of it later than Cleisthenes); but the oath against abolition of debts and redistribution of lands, seems to point to a time before the judicial and legislative functions of the *Ἡλιαία* were separated, not to

γράφαι κυρίους ᾤετο δεῖν εἶναι, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῷ τοὺς δικάζοντας
 ὑμᾶς ὁμωμοκέναι, οὐκ ἀπιστῶν ὑμῖν, ὥς γ' ἐμοὶ φαίνεται, ἀλλ' 8
 ὁρῶν ὅτι τὰς αἰτίας καὶ τὰς διαβολὰς, αἷς ἐκ τοῦ πρότερος
 λέγειν ὁ διώκων ἰσχύει, οὐκ ἐνὶ τῷ φεύγοντι παρελθεῖν, εἰ μὴ
 5 τῶν δικαζόντων ἕκαστος ὑμῶν τὴν πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς εὐσέβειαν
 διαφυλάττων καὶ τὰ τοῦ λέγοντος ὑτέρου δίκαια εὐνοικῶς
 προσδέξεται, καὶ παρασχὼν ἑαυτὸν ἴσον καὶ κοινὸν ἀμφοτέροις
 ἀκρατὴν οὕτω τὴν διάγνωσιν ποιήσεται περὶ πάντων.

Μέλλων δὲ τοῦ τε ἰδίου βίου παντὸς, ὥς ἔοικε, λόγον διδόν- 9
 10 ναι τήμερον καὶ τῶν κοινῇ πεπολιτευμένων, βούλομαι πάλιν
 τοὺς θεοὺς παρακαλέσαι, καὶ ἐναντίον ὑμῶν εὐχομαι πρῶτον
 μὲν, ὅσῃ εὐνοίαν ἔχων ἐγὼ διατελῶ τῇ τε πόλει καὶ πᾶσιν
 ὑμῖν, τοσαύτην ὑπάρξαι μοι παρ' ὑμῶν εἰς τουτονὶ τὸν ἀγῶνα,
 ἔπειθ' ὃ τι μέλλει συνοίσειν καὶ πρὸς εὐδοξίαν κοινῇ καὶ πρὸς
 15 εὐσέβειαν ἑκάστῳ, τοῦτο παραστήσαι τοὺς θεοὺς πᾶσιν ὑμῖν
 περὶ ταυτησὶ τῆς γραφῆς γινῶναι.

Εἰ μὲν οὖν περὶ ὧν ἐδῶκε μόνον κατηγορήσεν Αἰσχίνης, 10
 κἀγὼ περὶ αὐτοῦ τοῦ προβουλεύματος εὐθὺς ἂν ἀπελογούμην·
 ἐπειδὴ δ' οὐκ ἐλάττω λόγον τᾶλλα διεξιὼν ἀνήλωκε καὶ τὰ

6. ὑτέρου] Ita pr. ΣΤ et al. A et socii: ceteri ὑστερον.
 addebatur usque ad Bekk. καθάπερ ἐν ἀρχῇ. Om. ΣΑ et socii.

10. βούλομαι] Post hoc
 15. τοὺς θεοὺς] Om. Σ.

mention that such a provision could hardly
 have been introduced after the era of the
 Pisistratidae, who seem to have left no social
 questions open. It may be added that the
 archons are mentioned as important magis-
 trates.

εὖνους ὧν ὑμῖν καὶ δημοτικοί: cp.
 Ar. Nub. 1190, ὁ πόλις ὁ παλαῖος ἦν φιλό-
 δημοῦς τὴν φύσιν. The clause is inserted to
 establish οὐκ ἀπιστῶν... ἀλλ' ὁρῶν κ.τ.λ.
 As a good citizen and your well-wisher he
 cannot have distrusted you, but he saw the
 temptation to which you were exposed.

6. τὰ τοῦ λέγοντος ὑτέρου δίκαια.
 'The pleas of right put forward by the second
 speaker.' In this context, δίκαια is always
 subjective; not 'rights,' but 'views of right.'

7. ἴσον καὶ κοινόν. 'Indifferent and
 impartial.' If the words are to be distin-
 guished, a clue may perhaps be found in the
 speech of Prodicus ap. Plat. Prot. p. 337 B,
 κοινοὺς μὲν εἶναι, ἴσους δὲ μὴ, which one
 might paraphrase, 'be equally open to the
 influence of both sides, but not find the in-
 fluence of both equally strong.'

§ 9. As the indictment covers my whole
 life, public and private, I again pray that
 you may bear me as good will as I bear you,
 and that you may be guided to such a judg-

ment that each of you may keep his oath, and
 all get good report.

13. ὑπάρξαι. 'That I may count on as
 much good will from you,' etc. Note that
 he varies his prayer a little from its original
 form in § 1. Then he prayed for a fair
 hearing, now for a right decision; and the
 repetition of his appeal to their piety and
 honour is enriched by a favourite antithesis
 [κοινῇ and ἑκάστῳ].

§ 10. If Aeschines had kept to the ille-
 gality, so would I: but most of his speech
 was on my general character; so I must
 reply, to remove a prejudice which might
 affect the special question.

18. τοῦ προβουλεύματος. The motion
 made by Ctesiphon in the Senate of Five
 Hundred, as a preliminary to bringing it
 before the Assembly. Demosthenes' point
 is that Aeschines was making a small ques-
 tion into a great one: accordingly he speaks
 of the act of Ctesiphon as a mere sugges-
 tion, too inchoate to be responsible. The
 term is technically accurate; otherwise ψή-
 φισμα would be more natural.

19. ἐπειδὴ δ'... κατεψεύσατό μου. 'Since
 half his speech was a rehearsal of other mat-
 ters, and most of it lies.' οὐκ ἐλάττω,
 strictly 'the better half.'

πλείστα κατεψεύσατό μου, ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι νομίζω καὶ δίκαιον ἅμα βραχέα, ὡς ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, περὶ τούτων πρῶτον εἰπεῖν, ἵνα μηδεὶς ὑμῶν τοῖς ἔξωθεν λόγοις ἡγμένος ἀλλοτριώτερον τῶν ὑπὲρ τῆς γραφῆς δικαίων ἀκούῃ μου.

- 11 Περὶ μὲν δὴ τῶν ἰδίων ὅσα λοιδορούμενος βεβλασφήμηκε 5
περὶ ἐμοῦ, θεάσασθε ὡς ἀπλᾶ καὶ δίκαια λέγω. εἰ μὲν ἴστε
με τοιοῦτον οἶον οὗτος ἡτιῶτο (οὐ γὰρ ἄλλοθί που βεβίωκα
ἢ παρ' ὑμῖν), μηδὲ φωνὴν ἀνάσχησθε, μηδ' εἰ πάντα τὰ κοινὰ
ὑπέρειν πεπολίτευμαι, ἀλλ' ἀναστάντες καταψηφίσασθε ἥδη·
12 εἰ δὲ πολλῶν βελτίω τούτου καὶ ἐκ βελτιόνων, καὶ μηδενὸς τῶν 10
μετρίων, ἵνα μηδὲν ἐπαχθὲς λέγω, χεῖρονα καὶ ἐμὲ καὶ τοὺς
ἐμούς ὑπειλήφατε καὶ γινώσκετε, τούτῳ μὲν μηδ' ὑπὲρ τῶν
ἄλλων πιστεύετε (δῆλον γὰρ ὡς ὁμοίως ἅπαντ' ἐπλάττετο),
ἐμοὶ δ', ἣν παρὰ πάντα τὸν χρόνον εὖνοϊαν ἐνδέδειχθε ἐπὶ
13 πολλῶν ἀγώνων τῶν πρότερον, καὶ νυνὶ παρὰσχεςθε. κακοῇ- 15
θης δ' ὦν, Αἰσχίνῃ, τοῦτο παντελῶς εὔηθες φήθης, τοὺς περὶ
τῶν πεπραγμένων καὶ πεπολιτευμένων λόγους ἀφέντα με πρὸς
τὰς λοιδορίας τὰς παρὰ σοῦ τρέψεσθαι. οὐ δὴ ποιήσω τοῦτο·
οὐχ οὕτω τετύφωμαι· ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ μὲν τῶν πεπολιτευμένων ἃ
κατεψεύδου καὶ διέβαλλες, αὐτίκα ἐξετάσω, τῆς δὲ πομπείας 20
ταύτης τῆς ἀνέδην οὕτως ἡγενημένης ὕστερον, ἂν βουλομένοις
ἀκούειν ἢ τουτοισι, μνησθήσομαι.

15. τῶν πρότερον] Post haec addebatur usque ad Bekk. γεγενημένων. Om. ΣΑ et socii.
20. αὐτίκα] Om. ΣFTΦ, post ἐξετάσω ponunt nonnulli. 21. οὕτως] Om. ΣΑ et socii Bekk.

3. ἵνα μηδεὶς. 'That none of you may be led by his irrelevant arguments to listen with any degree of aversion to what I have to plead to the indictment,' unless τοῖς ἔξωθεν λόγοις is to be taken generally like τὰ ἔξωθεν, 'talk outside the matter.'

ἀλλοτριώτερον, lit. 'With more aversion on that account.'

§§ 11, 12. *I have a simple reply to his personal charges. You know me: do not listen to me if they are true, whatever my public services: but if I am a better man than he is, and (to put it mildly) no worse than any of my respectable neighbours, then take his imputations against my private life as a presumption against his public charges; and show me the good will you always show.*

7. οὐ γὰρ ἄλλοθι κ.τ.λ. One of the principal contrasts between Demosthenes and Aeschines is, that the latter never identifies or attempts to identify himself with his audience: as he had done in his own defence, Ae. de F. L. p. 52, § 193.

12. μηδ' ὑπὲρ τῶν ἄλλων. 'Do not

believe him about the other matters either.'

§ 13. *Know as you are, Aeschines, it was a fool's thought that I would linger on my private affairs; they may come after the public charges if the court cares for them.*

15. κακοῆθης .. φήθης. A verbal paradox, designedly completed by the assonance of φήθης. The passage in Aeschines' speech referred to seems to be § 204.

17. τῶν πεπραγμένων καὶ πεπολιτευμένων. 'My acts and policy,' almost a hendiadys, 'my public acts,' repeated below, § 72, s. v. l., 140.

19. τετύφωμαι. 'Infatuated:' cp. below, § 303, ἐμβρόντητε.

ὑπὲρ μὲν .. ἐξετάσω. 'I will examine at once your calumnies that affect my public life.'

20. πομπείας. Cp. below, § 159: also § 157, for the parallel expression ὥσπερ ἐξ ἀμάξης.

21. τῆς ἀνέδην οὕτως ἡγενημένης. Before discussing these accusations, he intimates that they were made loosely, without any sense of responsibility.

Τὰ μὲν οὖν κατηγορημένα πολλὰ [καὶ δεινὰ], καὶ περὶ ὧν 14
 ἐνίων μεγάλας καὶ τὰς ἐσχάτας οἱ νόμοι δίδoασι τιμωρίας.
 τοῦ δὲ παρόντος ἀγῶνος ἡ προαίρεσις αὕτη ἐχθροῦ μὲν ἐπή-
 ρειαν ἔχει καὶ ὕβριν καὶ λοιδορίαν καὶ προπηλακισμόν ὁμοῦ
 5 καὶ πάντα τὰ τοιαῦτα· τῶν μέντοι κατηγοριῶν καὶ τῶν αἰτιῶν
 τῶν εἰρημένων, εἴπερ ἦσαν ἀληθεῖς, οὐκ ἐν τῇ πόλει δίκην ἀξίαν
 λαβεῖν, οὐδ' ἐγγύς. οὐ γὰρ ἀφαιρεῖσθαι δεῖ τὸ προσελθεῖν 15
 τῷ δήμῳ καὶ λόγου τυχεῖν, οὐδ' ἐν ἐπηρείας τάξει καὶ φθόνου
 τοῦτο ποιεῖν· οὔτε μὰ τοὺς θεοὺς ὀρθῶς ἔχον οὔτε πολιτικὸν
 10 οὔτε δίκαιόν ἐστιν, ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι· ἀλλ' ἐφ' οἷς ἀδικοῦντά
 με ἑώρα τὴν πόλιν, οὐσί γε τηλικούτοις ἡλίκα νῦν ἐτραγώδεις
 καὶ διεξήει, ταῖς ἐκ τῶν νόμων τιμωρίαις παρ' αὐτὰ τὰδίκηματα
 χρῆσθαι, εἰ μὲν εἰσαγγελίας ἀξία πράττοντα ἑώρα, εἰσαγγέλ- 18
 λοντα καὶ τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον εἰς κρίσιν καθιστάντα παρ'
 15 ὑμῖν, εἰ δὲ γράφοντα παράνομα, παρανόμων γραφόμενον· οὐ

1. καὶ δεινὰ] Om. ΣΑ et socii B. et S.
 ceteri αὐτή.

3. αὕτη] αὕτη Σ, αὕτη * et al. Bekk.,
 9. οὔτε μὰ τοὺς κ.τ.λ.] Morelli conjecturam, δ οὔτε κ.τ.λ., probat Dind.

§§ 14-16. *He says that I have committed capital crimes; and proposes to disfranchise Ctesiphon for spite. He ought to have prosecuted me: he would, if he had thought there was a chance of convicting me; for if he was afraid of me, why is he not afraid to prosecute me under the name of Ctesiphon?*

2. μεγάλας καὶ τὰς ἐσχάτας. 'The laws assign a heavy, aye, the heaviest penalty.'

3. τοῦ παρόντος.. αὕτη. 'But this design shewn in the present trial.' The antithesis has four members: 1. (a) The charges; (b) the animus of the trial. 2. (a) The penalties appointed by law; (b) the malice displayed by an individual. There is a reading αὕτη, 'the mere scheme of the trial' is enough to condemn it: but αὕτη has the authority of the Paris MS. Σ and several others. Expl. 'the design shewn, being such as it is.'

7. οὐ γὰρ ἀφαιρεῖσθαι κ.τ.λ. The sense is determined by the previous sentence. The connection is, 'The trial is a display of malice, for charges are made of crimes for which the state has no power [as the indictment is framed] of exacting anything like an adequate penalty; for [if the charges were true] the right course would have been not to disfranchise Ctesiphon now, but to have punished me at the time.'

ἀφαιρεῖσθαι is in the middle voice to suggest pleasure in the process, the object is most probably Ctesiphon not Demosthenes, both from the general sense of the passage

and because προσελθεῖν or τῷ δήμῳ points to the Assembly rather than the Court, so that there can scarcely be a reference to Aesch. in Ctes. § 203, where the Court is warned against hearing Demosthenes. Aeschines proposed to disfranchise Ctesiphon by inflicting upon him a fine beyond his means: moreover, it seems that conviction in an indictment for illegal motion would of itself involve the loss of the right to bring forward any motion thereafter, just as Aeschines lost the right of prosecution for illegal motion when the Court acquitted Ctesiphon by a majority of more than four-fifths. The scholiast complains of the obscurity of this whole passage.

9. πολιτικόν is 'neighbourly' idealised, the way one citizen ought to behave to another: perhaps 'patriotic' is the least objectionable translation.

12. παρ' αὐτὰ τὰδίκηματα. 'At the very moment of my crimes,' strictly 'parallel with the course of the crimes,' 'as fast as each was committed.'

15. οὐ γὰρ δέητον κ.τ.λ. The connection is, 'Such was his duty; for the pretence that I terrorised him into silence (in Ctes. § 227) is disproved by the present prosecution. We cannot think that he is equal to prosecuting Ctesiphon and not equal to prosecuting me; we cannot doubt that he would have done so had he thought he had a case.' οὐ of course negatives the whole antithetical sentence, and the second clause more emphatically than the first.

- γὰρ δῆπου Κτησιφῶντα μὲν δύναται διώκειν δι' ἐμὲ, ἐμὲ δ',
 17 εἴπερ ἐξελέγξειν ἐνόμιζεν, αὐτὸν οὐκ ἂν ἐγράψατο. καὶ μὴν
 εἰ τι τῶν ἄλλων ὧν νυνὶ διέβαλλε καὶ διεξήκει ἢ καὶ ἄλλ' ὅτιοῦν
 ἀδικούντ' αὐτὸν με ὑμᾶς ἑώρα, εἰσὶ νόμοι περὶ πάντων καὶ τιμωρίαι
 καὶ ἀγῶνες καὶ κρίσεις πικρὰ καὶ μεγάλα ἔχουσαι τὰπιτίμια,⁵
 καὶ τοῦτοις ἐξῆν ἅπασιν χρῆσθαι, καὶ ὁπνῖκα ἐφαίνετο ταῦτα
 πεποικῶς καὶ τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον κεχρημένος τοῖς πρὸς ἐμὲ,
 18 ὁμολογεῖτ' ἂν ἡ κατηγορία τοῖς ἔργοις αὐτοῦ. νῦν δ' ἐκστὰς
 τῆς ὁρθῆς καὶ δικαίας ὁδοῦ καὶ φυγῶν τοὺς παρ' αὐτὰ τὰ
 πράγματα ἐλέγχους, τοσοῦτοις ὕστερον χρόνοις αἰτίας καὶ¹⁰
 σκώμματα καὶ λοιδορίας συμφορήσας ὑποκρίνεται· εἴτα κατη-
 γορεῖ μὲν ἐμοῦ, κρίνει δὲ τουτονί, καὶ τοῦ μὲν ἀγῶνος ὅλου
 τὴν πρὸς ἐμὲ ἔχθραν προΐστανται, οὐδαμῶς δ' ἐπὶ ταύτην ἀπην-
 τηκῶς ἐμοὶ τὴν ἑτέρου ζήτων ἐπιτιμίαν ἀφελέσθαι φαίνεται.
 19 καίτοι πρὸς ἅπασιν, ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τοῖς ἄλλοις δικαίοις¹⁵
 οἷς ἂν εἰπεῖν τις ὑπὲρ Κτησιφῶντος ἔχοι, καὶ τοῦτ' ἔμοιγε
 δοκεῖ καὶ μάλ' εἰκότως ἂν λέγειν, ὅτι τῆς ἡμετέρας ἔχθρας
 ἡμᾶς ἐφ' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν δίκαιον ἦν τὸν ἐξετασμὸν ποιεῖσθαι, οὐ
 τὸ μὲν πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἀγωνίζεσθαι παραλείπειν, ἑτέρῳ δ' ὅτφ
 κακὸν τι δώσομεν ζητεῖν· ὑπερβολὴ γὰρ ἀδικίας τοῦτό γε.²⁰
 20 Πάντα μὲν τοίνυν τὰ κατηγορημένα ὁμοίως ἐκ τούτων αὖ

2. ἐξελέγξαν] Ita A¹ et superscr. Σ : ceteri ἐξελέγχειν.
 addebatur κατ' ἐμοῦ : om. ΣΦΨΤΩ et al.

6. χρῆσθαι] Post hoc

7. πρὸς ἐμὲ] πρὸς με Σ.

§§ 17-19. *If he had a case on any point of his present accusations, there were severe penalties fixed by law, and his conduct in prosecuting me would have been in harmony with his accusations. As it is, he refused a trial at the time, and (with much parade) is acting a part now. Moreover, his speech is against one man and his indictment against another. It is a sufficient ground for acquitting Ctesiphon, that he ought not to be made the scapegoat of our enmity.*

5. κρίσεις .. τὰπιτίμια. The severity of the legal punishment was used (above, § 14), to establish that if Aeschines had believed his charges, he ought to have brought them forward directly: the point here is that he would have brought them against Demosthenes, if he had believed them; since his enmity was so keen that he tried to reach Demosthenes, through Ctesiphon, with charges which he did not believe.

7. καὶ τοῦτον .. πρὸς ἐμὲ. 'Dealing in this fashion with what he had against me.'

11. ὑποκρίνεται is the real predicate, and independent of συμφορήσας, 'he has heaped up cavils and quips and revilings, and all for

a stage display.' The allusion is to his former profession of actor; so above, § 15, ἐτραγῳδεῖ.

εἴτα .. φαίνεταί. 'Then he accuses me and prosecutes Ctesiphon: his hatred to me is in the forefront of the whole trial, yet it is clear that he has never joined issue on that in this attempt to disfranchise a third person.' εἴτα in aggravation; not only is he acting a part, but an unfair part. For κατηγορεῖ .. κρίνει, cp. De Fals. Leg. p. 434, § 333. προΐστανται. Because Aeschines claims a right to prosecute Ctesiphon on the ground of his legitimate hatred to Demosthenes. ἐπὶ ταύτην ἀπηντηκῶς like ἐπὶ διαίταν ἀπαντᾶν. ἐπὶ διαλύσει ἀπαντήσεσθαι Dem. in Phoen. p. 1043, § 14, ἐπὶ denoting the rendezvous at which the litigants as enemies are to encounter each other. τὴν ἑτέρου ἐπιτιμίαν ἀφελέσθαι like ἀφαιρεῖσθαι τὸ προσελθεῖν κ.τ.λ. above, § 15, of which it fixes the sense.

19. ἐτέρῳ δ' ὅτφ. 'To what third person.'

§ 20. *As the prosecution is insincere as a whole, so it breaks down in every part.*

τις ἴδοι οὔτε δικαίως οὔτ' ἐπ' ἀληθείας οὐδεμιᾶς εἰρημένα· βούλομαι δὲ καὶ καθ' ἕνα ἑκαστον αὐτῶν ἐξετάσαι, καὶ μάλισθ' ὅσα ὑπὲρ τῆς εἰρήνης καὶ τῆς πρεσβείας κατεψεύσατό μου, τὰ πεπραγμένα ἑαυτῷ μετὰ Φιλοκράτους ἀνατιθεῖς ἐμοί. ἔστι 5 ὁ ἀναγκαῖον, ὃ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ προσήκον ἴσως, ὡς κατ' ἐκείνους τοὺς χρόνους εἶχε τὰ πράγματα ἀναμνησάι ὑμᾶς, ἵνα πρὸς τὸν ὑπάρχοντα καιρὸν ἕκαστα θεωρῇτε.

Τοῦ γὰρ Φωκικοῦ συστάontos πολέμου, οὐ δι' ἐμὲ (οὐ γὰρ 21 ἐγώ γε ἐπολιτευόμην πῶ τότε), πρῶτον μὲν ὑμεῖς οὕτω διέκεισθε 10 ὥστε Φωκέας μὲν βούλεσθαι σωθῆναι, καί περ οὐ δίκαια ποιοῦντας ὁρῶντες, Θηβαίοις δ' ὅτιοι ἂν ἐφησθῆναι παθοῦσιν, οὐκ ἀλόγως οὐδ' ἀδίκως αὐτοῖς ὀργιζόμενοι· οἷς γὰρ ἠτύχηκεσαν ἐν Λεύκτροις, οὐ μετρίως ἐκέχρητο· ἔπειθ' ἡ Πελοπόννησος 22 ἅπαντα διειστήκει, καὶ οὔθ' οἱ μισοῦντες Λακεδαιμονίους οὕτως

6. ὑμᾶς] Om. Z.

1. ἐπ' ἀληθείας. Like ἐπὶ μαρτυρίας, ἐπὶ τῆς ἀληθείας below, § 285. As we say, 'With any truth to go upon.' 'The accusation is made unjustly, with no regard for truth; and I will prove in detail that it is false.'

4. ἔστι δ' ἀναγκαῖον .. καὶ προσήκον ἴσως. The second clause is added to shew that the recapitulation of the history is not a mere argumentative necessity, but has a moral fitness also: in fact the whole defence of Demosthenes resolves itself into a proof that he only acted in the spirit of Athenian history.

7. πρὸς τὸν ὑπάρχοντα καιρὸν κ.τ.λ. 'That you may judge my several actions by the opportunities in my reach at the time.' Cp. for the force of ὑπάρχοντα and for the sentiment, Ar. Eth. Nic. I. 10. 13 τῷ παρόντι στρατοπεδῷ χρῆσθαι πολεμικώτατα μετὰ τῶν δοθέντων σκυτῶν τὸ κάλλιστον ὑπόδημα ποιεῖν.

§§ 21-25. At the outbreak of the Phocian war (for which I was not responsible) you were favourable to the Phocians; the Peloponnesians were paralysed by internal dissensions and exposed to the intrigues of Philip. In its course the Thebans would have been forced to throw themselves on you; to avoid which, Philip came to help them, and make peace with you. The peace was accepted, because the other Greeks had never supported you, in the war you carried on for the common good. Your disgust at all this, not my advice, caused the peace: the venality of Philocrates, Aeschines, and the like-minded, caused the subsequent calamities.

8. γὰρ. The so-called γὰρ narrativum,

of which this is an instance, is a delicacy of Greek construction which cannot be exactly represented in English: our equivalent would be 'well,' which confesses that the visible connection is interrupted, while γὰρ narrativum affirms that a latent connection exists. Here the connection is that the importance of the circumstances stated above § 20, is proved by this enumeration of them. The use of γὰρ after σημείον δὲ and the like is analogous, but less remote from modern usage.

οὐ γὰρ ἐγώ γε. His first public speech (on Peloponnesian politics) was the year after its commencement. ἐγώ γε of course contains an insinuation that older politicians (hardly Aeschines himself, who seems to have taken to politics later than Demosthenes, though some four years older) who were still active may have caused the mischief.

10. Φωκέας .. Θηβαίους. When national names of this kind are used without the article, they represent units; with the article they represent complex bodies. The difference is parallel to that between the Thebans and Thebes, the Phocians and Phocis, the Romans and Rome. Both in Greek and English the form without the article gives a shade more relief to any associations the names may have: here also the collocation is emphatic.

11. ἐφησθῆναι. Rather less than 'exult over,' and rather more than 'be pleased at.'

14. οἱ μισοῦντες Λακεδαιμονίους include both the Theban party in all the cities, and especially states like Messena and Megalopolis, which leant upon Theban support.

ἰσχυρον ὥστε ἀνελεῖν αὐτοὺς, οὐθ' οἱ πρότερον δι' ἐκείνων ἄρχοντες κύριοι τῶν πόλεων ἦσαν, ἀλλὰ τις ἦν ἄκριτος καὶ παρὰ
 23 τούτοις καὶ παρὰ τοῖς ἄλλοις ἅπασιν ἔρις καὶ ταραχή. ταῦτα δ' ὁρῶν ὁ Φίλιππος (οὐ γὰρ ἦν ἀφανῆ) τοῖς παρ' ἐκάστοις
 προδόταις χρήματα ἀναλίσκων πάντας συνέκρουε καὶ πρὸς αὐ- 5
 τοὺς ἐτάραττεν· εἴτ' ἐν οἷς ἡμάρτανον ἄλλοι καὶ κακῶς ἐφρό-
 νουν, αὐτὸς παρεσκευάζετο καὶ κατὰ πάντων ἐφύετο. ὡς δὲ
 ταλαιπωρούμενοι τῷ μήκει τοῦ πολέμου οἱ τότε μὲν βαρεῖς,
 νῦν δ' ἀτυχεῖς Θηβαῖοι φανεροὶ πᾶσιν ἦσαν ἀναγκασθόμενοι
 καταφεύγειν ἐφ' ὑμᾶς, ὁ Φίλιππος, ἵνα μὴ τοῦτο γένηται μηδὲ 10
 συνέλθοιεν αἱ πόλεις, ὑμῖν μὲν εἰρήνην, ἐκείνοις δὲ βοήθειαν
 24 ἐπηγγείλατο. τί οὖν συνηγωνίσαστο αὐτῷ πρὸς τὸ λαβεῖν
 ὀλίγου δεῖν ὑμᾶς ἐκόντας ἑξαπατωμένους; ἡ τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλή-
 νων, εἴτε χρηὴ κακίαν εἴτ' ἀγνοίαν εἴτε καὶ ἀμφότερα ταῦτ'
 εἶπεῖν, οἱ πόλεμον συνεχῇ καὶ μακρὸν πολεμούντων ὑμῶν, καὶ 15
 τοῦτον ὑπὲρ τῶν πᾶσι συμφερόντων, ὡς ἔργῳ φανερὸν γέγονεν,
 οὔτε χρήμασιν οὔτε σώμασιν οὔτ' ἄλλῃ οὐδενὶ τῶν ἀπάντων

3. παρὰ] Om. F†Ω et socii r. Mox Ἑλλήσιν post ἅπασιν add. A et socii Ω et al., † post
 ἔρις superscr. Σ †. 6. ἄλλοι] Sic Σ: ceteri et Bekk. of ἄλλοι. 10. γένηται]
 Ita pr. Σ, A et socii et o: volgo γένοιτο. Vid. annot. 16. τῶν πόσι] Ita Σ: volg.
 ante Bekk. τῶν κοινῇ πᾶσι.

1. ὥστε ἀνελεῖν αὐτοὺς. While they continued to exist, they furnished a nucleus of reaction.

οἱ πρότερον δι' ἐκείνων ἄρχοντες refers not so much to the Lysandrian decarchies, which flourished in the Aegean and Hellespont, as to the *protégés* of Agesilaus, such as the extreme oligarchical party at Philus, whom their patron embodied with other refugees to the number of 1000 heavy armed, or the oligarchs of Mantinea, who acquiesced with growing satisfaction in the *ἀντικεισμός* enforced by Sparta.

2. παρὰ τούτους κ.τ.λ. 'But they and every one else were in a state of indecisive contention and confusion.' There is no need to suppose that the Lacedaemonian party were divided against themselves.

6. εἴτ' ἐν οἷς .. ἐφύετο. 'After that, all the errors and the malice of others were opportunities to him, to make ready (against all) and grow too great for all.'

κακῶς φρονεῖν is always a little ambiguous, being used both of moral and intellectual perversity: but here it is much likelier and more forcible to take *κακῶς ἐφρονεῖν* as a complement to *ἡμάρτανον* than as a synonym.

10. ἵνα μὴ .. πόλεις. Since it is ascer-

tained that Σ had originally γένηται, as well as the Augsburg MS. and its satellites, there can be little doubt that it is the true reading, instead of γένοιτο. Cp. ad § 41. αἱ πόλεις is limited to Thebes and Athens by ὑμῖν μὲν .. ἐκείνοις δέ.

13. ὀλίγου δεῖν has become a mere adverb = 'almost': if it were a verb with λαβεῖν dependent on it, it would have come immediately after the article. Here the sense is not that the Athenians were almost surprised into a dishonourable peace—they were so surprised: but that they almost were surprised into a state of wilful self-deception.

15. συνεχῇ καὶ μακρὸν. These epithets suggest, 'You wanted help: they had time to give it, and could not say you did not deserve it.' The next clause (*ὑπὲρ τῶν πᾶσι συμφερόντων*) proves that they not only might have helped Athens, but ought to have done so.

17. οὐτε .. συνελάμβανον. Mark the force of the imperfect, 'S^t showed no sign of helping you with men or money or anything else.'

ἄλλῃ οὐδενί, e. g. by refusing Philip a market for his prizes in neutral ports, or by adopting a decided diplomatic attitude in support of Athens.

συνελάμβανον ὑμῖν· οἷς καὶ δικαίως καὶ προσηκόντως ὀργιζόμενοι ἐτοίμως ὑπηκούσατε τῷ Φιλίππῳ. ἡ μὲν οὖν τότε συγ-
 25 χωρηθεῖσα εἰρήνη διὰ ταυτ', οὐ δι' ἐμὲ, ὡς οὗτος διέβαλλεν, ἐπράχθη· τὰ δὲ τούτων ἀδικήματα καὶ δωροδοκήματα ἐν αὐτῇ
 5 τῶν νυνὶ παρόντων πραγμάτων, ἃν τις ἐξετάξῃ δικαίως, αἷτια εὐρήσει. καὶ ταυτὶ πάνθ' ὑπὲρ τῆς ἀληθείας ἀκριβολογούμεναι
 26 καὶ διεξέρχομαι. εἰ γὰρ εἶναι τι δοκοίη τὰ μάλιστα ἐν τούτοις ἀδικήματα, οὐδὲν ἐστὶ δῆπου πρὸς ἐμὲ, ἀλλ' ὁ μὲν πρῶτος εἰπὼν καὶ μνησθεὶς ὑπὲρ τῆς εἰρήνης Ἀριστόδημος ἦν ὁ ὑπο-
 10 κριτῆς, ὁ δ' ἐκδεξάμενος καὶ γράψας καὶ ἑαυτὸν μετὰ τούτου μισθώσας ἐπὶ ταῦτα Φιλοκράτης ὁ Ἀγνούσιος, ὁ σὸς, Αἰσχίνη, κοινωνὸς, οὐχ ὁ ἐμὸς, οὐδ' ἂν σὺ διαρραγῆς ψευδόμενος, οἱ δὲ
 συνειπόντες ὅτου δῆποτε ἔνεκα (ἐγὼ γὰρ τοῦτό γ' ἐν τῷ παρόντι) Εὐβουλος καὶ Κηφισοφῶν· ἐγὼ δ' οὐδὲν οὐδαμοῦ. ἀλλ' 27

7. τ. .. ἀδίκημα] Ita Z: ceteri inepte τινα .. ἀδικήματα. 9. ὑπὲρ] περι FT p A et
 socii, † ὑπὲρ Z †. Vid. annot. 12. οὐχ ὁ ἐμὸς] Ita Z: ceteri οὐκ ἐμός.

1. δικαίως καὶ προσηκόντως. 'As was right and proper' they deserved your anger, which became you well.

§§ 26-28. *I have no interest except love of truth in apologising for the peace. I neither proposed nor negotiated it. Yet he pretends that I am to blame for our making peace, and for our making it without allies. Did you, Aeschines, ever expose me or oppose me? No, you never said a word. It was clear long before that we had no allies: he has not spoken a word of truth.*

7. εἰ γὰρ .. ἀδίκημα. 'For even granting there were the strongest appearance of some foul play, there is nothing against me.' πρὸς ἐμὲ, like τοῖς πρὸς ἐμὲ above, § 17.

9. μνησθεὶς ὑπὲρ. Several MSS. alter this to περὶ (cp. Thuc. 8. 47), and the διορθωτὴς of Z at least wished to do so, in order to avoid an usage of ὑπὲρ, which is exceptional in Attic. The Epic and Ionic usage as simply equal to 'about' (cp. II. 6. 524; Hdt. 2. 123), like the Latin *super* Virg. Aen. 1. 750 'Multa super Priamo rogitant, super Hectorē multum', undoubtedly persists in Attic. Cp. Soph. O. T. 1444 τί τοῦτο δ' ἄνθρωπος ἀθλίου πέσσεισθ' ὑπὲρ, and Dem. in Mid. p. 554. 11 ὑπὲρ Ἀριστάρχου τοῦ Μόσχου, = in re Aristarchi. (The words are probably Demosthenes' own: see Excursus on the Documents quoted in the Orators.) Cp. also below, § 39, note. In Polybius and later Greek this sense of ὑπὲρ is common enough, whether as a return to primitive usage or in obedience to the craving for more emphatic forms which always marks

the decline of a language. Here a pregnant sense of *μνησθεὶς*, 'made mention of by speaking in behalf of,' would suit the context and preserve a trace of the ordinary Attic usage of ὑπὲρ.

10. ἐκδεξάμενος. Not technically 'his secondar;' but the next to 'take up' his idea and draw up a motion on it. There is no reason to suppose that a resolution required a seconder, still less that it ever was drafted by him. Aristodemus simply recommended negotiation—he did not propose it.

11. Φιλοκράτης had been convicted of corruption.

12. οὐχ ὁ ἐμὸς. The other reading. οὐκ ἐμός. would mean 'no partner of mine,' instead of 'your partner, not mine.'

13. ὅτου δῆποτε ἔνεκα. This hesitating insinuation of sinister motives was all that could be ventured against a statesman universally respected like Eubulus, whom Demosthenes himself appeals to (below, § 207) as an authority in favour of the Theban alliance. Yet they belonged (so far as the term is not an anachronism) to opposite parties: Eubulus spoke in defence of Aeschines at his trial (Aesch. de Fals. Leg. ad fin.), and he was the author of the law against diversion of surplus revenue from the theoric fund to military purposes, which gave Demosthenes so much trouble.

14. ἐγὼ δ' οὐδὲν οὐδαμοῦ. 'I was nothing and nowhere,' i.e. I took no part as suggester or proposer or supporter of any motion at any stage of the negotiation.

ὁμως, τούτων τοιούτων ὄντων καὶ ἐπ' αὐτῆς τῆς ἀληθείας οὕτω
 δεικνυμένων, εἰς τοῦθ' ἦκεν ἀναιδείας ὥστ' ἐτόλμα λέγειν ὡς
 ἄρα ἐγὼ πρὸς τῷ τῆς εἰρήνης αἴτιος γεγενῆσθαι καὶ κεκωλυκώς
 εἶναι τὴν πόλιν μετὰ κοινου συνέδριου τῶν Ἑλλήνων αὐτὴν ποιή-
 σασθαι. εἴτ' ᾧ—τί ἂν εἰπὼν σέ τις ὀρθῶς προσείποι; ἔστιν 5
 ὅπου σὺ παρὼν τηλικαύτην πρᾶξιν καὶ συμμαχίαν, ἡλικὴν νυνὶ
 διεξήεις, ὀρῶν ἀφαιρούμενόν με τῆς πόλεως ἡγανάκτησας, ἣ
 28 παρελθὼν ταῦτα ἂ νῦν κατηγορεῖς ἐδίδαξας καὶ διεξῆλθες; καὶ
 μὴν εἰ τὸ κωλύσαι τὴν τῶν Ἑλλήνων κοινωνίαν ἐπεπράκειν
 ἐγὼ Φιλίππῳ, σοὶ τὸ μὴ σιγῆσαι λοιπὸν ἦν, ἀλλὰ βοᾶν καὶ 10
 διαμαρτύρεσθαι καὶ δηλοῦν τουτοισί. οὐ τοίνυν ἐποίησας οὐδα-
 μού τοῦτο, οὐδ' ἤκουσέ σου ταύτην τὴν φωνὴν οὐδεὶς, εἰκότως·
 οὔτε γὰρ ἦν πρεσβεία πρὸς οὐδένας ἀπεσταλμένη τότε τῶν
 Ἑλλήνων, ἀλλὰ πάλοι πάντες ἦσαν ἐξεληλεγμένοι, οὔθ' οὗτος
 29 ὑγιὲς περὶ τούτων εἶρκεν οὐδέν. χωρὶς δὲ τούτων καὶ δια- 15
 βάλλει τὴν πόλιν τὰ μέγιστα ἐν οἷς ψεύδεται· εἰ γὰρ ὑμεῖς
 ἅμα τοὺς μὲν Ἑλληνας εἰς πόλεμον παρεκαλεῖτε, αὐτοὶ δὲ

7. διεξήεις] Ante hoc ἐτραγώδεις καὶ add. FΓΩ et al. A et socii.

12. εἰκότως]

Om. ΣΓΤΦΩ νν.

13. οὐδένας] οὐδένα ΣΓΤΦ et al.: sed vid. annot.

17. Ἑλ-

ληνας] ἄλλους Ἑλληνας FΓΦΩ et socii.

2. ὡς ἄρα... ποιήσασθαι. 'That I forsooth, not content with promoting the peace, had hindered the city from making it at the head of a general congress of Greece.' ἄρα, of a statement (sometimes of a discovery) incredible or unheard of; so εἰ ἄρα, εἰ μὴ ἄρα, Lat. *si forte, nisi forte*. Vid. Buttmann ad Dem. Mid. n. 45.

5. εἴτ' ᾧ... τουτοισί. 'Then, you—what name is there for you strong enough? You were there; can you shew when you denounced me, if you saw me shutting out the city from such an alliance and such an opportunity as you have just detailed in full tragic style? when you came forward to expose and unfold the crimes with which you charge me now? For if I had sold myself to Philip to prevent Greece making common cause, it was still open to you to speak—to raise your splendid voice, to protest and inform your countrymen.' πρᾶξιν καὶ συμμαχίαν, i. e. the noble achievement of presiding at a general pacification, and the permanent alliance Athens would gain. ἐτραγώδεις καὶ are omitted by Σ: but nearly all the others have them, and they are not like a copyist's blunder. They occur with διεξήεις above, § 15, and may have been hypercritically omitted here by Σ as a needless repetition.

9. τὸ κωλύσαι... ἐπεπράκειν. It is to be

noticed that in such contexts we always speak of the traitor as selling his person or his country, or some material result of his treason, never the specific service, as here and frequently in the Orators.

10. βοᾶν is perhaps most forcible if taken as an allusion to Aeschines' fine voice and apparently rather violent manner: cp. below, §§ 102, 345; De Fals. Leg. § 390, p. 490 fin. On the other hand, we get the same words in a similar context below, § 183, of Demosthenes himself.

13. οὔτε γὰρ... ἀπεσταλμένη. 'There was no embassy sent out [and not returned, 'en mission'] to any Greek state at the time.' οὐδένας, 'to any nation,' as ἑαστοι and the like are used of collectives, so that οὐδένας the reading of Σ and others is unnecessary.

§§ 29, 30. His charges are not only slanders against me, but insults to you. If you called a congress and acted without it, you were traitors. But the project was never entertained: it would have been unmeaning. So far then I am clear.

17. Several MSS. insert ἄλλους before Ἑλληνας. We need not go beyond the preceding chapter for justification of the text; but in § 72 we find Ἑλληνας and Ἑλληνικά used of matters affecting Greece generally, and so opposed to Athens' iadi-

πρὸς Φίλιππον περὶ εἰρήνης πρέσβεις ἐπέμπετε, Εὐρυβάτου
 πρᾶγμα, οὐ πόλεως ἔργον οὐδὲ χρηστῶν ἀνθρώπων διεπράτ-
 τεσθε. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔστι ταῦτα, οὐκ ἔστι τί γὰρ καὶ βουλόμενοι 30
 μετεπέμπεσθ' ἂν αὐτοὺς ἐν τούτῳ τῷ καιρῷ; ἐπὶ τὴν εἰρήνην;
 5 ἀλλ' ὑπῆρχεν ἅπασιν. ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τὸν πόλεμον; ἀλλ' αὐτοὶ
 περὶ εἰρήνης ἐβουλεύεσθε. οὐκοῦν οὔτε τῆς ἐξ ἀρχῆς εἰρήνης
 ἡγεμῶν οὐδ' αἴτιος ὢν ἐγὼ φαίνομαι, οὔτε τῶν ἄλλων ὧν κατ-
 εψεύσατό μου οὐδὲν ἀληθὲς ὃν δέκνυται.

Ἐπεὶ δὲ τοίνυν ἐποιήσατο τὴν εἰρήνην ἡ πόλις, ἐνταῦθα 31
 10 πάλιν σκέψασθε τί ἡμῶν ἐκάτερος προεἰλετο πράττειν καὶ
 γὰρ ἐκ τούτων εἴσεσθε τίς ἦν ὁ Φιλίπῳ πάντα συναγωνιζό-
 μενος, καὶ τίς ὁ πράττων ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν καὶ τὸ τῇ πόλει συμ-
 φέρον ζητῶν. ἐγὼ μὲν τοίνυν ἔγραψα βουλευῶν ἀποπλεῖν 32
 τὴν ταχίστην τοὺς πρέσβεις ἐπὶ τοὺς τόπους ἐν οἷς ἂν ὄντα
 15 Φίλιππον πυνθάνωνται, καὶ τοὺς ὅρκους ἀπολαμβάνειν· οὗτοι
 δὲ οὐδὲ γράψαντος ἐμοῦ ταῦτα ποιεῖν ἠθέλησαν. τί δὲ τοῦτ'
 ἐδύνατο, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι; ἐγὼ διδάξω. Φιλίπῳ μὲν ἦν συμ-
 φέρον ὡς πλείστον τὸν μεταξὺ χρόνον γενέσθαι τῶν ὀρκων,

11. πάντα] τε τὴν εἰρήνην Z: quod fieri potest ut verum sit.

16. οὐδὲ] οὐ pr. Z.

vidually. And it was probably from seeing that this was the sense here, and not seeing that Ἕλληνας expressed it adequately, that ἄλλους came to be introduced.

1. Εὐρυβάτου πρᾶγμα. 'A deed for an Eurybates,' who took Croesus' money to hire mercenaries against Cyrus, and betrayed his employers. But Eurybates was the name of one of the Cecropes: and it is at least as likely that such a proverb should allude to a character in popular mythology, as to one in rather unfamiliar history. The point of the antithesis between πρᾶγμα and ἔργον is, that the former is a mere fact, the latter is the appropriate result of the character of the agent. 'You were doing a deed for an Eurybates; what no city and no honest man should do.' Further, perhaps, πρᾶγμα is coloured by the use of πράσσω of *underhand* transactions, which is certainly the force of διεπράττεσθε. Note that πόλεως, without any laudatory epithet, balances χρηστῶν ἀνθρώπων: the city in itself is an ideal, which excluded such baseness.

5. ὑπῆρχεν ἅπασιν. 'They all were at peace already,' i. e. with Philip.

7. οὐτε τῶν ἄλλων... δέκνυται. 'Nor is any other of his slanders against me justified by evidence:' it is rather implied than expressed, that all were refuted.

§ 31. *After the peace, compare my con-*

duct with his, and say which was Philip's birling.

10. καὶ γὰρ ἐκ τούτων. Demosthenes had no fault to find with Aeschines' conduct before the peace: hence the force of καὶ must be, 'Here as before the facts will acquit me, and condemn him:' while he disguises the fact that 'as before' applies to the former clause only.

§§ 32-34. *I proposed to secure Philip's ratification without delay; for it was his interest to delay it, to give him time for encroachments, which you would endure rather than lose the peace. In fact he did make the encroachments which I tried to forestall.*

13. βουλευῶν. 'At my place in the senate:' so, again, § 35.

15. τοὺς ὅρκους ἀπολαμβάνειν. 'Take his oath as agreed.' The plural is probably used as it was a treaty both of peace and alliance: the present may have a tentative force, 'take steps to receive.'

16. τοῦτο, i. e. ὡς πλείστον τὸν μεταξὺ χρόνον γενέσθαι. The connection is, 'In your interest, against Philip's, I was anxious to shorten the interval before his ratification, for on your side the interval was an armistice, on his side Philip was anxious to prolong it, knowing how he could use it.'

18. τὸν μεταξὺ χρόνον may be simply 'the interval,' as in Dem. de Fals. Leg. § 181,

- 33 ὑμῖν δ' ὡς ἐλάχιστον. διὰ τί; ὅτι ὑμεῖς μὲν οὐκ ἀφ' ἧς ὠμό-
 σατε ἡμέρας μόνον, ἀλλ' ἀφ' ἧς ἠλπίσατε τὴν εἰρήνην ἔσσεσθαι,
 πάσας ἐξελύσασθε τὰς παρασκευὰς τὰς τοῦ πολέμου, ὃ δὲ τοῦτο
 ἐκ παντὸς τοῦ χρόνου μάλιστα ἐπραγματεύετο, νομίζων, ὅπερ
 ἦν ἀληθές, ὅσα τῆς πόλεως προλάβοι πρὸ τοῦ τοὺς ὅρκους 5
 ἀποδοῦναι, πάντα ταῦτα βεβαίως ἔξειν· οὐδένα γὰρ τὴν εἰρή-
 34 νην λύσειν τούτων ἕνεκα. ἃ ἐγὼ προορώμενος, ἄνδρες Ἀθη-
 ναῖοι, καὶ λογιζόμενος τὸ ψήφισμα τοῦτο γράφω, πλεῖν ἐπὶ
 τοὺς τόπους ἐν οἷς ἂν ᾗ Φίλιππος, καὶ τοὺς ὅρκους τὴν ταχί-
 στην ἀπολαμβάνειν, ἵν' ἐχόντων τῶν Θρακῶν, τῶν ὑμετέρων 10
 συμμάχων, ταῦτα τὰ χωρία ἃ νῦν οὗτος διέσυρε, τὸ Σέρρειον
 καὶ τὸ Μυρτηνὸν καὶ τὴν Ἐργίσκην, οὕτω γίγνοιθ' οἱ ὅρκοι,
 καὶ μὴ προλαβὼν ἐκείνος τοὺς ἐπικαίρους τῶν τόπων κύριος
 τῆς Θράκης κατασταίῃ, μηδὲ πολλῶν μὲν χρημάτων, πολλῶν
 δὲ στρατιωτῶν εὐπορήσας ἐκ τούτων ῥαδίως τοῖς λοιποῖς ἐπι- 15
 35 χειροῖι πράγμασιν. εἴτα τοῦτο μὲν οὐχὶ λέγει τὸ ψήφισμα,
 οὐδ' ἀναγινώσκει· εἰ δὲ βουλευὼν ἐγὼ προσάγειν τοὺς πρέσ-
 βεις ᾤμην δεῖν, τοῦτό μου διαβάλλει. ἀλλὰ τί ἐχρῆν με

2. ἡμέρας μόνον] Sic ΣΑ et socil: ceteri et Bekk. *μόνον ἡμέρας*. 3. *ἐξελύσασθε]*
 Id optimum plerisque editoribus videtur, quamvis Σ et al. *ἐξελύσατε* habeant. 11. *ταῦτα*
τὰ χωρία] Sic Σ, τὰ χωρία ρ, τὰ χωρία ταῦτα ceteri et volg. usque ad Bekk. Σέρ-
 ρειον] Ita hic solus T: ceteri plerique Σέρριον. Mox Σ, ut Dindorfio videbatur (neque enim
 nos rem accuratius inespeximus quam ut Μυρτηνον in litura esse animadverterimus) primum
 μυρρονιν, mox μυρτηνον sive μυρτηνον habuit. Μυρτηνὸν habent A k: vid. ad Ae. in Ct.
 § 82. Codd. plerique et Bekk. Μύρτιον.

p. 393, where the same time is referred to, only there it is defined somewhat differently, *πρὸ τοῦ τοὺς ὅρκους ἀπολαβεῖν*: if so, *τῶν ὅρκων* must mean 'the interval required for the oaths.' Cp. however below, § 33, *ἀφ' ἧς ὠμόσατε ἡμέρας*, which might suggest 'The interval between your oath and Philip's,' but for *ὅρκους* in the plural just above for the oath of one party only.

5. *τῆς πόλεως*. More strictly below, § 34, *τῶν Θρακῶν, τῶν ὑμετέρων συμμάχων*.

10. *ἵν' ἐχόντων κ.τ.λ.* 'That your allies the Thracians might be in possession of the *places* [in the military sense: the commonest meaning of *χωρία*]... which Aeschines was *disparaging* just now [in Ctes. § 82 *διέσυρε*, lit. pulled to pieces] when the oaths were taken, and that he might not preoccupy every spot of vantage, and so become lord of Thrace, nor have his future undertakings made easy by the large command of men and money that he would gain by holding those posts.'

11. *τὸ Σέρρειον κ.τ.λ.* 'The Serrium [that he complained of my mentioning].'
 Our only English equivalent for this ironical

use of the article, is to put a word in inverted commas.

§ 35. *I get no credit for this decree, but am accused for trivial courtesies. However, you shall bear the decree.*

17. *εἰ δὲ βουλευὼν ἐγὼ .. δεῖν*. 'But if I as senator thought proper to move the introduction of the ambassadors' [instead of leaving it to others who had not urged on the Olynthiac war]. *ἐγὼ* contains the admission of this presumption of impropriety, *βουλευὼν* contains the apology. It seems that even such a formal act as granting an audience required the preliminary authorisation of the Senate: so Demosthenes says, 'If I, being a senator, did a senator's business.' See Ae. de F. L. p. 35, § 53.

18. *ἀλλὰ τί .. τοῦτ' ἐγράφη*. 'What was I to do, to move that men who had come for the very purpose of addressing you should not be introduced, that the contractor should not assign them a place? Does he mean that then they must have paid for their seats? Was it for me to secure you an advantage like that, and sell all Athens like him?'

ποιεῖν; μὴ προσάγειν γράψαι τοὺς ἐπὶ τοῦθ' ἥκοντας, ἵν' ὑμῖν διαλεχθῶσιν; ἢ θέαν μὴ κατανεῖμαι τὸν ἀρχιτέκτονα αὐτοῖς κελεύσαι; ἀλλ' ἐν τοῖν δυοῖν ὀβολοῖν ἐθεώρουν ἂν, εἰ μὴ τοῦτ' ἐγράφη. ἢ τὰ μικρὰ συμφέροντα τῆς πόλεως ἔδει 5 με φυλάττειν, τὰ δ' ὅλα, ὥσπερ οὗτοι, πεπρακέναι; οὐ δῆπου. λέγε τοίνυν μοι τὸ ψήφισμα τουτὶ λαβὼν, ὃ σαφῶς οὗτος εἰδὼς παρέβη.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

36

[Ἐπὶ ἄρχοντος Μησιφίλου, ἑκατομβαιῶνος ἔξη καὶ νέα, φυλῆς 10 πρυτανευούσης Πανδιονίδος, Δημοσθένης Δημοσθένους Παιανιεὺς εἶπεν, ἐπειδὴ Φίλιππος ἀποστείλας πρέσβεις περὶ τῆς εἰρήνης ὁμο- λογουμένας πεποίηται συνθήκας, δεδόχθαι τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ τῷ Ἀθηναίων, ὅπως ἂν ἡ εἰρήνη ἐπιτελεσθῇ ἢ ἐπιχειροτουηθεῖσα 15 ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ ἐκκλησίᾳ, πρέσβεις ἐλέσθαι ἐκ πάντων Ἀθηναίων ἥδη πέντε, τοὺς δὲ χειροτονηθέντας ἀποδημεῖν μηδεμίαν ὑπερβολὴν ποι- 37 ουμένους, ὅπου ἂν ὄντα πυθάνωνται τὸν Φίλιππον, καὶ τοὺς ὅρκους λαβεῖν τε παρ' αὐτοῦ καὶ δοῦναι τὴν ταχίστην ἐπὶ ταῖς ὁμολογη- μέναις συνθήκαις αὐτῷ πρὸς τὸν Ἀθηναίων δῆμον, συμπεριλαμβάνοντας καὶ τοὺς ἐκατέρων συμμάχους. πρέσβεις ἡρέθησαν Εὐβούλος Ἀναφ- 20 λύστιος, Αἰσχίνης Κοθωκίδης, Κηφισοφῶν Ῥαμνούσιος, Δημοκράτης Φλυεὺς, Κλέων Κοθωκίδης.]

Ταῦτα γράψαντος ἐμοῦ τότε καὶ τὸ τῇ πόλει συμφέρον, 38 οὐ τὸ Φίλιππῳ ζητούντος, βραχὺ φροντίσαντες οἱ χρηστοὶ πρέσβεις οὗτοι καθήντο ἐν Μακεδονίᾳ τρεῖς ὅλους μῆνας, ἕως

7. Post παρέβη, λέγε add. omnes praeter Σ. ceteri ἀναβολῇν.

15. ὑπερβολῇν] Sic pr. Σ et FΦ:

20. Αἰσχίνης] Om. ΣFΤΦ, Ἀτρομήτου add. rec. Π.

1. τοὺς ἐπὶ τοῦθ' .. διαλεχθῶσιν, though not exactly parallel in form, balances ἀλλ' ἐν τοῖν .. τοῦτ' ἐγράφη.

2. τὸν ἀρχιτέκτονα. The fee received from the spectators, or indirectly from the State, only covered the expenses of the maintenance of the fabric (those of the exhibition being borne by the choregi). Hence the lessee or contractor is called ἀρχιτέκτων.

3. ἐν τοῖν δυοῖν ὀβολοῖν. 'In the two-penny [more accurately threepenny] seats.' Two obols were the price of a ticket of admission on both days of the festival to the worst seats in the theatre: the better were half-a-drachma for each day.

§§ 36, 37. See Introduction on the Documents.

§§ 38-40. *This decree proves my good faith: they disregarded it, and not only wasted months which gave Philip time to reduce Thrace, but were bribed to remain in his camp till he was ready to march on Pbois; that our return might be too late to give*

you warning, and let you stop him at Thermopylae.

22. γράψαντος .. καὶ .. ζητούντος. A convenient illustration of the force of the aorist, as marking an act isolated by its completion. One might paraphrase, 'When I had drawn up this decree in my constant character of a seeker of the public good.'

23. βραχὺ φροντίσαντες. 'Taking little heed of that,' viz. the decree.

24. τρεῖς ὅλους μῆνας. This calculation is repeatedly made, both here and in the De Falsa Legatione. Nor does Aeschines seriously deny it, though he tries to prove that Demosthenes designedly overestimated the importance of the delay. Accordingly we must suppose that the statement is a fair one, i. e. that the time is calculated from the ratification of the peace by Athens to the return of the ambassadors. The interval between their actual departure and their return was only seventy days; the date of their departure being fixed by the uncon-

ἦλθε Φίλιππος ἐκ Θράκης πάντα καταστρεφόμενος τὰκεῖ, ἐξὸν ἡμερῶν δέκα, μᾶλλον δὲ τριῶν ἢ τεττάρων, εἰς τὸν Ἑλλήσποντον ἀφίχθαι καὶ τὰ χωρία σῶσαι, λαβόντας τοὺς ὄρκους πρὶν ἐκείνον ἐξελεῖν αὐτά· οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἤψατ' αὐτῶν παρόντων ἡμῶν, ἢ οὐκ ἂν ὠρκίζομεν αὐτὸν, ὥστε τῆς εἰρήνης ἂν διημαρτήκει 5 καὶ οὐκ ἂν ἀμφοτέρα εἶχε, καὶ τὴν εἰρήνην καὶ τὰ χωρία.

- 39 Τὸ μὲν τοίνυν ἐν τῇ πρεσβείᾳ πρῶτον κλέμμα μὲν Φιλίππου, δωροδόκημα δὲ τῶν ἀδίκων τούτων ἀνθρώπων καὶ θεοῖς ἐχθρῶν τοιοῦτον ἐγένετο· ὑπὲρ οὗ καὶ τότε καὶ νῦν καὶ αἰὶ ὁμολογῶ πολεμεῖν καὶ διαφέρεισθαι τούτοις. ἕτερον δ' εὐθὺς 10 40 ἐφεξῆς ἔτι τούτου μεῖζον κακούργημα θεάσασθε· ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ὁμολόγησε τὴν εἰρήνην ὁ Φίλιππος προλαβὼν τὴν Θράκην διὰ τούτους οὐχὶ πεισθέντας τῷ ἐμῷ ψηφίσματι, πάλιν ὠνεῖται παρ' αὐτῶν ὅπως μὴ ἀπίωμεν ἐκ Μακεδονίας, ἕως τὰ τῆς στρατείας τῆς ἐπὶ τοὺς Φωκέας εὐτρεπῇ ποιήσαιτο, ἵνα μὴ, δεῦρ' 15 ἀπαγγειλάντων ἡμῶν ὅτι μέλλει καὶ παρασκευάζεται πορεύεσθαι, ἐξέλθοιτε ὑμεῖς καὶ περιπλεύσαντες ταῖς τριήρεσιν εἰς

1. τὰκεῖ] Om. pr. Σ: et ante καταστρεφόμενος ponunt nonnulli.

ὁμοίως ΣΑ et socii: quod vix stare potest.

2. μᾶλλον]

8. καὶ θεοῖς ἐχθρῶν] Om. ΣΑ s.

12. ὁμολόγησε] Sic ΣΦΘ υν et superscr. s: ceteri et Bekk. ὡμοσε: quod sane sensui melius convenit.

14. ἀπίωμεν] Ita Σ pr. F et A et socii: legebatur ἀπίωσι.

16. ἡμῶν] Ita ΣN et socii et γρ. ΕΘ: ceteri et Bekk. αὐτῶν: vid. annot.

tested statement of Aeschines (De Fals. Leg. p. 40, § 93), that of their return by Demosthenes (De Fals. Leg. p. 359, § 65). But a fortnight passed between the peace and their departure, making altogether eighty-four days, which might fairly enough be called three months. The actual time that the embassy waited in Macedonia was only one month, so the force of the words καθῆντο .. μῆνας must be, 'wasted three whole months through loitering in Macedonia.' Demosthenes' reason for insisting so repeatedly on 'three whole months,' is that for this period it was possible to save Phocis: but all this time was lost through Aeschines.

5. ἡ introduces an afterthought, 'or if he had, we should not have sworn him to the peace.'

9. ὑπὲρ οὗ κ.τ.λ. 'Over which, I own it, I was, I am, I shall be, at strife and variance with them, then, now, and for ever.' In this context the English preposition 'over' coincides with the sense of ὑπὲρ and super, of which examples are given above, §§ 2, 26. The clause is intended to parry a charge repeatedly made by Aeschines (De Fals. Leg. p. 30, § 21, etc.), that his antagonism with

him was a mere personal quarrel dating from this embassy.

12. ὁμολόγησε. 'Recognised,' 'agreed to recognise:' he had *consented* to its terms long before.

διὰ τούτους κ.τ.λ. 'Thanks to these men and their disobedience to my decree;' practically i. q. διὰ τὸ τούτους μὴ πεισθῆναι.

14. ἕως .. ποιήσαιτο, 'Till he could get all ready for his expedition.' Demosthenes intimates that Philip put this forward as his motive for proposing the bargain.

16. ἀπαγγειλάντων ἡμῶν is almost certainly right: it is the reading of Σ and other MSS., the rest having αὐτῶν, not knowing that Demosthenes himself was on the embassy. They may have been misled either by the list in § 37, or by οἱ above, § 38, and τούτους below, § 40. So at the end of this section Σ has ἡμῶν, and one or two others retain traces of it: the rest have ὑμῶν τούτων. The force of ἡμῶν is the same in both places: to report at Athens was part of the legitimate business of the embassy with which Demosthenes was willing to associate himself.

Πύλας ὥσπερ πρότερον κλείσατε τὸν πορθμὸν, ἀλλ' ἄμ' ἀκού-
οιτε ταῦτα ἀπαγγελλόντων ἡμῶν κάκεινος ἐντὸς εἴη Πυλῶν
καὶ μηδὲν ἔχοιθ' ὑμεῖς ποιῆσαι. οὕτω δ' ἦν ὁ Φίλιππος ἐν 41
φόβῳ καὶ πολλῇ ἀγωνίᾳ, μὴ καὶ ταῦτα προειληφότος αὐτοῦ,
5 πρὸ τοῦ τοὺς Φωκέας ἀπολέσθαι ψηφίσσασθε βοηθεῖν, καὶ ἐκ-
φύγοι τὰ πράγματ' αὐτὸν, ὥστε μισθοῦνται τὸν κατάπτυστον
τουτονί, οὐκέτι κοινῇ μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων πρέσβειων, ἀλλ' ἰδίᾳ
καθ' αὐτὸν, τοιαῦτα πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἰπεῖν καὶ ἀπαγγεῖλαι δι' ὧν
ἅπαντ' ἀπώλετο. ἀξιώ δὲ ὑμᾶς, ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ δέο- 42
10 μαι τοῦτο μεμνησθαι παρ' ὅλον τὸν ἀγῶνα, ὅτι μὴ κατηγορή-
σαντος Αἰσχίνου μηδὲν ἔξω τῆς γραφῆς οὐδ' ἂν ἐγὼ λόγον
οὐδένα ἐποιούμην ἕτερον, πάσαις δ' αἰτίαις καὶ βλασφημίαις

1. πορθμὸν] τόπον Σ *h* γρ. FΦ.

ὑμῶν τοῦτων, τοῦτων Bekk.

2. ἡμῶν] Ita solus Σ, sed ὑμῶν *h*, ἡμῶν *s*: ceteri

4. καὶ πολλῇ ἀγωνίᾳ] Om. nonnulli.

5. πρὸ τοῦ τοὺς .. ἐκφύγοι] Σ ante haec inserit *ei*, mox ante ἐκφύγοι omisso καί: qua de lectione vid. annot. Post ἀπολέσθαι, omnes praeter Σ habent ἀκούσαντες: statim ψηφίσσασθε ΣΦ *p* A et socii, ceteri ψηφίσαισθε. Ante βοηθεῖν, Σ add. τοῖς Φωκεῦσι, post idem αὐτοῖς ceteri omnes praeter FΤΦ.

9. ὑμᾶς] Hic ponunt A & Dind., post μεμνησθαι libri plerique et Bekk., utroque loco om. Σ *s*.

1. ἄμ' ἀκούετε .. ποιῆσαι. 'You might hear of this [his diplomatic victory and military preparations] and he be inside the pass, and you be helpless, all at once.' ἐντὸς is used because Πύλας being conceived as literally a gate, so that it is 'going in' to pass it southwards from the point of view either of an invader or of a southern Greek.

§ 41. *Yet even so Philip feared that you might march into Phocis. Accordingly he made a separate bargain with Aeschines, to keep you quiet by falsehoods.*

4. μὴ καὶ ταῦτα .. τὰ πράγματ' αὐτόν. Σ reads μὴ καὶ ταῦτα προειληφότος αὐτοῦ, *ei* πρὸ τοῦ τοὺς Φωκέας ἀπολέσθαι ψηφίσσασθε τοῖς Φωκεῦσι βοηθεῖν, ἐκφύγοι τὰ πράγματ' αὐτόν. The others have μὴ καὶ ταῦτα προειληφότος αὐτοῦ, πρὸ τοῦ τοὺς Φωκέας ἀπολέσθαι, ἀκούσαντες ψηφίσαισθε (five retain ψηφίσσασθε with Σ) βοηθεῖν (most add αὐτοῖς) καὶ ἐκφύγοι *κ.τ.λ.* Both τοῖς Φωκεῦσι and αὐτοῖς are clearly marginal glosses, perhaps first added by writers who knew that Philip called upon the Athenians as his allies to join him in the Phocian expedition. ἀκούσαντες may well be omitted on the authority of Σ; but *ei* can scarcely be retained, for (to say nothing of the irregularity of *ei* with the conjunctive in late Attic prose) the presence of καὶ in all the other MSS. would be wholly unexplained. But omitting *ei* and reading καὶ ἐκφύγοι, the conjunctive would be quite intelligible, on the principle that of two results, one depen-

dent on the other, the first is expressed by the conjunctive, the second by the optative. For instances of this, see Thuc. 3. 22. 9; 7. 17. 4, Eur. Hec. 1138-1141; also Dem. de F. L. p. 357, § 57, a passage curiously like this. *ei* is probably a gloss to explain the relation of ψηφίσσασθε to ἐκφύγοι, which got into the text of the archetype of Σ, and so caused the omission of καὶ.

7. οὐκέτι κοινῇ. This is an inference from the fact, that until Philip was within the pass, Demosthenes was overruled by the joint action of his colleagues; after their return, he was thwarted in the Assembly by the arguments and promises of Aeschines.

8. τοιαῦτα *κ.τ.λ.* We should expect, 'Of such a nature as to forward his [Philip's] policy;' instead we have, 'Of such a nature that they were the ruin of everything.' The rhetorical effect required the result of Aeschines' treachery, not the purport of Philip's policy.

§ 42. (*This digression must be excused by the rambling character of the prosecution.*)

11. ἔξω τῆς γραφῆς *κ.τ.λ.* The rhetorical value of this is as a reply to Aeschines' claim to shut up the defence to the formal regularity of Ctesiphon's decree, while contending himself that it was not only irregular, but based upon false allegations. Here Demosthenes assumes that the proofs of his bad citizenship alleged by Aeschines were at least as irrelevant as his that Aeschines was himself a traitor.

- ἅμα τούτου κεχρημένον ἀνάγκη κάμοι πρὸς ἕκαστα τῶν κατη-
 43 γορημένων μικρὰ ἀποκρίνασθαι. τίνες οὖν ἦσαν οἱ παρὰ τού-
 του λόγοι τότε ῥηθέντες, καὶ δι' οὓς ἅπαντ' ἀπώλετο; ὡς οὐ
 δεῖ θορυβεῖσθαι τῷ παρεληλυθέναι Φίλιππον εἰσω Πυλῶν· ἔσται
 γὰρ ἅπανθ' ὅσα βούλεσθ' ὑμεῖς, ἂν ἔχηθ' ἡσυχίαν, καὶ ἀκού- 5
 σεσθε δυοῖν ἢ τριῶν ἡμερῶν, οἷς μὲν ἐχθρὸς ἦκει, φίλον αὐτὸν
 γεγεννημένον, οἷς δὲ φίλος, τούναντίον ἐχθρόν. οὐ γὰρ τὰ
 ῥήματα τὰς οἰκειότητος ἔφη βεβαιοῦν, μάλα σεμνῶς ὀνομάζων,
 ἀλλὰ τὸ ταῦτά συμφέρειν· συμφέρειν δὲ Φιλίππῳ καὶ Φω-
 44 κεῦσι καὶ ὑμῖν ὁμοίως ἅπασι τῆς ἀναληθσίας καὶ τῆς βαρύ- 10
 τητος ἀπαλλαγῆναι τῆς τῶν Θηβαίων. ταῦτα δ' ἀσμένως τινὲς
 ἤκουον αὐτοῦ διὰ τὴν τόθ' ὑποῦσαν ἀπέχθειαν πρὸς τοὺς Θη-
 βαίους. τί οὖν συνέβη μετὰ ταῦτ' εὐθύς, οὐκ εἰς μακράν;
 τοὺς μὲν Φωκέας ἀπολέσθαι καὶ κατασκαφῆναι τὰς πόλεις
 αὐτῶν, ὑμᾶς δ' ἡσυχίαν ἀγαγόντας καὶ τούτῳ πεισθέντας μικ- 15
 45 ρὸν ὕστερον σκευαγωγεῖν ἐκ τῶν ἀγρῶν, τούτον δὲ χρυσίον
 λαβεῖν, καὶ ἔτι πρὸς τούτοις τὴν μὲν ἀπέχθειαν τὴν πρὸς
 Θηβαίους καὶ Θετταλοὺς τῇ πόλει γενέσθαι, τὴν δὲ χάριν
 τὴν ὑπὲρ τῶν πεπραγμένων Φιλίππῳ. ὅτι δ' οὕτω ταῦτ'
 ἔχει, λέγε μοι τό τε τοῦ Καλλισθένης ψήφισμα καὶ τὴν 20
 ἐπιστολὴν τὴν τοῦ Φιλίππου, ἐξ ὧν ἀμφοτέρων ταυθ' ἅπανθ'
 ὑμῖν ἔσται φανερά. λέγε.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

- 46 [Ἐπὶ Μνησιφίλου ἀρχοντος, συγκλήτου ἐκκλησίας ὑπὸ στρατηγῶν
 καὶ πρυτάνεων [καὶ] βουλῆς γνώμη, μαιμακτηριῶνος δεκάτῃ ἀπιόντος, 25

1. κατηγορημένον] κατηγορουμένον Σ.

2. ἀποκρίνασθαι] Ita ΣA et socii, ἀπο-
 λογήσασθαι F & γρ. Σ: volg. ante Bekk. ἀποκρίνεσθαι.

ceteri συμφέρειν. 14. Φωκέας] ταιλαιπώρους Φωκέας omnes praeter Σ, et edd. usque ad
 Bekk.

9. συμφέρειν] Ita Σ p:
 20. Θηβαίους] Ita Σ p A et socii: ceteri τοὺς Θηβαίους. 21. τὴν τοῦ]

τοῦ Σ p Ω u. Mox ordo verborum ταῦθ' .. ἔσται in variis codd. variat: receptam lectionem
 habet Σ. 24. Post στρατηγῶν addebatur γενομένης: om. ΣFTΦ, et ante ὑπὸ ponunt
 A et socii.

21. τὴν τοῦ]

§§ 43-45. Aeschines now pretended that Philip had a common interest with you in the ruin of Thebes. He was believed, and the Phocians were ruined and you terrified.

8. μάλα σεμνῶς ὀνομάζων. 'With a great display of fine names.'

10. τῆς βαρύτητος: cp. above, § 23, of τότε μὲν βαρεῖς .. Θηβαῖοι. Hence it is not a quality, like ἀναληθσία, so much as the effect produced upon others, by that and their other qualities.

13. εὐθύς, οὐκ εἰς μακράν. Emphasised, to exclude the possibility of honest error.

17. τὴν μὲν ἀπέχθειαν κ.τ.λ. 'The

city's share was the ill-will of the Thebans and Thessalians: their gratitude went to Philip.' Their feelings are conceived as a fixed quantity: out of this stock, all that was good was bestowed upon Philip, all that was hostile upon Athens; hence the articles.

§§ 46-50. This is proved by your decree and Philip's insolent letter.

24. συγκλήτου. 'A special assembly.' Several MSS. insert γενομένης after ἐκκλησίας or στρατηγῶν, but Σ and some of the best of the others omit it. Cp. below, § 90, for a similar variation.

- Καλλισθένης Ἐτεονίκου Φαληρεὺς εἶπε μηδένα Ἀθηναίων μηδεμῶ
 παρευρέσει ἐν τῇ χώρᾳ κοιταῖον γίνεσθαι, ἀλλ' ἐν ᾧσπερ καὶ Πειραιεῖ,
 ὅσοι μὴ ἐν τοῖς φρουρίοις εἰσὶν ἀποτεταγμένοι· τούτων δ' ἐκάστους ἦν
 5 παρέλαβον τάξιν διατρεῖν μήτε ἀφημερεύοντας μήτε ἀποκοιτούντας.
 ὃς δ' ἂν ἀπειθήσῃ τῷδε τῷ ψηφίσματι, ἐνοχος ἔστω τοῖς τῆς προδοσίας 47
 ἐπιτιμίαις, ἐὰν μὴ τι ἀδύνατον ἐπιδεικνύῃ περὶ ἑαυτὸν ὅν' περὶ δὲ τοῦ
 ἀδυνάτου ἐπικρινέτω ὁ ἐπὶ τῶν ὅπλων στρατηγὸς καὶ ὁ ἐπὶ τῆς διοική-
 σεως καὶ ὁ γραμματεὺς τῆς βουλῆς. κατακομίζειν δὲ καὶ τὰ ἐκ τῶν
 10 ἀγρῶν πάντα τὴν ταχίστην, τὰ μὲν ἐντὸς σταδίων ἑκατὸν εἴκοσιν εἰς
 ἄστν καὶ Πειραιᾶ, τὰ δὲ ἐκτὸς σταδίων ἑκατὸν εἴκοσιν εἰς Ἐλευσίνα
 καὶ Φυλὴν καὶ Ἀφιδναν καὶ Ῥαμνοῦντα καὶ Σούνιον. εἶπε Καλλι-
 σθένης Φαληρεὺς.]
- Ἄρ' ἐπὶ ταύταις ταῖς ἐλπίσι τὴν εἰρήνην ἐποιεῖσθε, ἢ ταῦτ' 48
 ἐπηγγέλλεθ' ὑμῖν οὗτος ὁ μισθωτός;
 15 Λέγε δὴ τὴν ἐπιστολὴν ἣν δεῦρ' ἔπεμψε Φίλιππος μετὰ
 ταῦτα.

ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ.

- [Βασιλεὺς Μακεδόνων Φίλιππος Ἀθηναίων τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ 49
 χαίρειν. ἵστε ἡμᾶς παρεληλυθότας εἰσω Πυλῶν καὶ τὰ κατὰ τὴν
 20 Φωκίδα ὑφ' ἑαυτοὺς πεποιημένους, καὶ ὅσα μὲν ἐκουσίως προσετίθετο
 τῶν πολισμάτων, φρουρὰς εἰσαγοχότας, τὰ δὲ μὴ ὑπακούοντα κατὰ
 κράτος λαβόντες καὶ ἐξανδραποδισάμενοι κατεσκάψαμεν. ἀκούων δὲ 50
 καὶ ὑμᾶς παρασκευάζεσθαι βοηθεῖν αὐτοῖς γέγραφα ὑμῖν, ἵνα μὴ ἐπὶ
 πλέον ἐνοχλήσθε περὶ τούτων· τοῖς μὲν γὰρ ὅλοις οὐδὲν μέτριόν μοι
 25 δοκεῖτε ποιεῖν, τὴν εἰρήνην συνθέμενοι καὶ ὁμοίως ἀντιπαρεξάγοντες,
 καὶ ταῦτα οὐδὲ συμπεριειλημμένων τῶν Φωκέων ἐν ταῖς κοιναῖς ἡμῶν
 συνθήκαις. ὥστε ἐὰν μὴ ἐμμένητε τοῖς ὁμολογημένοις, οὐδὲν προτερή-
 σετε ἔξω τοῦ ἐφθακέναι ἀδικούντες.]
- Ἀκούετε ὡς σαφῶς δηλοῖ καὶ διορίζεται ἐν τῇ πρὸς ὑμᾶς 51

6. δν] Ob similitudinem ultimae verbi ἑαυτὸν syllabae, om. ΣΦΨΥ.

10. εἰς ante

Ἐλευσίνα om. ΣΦ et al.

15. δῆ] ἴτα ΣΦΨΥ, δ' αὐτὴν A et socii, ceteri δ' αἰ.

23. ἐπὶ] Om. ΣΦ et socii.

25. εἰρήνην] εἰρήνην ἦν ΣΥΨ, viz, ut credo, fortuito.

6. τι ἀδύνατον. 'Something rendering it impossible.' Cp. below, § 157, which looks as if it were a regular legal phrase.

7. ὁ ἐπὶ τῆς διοικήσεως. Perhaps the compiler thought that, as the board of στρατηγοὶ were the only important body of executive officers, they divided all departments of government among themselves. In fact, the paymaster was an independent officer, otherwise called ὁ ταμίης τῆς διοικήσεως.

21. τὰ δὲ μὴ ὑπακούοντα κ.τ.λ. harmonises very ill with Demosthenes' statement, De F. L. p. 360, § 68.

26. οὐδὲ συμπεριειλημμένων. οὐδὲ is used because though no treaty could have justified armed intervention without previous negotiation, even this excuse was absent.

§§ 51-53. This insult to you confirmed his ascendancy over his own allies, and all this was due to Aeschines.

29. ἀκούετε . . τοὺς ἑαυτοῦ συμμάχους. 'You see how his letter to you is really addressed to his own allies—to establish a plain contrast (σαφῶς . . δηλοῖ καὶ διορίζεται) for their instruction.' The contrast is between Philip's policy and that of Athens: it is explained by Demosthenes' paraphrase of the sense of the letter, 'You see I have carried out a policy of benevolence to you in the teeth of Athens: hence you must count upon Athenian enmity (τούτους μὲν ἐχθροὺς ὑπολήψεσθε), and rely upon me [as able and willing to protect you against Athens, which I have already humbled].'

- ἐπιστολῇ πρὸς τοὺς ἑαυτοῦ συμμαχοὺς, ὅτι “ἐγὼ ταῦτα πεποίηκα ἀκόντων Ἀθηναίων καὶ λυπουμενών, ὥστ’ εἶπερ εἰ φρονεῖτε, ὦ Θεβαῖοι καὶ Θετταλοὶ, τούτους μὲν ἐχθροὺς ὑπολήψεσθε, ἐμοὶ δὲ πιστεύετε,” οὐ τούτοις τοῖς ῥήμασι γράψας,
 52 ταῦτα δὲ βυλλόμενος δεικνύναι. τοιγαροῦν ἐκ τούτων ᾤχετ’ 5 ἐκείνους λαβὼν εἰς τὸ μὴδ’ ὅτι οὖν προορᾶν τῶν μετὰ ταῦτα μὴδ’ αἰσθάνεσθαι, ἀλλ’ ἐᾶσαι πάντα τὰ πράγματα ἐκείνους ὑφ’ ἑαυτῷ ποιήσασθαι· ἐξ ὧν ταῖς παρούσαις συμφοραῖς οἱ ταλαί-
 53 πωροι κέχρηται. ὁ δὲ ταύτης τῆς πίστεως αὐτῷ συνεργὸς καὶ συναγωνιστὴς καὶ ὁ δεῦρ’ ἀπαγγεῖλας τὰ ψευδῆ καὶ φενα- 10 κίσας ὑμᾶς οὕτοσιν ὁ τὰ Θεβαίων ὀδυρόμενος νῦν πάθη καὶ διεξιὼν ὡς οἰκτρὰ, καὶ τούτων καὶ τῶν ἐν Φωκεύσι κακῶν καὶ ὅσ’ ἄλλα πεπόνθασιν οἱ Ἕλληνες ἀπάντων αὐτὸς ὧν αἴτιος.
 54 ὁ δὲ γὰρ ὅτι σὺ μὲν ἀλλεῖς ἐπὶ τοῖς συμβεβηκόσιν, Αἰσχίνῃ, καὶ τοὺς Θεβαίους ἐλεεῖς, κτήματ’ ἔχων ἐν τῇ Βοιωτίᾳ καὶ 15 γεωργῶν τὰ ἐκείνων, ἐγὼ δὲ χαίρω, ὅς ἐκ εὐθὺς ἐξητούμην ὑπὸ τοῦ ταῦτα πράξαντος.

Ἀλλὰ γὰρ ἐμπέπτωκα εἰς λόγους, οὗς ἀντίκα μᾶλλον ἴσως ἀρμόσει λέγειν. ἐπᾶναιμι δὴ πάλιν ἐπὶ τὰς ἀποδείξεις, ὡς τὰ τούτων ἀδικήματα τῶν νυνὶ παρόντων πραγμάτων γέγονεν 20 αἴτια.

8. Extr. ταλαίπωροι κέχρηται] Post alterum horum, Θεβαῖοι add. omnes praeter Σ.
 11. οὕτοσιν] οὕτως ἐστιν omnes praeter Σ. 15. κτήματ’] κτήμ’ Σ.

5. ᾤχετ’ ἐκείνους λαβὼν. ‘Carried them along with him till they neither foresaw nor perceived anything that followed.’ ᾤχετο implies further that he got beyond the reach of control. The force of προορᾶν and αἰσθάνεσθαι is, ‘They neither anticipated that his measures would enslave them, nor realised that they were enslaved.’

11. ὁ δὲ τὰ Θεβαίων κ.τ.λ. Ac. in Ct. § 157, is the passage especially intended.

§ 54. Before narrating the full measure of these calamities, I will complete the proof that Aeschines is responsible for them.

16. γεωργῶν τὰ ἐκείνων: cp. D. de F. L. §§ 158, 360.

17. τοῦ ταῦτα πράξαντος. Alexander, who destroyed Thebes, which Philip had before enslaved. Aeschines held Demosthenes responsible for the ruin of Thebes, on the ground that he had promoted the revolt: Demosthenes claims this very fact, attested by Alexander (ὅς ἐκ εὐθὺς ἐξητούμην ὑπὸ τοῦ ταῦτα πράξαντος), as a proof of his sympathy with the liberty of Thebes. It does refute the charge ἐγὼ δὲ χαίρω artfully put

into the mouth of Aeschines, who never said so.

18. ἀλλὰ γὰρ. ‘But let me stop,’ ‘but let this wait,’ a common formula of interruption: cp. below, § 267. Commonly explained as an ellipsis; ‘but [I will stop] for...’ It would be more accurate to say that the protasis is transposed, than that the apodosis is suppressed; e.g. there is here substantially the apodosis required in ἐπᾶναιμι δὴ: and, even where there is no word like this, to go on with another subject itself expressed that a change of subject is desirable for the reason alleged. Cp. Soph. Antig. 148, where ἀλλὰ γὰρ is followed by the apodosis ἐκ μὲν δὴ πολέμων κ.τ.λ., while in the same chorus, l. 155, it has (unless a line has been lost) no formal apodosis at all. In Latin *sed enim* is sometimes used in the same way to introduce an interruption, sometimes it has an intensive force—to which the hypothesis of an ellipsis is inapplicable.

ἀντίκα. Exactly the English ‘presently:’ and like it, has come to be opposed to its primitive sense ‘immediately.’

Ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ἐξηπάτησθε μὲν ὑμεῖς ὑπὸ τοῦ Φιλίππου διὰ 55
 τούτων τῶν ἐν ταῖς πρεσβείαις μισθωσάντων ἑαυτοὺς [τῷ Φι-
 λίπῳ] καὶ οὐδὲν ἀληθὲς ὑμῖν ἀπαγγειλάντων, ἐξηπάτηντο δὲ
 οἱ ταλαίπωροι Φωκεῖς καὶ ἀνήρηντο αἱ πόλεις αὐτῶν, τί ἐγέ-
 5 νετο; οἱ μὲν κατάπτυστοι Θετταλοὶ καὶ ἀναίσθητοι Θηβαῖοι
 φίλον, εὐεργέτην, σωτήρα τὸν Φίλιππον ἡγρύνοντο· πάντ' ἐκεί-
 νος ἦν αὐτοῖς· οὐδὲ φωνὴν ἤκουον, εἴ τις ἄλλο τι βούλοιο
 λέγειν. ὑμεῖς δὲ ὑφορώμενοι τὰ πεπραγμένα καὶ δυσχεραί-
 νοντες ἤγετε τὴν εἰρήνην ὁμῶς· οὐ γὰρ ἦν ὃ τι ἂν ἐποιεῖτε.
 10 καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι δὲ Ἕλληνες, ὁμοίως ὑμῖν πεφνακισμένοι καὶ 56
 διημαρτηκότες ὧν ἤλπισαν, ἤγον τὴν εἰρήνην ἄσμενοι, καὶ αὐτοὶ
 τρόπον τινὰ ἐκ πολλοῦ πολεμούμενοι. ὅτε γὰρ περιῶν Φί-
 λιππος Ἰλλυριοὺς καὶ Τριβαλλοὺς, τινὰς δὲ καὶ τῶν Ἑλλήνων
 κατεστρέφετο, καὶ δυνάμεις πολλὰς καὶ μεγάλας ἐποιεῖθ' ὑφ'
 15 ἑαυτῷ, καὶ τινες τῶν ἐκ τῶν πόλεων ἐπὶ τῇ τῆς εἰρήνης ἐξουσίᾳ
 βαδίζοντες ἐκέισε διεφθείροντο, ὧν εἷς οὗτος ἦν, τότε πάντες,
 ἐφ' οὓς ταῦτα παρεσκευάζετ' ἐκείνος, ἐπολεμοῦντο. εἰ δὲ μὴ 57

2. τῷ Φιλίπῳ] Om. A et socii Bekk. Dind. Et certe durum est, cum τοῦ Φιλίππου
 praecesserit: sed unde nisi ex veritate accessit? II. ἄσμενοι, καὶ] Om. Σ.

§§ 55-57. *After you were cheated and the Phocians ruined, Philip was strengthened, and you and the rest of Greece bewildered and paralysed; so that Philip was ready to make war upon all, for, through different causes, none heeded the warnings I gave.*

6. πάντ' ἐκείνος ἦν αὐτοῖς: cp. Herod. I. 122.

11. ὧν ἤλπισαν. The humiliation of Thebes, which was desired by Sparta and her old allies, and by the Achaeans.

ἄσμενοι. A predicate, the reason of which is given by καὶ .. πολεμούμενοι: 'The treaty was a relief to them, which they enjoyed: for Philip, in a remote kind of a way, was making war upon them as well as upon us, until it came.' The clause describing the conduct of the other Greeks is parallel to that describing the conduct of Athens, so that ὑμεῖς .. ὁμῶς answers to καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι .. ἄσμενοι, the individual word ὁμῶς balances ἄσμενοι, and by consequence οὐ γὰρ .. ἐποιεῖτε must be balanced by καὶ αὐτοὶ .. πολεμούμενοι. But for this parallelism, it would be tempting to say, that the real war continued in spite of the nominal peace; and this was obviously the view of the writer of Σ, who omits ἄσμενοι καὶ. Nor is the presence of these words fatal to this interpretation, which would suit the circumstances and the arguments, if it is not at variance with Demosthenes' cast of expression.

12. ἐκ πολλοῦ πολεμούμενοι. Lit. 'from a distance,' so 'indirectly': for the passive use of πολεμούμενοι, cp. Thuc. I. 37.

13. τινὰς δὲ καὶ κ.τ.λ. Amphipolis, and the confederacy of Olynthus.

15. τινὲς .. ἐκέισε. 'Sundry of the visitors from Greek states, who went there freely, for they professed to be at peace, or making peace.' τῶν πόλεων, 'The cities' of Greece, marked off as a class from ἔθνη, like Ἰλλυριοὶ or Τριβαλλοί. There is a sort of zeugma in the words τῆς εἰρήνης. The phrase includes both the numerous states, not directly involved in the war ended by the peace of Philocrates, in which the conquests spoken of were made, and Aeschines (ὧν εἷς οὗτος ἦν). In the former case ἐπὶ τῇ τῆς εἰρήνης ἐξουσίᾳ must mean, 'Relying on the absence of declared hostilities'; in the latter, 'Authorised by the peace he went to negotiate:' for we can hardly think of him as corrupted before the declaration of the war, since we learn from Dem. de Fals. Leg. §§ 10-15, that until his first embassy to Macedonia, Aeschines was an eager opponent of Philip, and that even on his return his tone was for a time moderate and patriotic.

17. εἰ δὲ μὴ κ.τ.λ. '[The danger and the uneasiness were real:] if the cause was unperceived, that was not my fault.'

ἡσθάνοντο, ἕτερος λόγος οὗτος, οὐ πρὸς ἐμέ. ἐπὶ μὲν γὰρ προύλεγον καὶ διεμαρτυρόμην καὶ παρ' ὑμῖν αἰεὶ καὶ ὅποι πεμφθείην· αἱ δὲ πόλεις ἐνόσουν τῶν μὲν ἐν τῷ πολιτεύεσθαι καὶ πράττειν δωροδοκούντων καὶ διαφθειρομένων ἐπὶ χρήμασι, τῶν δὲ ἰδιωτῶν καὶ πολλῶν τὰ μὲν οὐ προορωμένων, τὰ δὲ τῇ καθ' 5 ἡμέραν ῥαστῶνῃ καὶ σχολῇ δελεαζομένων, καὶ τοιοντονί τι πάθος πεπονθότων ἀπάντων, πλὴν οὐκ ἐφ' ἑαυτοὺς ἐκάστων οἰομένων τὸ δεινὸν ἦξειν, ἀλλὰ διὰ τῶν ἐτέρων κινδύνων τὰ 58 ἑαυτῶν ἀσφαλῶς σχήσειν, ὅταν βούλωνται. εἴτ' οἶμαι συμβέβηκε τοῖς μὲν πλήθεσιν ἀντὶ τῆς πολλῆς καὶ ἀκαίρου ῥαθυ- 10 μίας τὴν ἐλευθερίαν ἀπολωλεκέναι, τοῖς δὲ προεσθηκόσι καὶ τᾶλλα πλὴν ἑαυτοὺς οἰομένοις πωλεῖν πρῶτους ἑαυτοὺς πεπρακόσιν αἰσθέσθαι· ἀντὶ γὰρ φίλων καὶ ξένων, ᾧ τότε ὠνομάζοντο, ἡνίκα ἐδωροδόκουν, νῦν κόλακες καὶ θεοὶς ἐχθροὶ καὶ 59 τᾶλλ' ᾧ προσήκει πάντ' ἀκούουσιν. εἰκότως· οὐδεὶς γὰρ, ἂν- 15 δρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τὸ τοῦ προδιδόντος συμφέρον ζητῶν χρήματ' ἀναλίσκει, οὐδ' ἐπειδὰν ὢν ἂν πρίηται κύριος γέννηται, τῷ προδότη συμβούλῳ περὶ τῶν λοιπῶν ἔτι χρῆται· οὐδὲν γὰρ ἂν ἦν εὐδαιμονέστερον προδότου. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔστι ταῦτα· πόθεν; πολλοῦ γε καὶ δεῖ. ἀλλ' ἐπειδὰν τῶν πραγμάτων ἐγκρατὴς 20 ὁ ζητῶν ἄρχειν καταστῇ, καὶ τῶν ταῦτα ἀποδομένων δεσπότης ἐστὶ, τὴν δὲ πονηρίαν εἰδὼς τότε δὴ, τότε καὶ μισεῖ καὶ ἀπιστεῖ

8. ἀλλά] καὶ ΣΓ et al.

om. Σ. 15. εἰκότως] Om. ΣF et al.

9. Post σχήσαν addebatur ante Bekk. ὑπολαμβάνοντα:

19. οὐκ ἔστι ταῦτα] Post haec οὐκ ἔστιν

iterant omnes praeter Σ: haud ita inepte.

3. αἱ δὲ πόλεις .. ἦξαν. 'The cities suffered under the venality and corruption of their active politicians, and the shortsightedness, the blind love of ease and repose, of the majority of private citizens: all the states alike being in this frame of mind, only each thinking that they were the one beyond the reach of danger.' πλὴν .. ἦξεν, lit. 'That it would come, but not as far as themselves.' πλὴν, because ἐκάστων modifies ἑαυτοῖς.

§ 58. As a result the many are enslaved; their leaders who betrayed them find themselves betrayed.

9. συμβέβηκε. Almost, 'They found it included in their bargain,' rather as a consequence than a coincidence: though no doubt the word is meant to remark on the event being so *exactly* the reverse of their expectations.

12. πρῶτους must agree with ἑαυτοῖς, so that we cannot imagine Demosthenes to mean, 'Feel the slavery first.' They were the first thing sold, though perhaps the last

to pass into the purchasers' hands, i.e. the man who concluded a treacherous bargain, thereby put himself in the power of his country's enemy, even before he fulfilled the bargain by putting his country in his power too. The force of πεπρακόσιν, moreover, when used metaphorically of persons, is always rather 'betrayed' than 'given into slavery.' Cp. Soph. Phil. 978, Aesch. Cho. 915; though in the latter passage there is a play on the literal sense.

§§ 59, 60. Of course Philip never loved them: and when he found he no longer needed them, he cast them off.

16. ζητῶν. The really important predicate: 'No one seeks the traitor's profit, when he spends his money.'

19. πόθεν. 'It is not so; why should it be?' Tr. 'of course not.'

22. τὴν δὲ .. τότε δὴ. 'And as he can afford to discover their villainy *then*, having till then affected to treat them as honest partisans.

καὶ προπηλακίζει. σκοπεῖτε δὲ καὶ γὰρ εἰ παρελήλυθεν ὁ 60
 τῶν πραγμάτων καιρὸς, ὁ τοῦ γε εἶδέναι τὰ τοιαῦτα καιρὸς
 αἰεὶ πάρεστι τοῖς εὖ φρονούσι. μέχρι τούτου Λασθένης φίλος
 ὠνομάζετο Φιλίππου, ἕως προῦδωκεν Ὀλυνθον· μέχρι τούτου
 5 Τιμόλαος, ἕως ἀπώλεσε Θήβας· μέχρι τούτου Εὐδίκος καὶ
 Σίμος οἱ Λαρισαῖοι, ἕως Θετταλίαν ὑπὸ Φιλίππῳ ἐποίησαν.
 εἴτ' ἐλαυνομένων καὶ ὑβρίζομένων καὶ τί κακὸν οὐχὶ πασχόντων
 πᾶσα ἡ οἰκουμένη μεστὴ γέγονε προδοτῶν. τί δ' Ἀρίστρτος
 ἐν Σικυῶνι, καὶ τί Περιλαὸς ἐν Μεγάροις; οὐκ ἀπερριμμένοι;
 10 ἐξ ὧν καὶ σαφέστατ' ἂν τις ἴδοι ὅτι ὁ μάλιστα φυλάττων τὴν 61
 ἑαυτοῦ πατρίδα καὶ πλείστα ἀντιλέγων τούτοις, οὗτος ὑμῖν,
 Αἰσχίνῃ, τοῖς προδιδούσι καὶ μισθαρνοῦσι τὸ ἔχειν ἐφ' ὅτῳ
 δωροδοκήσετε περιποιεῖ, καὶ διὰ τοὺς πολλοὺς τουτωνὶ καὶ τοὺς
 ἀνθισταμένους τοῖς ὑμετέροις βουλήμασιν ὑμεῖς ἐστὲ σῶ καὶ
 15 ἔμμισθοι, ἐπεὶ διὰ γε ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς πάλαι ἂν ἀπώλλωλετε.

Καὶ περὶ μὲν τῶν τότε πραχθέντων ἔχων ἔτι πολλὰ λέγειν, 62
 καὶ ταῦτα ἡγούμεαι πλείω τῶν ἱκανῶν εἰρήσθαι. αἴτιος δ' οὗτος,
 ὥσπερ ἐωλοκρασίαν τινά μου τῆς πονηρίας τῆς ἑαυτοῦ καὶ τῶν
 ἀδικημάτων κατασκεδάσας, ἦν ἀναγκαῖον ἦν πρὸς τοὺς νεωτέ-
 20 ρους τῶν πεπραγμένων ἀπολύσασθαι. παρηνώχλησθε δὲ [καί]

4. Φιλίππου] Om. ΣΓ, Φιλίππῳ γρ. Σ.
 των libri plerique.

17. καί] Om. ΣΑ et socii: statim ὑμεῖς om. Σ.

13. τουτωνί] Ita Σ, τούτους Bekk., τού-

7. εἴτ' ἐλαυνομένων κ.τ.λ. 'Traitors are over all the world since then, hunted, insulted, wretched every way.'

§ 61. *Aeschines*, you have to thank patriots [like me] that you are still paid to betray your country: but for them you would have no country to betray.

12. Αἰσχίνῃ. Besides the rhetorical effect of this 'apostrophe,' the name is needed to soften the change of person from τούτοις to ὑμῖν.

13. διὰ τοὺς . . ἀνθισταμένους. 'It is, thanks to the majority of your countrymen, and to the men who balk your will,' τουτωνί, strictly, of course 'the court and audience.' Here as below, § 345, τὸ ταῦτα προαπεῖσθαι τοῖς πολλοῖς is the note of good citizenship.

15. διὰ γε ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς in form balances the clause διὰ τοὺς . . ἀνθισταμένους; but διὰ is in rather a different sense, like the Latin *per* in such phrases as *per me licet*, *mora nulla per Histrium* (Juv. 12. 111).

§ 62. I leave the subject of your condition after the peace of Philocrates, which I should not have handled unless he had made it a field for calumny.

§ 62. These frequent apologies for digression, serve to cover the invidious character of Demosthenes' allusions to his rival's conduct at a time when his own action was below his character.

18. ὥσπερ κ.τ.λ. This is a very Greek way of suggesting a metaphor that it would be offensive to present in detail. Demosthenes says in effect, that Aeschines has been drenched with villainy to the last and nastiest dregs, and now is venting his drunken vomit upon him; yet the harm done can be dispelled very simply, no word could be more neutral or general than ἀπολύσασθαι.

19. τοὺς νεωτέρους τῶν πεπραγμένων. 'Those too young to have taken part in the events.' The lowest age for jurors was thirty. The speech was delivered in 330 B.C., so the Court may well have contained several members with only a secondhand acquaintance with the events of 346 B.C.

20. παρηνώχλησθε. 'You too may have sustained a little disturbance by the way.' He does not say that they were perplexed: the word only expresses the vague annoyance produced by a confident contradiction of what we know.

- ὑμεῖς ἴσως, οἱ καὶ πρὶν ἐμὲ εἰπεῖν ὅτιοῦν εἰδότες τὴν τούτου
 63 τότε μισθαρινίαν. καίτοι φιλίαν γε καὶ ξενίαν αὐτὴν ὀνομάζει,
 καὶ νῦν εἰπέ που λέγων “ὁ τὴν Ἀλεξάνδρου ξενίαν ὀνειδίζων
 ἐμοί.” ἐγὼ σοι ξενίαν Ἀλεξάνδρου; πόθεν λαβόντι ἢ πῶς
 ἀξιωθέντι; οὔτε Φιλίππου ξένον οὐτ’ Ἀλεξάνδρου φίλον εἴποιμ’ 5
 ἂν ἐγὼ σε, οὐχ οὕτω μαίνομαι, εἰ μὴ καὶ τοὺς θεριστὰς καὶ
 τοὺς ἄλλο τι μισθοῦ πράττοντας φίλους καὶ ξένους δεῖ καλεῖν
 64 τῶν μισθωσαμένων. ἀλλ’ οὐκ ἔστι ταῦτα· πόθεν; πολλοῦ
 γε καὶ δεῖ. ἀλλὰ μισθωτὸν ἐγὼ σε Φιλίππου πρότερον καὶ
 νῦν Ἀλεξάνδρου καλῶ, καὶ οὗτοι πάντες. εἰ δ’ ἀπιστεῖς, ἐρώ- 10
 τησον αὐτοὺς, μᾶλλον δ’ ἐγὼ τοῦθ’ ὑπὲρ σοῦ ποιήσω. πότε-
 ρον ὑμῖν, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, δοκεῖ μισθωτὸς Αἰσχίνης ἢ ξένος
 εἶναι Ἀλεξάνδρου; ἀκούεις ἂ λέγουσιν.
- 65 Βούλομαι τοίνυν ἤδη καὶ περὶ τῆς γραφῆς αὐτῆς ἀπολογη-
 σασθαι καὶ διεξελεῖν τὰ πεπραγμέν’ ἐμαυτῷ, ἵνα καίπερ εἰδὼς 15
 Αἰσχίνης ὅμως ἀκούσῃ δι’ ἧς φημι καὶ τούτων τῶν προβεβου-
 λευμένων καὶ πολλῶ μειζόνων ἔτι τούτων δωρεῶν δίκαιος εἶναι
 τυγχάνειν. καί μοι λέγε τὴν γραφὴν αὐτὴν λαβών.

ΓΡΑΦΗ.

- 66 [Ἐπὶ Χαιρώνδου ἄρχοντος, ἐλαφηβολιώδους ἑκτῇ ἱσταμένου, Αἰσχί- 20
 νης Ἀτρομήτου Κοθωκίδης ἀπήνεγκε πρὸς τὸν ἄρχοντα παρανόμων

4. πόθεν .. ἀξιωθέντι.] Om. Tiberius.
 παρανόμων addeburat γραφὴν: om. ΣFTΦ.

12. μισθωτὰς] + μισθωτος Σ +. 21. Post

§§ 63, 64. *Yet he claims to be a courtier, mere biveling as he is and you think him.*

2. ξενίαν. ‘Guestright,’ though somewhat harsh, is perhaps the least inadequate translation. The relation is *φιλία*, made solemn and formal, and so to speak official. τὴν Ἀλεξάνδρου ξενίαν would be, ‘My guestright with Alexander.’

5. Φιλίππου .. φίλον. He would be Philip’s ξένος in virtue of their friendly intercourse on the embassy, but to Alexander personally he would only be a φίλος, till he actually enjoyed his hospitality, though the relation itself was hereditary.

8. ἀλλ’ οὐκ .. δεῖ: verbatim above, § 59. The motive of these repetitions is to produce the full effect on every hearer. From this point of view they correspond with an artifice common in the Republic, where Socrates puts the proposition to which he wants Glaucon’s assent in several different forms, before Glaucon admits that he understands it sufficiently to agree with it.

13. ἀκούεις ἂ λέγουσιν. Ulpian mentions a tradition that Demosthenes purposely

misplaced the accent of μισθωτὰς to get the audience to correct him, which is valuable as a proof that in prose the Grammarians supposed accent to have been the predominant element in pronunciation: Disson supposes that the phrase was added on publication, as Aeschines would have had friends enough present to make the answer doubtful. Σ writes μισθωτος, according to the tradition.

§ 65. *Now I come to the actual charge, as Aeschines wishes.*

14. τοίνυν. ‘Therefore,’ as I see. I need dwell no longer upon the irrelevancies forced upon me by Aeschines.

16. τῶν προβεβουλευμένων. ‘For which the preliminary decree is passed.’ Cp. above, § 10, τοῦ προβουλευματος, note.

§§ 66-68. [*Indictment.*]

21. Σ and some others omit γραφὴν, commonly added after παρανόμων. It would be as easy to explain ἀπήνεγκε παρανόμων as a technical brachylogy, as by imputing laziness or carelessness to the copyist of the archetype.

κατὰ Κτησιφώντος τοῦ Λεωσθένους Ἀναφλυστίου, ὅτι ἔγραψε
 παράνομον ψήφισμα, ὥς ἄρα δεῖ στεφανῶσαι Δημοσθένην Δημο-
 σθένους Παιανίεα χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ, καὶ ἀναγορεύσαι ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ
 Διονυσίοις τοῖς μεγάλοις, τραγῳδοῖς καινοῖς, ὅτι στεφανοὶ ὁ δῆμος 67
 8 Δημοσθένην Δημοσθένους Παιανίεα χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ ἀρετῆς ἕνεκα,
 καὶ εὐνοίας ἧς ἔχων διατελεῖ εἰς τε τοὺς Ἕλληνας ἅπαντας καὶ τὸν
 δῆμον τῶν Ἀθηναίων, καὶ ἀνδραγαθίας, καὶ διότι διατελεῖ πράττων
 καὶ λέγων τὰ βέλτιστα τῷ δήμῳ καὶ πρόθυμός ἐστι ποιεῖν ὃ τι
 ἂν δύνηται ἀγαθόν, πάντα ταῦτα ψευδῇ γράφας καὶ παράνομα, τῶν 68
 10 νόμων οὐκ ἑώρων πρῶτον μὲν ψευδεῖς ἁγράφας εἰς τὰ δημόσια
 γράμματα καταβάλλεσθαι, εἰτα τὸν ὑπεύθυνον στεφανοῦν (ἔστι δὲ
 Δημοσθένης τειχοποιὸς καὶ ἐπὶ τῷ θεωρικῷ τεταγμένος), ἔτι δὲ μὴ
 ἀναγορεύειν τὸν στέφανον ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ Διονυσίοις τραγῳδῶν τῇ
 καινῇ, ἀλλ' ἔαν μὲν ἡ βουλή στεφανοί, ἐν τῷ βουλευτηρίῳ ἀνει-
 15 πείν, ἔαν δὲ ἡ πόλις, ἐν πυκνῇ ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ. τίμημα τάλαντα
 πεντήκοντα. κλητήρες Κηφισοφῶν Κηφισοφώντος Ῥαμνούσιος,
 Κλέων Κλέωνος Κοθωκλίδης.]

Ἄ μὲν διώκει τοῦ ψήφισματος, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, ταῦτ' 69
 ἐστίν. ἐγὼ δ' ἀπ' αὐτῶν τούτων πρῶτον οἶμαι δῆλον ὑμῖν
 20 ποιήσκειν ὅτι πάντα δικαίως ἀπολογήσομαι· τὴν γὰρ αὐτὴν
 τούτῳ ποιησάμενος τῶν γεγραμμένων τάξιν περὶ πάντων ἐρῶ
 καθ' ἕκαστον ἐφεξῆς καὶ οὐδὲν ἐκὼν παραλείψω. τοῦ μὲν οὖν 70
 γράφαι πράττοντα καὶ λέγοντα τὰ βέλτιστά με τῷ δήμῳ
 διατελεῖν καὶ πρόθυμον εἶναι ποιεῖν ὃ τι ἂν δύνωμαι ἀγαθόν,
 25 καὶ ἐπαινεῖν ἐπὶ τούτοις, ἐν τοῖς πεπολιτευμένοις τὴν κρίσιν
 εἶναι νομίζω· ἀπὸ γὰρ τούτων ἐξεταζομένων εὐρεθήσεται εἴτε
 ἀληθὴ περὶ ἐμοῦ γέγραφε Κτησιφῶν ταῦτα καὶ προσήκοντα

7. τῶν] τὸν T Bekk. Dind.
 Ω et al., τῶν θεωριῶν ΣΤΦ.

11. ἔστι δὲ] ἔστι ΣΤΦΩ et al.: et mox τῶν θεωριῶν
 15. ἐν τῇ] τῇ pks. 24. εἶναι] εἶναι μοι Α.

2. ὥς ἄρα: cp. above, § 27, ὥς ἄρα ἐγὼ
 .. κεκαλυκὼς εἶην, where the ironical form
 is more in place than in a legal document.
 It occurs again below, § 90, in the decree
 of Eubulus.

15. ἐν πυκνῇ ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ. Three
 MSS. omit the second ἐν: cp. Ae. in Ct. § 52.
 τίμημα τάλαντα πεντήκοντα. The
 fine was purposely fixed at a sum too high
 to pay, that Ctesiphon might be disfran-
 chised as a state debtor. Hence, in § 18
 and elsewhere, Demosthenes dwells on the
 danger of his becoming ἄτιμος.

§ 69. And here I will take the order of
 his indictment.

18. Ἄ μὲν answers to ἐγὼ δ'. In an
 earlier stage of Greek prose the antithesis
 would have been more symmetrical, e. g. Ἄ
 μὲν διώκει Αλσχίνης .. ἀπ' αὐτῶν δὲ τούτων
 ἐγώ.

19. ἐγὼ .. παραλείψω. Having gained
 one rhetorical advantage in the exordium,
 by refusing to follow the order of his oppo-
 nent's speech, he here secures another by
 promising to follow the order of the indict-
 ment, where of course his opponent had to
 follow the order of Ctesiphon's decree. πρῶ-
 τον. 'The very first proof of the fairness
 of my reply will be found in its arrangement'
 [its substance when completed will supply
 another].

§ 70. The first count refers to my po-
 licy.

22. τοῦ μὲν οὖν γράψαι κ.τ.λ. i. e. the
 words of the decree and the vote of thanks
 must be judged by my public policy.

27. προσήκοντα. The symmetry of the
 sentence suggests ἐμοὶ to be supplied from
 περὶ ἐμοῦ. Ττ. 'If this that Ctesiphon has
 written of me is true and fitting.'

- 71 εἶτε καὶ ψευδῇ· τὸ δὲ μὴ προσγράψαντα “ἐπειδὴν τὰς εὐθύ-
 νας δῶ” στεφανοῦν, καὶ ἀνειπεῖν ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ τὸν στέφανον
 κελεύσαι, κοινωνεῖν μὲν ἡγοῦμαι καὶ τοῦτο τοῖς πεπολιτευμέ-
 νοις, εἶτε ἄξιός εἰμι τοῦ στεφάνου καὶ τῆς ἀναρρήσεως τῆς ἐν
 τούτοις εἶτε καὶ μὴ, ἔτι μέντοι καὶ τοὺς νόμους δεικτέον εἶναι 5
 μοι δοκεῖ, καθ’ οὓς ταῦτα γράφειν ἐξῆν τούτῳ. οὕτως μὲν,
 ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, δικαίως καὶ ἀπλῶς τὴν ἀπολογίαν ἔγνων 10
 72 ποιεῖσθαι, βαδιοῦμαι δ’ ἐπ’ αὐτὰ ἃ πέπρακταί μοι. καὶ με
 μῆδεις ὑπολάβῃ ἀπαρτᾶν τὸν λόγον τῆς γραφῆς, εἰς Ἐλ-
 ληνικὰς πράξεις καὶ λόγους ἐμπέσω· ὁ γὰρ διώκων τοῦ ψη- 10
 φίσματος τὸ λέγειν καὶ πράττειν τὰ ἄριστα με καὶ γεγραμ-
 μένος ταῦτα ὡς οὐκ ἀληθῆ, οὗτός ἐστιν ὁ τοὺς περὶ πάντων
 τῶν ἐμοὶ πεπολιτευμένων λόγους οἰκείους καὶ ἀναγκαίους τῇ
 γραφῇ πεποιηκώς. εἶτα καὶ πολλῶν προαιρέσεων οὓων τῆς
 πολιτείας τὴν περὶ τὰς Ἑλληνικὰς πράξεις εἰλόμην ἐγὼ, ὥστε 15
 καὶ τὰς ἀποδείξεις ἐκ τούτων δικαίός εἰμι ποιεῖσθαι.
 73 Ἄ μὲν οὖν πρὸ τοῦ πολιτεύεσθαι καὶ δημηγορεῖν ἐμὰ προῦ-
 λαβε καὶ κατέσχε Φίλιππος, ἑάσω· οὐδὲν γὰρ ἡγοῦμαι τού-
 των εἶναι πρὸς ἐμέ· ἃ δ’ ἀφ’ ἧς ἡμέρας ἐπὶ ταῦτα ἐπέστην
 ἐγὼ διεκωλύθη, ταῦτα ἀναμνήσω καὶ τούτων ὑφέξω λόγον, 20
 74 τοσοῦτον ὑπειπών. πλεονέκτημα, ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, μέγα

2. καὶ] Om. A.
 Σ Ω.

13. Post πεπολιτευμένων καὶ πεπραγμένων add. omnes praeter
 20. ἐγὼ] ἐγὼ καὶ Σ B. et S.

1. εἴτε καὶ ψευδῇ. ‘Or if it is false’ [as Aeschines says, and is certainly possible].

§ 71. *Even the details of the bonours voted to me must be considered with reference to my deserts, though also with reference to the law.*

2. στεφανοῦν is parallel to κελεύσαι, not dependent on it, ‘to give me a crown without the proviso, when the accounts are passed.’

3. κοινωνεῖν. ‘Has much in common with.’ Both the details of the vote and his public policy involve a discussion of his character.

4. τῆς ἐν τούτοις. ‘Before your countrymen.’ He is addressing Aeschines, and identifies the Court, which is the people by representation, with the people assembled *en masse* at the Dionysia (cp. below, § 310 fin.), and thereby pointedly replies to Aeschines’ taunt, that he preferred a foreign to an Athenian reputation.

§ 72. *It is no digression to recall my foreign policy: for the vote of thanks is grounded on my whole career, which has*

been spent mainly in foreign affairs.

9. ἀπαρτᾶν. Commonly taken as = *dis-jungere*: the lexicographers supply no instance which might not be taken of remote connection as well as of disconnection. Here it would be tempting and etymologically possible to translate, ‘Make the indictment a mere peg for the speech.’

Ἑλληνικὰς opposed to πολιτικὰς, as foreign to domestic. Cp. below, § 139, where it is opposed to τὰ κατὰ τὴν πόλιν πεπολιτεύματα.

13. πεπολιτευμένων. Vide ad § 13.

14. πολλῶν προαιρέσεων κ.τ.λ. ‘Whereas there are many lines of public life.’

§ 73. *My responsibility does not begin with the beginning of Philip’s aggrandisement.*

19. ἐπὶ ταῦτα, i.e. ἐπὶ τὸ πολιτεύεσθαι καὶ δημηγορεῖν.

§ 74. *Which was greatly aided by the corruption of public men.*

21. πλεονέκτημα. ‘An unfair advantage’ when secured, as *πλεονεξία* is a craving for unfair advantage.

ὑπῆρξε Φιλίππῳ. παρὰ γὰρ τοῖς Ἕλλησιν, οὐ τιςιν, ἀλλὰ
 πᾶσιν ὁμοίως, φορὰν προδοτῶν καὶ δωροδόκων καὶ θεοῖς ἐχθρῶν
 ἀνθρώπων συνέβη γενέσθαι τοσαύτην ὅσην οὐδεὶς πῶ πρότερον
 μέμνηται γεγονυῖαν· οὓς συναγωνιστὰς καὶ συνεργοὺς λαβὼν 75
 5 καὶ πρότερον κακῶς τοὺς Ἕλληνας ἔχοντας πρὸς ἑαυτοὺς καὶ
 στασιαστικῶς ἔτι χεῖρον διέθηκε, τοὺς μὲν ἐξαπατῶν, τοῖς δὲ
 διδοὺς, τοὺς δὲ πάντα τρόπον διαφθείρων, καὶ διέστησεν εἰς
 μέρη πολλὰ ἐνὸς τοῦ συμφέροντος ἅπασιν ὄντος, κωλύειν ἐκεί-
 νον μέγαν γίγνεσθαι. ἐν τοιαύτῃ δὲ καταστάσει καὶ ἔτι ἀγνοίᾳ 78
 10 τοῦ συνισταμένου καὶ φυομένου κακοῦ τῶν ἀπάντων Ἑλλήνων
 ὄντων δεῖ σκοπεῖν ὑμᾶς, ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τί προσήκον ἦν
 ἐλέσθαι πράττειν καὶ ποιεῖν τὴν πόλιν, καὶ τούτων λόγον παρ'
 ἐμοῦ λαβεῖν· ὁ γὰρ ἐνταῦθα ἑαυτὸν τάξας τῆς πολιτείας εἰμὶ
 ἐγώ· πρότερον αὐτὴν ἐχρῆν, Αἰσχίνῃ, τὸ φρόνημα ἀφείσαν καὶ 77
 15 τὴν ἀξίαν τὴν αὐτῆς ἐν τῇ Θετταλῶν καὶ Δολόπων τάξει συγ-
 κατακτᾶσθαι Φιλίππῳ τὴν τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἀρχὴν καὶ τὰ τῶν
 προγόνων καλὰ καὶ δίκαια ἀναιρεῖν; ἢ τοῦτο μὲν μὴ ποιεῖν,
 δεινὸν γὰρ ὡς ἀληθῶς, ἃ δ' ἑώρα συμβησόμενα, εἰ μηδεὶς κωλύ-
 σει, καὶ προησθάνεθ', ὡς ἔοικεν, ἐκ πολλοῦ, ταῦτα περιδεῖν
 20 γιγνόμενα; ἀλλὰ νῦν ἔγωγε τὸν μάλιστα ἐπιτιμῶντα τοῖς 78
 πεπραγμένοις ἡδέως ἂν ἐροίμην, τῆς ποίας μερίδος γενέσθαι τὴν
 πόλιν ἐβούλετ' ἂν, πρότερον τῆς συναιτίας τῶν συμβεβηκότων
 τοῖς Ἕλλησι κακῶν καὶ αἰσχυρῶν, ἧς ἂν Θετταλοὺς καὶ τοὺς
 μετὰ τούτων εἴποι τις, ἢ τῆς περιεορακυίας ταῦτα γιγνόμενα
 25 ἐπὶ τῇ τῆς ἰδίας πλεονεξίας ἐλπίδι, ἧς ἂν Ἀρκαδας καὶ Μεσ-
 σηνίους καὶ Ἀργεῖους θείημεν. ἀλλὰ καὶ τούτων πολλοὶ, μᾶλ- 79

2. θεοῖς ἐχθρῶν, almost exactly equivalent to our 'detestable.' Aristophanes uses the word lightly; Demosthenes is fond of using it seriously as a climax in his denunciation of men.

§ 75. *By whose aid he was able to aggravate the internal confusion of Greece.*

6. στασιαστικῶς includes both party dissensions in the separate states, and the heart-burning and rivalries of the states with one another.

§ 76. *This imposed a duty on Athens and on me, for I took up foreign policy.*

10. φυομένου. Σ *φυρομένου*: but cp. above, § 23, *κατὰ πάντων ἐφύετο*.

12. πράττειν καὶ ποιεῖν. 'Conduct and action.' *πράττειν* has a moral element, hence Arist. Eth. Nic. 6. 2. 2, says that animals are incapable of action, and Plato, Charmid. c. II, p. 163 D, says Critias has learnt from Prodicus to define *πράξεις* as

ποιήσεις τῶν ἀγαθῶν.

τούτων κ.τ.λ. 'And demand from me an account of these things' (i. e. of conduct and action worthy of Athens), 'hold me responsible for this.'

§ 77. *Was this subservience or neutrality?*

19. ὡς ἔοικεν, i. e. as was to be expected of a wise and powerful state.

§ 78. *Those who chose either disgraceful alternative, suffered more than Athens.*

21. μερίδος, related to μέρος, as party to part.

§ 79. *Even if Philip had been satisfied with victory, it would have been disgraceful not to oppose him; but as his victory meant ruin, the resistance of Athens was the more honourable and necessary.*

26. καὶ τούτων. 'Even these' [whose selfishness was not so shocking as the slavishness of others].

- λον δὲ πάντες, χεῖρον ἡμῶν ἀπηλλάχασιν. καὶ γὰρ εἰ μὲν ὡς ἐκράτησε Φίλιππος ὥχεται εὐθέως ἀπὼν καὶ μετὰ ταύτ' ἦγεν ἡσυχίαν, μήτε τῶν αὐτοῦ συμμαχῶν μήτε τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων μηδὲνα μηδὲν λυπήσας, ὅμως ἦν ἂν τις κατὰ τῶν [οὐκ] ἐναντιωθέντων οἷς ἔπραττεν ἐκεῖνος μέμψις καὶ κατηγορία· εἰ 5 δὲ ὁμοίως ἀπάντων τὸ ἀξίωμα, τὴν ἡγεμονίαν, τὴν ἐλευθερίαν περιείλετο, μᾶλλον δὲ καὶ τὰς πολιτείας, ὅσων ἐδύνατο, πῶς οὐχ ἀπάντων ἐνδοξότατα ὑμεῖς ἐβουλεύσασθε ἐμοὶ πεισθέντες;
- 80 Ἄλλ' ἐκείσε ἐπανέρχομαι. τί τὴν πόλιν, Αἰσχίνην, προσῆκε ποιεῖν ἀρχὴν καὶ τυραννίδα τῶν Ἑλλήνων ὁρῶσαν ἐναντὶ κατα- 10 σκευαζόμενον Φίλιππον; ἢ τί τὸν σύμβουλον ἔδει λέγειν ἢ γράφειν τὸν Ἀθήνησιν ἐμὲ (καὶ γὰρ τοῦτο πλείστον διαφέρει),
- 81 ὃς συνήδειν μὲν ἐκ παντὸς τοῦ χρόνου μέχρι τῆς ἡμέρας ἀφ' ἧς αὐτὸς ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα ἀνέβην, αἰεὶ περὶ πρωτείων καὶ τιμῆς καὶ δόξης ἀγωνιζομένην τὴν πατρίδα, καὶ πλείω καὶ χρήματα 15 καὶ σώματα ἀνηλωκυῖαν ὑπὲρ φιλοτιμίας καὶ τῶν πᾶσι συμφερόντων ἢ τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν ἀνηλώκασιν ἕκαστοι,
- 82 ἑώρων δ' αὐτὸν τὸν Φίλιππον, πρὸς ὃν ἦν ἡμῖν ὁ ἀγὼν, ὑπὲρ ἀρχῆς καὶ δυναστείας τὸν ὀφθαλμὸν ἐκκεκομμένον, τὴν κλείν

4. ὅμως] Om. ΣΑ². Mox οὐκ add. unus e Morelli codd. et marg. ΥΩ. Om. Σ B. et S.

12. ἐμὲ]

4. ἦν .. ἐναντιωθέντων. All MSS. but Σ insert ὅμως before these words: two or three insert οὐκ before ἐναντιωθέντων. This reading gives the more vigorous sense, 'Not to have opposed Philip would have been disgraceful, if it had not been suicidal;' but the MS. authority is so decidedly against οὐκ as to make its insertion doubtful. It is difficult to find a sense for ὅμως without οὐκ, and this is probably the reason why ὅμως is omitted in Σ. If οὐκ was not omitted accidentally, the meaning must be, 'They were to blame after all [in spite of their patriotism].'

6. ἐλευθερία, 'independence;,' πολιτεία, 'existence as a civic community.' The two were separable, e.g. the subject allies of Athens had πολιτεία but not ἐλευθερία: the Dii, the Thracian allies of Sitalces, had ἐλευθερία but not πολιτεία.

§ 80. To waive the question of expediency, what became the city and her counsellors,

12. ἐμὲ. Σ omits this, in which case τοῦτο ... διαφέρει refers simply to Ἀθήνησιν, and implies that a public man at Athens had higher duties than another Greek: otherwise the phrase must point forward also, and imply, 'I had a special duty, with my

double knowledge of the glory of Athens and the daring of Philip.'

§ 81. In view of her reputation

15. χρήματα καὶ σώματα. A regular conjunction, familiarised by the assonance like our 'money and men.' All the MSS. but Σ put σώματα first, like our 'men and money.'

§ 82. And the courage of Philip, which it would be shameful

19. τὸν ὀφθαλμόν. By an arrow at the siege of Methone.

τὴν κλείν. In the Illyrian war: he was lamed by a wound in the war with the Triballi. He was attended after these wounds by Nicomachus, the father of Aristotle, who we are told in detail, cured his eye 'citra deformitatem oris,' etc. Philip's good looks and elegant manners were a favourite topic with his admirers (see Ae. de F. L. p. 33, § 45), hence Demosthenes thinks it worth while to say with a little sarcasm, 'Letting go every part of his body that fortune might please to take,' on condition that he might live, all that was left of him, with honour and reputation. All his great deeds were only done to glorify a mutilated trunk.

κατεαγότα, τὴν χεῖρα, τὸ σκέλος πεπηρωμένον, πᾶν δ' τι βου-
 ληθεὶν μέρος ἢ τύχη τοῦ σώματος παρελῆσθαι, τοῦτο προΐε-
 μενον, ὥστε τῷ λοιπῷ μετὰ τιμῆς καὶ δόξης ζῆν. καὶ μὴ 83
 οὐδὲ τοῦτό γε οὐδεὶς ἂν εἶπεν τολμήσαι, ὡς τῷ μὲν ἐν Πέλλῃ
 5 τραφέντι, χωρίῳ ἀδόξῳ τότε γε ὄντι καὶ μικρῷ, τοσαύτην
 μεγαλοψυχίαν προσῆκεν ἐγγενέσθαι, ὥστε τῆς τῶν Ἑλλήνων
 ἀρχῆς ἐπιθυμῆσαι καὶ τοῦτ' εἰς τὸν νοῦν ἐμβαλέσθαι, ὑμῖν δ'
 οὖσιν Ἀθηναίοις καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἡμέραν ἐκάστην ἐν πᾶσι καὶ
 λόγοις καὶ θεωρήμασι τῆς τῶν προγόνων ἀρετῆς ὑπομνήμαθ'
 10 ὁρῶσι τοσαύτην κακίαν ὑπάρχειν ὥστε τῆς τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐλευ-
 θερίας αὐτεπαγγέλτους ἐθελοντὰς παραχωρῆσαι Φιλίππῳ. οὐδ' 84
 ἂν εἰς ταῦτα φήσειεν. λοιπὸν τοίνυν ἦν καὶ ἀναγκαῖον ἅμα
 πᾶσιν οἷς ἐκεῖνος ἔπραττεν ἀδικῶν ὑμᾶς ἐναντιοῦσθαι δικαίως.
 τοῦτ' ἐποιεῖτε μὲν ὑμεῖς ἐξ ἀρχῆς εἰκότως καὶ προσηκόντως,
 15 ἔγραφον δὲ καὶ συνεβούλευον καὶ ἐγὼ καθ' οὓς ἐπολιτευόμεν
 χρόνους. ὁμολογῶ. ἀλλὰ τί ἐχρῆν με ποιεῖν; ἤδη γάρ σ' 85
 ἐρωτῶ, πάντα τᾶλλ' ἀφεις, Ἀμφίπολιν, Πύδναν, Ποτίδαιαν,
 Ἀλόννησον· οὐδενὸς τούτων μέμνημαι· Σέρρειον δὲ καὶ Δορί-
 σκον καὶ τὴν Πεπαρήθου πόρθησιν καὶ ὅσ' ἄλλα τοιαῦτα ἢ
 20 πόλις ἡδίκητο, οὐδ' εἰ γέγονεν οἶδα. καίτοι σύ γ' ἔφησθά
 με ταῦτα λέγοντα εἰς ἔχθραν ἐμβαλεῖν τουτουσί, Εὐβούλου
 καὶ Ἀριστοφῶντος καὶ Διοπείθους τῶν περὶ τούτων ψηφι-
 σμάτων ὄντων, οὐκ ἐμῶν, ὃ λέγων εὐχερῶς ὅ τι ἂν βουληθῆς.
 οὐδὲ νῦν περὶ τούτων ἐρῶ. ἀλλ' ὁ τὴν Εὐβοίαν ἐκεῖνος σφε- 86
 25 περιζόμενος καὶ κατασκευάζων ἐπιτείχισμα ἐπὶ τὴν Ἀττικὴν,

§ 83. *Not to surpass, having a nobler part and a better cause.*

4. ἐν Πέλλῃ τραφέντι. Demosthenes consistently treats Philip as a barbarian, though his claims to Hellenic descent were generally recognised; so here, to disparage his Hellenic education, he says he was brought up at Pella, though he spent several years very profitably as a hostage at Thebes.

11. αὐτεπαγγέλτους ἐθελοντὰς. 'Volunteer of our own accord to abandon Greek freedom to Philip.' The two synonyms differ as 'ultra' and 'sponte.'

§ 84. *Resistance remained: you resisted even before my advice was given, though I do not deny that I gave it. For,*

14. ἐξ ἀρχῆς corresponds to καθ' οὓς ἐπολιτευόμεν χρόνους.

§ 85. (*I waive diplomatic grievances urged by other statesmen, though you charge me with using them to inflame odium; but*)

21. Εὐβούλου. In the Athenian As-

sembly, a speech might be made not only without making a motion, but when no motion was before the Assembly, so that this refutation of Aeschines is less complete than it looks.

24. οὕδε νῦν. He implies that he did not then.

§ 86. *Was not the attempt to control Euboea, Megara, the Hellespont, a casus belli?*

§ 86. 'But Philip [he, not I, nor even any Athenian who did make speeches or motions], the man who tried to appropriate Euboea... he was the real aggressor.' The more obvious order would have been ἐκεῖνος δ' τὴν Εὐβοίαν σφετεριζόμενος, but then ἐκεῖνος would have been the real subject, and ὁ σφετεριζόμενος almost a mere epithet. The present order makes ὁ τὴν Εὐβοίαν σφετεριζόμενος the real subject, while ἐκεῖνος, in its subordinate position, just serves to mark the antithesis.

25. κατασκευάζων ἐπιτείχισμα. 'Turning it into a post against Attica.'

- καὶ Μεγάροις ἐπιχειρῶν, καὶ καταλαμβάνων Ὀρεὸν, καὶ κατα-
 σκάπτων Πορθμόν, καὶ καθιστὰς ἐν μὲν Ὀρεῷ Φιλισιτίδην τύ-
 ραννον, ἐν δ' Ἐρετρίᾳ Κλείταρχον, καὶ τὸν Ἑλλήσποντον
 ὑφ' ἑαυτῷ ποιούμενος, καὶ Βυζάντιον πολιορκῶν, καὶ πόλεις
 Ἑλληνίδας ἃς μὲν ἀναιρῶν, εἰς ἃς δὲ τοὺς φυγάδας κατάγων, 5
 πότερον ταῦτα πάντα ποιῶν ἡδίκηκε καὶ παρεσπόνδει καὶ ἔλυε
 τὴν εἰρήνην ἢ οὐ; καὶ πότερον φανῆναι τινὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων
 87 τὸν ταῦτα κωλύσοντα ποιεῖν αὐτὸν ἐχρῆν ἢ μή; εἰ μὲν γὰρ
 μὴ ἐχρῆν, ἀλλὰ τὴν Μυσῶν λείαν καλουμένην τὴν Ἑλλάδα
 οὖσαν ὀφθῆναι ζώντων καὶ ὄντων Ἀθηναίων, περιείργασμαι 10
 μὲν ἐγὼ περὶ τούτων εἰπὼν, περιείργασται δ' ἡ πόλις ἢ πει-
 σθείσα ἐμοί, ἔστω δὲ ἀδικήματα πάντα ἃ πέπρακται καὶ ἀμαρ-
 88 τήματα ἐμά. εἰ δὲ ἔδει τινὰ τούτων κωλυτὴν φανῆναι, τίνα
 ἄλλον ἢ τὸν Ἀθηναίων δῆμον προσῆκε γενέσθαι; ταῦτα τοί-
 νυν ἐπολιτεύομην ἐγὼ, καὶ ὄρων καταδουλούμενον πάντας ἀνθρώ- 15
 πους ἐκείνον ἡναντιούμην, καὶ προλέγων καὶ διδάσκων μὴ προϊ-
 εσθαι ταῦτα Φιλίππῳ διετέλουν.
- 89 Καὶ μὴν τὴν εἰρήνην γ' ἐκείνος ἔλυσε τὰ πλοῖα λαβὼν, οὐχ
 ἡ πόλις, Αἰσχίνη. Φέρε δ' αὐτὰ τὰ ψηφίσματα καὶ τὴν
 ἐπιστολὴν τὴν τοῦ Φιλίππου, καὶ λέγε ἐφεξῆς· ἀπὸ γὰρ τού- 20
 των ἐξεταζομένων τίς τίνος αἰτιὸς ἐστὶ γενήσεται φανερόν.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

- 90 [Ἐπὶ ἄρχοντος Νεοκλέους, μηνὸς βοηδρομιῶνος, ἐκκλησίας συγκλή-
 του ὑπὸ στρατηγῶν, Εὐβουλος Μνησιθέου Κόπρειος εἶπεν, ἐπειδὴ

10. ζώντων καὶ ὄντων Ἀθηναίων] Ita ΣΑ et socii: ceteri ζώντων Ἀθηναίων καὶ ὄντων.
 13. εἰ δὲ ἔδει . γενέσθαι] εἰ δὲ ἔδει, τίνα τοῦτον ἄλλον ἢ τὸν Ἀθηναίων δῆμον προσῆκε
 γενέσθαι γρ. Σ. † Et mihi quidem videbatur, primus quoque hujus cod. auctor inter hanc
 lectionem et volgatam haesitasse: nempe primum *τοῦτον* scripsisse, mox alterum ο in ω inter
 scribendum mutasse, lineola per medium ducta. Accentus autem acutus in penultima additur,
 ita ut *τοῦτον* non scribenti placuisse certum sit; neque ego fratri persuadere potui, formam
 litterae ω non fortuito variatam esse.† *τούτων τίνα* habent O tu: τινὰ om. FΓΦ ρν, *τούτων*
κωλυτὴν φανῆναι in margine habet A.

§ 87. Was such tyranny not to be resisted
 by Greece? If so, Athens was wrong, and
 I also.

10. 'If this was wrong, if it was right
 that Greece should be seen like the Mysian
 booty in the proverb, with Athenians alive
 in the world, then it has all been lost labour
 for me to speak of this, all lost labour for
 the city to listen to me; let all that was
 done go for a crime and a blunder, let the
 crime and the blunder lie at my door.' ζών-
 των καὶ ὄντων Ἀθηναίων, more exactly,
 'While there were Athenians alive, in fact
 while there were Athenians.' ἐμά is em-

phatic by its position, 'A crime and a
 blunder of mine' [not of Athens].

§ 88. If not, Athens ought to have stood
 in the breach, as it was my policy she should
 stand.

§ 89. Yet the first act of hostility came
 from him.

18. τὰ πλοῖα λαβὼν. For this incident,
 see Justin, 9. 1. 6.

§§ 90, 91. [Decrees of Eubulus.]

24. ὑπὸ στρατηγῶν. A few MSS. add
 συναρχείων.

Εὐβουλος Μνησιθέου Κόπρειος. The
 famous Eubulus, who is doubtless meant by

προσθήγειλαν οἱ στρατηγοὶ ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ ὥς ἄρα Λεωδάμαντα τὸν ναύαρχον καὶ τὰ μετ' αὐτοῦ ἀποσταλέντα σκάφη εἰκοσιν ἐπὶ τὴν τοῦ σίτου παραπομπὴν εἰς Ἑλλήσποντον ὁ παρὰ Φιλίππου στρατηγὸς Ἀμύντας καταγέροχεν εἰς Μακεδονίαν καὶ ἐν φυλακῇ ἔχει, ἐπι- 91
 5 μεληθῆναι τοὺς πρυτάνεις καὶ τοὺς στρατηγοὺς ὅπως ἡ βουλὴ συναχθῇ καὶ αἰρεθῶσι πρέσβεις πρὸς Φίλιππον, οὔτινες παραγενόμενοι διαλέξονται πρὸς αὐτὸν περὶ τοῦ ἀφεθῆναι τὸν ναύαρχον καὶ τὰ πλοῖα καὶ τοὺς στρατιώτας. καὶ εἰ μὲν δι' ἄγνοίαν ταῦτα πεποίηκεν ὁ Ἀμύντας, 10 ὅτι οὐ μεμψιμοιρεῖ ὁ δῆμος οὐδὲν αὐτῷ· εἰ δέ τι πλημμελοῦντα παρὰ τὰ ἐπεσταλμένα λαβὼν, ὅτι ἐπισκεψάμενοι Ἀθηναῖοι ἐπιτιμήσουσι κατὰ τὴν τῆς ὀλιγωρίας ἀξίαν. εἰ δὲ μηδέτερον τούτων ἐστίν, ἀλλ' ἰδίᾳ ἀγνομονοῦσιν ἡ ὁ ἀποστελλας ἡ ὁ ἀπεσταλμένος, καὶ τοῦτο λέγειν, ἵνα αἰσθανόμενος ὁ δῆμος βουλευσῇται τί δεῖ ποιεῖν.]

Τοῦτο μὲν τοίνυν τὸ ψήφισμα Εὐβουλος ἔγραψεν, οὐκ 92
 15 ἐγὼ, τὸ δ' ἐφέξεῃς Ἀριστοφῶν, εἴθ' Ἡγήσιππος, εἴτ' Ἀριστοφῶν πάλιν, εἴτα Φιλοκράτης, εἴτα Κηφισοφῶν, εἴτα πάντες οἱ ἄλλοι· ἐγὼ δ' οὐδὲν περὶ τούτων. λέγει.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

[Ἐπὶ Νεοκλέους ἀρχοντος, βοηδρομιῶντος ἔτη καὶ νέᾳ, βουλῆς γνώ- 93
 20 μη, πρυτάνεις καὶ στρατηγοὶ ἐχρημάτισαν τὰ ἐκ τῆς ἐκκλησίας ἀνενεγκόντες, ὅτι ἔδοξε τῷ δήμῳ, πρέσβεις ἐλέσθαι πρὸς Φίλιππον περὶ τῆς τῶν πλοίων ἀνακομιδῆς καὶ ἐντολὰς δοῦναι κατὰ τὰ ἐκ τῆς ἐκκλησίας ψηφίσματα. καὶ εἰλοντο τούσδε, Κηφισοφῶντα Κλέωνος Ἀναφλύστιον, Δημόκριτον Δημοφῶντος Ἀναγυράσιον, Πολύκριτον Ἀθημάντου

5. συναχθῇ] Ita A et socii p: legebatur συναχθῶσι.

occurret nota δ > . διπλῇ: cujus ratio in obscuro est. Nescio an Baitero et Sauppio visum sit indicare, duo ψηφίσματα legenda esse, ita ut titulus bis repeti debeat: certe ipsi ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑΤΑ in titulo scripserunt, sine librorum, ut videtur, auctoritate, et pro sententia utique verborum Demosthenis. Non est prorsus idem signum atque διπλῇ περιστιγμένη, quem πρὸς τὰς ἐνίας διορθώσεις adscribi monet Diog. Laert. lib. 3, c. 66.

Demosthenes, was really Ἀναφλύστιος, as he is rightly called above, § 37. Similarly Aristophon was Ἀγρυγεὺς (below, § 163), who is called Καλλυτεὺς below, § 93.

2. ναύαρχον. See Introduction on the Documents for this word and τὰ πλοῖα below, § 91, which seem to be used in the late sense of νῆας.

5. συναχθῇ καὶ αἰρεθῶσι. The old reading συναχθῶσι would be a very extreme case of the plural being used with a noun of multitude. Reiske suggested ἡ βουλὴ καὶ ὁ δῆμος, but the text is an easier alteration, and has fair MS. authority.

· § 92. This decree and the rest are none of mine.

§ 92. All the decrees were produced and

quoted on the trial: the compiler only inserts what purports to be a mere appendix to a decree already cited.

§ 93. [Decree of Aristophon.]

19. Νεοκλέους. Almost all the MSS. of any authority except the Munich group read Νικοκλέους; the text is due to the perverse acuteness which perceived that the events belonged to the same year, and not that the archon was pseudonymous. Boeckh's theory of the pseudonymous archons would justify the reading of the best MSS.

23. Κηφισοφῶντα. The Cephisophon of the preceding section was Κηφισοφῶν Μεγετέου Παιωνιεύς. Of course there may have been such a person as this.

Κοθωκλῖην. πρυτανείᾳ φυλῆς Ἰπποθωντίδος, Ἀριστοφῶν Κολλυτεὺς πρόεδρος εἶπεν.]

- 94 Ὡςπερ τοίνυν ἐγὼ ταῦτα δεικνύω τὰ ψηφίσματα, οὕτω καὶ σὺ δεῖξον, Αἰσχίνη, ποῖον ἐγὼ γράψας ψήφισμα αἰτίος εἰμι τοῦ πολέμου. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἂν ἔχοις· εἰ γὰρ εἶχες, οὐδὲν ἂν αὐτοῦ 5 πρότερον νυνὶ παρέσχου. καὶ μὴν οὐδ' ὁ Φίλιππος οὐδὲν αἰτιάται ἐμὲ ὑπὲρ τοῦ πολέμου, ἐτέροις ἐγκαλῶν. Λέγε δ' αὐτὴν τὴν ἐπιστολὴν τὴν τοῦ Φιλίππου.

ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ.

- 95 [Βασιλεὺς Μακεδόνων Φίλιππος Ἀθηναίων τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ 10 χαίρειν. παραγενόμενοι πρὸς ἐμὲ οἱ παρ' ὑμῶν πρεσβευταί, Κηφισοφῶν καὶ Δημόκριτος καὶ Πολύκριτος, διελέγοντο περὶ τῆς τῶν πλοίων ἀφέσεως ὧν ἐνανάρχει Λεωδάμας. καθ' ὅλου μὲν οὖν ξμοιογε φαίνεσθε ἐν μεγάλῃ εὐθελείᾳ ἔσεσθαι, εἰ οἴεσθ' ἐμὲ λανθάνειν ὅτι ἐξαπεστάλη ταῦτα τὰ πλοῖα πρόφασιν μὲν ὡς τὸν σῖτον παραπέμψοντα ἐκ τοῦ Ἑλ- 15 λησπόντου εἰς Αἴημον, βοηθήσοντα δὲ Σηλυβριανοῖς τοῖς ὑπ' ἐμοῦ μὲν πολιορκουμένοις, οὐ συμπεριειλημμένοις δὲ ἐν ταῖς τῆς φίλας κοινῇ
- 96 κειμέναις ἡμῖν συνθήκαις. καὶ ταῦτα συνετάχθη τῷ ναυάρχῳ ἄνευ μὲν τοῦ δήμου τοῦ Ἀθηναίων, ὑπὸ δὲ τινῶν ἀρχόντων καὶ ἐτέρων ἰδιωτῶν μὲν νῦν ὄντων, ἐκ παντὸς δὲ τρόπου βουλομένων τὸν δῆμον ἀντὶ τῆς 20 νῦν ὑπαρχούσης πρὸς ἐμὲ φίλας τὸν πόλεμον ἀναλαβεῖν, πολλῶ μάλ- λον φιλοτιμουμένων τοῦτο συντελεέσθαι ἢ τοῖς Σηλυβριανοῖς βοηθή- 97 σαι. καὶ ὑπολαμβάνουσιν αὐτοῖς τὸ τοιοῦτο πρόσδοον ἔσεσθαι· οὐ μέντοι μοι δοκεῖ τοῦτο χρήσιμον ὑπάρχειν οὐθ' ὑμῖν οὐτ' ἐμοί. διόπερ τὰ τε νῦν καταχθέντα πλοῖα πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἀφίημι ὑμῖν, καὶ τοῦ λοιποῦ, 25 ἐὰν βούλησθε μὴ ἐπιτρέπειν τοῖς προεστηκόσις ὑμῶν κακοήθως πολιτεύεσθαι, ἀλλ' ἐπιτιμᾶτε, πειράσομαι καὶ γὰρ διαφυλάττειν τὴν εἰρήνην. εὐτυχεῖτε.]
- 98 Ἐνταῦθ' οὐδαμοῦ Δημοσθένην γέγραφεν, οὐδ' αἰτίαν οὐδε- μίαν κατ' ἐμοῦ. τί ποτ' οὖν τοῖς ἄλλοις ἐγκαλῶν τῶν ἐμοὶ 30 πεπραγμένων οὐχὶ μέμνηται; ὅτι τῶν ἀδικημάτων ἂν ἐμέ- μνητο τῶν αὐτοῦ, εἴ τι περὶ ἐμοῦ ἐγεγράφει· τούτων γὰρ εἰχό- 99 μην ἐγὼ καὶ τούτοις ἠναντιούμην. καὶ πρῶτον μὲν τὴν εἰς

13. Λεωδάμας] Σ Λαομεναν Λαοδαμαν rz, vid. § 90.
al. φιλοτιμούμενον· Ἰσαε φιλοτιμουμέναν Schol.

22. φιλοτιμουμένων] Σ et

§ 94. You have produced none, and Philip complains of others.

7. ἐτέροις ἐγκαλῶν. These must have been named in Philip's letter, and we have only a general phrase which might cover all the anti-Macedonian party, and so include Demosthenes; and the letter quoted belongs to the beginning instead of the end of the

correspondence.

§§ 95-97. [Letter of Philip.]

§ 98. Philip could not have complained of me without reminding you of his own bad faith which I opposed.

§ 99. I thwarted him in Peloponness and Euboea. I saved Byzantium and the Helle-
spont,

Πελοπόννησον πρεσβείαν ἔγραψα, ὅτε πρῶτον ἐκείνος εἰς Πελοπόννησον παρεδύετο, εἶτα τὴν εἰς Εὐβοίαν, ἥνικ' Εὐβοίας ἦπτετο, εἶτα τὴν ἐπ' Ὀρεὸν ἔξοδον, οὐκέτι πρεσβείαν, καὶ τὴν εἰς Ἑρέτριαν, ἐπειδὴ τυράννους ἐκείνος ἐν ταύταις ταῖς πόλεσι 5 κατέστησεν. μετὰ ταῦτα δὲ τοὺς ἀποστόλους ἅπαντας ἀπέστειλα, καθ' οὓς Χερρόνησος ἐσώθη καὶ Βυζάντιον καὶ πάντες οἱ σύμμαχοι. ἐξ ὧν ὑμῖν μὲν τὰ κάλλιστα, ἔπαινοι, δόξαι, 100 τιμαί, στέφανοι, χάριτες παρὰ τῶν εὐ πεπονθότων ὑπῆρχον τῶν δ' ἀδικουμένων τοῖς μὲν ὑμῖν τότε πεισθεῖσιν ἡ σωτηρία 10 περιεγένετο, τοῖς δ' ὀλιγωρήσασιν τὸ πολλάκις ὧν ὑμεῖς προείπατε μεμνήσθαι, καὶ νομίζειν ὑμᾶς μὴ μόνον εὔνους ἑαυτοῖς, ἀλλὰ καὶ φρονίμους ἀνθρώπους καὶ μάντις εἶναι· πάντα γὰρ ἐκβέβηκεν ἃ προείπατε. καὶ μὴν ὅτι πολλὰ μὲν ἂν χρήματα 101 ἔδωκε Φιλιστίδης ὥστ' ἔχειν Ὀρεὸν, πολλὰ δὲ Κλειτάρχος 15 ὥστ' ἔχειν Ἑρέτριαν, πολλὰ δ' αὐτὸς ὁ Φίλιππος ὥστε ταυτ' ὑπάρχειν ἐφ' ὑμᾶς αὐτῶ, καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων μηδὲν ἐξελέγχεσθαι μηδ' ἃ ποιῶν ἡδίκει μηδὲνα ἐξετάζειν πανταχοῦ, οὐδεὶς ἀγνοεῖ, καὶ πάντων ἥκιστα σύ· οἱ γὰρ παρὰ τοῦ Κλειτάρχου 102 καὶ τοῦ Φιλιστίδου τότε πρέσβεις δεῦρ' ἀφικνούμενοι παρὰ σοὶ 20 κατέλυνον, Αἰσχίνη, καὶ σὺ προὔξενεις αὐτῶν· οὓς ἡ μὲν πόλις ὡς ἐχθροὺς καὶ οὔτε δίκαια οὔτε συμφέροντα λέγοντας ἀπῆλασε, σοὶ δ' ἦσαν φίλοι. οὐ τοίνυν ἐπράχθη τούτων οὐδὲν, ὦ βλασφημῶν περὶ ἐμοῦ καὶ λέγων ὡς σιωπῶ μὲν λαβὼν, βοῶ δ' ἀναλώσας. ἀλλ' οὐ σύ γε, ἀλλὰ βοᾷς μὲν ἔχων, παύ-

8. ὑπῆρχον] Ita ΣA et socii: ceteri ἐγίγνοντο.

24. γα] Om. Σ B. et S.

2. παρεδύετο. As we say, 'Was gaining an influence.'

3. ἦπτετο. 'Was getting a hold.'

ἔξοδον. Not *στρατεῖαν*, because Demosthenes wishes to convey that a demonstration in force sufficed: see below, § 124, where the words are juxtaposed as distinct.

§ 100. And *procured you glory and gratitude*.

7. ἔπαινοι, δόξαι, τιμαί. Formal votes of commendation, general good repute in men's minds and talk, privileges and precedence conferred on the representatives or citizens of the state. In the decree of the Byzantines we have the ἔπαινος in the exordium, then the τιμαί, ἐπιτιμία, ἐπιγαμία, ἔγκλησις, etc., and the στέφανοι at the end. Of course the δόξαι and χάριτες are not included in formal decrees.

8. ὑπῆρχον. A much better reading than

ἐγίγνοντο, but this has decidedly better authority. Translate, 'Were secured to you.'

§ 101. If I was bribed to defend the Locrians, I might have got as much from Philip's dependents and Philip not to thwart their schemes;

15. ταυτ' . . αὐτῶ. 'To have these ready to his hand when he attacked you.'

§ 102. But their agents came to you, not to me.

20. σὺ προὔξεναις. This is put in to balance Aeschines' point (In Ctes. § 76), of Demosthenes' civility to the ambassadors sent to negotiate the peace of Philocrates, as these had not had their proposals rejected, the point οὓς ἡ μὲν πόλις . . ἀπῆλασε, σοὶ δ' ἦσαν φίλοι is inserted to prevent the parallel occurring to anyone as a retort.

23. σιωπῶ μὲν λαβὼν. Aesch. in Ctes. § 219.

σει δὲ οὐδέποτε, ἐὰν μὴ σε οὗτοι παύσωσιν ἀτιμώσαντες τήμε-
 103 ρον. στεφανώσαντων τοίνυν ὑμῶν ἐμὲ ἐπὶ τούτοις τότε, καὶ
 γράψαντος Ἀριστονίκου τὰς αὐτὰς συλλαβὰς ὥσπερ οὗτοσι
 Κτησιφῶν νῦν γέγραφε, καὶ ἀναρρηθέντος ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ τοῦ
 στεφάνου, καὶ δευτέρου κηρύγματος ἤδη μοι τούτου γιγνομέ-
 νου, οὗτ' ἀντεῖπεν Αἰσχίνης παρὼν οὔτε τὸν εἰπόντα ἐγρά-
 ψατο. Καί μοι λέγε καὶ τοῦτο τὸ ψήφισμα λαβών.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

- 104 [Ἐπὶ Χαιρώνδου Ἡγήμονος ἄρχοντος, γαμηλιῶνος ἔκτη ἀπίοντος,
 φυλῆς πρυτανεύουσας Λεοντίδος, Ἀριστόνικος Φρεάρριος εἶπεν, ἐπειδὴ 10
 Δημοσθένης Δημοσθένους Παιανιεὺς πολλὰς καὶ μεγάλας χρεῖας παρέ-
 σχηται τῷ δήμῳ τῷ Ἀθηναίων καὶ πολλοῖς τῶν συμμάχων καὶ πρότε-
 ρον, καὶ ἐν τῷ παρόντι καιρῷ βεβοήθηκε διὰ τῶν ψηφισμάτων, καὶ
 105 τινὰς τῶν ἐν τῇ Εὐβοίᾳ πόλεων ἡλευθέρωκε, καὶ διατελεῖ εὖνους ὧν
 τῷ δήμῳ τῷ Ἀθηναίων, καὶ λέγει καὶ πράττει ὃ τι ἂν δύνηται ἀγαθὸν 15
 ὑπὲρ τε αὐτῶν Ἀθηναίων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων, δεδοχθαι τῇ βουλῇ
 καὶ τῷ δήμῳ τῷ Ἀθηναίων ἐπαινέσαι Δημοσθένην Δημοσθένους Παι-
 ανιέα καὶ στεφανώσαι χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ, καὶ ἀναγορεύσαι τὸν στέφανον
 ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ Διονυσίοις, τραγῳδοῖς καινοῖς, τῆς δὲ ἀναγορεύσεως τοῦ
 στεφάνου ἐπιμεληθῆναι τὴν πρυτανεύουσαν φυλὴν καὶ τὸν ἀγωνοθέτην. 20
 εἶπεν Ἀριστόνικος ὁ Φρεάρριος.]
- 106 Ἔστιν οὖν ὅστις ὑμῶν οἰδέ τινα αἰσχύνῃν τῇ πόλει συμ-
 βάσαν διὰ τοῦτο τὸ ψήφισμα ἢ χλευασμὸν ἢ γέλωτα, ἃ νῦν

21. Ἀριστόνικος] Ἀριστόδημος Σ et al.

1. ἀτιμώσαντες. By acquitting Ctesiphon by a four-fifths vote; though Aeschines could have paid the fine of 1000 drachmas, it was pretty certain that he would not pay without being forced, and not quite certain that payment would have rehabilitated him in law, or at any rate in opinion. One MS. adds *περὶ τὸ βῆμα*, which may easily be a gloss; though Reiske extracted a very brilliant reading from it, *περιυλακτοῦντα τὸ βῆμα*, which would describe exactly the precise degree of ἀτιμία involved, as below, § 330. See note on § 15.

§ 103. *I received a crown which you did not oppose.*

5. καὶ δευτέρου. 'And though this of Aristonicus was already the second time I received a vote of thanks' [so that Aeschines could see the Athenians were getting into the habit he disliked] 'he neither spoke against it nor prosecuted the mover.' This

precedent covers two of the points that Aeschines raises, it affirms that Demosthenes was a good citizen, and fixes the same time and place for the proclamation. A conjecture is mentioned by the Scholiast, that Demosthenes had before this had a *foreign* crown so proclaimed: which Aristonicus had treated as a precedent for his motion, and been allowed to do so unchallenged: while Demosthenes, knowing his case was weak, does not go into details.

6. παρὼν, i. e. He was at Athens, and might have been at the Assembly; probably Demosthenes even means, 'He was there.' Cp. ad § 299.

§§ 104, 105. [*Decree of Aristonicus.*]

§ 106. *This decree exposed you to no ridicule from foreigners for your confidence in me.*

23. ἃ νῦν. 'Which Aeschines said would be the result now if I were crowned.'

οὗτος ἔφη συμβήσεσθαι, ἂν ἐγὼ στεφανῶμαι; καὶ μὴν ὅταν ἦ νέα καὶ γνώριμα πᾶσι τὰ πράγματα, εἰάν τε καλῶς ἔχη, χάριτος τυγχάνει, εἰάν θ' ὡς ἐτέρως, τιμωρίας. φαίνομαι τοίνυν ἐγὼ χάριτος τετυχηκῶς τότε, καὶ οὐ μέμψεως οὐδὲ τιμωρίας.

Οὐκοῦν μέχρι μὲν τῶν χρόνων ἐκείνων, ἐν οἷς ταῦτ' ἐπράχθη, 107 πάντα ἀνωμολόγηται τοὺς χρόνους τὰ ἄριστα πράττειν τῇ πόλει, τῷ νικᾶν, ὅτ' ἐβουλευέσθε, λέγων καὶ γράφων, τῷ καταπραχθῆναι τὰ γραφέντα, καὶ στεφάνους ἐξ αὐτῶν τῇ πόλει 10 καὶ ἐμοὶ καὶ πᾶσιν ὑμῖν γενέσθαι, τῷ θυσίας τοῖς θεοῖς καὶ προσόδους ὡς ἀγαθῶν τούτων ὄντων ὑμᾶς πεποιῆσθαι.

Ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν ἐκ τῆς Εὐβοίας ὁ Φίλιππος ἐξηλάθη, τοῖς 108 μὲν ὅπλοις ὑφ' ὑμῶν, τῇ δὲ πολιτείᾳ καὶ τοῖς ψηφίσμασι, καὶ διαρραγῶσί τινες τούτων, ὑπ' ἐμοῦ, ἕτερον κατὰ τῆς πόλεως ἐπιτειχισμὸν ἐξίτηι. ὁρῶν δ' ὅτι σίτῳ πάντων ἀνθρώπων πλείστῳ χρώμεθ' ἐπεισάκτῳ, βουλόμενος τῆς σιτοπομπίας κύριος γενέσθαι, παρελθὼν ἐπὶ Θράκης Βυζαντίους συμμαχοῦς 109 ὄντας αὐτῷ τὸ μὲν πρῶτον ἡξίου συμπολεμεῖν τὸν πρὸς ὑμᾶς πόλεμον, ὡς δ' οὐκ ἤθελον οὐδ' ἐπὶ τούτοις ἔφασαν τὴν 20 μαχίαν πεποιῆσθαι, λέγοντες ἀληθῆ, χάρακα βαλόμενος πρὸς τῇ πόλει καὶ μηχανήματ' ἐπιστήσας ἐπολιόρκει. | τούτων δὲ γιγνομένων ὃ τι μὲν προσῆκε ποιεῖν ὑμᾶς, οὐκέτ' ἐρωτήσω· δῆλον γάρ ἐστιν ἅπασιν. ἀλλὰ τίς ἦν ὁ βοηθήσας τοῖς Βυ- 110 ζαντίοις καὶ σώσας αὐτούς; τίς ὁ κωλύσας τὸν Ἑλλήσποντον

7. τοὺς χρόνους] In γρ. habet Z: om. B. et S. χαράκωματα FTFΩ et al.: legebatur χαράκωμα.

20. χάρακα] Ita Z Harpocr.:

1. καὶ μὴν κ.τ.λ., i. e. 'If such a result is probable now it was certain then,' people had not had time to misjudge the matter.

§ 107. *But up to that decree my policy proved best.*

7. τοὺς χρόνους is given as a variant in Z, and it would be harsh to supply a substantive from τῶν χρόνων ἐκείνων.

8. τῷ νικᾶν... πεποιῆσθαι. Here we have three proofs of the excellence of Demosthenes' policy: it was adopted by Athens: it was successfully executed to her great glory: its success was acknowledged by joyful thanksgiving. It is assumed that thanksgiving implies the blessings were not curses. The same argument recurs below, § 274 sqq.

§ 108. *Philip, failing in Euboea, tried to deprive you of your corn supplies by getting the Byzantines'.*

12. τοῖς ὅπλοις... τῇ πολιτείᾳ. The

article marks the two demands contributing to the same result, 'The military force being supplied by you, the statesmanship by me.' Below, § 155, πολιτεία has the commoner sense of 'policy.'

§ 109. *When the Byzantines insisted that he was exceeding the terms of his alliance with them, he besieged them.*

18. ἡξίου. 'Called upon them,' claimed it as a right secured to him by the terms of the alliance: they denied this claim.

20. χάρακα. This reading is recognised by Harpocraton (ap. Suidas), who quotes Demosthenes for the collective use of the word, 'Throwing up a palisade against the city.' The palisade was to support an embankment, as we learn from the next clause μηχανήματ' ἐπιστήσας.

§ 110. *Your duty was plain. You saved Byzantium, I was your organ.*

ἀλλοτριωθῆναι κατ' ἐκείνους τοὺς χρόνους ; ὑμεῖς, ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι. τὸ δ' ὑμεῖς ὅταν λέγω, τὴν πόλιν λέγω. τίς δ' ὁ τῇ πόλει λέγων καὶ γράφων καὶ πράττων καὶ ἀπλῶς ἐαυτὸν εἰς τὰ πράγματα ἀφειδῶς διδούς ; ἐγώ. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἡλικά
 111 ταῦτα ὠφέλησεν ἅπαντας, οὐκέτ' ἐκ τοῦ λόγου δεῖ μαθεῖν, 5
 ἀλλ' ἔργῳ πεπειρασθε' ὁ γὰρ τότε ἐνστάς πόλεμος ἄνευ τοῦ καλὴν δόξαν ἐνεγκεῖν ἐν πᾶσι τοῖς κατὰ τὸν βίον ἀφθονωτέ-
 ροις καὶ εὐωνότεροις διῆγεν ὑμᾶς τῆς νῦν εἰρήνης, ἣν οὗτοι κατὰ τῆς πατρίδος τηροῦσιν οἱ χρηστοὶ ἐπὶ ταῖς μελλούσαις ἐλπί-
 σιν, ὧν διαμάρτοιν, καὶ μετάσχοιν ὧν ὑμεῖς οἱ τὰ βέλτιστα 10
 βουλόμενοι τοὺς θεοὺς αἰτεῖτε, μὴ μεταδοῖεν ὑμῖν ὧν αὐτοὶ προήρηνται. Λέγε δ' αὐτοῖς καὶ τοὺς τῶν Βυζαντίων στε-
 φάνους καὶ τοὺς τῶν Περινηίων, οἷς ἐστεφάνουν ἐκ τούτων τὴν πόλιν.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ ΒΥΖΑΝΤΙΩΝ.

15

112 [Ἐπὶ ἱερομνάμονος Βοσπορίῳ Δαμάγητος ἐν τῇ ἀλλῇ ἔλεξεν, ἐκ τὰς βωλᾶς λαβὼν ῥάτραν, ἐπειδὴ ὁ δᾶμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων ἐν τε τοῖς προγεγεναμένοις καιροῖς εὐνοέων διατελεῖ Βυζαντίοις καὶ τοῖς συμμά-
 χοις καὶ συγγενέσι Περινηίοις καὶ πολλὰς καὶ μεγάλας χρείας παρέ-
 σχηται, ἐν τε τῷ παρεστακότι καιρῷ Φιλίππῳ τῷ Μακεδόνης ἐπιστρα- 20
 τεύσαντος ἐπὶ τὰν χώραν καὶ τὰν πόλιν ἐπ' ἀναστάσει Βυζαντίων καὶ

1. ἀλλοτριωθῆναι. By passing under an alien power.

ὑμεῖς. After ὁ βοηθήσας καὶ σώσας and ὁ καλύσας in the singular, this word in the plural has all the effect of a *παρὰ προσδοκίαν*. Demosthenes began his sentence to suggest the answer ἐγώ ; ὁ τῶν Ἀθηναίων δῆμος would complete the sentence logically, but the form in the text gives more effect to Demosthenes' disclaimer of this exaggerated praise. For himself it is enough praise to have done what he claims in τίς δ' ὁ τῇ .. ; ἐγώ. Cp. below, § 159.

2. ὅταν λέγω. So Bekker and Dindorf from Σ : the other MSS. read ὅταν εἶπω. The aorist is awkward, and the phrase could only mean, 'On every occasion of uttering the word "you," my constant meaning is the city.'

4. διδοῦς. So Dindorf and Bekker against most of the oldest MSS., which read δοῦς, which is perhaps more forcible, though the gain is scarcely enough to compensate the superior ease and cadence of διδοῦς, which perhaps suggested the vulgate.

§ 111. You had experience of the advantage of courage.

5. οὐκέτι, i. e. I have told you of the facts, I need not tell you of the benefit.

8. διῆγεν ὑμᾶς. As we say, 'Kept you in all the comforts of life, in greater plenty and at lower prices.'

9. ἐπὶ ταῖς μελλούσαις ἐλπίσιν. They hoped to be established by Macedon, in the position of dynasts ; after the Lamian war the remaining leaders of the party were not disappointed.

10. ὧν διαμάρτοιν . . . προήρηνται. 'May their hopes fail, may they lose the blessings which you, that is the honest men, pray for, and not make you partakers of the portion they have chosen.' ὧν προήρηνται is not synonymous with ἐλπίσιν ; it is the actual result of their determination. According to Demosthenes, Macedonian partisans were certain to be sacrificed at the first moment that they could be spared.

13. καὶ τοὺς. As this is repeated, it is clear that there were two separate decrees from Byzantium and Perinthus.

§§ 112, 113. [The decrees of the Byzantines.]

16. τῇ ἀλλῇ. Like ἡλίαια, and probably closer to the etymology. Most MSS. have *τεαλία*, but the correction is tolerably certain ; probably the first α slipped out and ε represents the aspirate.

17. λαβὼν ῥάτραν, i. e. 'Bringing down the decree from the senate.'

- Περινθίων καὶ τὰν χώραν δαίνοντος καὶ δενδροκοπέοντος, βοηθήσας
 πλοίοις ἑκατὸν καὶ εἴκοσι καὶ σίτῳ καὶ βέλεσι καὶ ὀπλίταις ἐξείλετο
 ἀμὲ ἐκ τῶν μεγάλων κινδύνων καὶ ἀποκατέστασε τὰν πατριον πολιτείαν
 καὶ τὸς νόμους καὶ τὼς τάφους, δεδόχθαι τῷ δάμῳ τῷ Βυζαντίων καὶ 113
- 5 Περινθίων Ἀθηναίοις δόμεν ἐπιγαμίαν, πολιτείαν, ἔγκτασιν γὰς καὶ
 οἰκίαν, προεδρίαν ἐν τοῖς ἀγῶσι, πόθοδον ποτὶ τὰν βωλὰν καὶ τὸν
 δάμον πράτοις μετὰ τὰ ἱερὰ, καὶ τοῖς κατοικέειν ἐθέλουσι τὰν πόλιν
 ἀλειτουργήτοις ἡμεν πασῶν τὰν λειτουργιῶν· στᾶσαι δὲ καὶ εἰκόνας
 τρεῖς ἐκκαίδεκαπᾶχεις ἐν τῷ Βοσπορείῳ, στεφανούμενον τὸν δάμον τὸν
 10 Ἀθηναίων ὑπὸ τῷ δάμῳ τῷ Βυζαντίων καὶ Περινθίων· ἀποστεῖλαι δὲ
 καὶ θεωρίας ἐς τὰς ἐν τῇ Ἑλλάδι παναγύριαι, Ἴσθμια καὶ Νέμεαι καὶ
 Ὀλύμπια καὶ Πύθια, καὶ ἀνακαρῦξαι τὼς στεφάνους οἷς ἐστεφάνωται ὁ
 δάμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων ὑφ' ἡμῶν, ὅπως ἐπιστέωνται οἱ Ἕλληνας τὰν τε
 Ἀθηναίων ἀρετὰν καὶ τὰν Βυζαντίων καὶ Περινθίων εὐχαριστίαν.]
- 15 Λέγε καὶ τοὺς παρὰ τῶν ἐν Χερρονήσῳ στεφάνους. 114

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ ΧΕΡΡΟΝΗΣΙΤΩΝ.

- [Χερρονησιτῶν οἱ κατοικοῦντες Σηστὸν, Ἐλεοῦντα, Μάδντον, Ἀλω-
 πεκόνησον, στεφανοῦσιν Ἀθηναίων τὴν βουλὴν καὶ τὸν δῆμον χρυσῷ
 στεφάνῳ ἀπὸ ταλάντων ἐξήκοντα, καὶ χάριτος βωμὸν ἰδρύονται καὶ
 20 δῆμον Ἀθηναίων, ὅτι πάντων μεγίστου ἀγαθῶν παραίτιος γέγονε Χερ-
 ρονησίταις, ἐξελόμενος ἐκ τῆς Φιλίππου καὶ ἀποδοὺς τὰς πατρίδας, τοὺς
 νόμους, τὴν ἐλευθερίαν, τὰ ἱερὰ. καὶ ἐν τῷ μετὰ ταῦτα αἰῶνι παντὶ
 οὐκ ἄλλείψει εὐχαριστῶν καὶ ποιῶν ὃ τι ἂν δύνηται ἀγαθόν. ταῦτα
 ἐψηφίσαντο ἐν τῷ κοινῷ βουλευτηρίῳ.]
- 25 Οὐκοῦν οὐ μόνον τὸ Χερρόνησον καὶ Βυζάντιον σῶσαι, οὐδὲ 115
 τὸ κωλύσαι τὸν Ἑλλήσποντον ὑπὸ Φιλίπῳ γενέσθαι τότε,
 οὐδὲ τὸ τιμᾶσθαι τὴν πόλιν ἐκ τούτων ἢ προαίρεσις ἢ ἐμὴ
 καὶ ἡ πολιτεία διεπράξατο, ἀλλὰ καὶ πᾶσιν ἔδειξεν ἀνθρώποις
 τὴν τε τῆς πόλεως καλοκαγαθίαν καὶ τὴν Φιλίππου κακίαν.
- 30 ὁ μὲν γε φίλος καὶ σύμμαχος ὢν τοῖς Βυζαντίοις πολιορκῶν
 αὐτοὺς ἐωρᾶτο ὑπὸ πάντων, οὗ τί γένοιτ' ἂν αἰσχίον ἢ μιαιώ-
 τερον; ὑμεῖς δ' οἱ καὶ μεμψάμενοι πολλὰ καὶ δίκαια ἂν ἐκεί- 116
 νοις εἰκότως περὶ ὧν ἡγνωμονήκεσαν εἰς ὑμᾶς ἐν τοῖς ἔμπρο-

4. τὼς νόμους καὶ τὼς τάφους. The tombs would naturally be profaned in making approaches to the city.

8. πασῶν τὰν λειτουργιῶν. Reiske found in his MSS. a reading πασῶν πρὸς τὰν, whence he conjectured πασῶν προστακτῶν λειτουργιῶν, all extraordinary liturgies.

114. [Decree of the people of Cherronese.]

§ 115. Beside these material advantages, my policy placed before the public a moral contrast between the generosity of Athens and the perfidy of Philip. He was the ally of the Byzantines;

27. ἡ προαίρεσις. 'My system and my policy.' For this use of πολιτεία, cp. Dem. de F. L. p. 343 fin. § 9, εἰς τίνα τάξιν ἔταξεν ἑαυτὸν ἐν τῇ πολιτείᾳ.

§ 116. You had reason to complain of them. He would have ruined them; you saved them: and were crowned, an honour which no other statesman gained for you.

32. οἱ καὶ μεμψάμενοι, i. e. 'So far from being bound to them, you had even well-founded grievances you might fairly have urged against them.'

σθεν χρόνοις, οὐ μόνον οὐ μνησικακοῦντες οὐδὲ προiέμενοι τοὺς ἀδικουμένους, ἀλλὰ καὶ σώζοντες ἐφαίνεσθε, ἐξ ὧν δόξαν, εὐνοϊαν, τιμὴν παρὰ πάντων ἐκτάσθε. καὶ μὴν ὅτι μὲν πολλοὺς ἐστεφανώκατ' ἤδη τῶν πολιτευομένων ἅπαντες ἴσασι· δι' ὄντινα δ' ἄλλον ἢ πόλιν ἐστεφανώται, σύμβουλον λέγω καὶ ῥήτορα, 5 πλὴν δι' ἐμέ, οὐδ' ἂν εἰς εἰπεῖν ἔχοι.

- 117 Ἵνα τοίνυν καὶ τὰς βλασφημίας αἷς κατὰ τῶν Εὐβοέων καὶ τῶν Βυζαντίων ἐποιήσατο, εἴ τι δυσχερὲς αὐτοῖς ἐπέπρακτο πρὸς ὑμᾶς ὑπομιμνήσκων, συκοφαντίας οὕσας ἐπιδείξω μὴ μόνον τῷ ψευδεῖς εἶναι (τοῦτο μὲν γὰρ ὑπάρχειν ὑμᾶς εἰδότας ἡγοῦμαι), ἀλλὰ καὶ τῷ, εἰ τὰ μάλιστ' ἦσαν ἀληθεῖς, οὕτως ὡς ἐγὼ κέχρημαι τοῖς πράγμασι συμφέρειν χρήσασθαι, ἐν ἧ δύο βούλομαι τῶν καθ' ὑμᾶς πεπραγμένων καλῶν τῇ πόλει διεξελθεῖν, καὶ ταύτ' ἐν βραχέσι· καὶ γὰρ ἄνδρα ἰδία καὶ πόλιν κοινῇ πρὸς τὰ κάλλιστα τῶν ὑπαρχόντων αἰεὶ δεῖ πειρασθαι τὰ λοιπὰ 15 πρᾶττειν. ὑμεῖς τοίνυν, ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, Λακεδαιμονίων γῆς καὶ θαλάττης ἀρχόντων καὶ τὰ κύκλω τῆς Ἀττικῆς κατεχόντων ἄρμοσταις καὶ φρουραῖς, Εὐβοίαν, Τάναγραν, καὶ Βοιωτίαν ἅπασαν, Μέγαρα, Αἰγίναν, Κλεωνὰς, τὰς ἄλλας νήσους, οὐ ναῦς, οὐ τείχη τῆς πόλεως τότε κτησαμένης, ἐξήλθετε 20 εἰς Ἀλιάρτον καὶ πάλιν οὐ πολλαῖς ἡμέραις ὕστερον εἰς Κόρινθον, τῶν τότε Ἀθηναίων πόλλ' ἂν ἐχόντων μνησικακῆσαι καὶ Κορινθίοις καὶ Θηβαίοις τῶν περὶ τὸν Δεκελεικὸν πόλεμον

20. οὐ τείχη] οὔτε τείχη Σ, unde οὔτε ναῦς οὔτε τείχη nunc legit Dind. - κτησαμένης] Ita Σ: ceteri κεκτημένης.

1. προiέμενοι. The climax is rather shadowy. The Athenians were not content with shewing they bore no malice, which might have been done by a diplomatic protest, nor with shewing that they did not abandon the oppressed, which might have been done by scanty and grudging succours. Only a vigorous and successful intervention could content their generosity.

5. σύμβουλον λέγω καὶ ῥήτορα. The proviso is necessary, for a general in the service of Athens who lent his army to a foreign power, of course would propitiate his legitimate employers, by insisting on a certificate that he had done them credit.

§ 117. I will prove that this generosity was politic, however undeserved. I only proposed you should act up to the precedents set by your fathers.

7. τὰς βλασφημίας κ.τ.λ. 'I will prove that Aeschines' abuse of the Euboeans and Byzantines, when he twitted you with everything disagreeable they had ever done to you,

was a mass of vexatious calumny not merely because it was false, I take this for granted' [inasmuch as Euboea and Byzantium were not then unfriendly], 'but because if it was true my policy was still right.'

14. πρὸς τὰ κάλλιστα τῶν ὑπαρχόντων. 'According to the most glorious precedents of her previous history.' Cp. § 126, τῶν ὑπαρχόντων τῇ πόλει καλῶν.

§ 118. They assisted Thebes and Corinth, their ancient enemies, against Sparta at the height of her power:

19. τὰς ἄλλας νήσους. As the only islands mentioned, Euboea and Aegina are separated from each other, and from this phrase, by the names of other places to 'the islands beside.' Cp. Xen. An. 1. 5. 5, Soph. El. 601. The reading ἄλλας νήσους would imply that Cleonae was an island.

21. εἰς Κόρινθον. The Scholiast remarks on the force of saying, 'You braved even defeat, in the cause of freedom and generosity.'

- Περυνθίων καὶ τὰν χάραν δαίοντος καὶ δεινδροκοπέοντος, βοηθήσας
 πλοίοις ἑκατὸν καὶ εἴκοσι καὶ σίτω καὶ βέλεσι καὶ ὀπλίταις ἐξείλετο
 ἀμὲ ἐκ τῶν μεγάλων κινδύνων καὶ ἀποκατέστας τὰν πατριον πολιτείαν
 καὶ τὼς νόμους καὶ τὼς τάφους, δεδόχθαι τῷ δάμῳ τῷ Βυζαντίων καὶ 113
- 5 Περυνθίων Ἀθηναίοις δόμεν ἐπιγαμίαν, πολιτείαν, ἐγκτασιω γὰς καὶ
 οἰκίαν, προεδρίαν ἐν τοῖς ἀγῶσι, πόθοδον ποτὶ τὰν βωλὰν καὶ τὸν
 δάμον πρῶτοις μετὰ τὰ ἱερὰ, καὶ τοῖς κατοικίειν ἐθέλουσι τὰν πόλιν
 ἀλειτουργήτοις ἡμεν πασῶν τῶν λειτουργιῶν· στάσαι δὲ καὶ εἰκόνας
 10 τρεῖς ἐκκαίδεκαπάχεις ἐν τῷ Βοσπορείῳ, στεφανοῦμενον τὸν δάμον τὸν
 Ἀθηναίων ὑπὸ τῷ δάμῳ τῷ Βυζαντίων καὶ Περυνθίων· ἀποστεῖλαι δὲ
 καὶ θεωρίας ἐς τὰς ἐν τῇ Ἑλλάδι παναγύριαι, Ἴσθμια καὶ Νέμεα καὶ
 Ὀλύμπια καὶ Πύθια, καὶ ἀνακαρῦξαι τὼς στεφάνους οἷς ἐστεφάνωνται ὁ
 δάμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων ὑφ' ἡμῶν, ὅπως ἐπιστέωνται οἱ Ἕλληνας τὰν τε
 Ἀθηναίων ἀρετὰν καὶ τὰν Βυζαντίων καὶ Περυνθίων εὐχαριστίαν.]
- 15 Λέγε καὶ τοὺς παρὰ τῶν ἐν Χερρονήσῳ στεφάνους. 114

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ ΧΕΡΡΟΝΗΣΙΤΩΝ.

- [Χερρονησιτῶν οἱ κατοικοῦντες Σηστὸν, Ἑλεοῦντα, Μάδντον, Ἀλω-
 πεκόνησον, στεφανοῦσι τὴν Ἀθηναίων τὴν βουλὴν καὶ τὸν δῆμον χρυσῷ
 στεφάνῳ ἀπὸ ταλάντων ἐξήκοντα, καὶ χάριτος βωμὸν ἰδρύονται καὶ
 20 δῆμον Ἀθηναίων, ὅτι πάντων μεγίστου ἀγαθῶν παραίτιος γέγονε Χερ-
 ρονησίταις, ἐξελόμενος ἐκ τῆς Φιλίππου καὶ ἀποδοὺς τὰς πατρίδας, τοὺς
 νόμους, τὴν ἐλευθερίαν, τὰ ἱερὰ. καὶ ἐν τῷ μετὰ ταῦτα αἰῶνι παντὶ
 οὐκ ἐλλείψει εὐχαριστῶν καὶ ποιῶν ὅ τι ἂν δύνηται ἀγαθόν. ταῦτα
 ἐψηφίσαντο ἐν τῷ κοινῷ βουλευτηρίῳ.]
- 25 Οὐκοῦν οὐ μόνον τὸ Χερρόνησον καὶ Βυζάντιον σῶσαι, οὐδὲ 115
 τὸ κωλύσαι τὸν Ἑλλησποντον ὑπὸ Φιλίππῳ γενέσθαι τότε,
 οὐδὲ τὸ τιμᾶσθαι τὴν πόλιν ἐκ τούτων ἢ προαίρεσις ἢ ἐμὴ
 καὶ ἢ πολιτεία διεπράξατο, ἀλλὰ καὶ πᾶσιν ἔδειξεν ἀνθρώποις
 τὴν τε τῆς πόλεως καλοκαγαθίαν καὶ τὴν Φιλίππου κακίαν.
- 30 ὁ μὲν γε φίλος καὶ σύμμαχος ὢν τοῖς Βυζαντίοις πολιορκῶν
 αὐτοὺς ἐωρᾶτο ὑπὸ πάντων, οὐ τί γένοιτ' ἂν αἰσχίον ἢ μιαιώ-
 116
 τερον; ὑμεῖς δ' οἱ καὶ μεμψάμενοι πολλὰ καὶ δίκαια ἂν ἐκεί-
 νοις εἰκότως περὶ ὧν ἡγνωμονήκεσαν εἰς ὑμᾶς ἐν τοῖς ἔμπρο-

4. τὼς νόμους καὶ τὼς τάφους. The tombs would naturally be profaned in making approaches to the city.

8. πασῶν τῶν λειτουργιῶν. Reiske found in his MSS. a reading πασῶν πρὸς τῶν, whence he conjectured πασῶν προστακτῶν λειτουργιῶν, all extraordinary liturgies.

114. [Decree of the people of Cherronese.]

§ 115. Beside these material advantages, my policy placed before the public a moral contrast between the generosity of Athens and the perfidy of Philip. He was the ally of the Byzantines;

27. ἢ προαίρεσις. 'My system and my policy.' For this use of πολιτεία, cp. Dem. de F. L. p. 343 fin. § 9, εἰς τίνα τάξιν ἔταξεν αὐτὸν ἐν τῇ πολιτείᾳ.

§ 116. You had reason to complain of them. He would have ruined them; you saved them: and were crowned, an honour which no other statesman gained for you.

32. οἱ καὶ μεμψάμενοι, i. e. 'So far from being bound to them, you had even well-founded grievances you might fairly have urged against them.'

σθεν χρόνοις, οὐ μόνον οὐ μνησικακούντες οὐδὲ προiέμενοι τοὺς ἀδικουμένους, ἀλλὰ καὶ σώζοντες ἐφαίνεσθε, ἐξ ὧν δόξαν, εὐνοϊαν, τιμὴν παρὰ πάντων ἐκᾶσθε. καὶ μὴν ὅτι μὲν πολλοὺς ἐστεφανώκατ' ἤδη τῶν πολιτευομένων ἅπαντες ἴσασι δι' ὄντινα δ' ἄλλον ἢ πόλιν ἐστεφάνωται, σύμβουλον λέγω καὶ ῥήτορα, 5 πλὴν δι' ἐμέ, οὐδ' ἂν εἰς εἰπεῖν ἔχοι.

- 117 Ἵνα τοίνυν καὶ τὰς βλασφημίας ἅς κατὰ τῶν Εὐβοέων καὶ τῶν Βυζαντίων ἐποίησατο, εἴ τι δυσχερὲς αὐτοῖς ἐπέπρακτο πρὸς ὑμᾶς ὑπομιμνήσκων, συκοφαντίας οὕσας ἐπιδείξω μὴ μόνον τῷ ψευδεῖς εἶναι (τοῦτο μὲν γὰρ ὑπάρχειν ὑμᾶς εἰδότας ἡγοῦμαι), ἀλλὰ καὶ τῷ, εἰ τὰ μάλιστ' ἦσαν ἀληθεῖς, οὕτως ὡς ἐγὼ κέχρημαι τοῖς πράγμασι συμφέρειν χρῆσασθαι, ἐν ἡ δύο βούλομαι τῶν καθ' ὑμᾶς πεπραγμένων καλῶν τῇ πόλει διεξελεθῆναι, καὶ ταύτ' ἐν βραχέσι καὶ γὰρ ἄνδρα ἰδίᾳ καὶ πόλιν κοινῇ πρὸς τὰ κάλλιστα τῶν ὑπαρχόντων αἰεὶ δεῖ πειραῖσθαι τὰ λοιπὰ 15 πρᾶττειν. ὑμεῖς τοίνυν, ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, Λακεδαιμονίων γῆς καὶ θαλάττης ἀρχόντων καὶ τὰ κύκλῳ τῆς Ἀττικῆς κατεχόντων ἀρμοσταῖς καὶ φρουραῖς, Εὐβοίαν, Τάναγραν, καὶ Βοιωτίαν ἄπασαν, Μέγαρον, Αἰγίναν, Κλεωναῖς, τὰς ἄλλας νήσους, οὐ ναῦς, οὐ τεῖχιν τῆς πόλεως τότε κτησαμένης, ἐξήλθετε 20 εἰς Ἀλιάρτον καὶ πάλιν οὐ πολλαῖς ἡμέραις ὕστερον εἰς Κόρινθον, τῶν τότε Ἀθηναίων πόλλ' ἂν ἐχόντων μνησικακῆσαι καὶ Κορινθίοις καὶ Θηβαίοις τῶν περὶ τὸν Δεκελεικὸν πόλεμον

20. οὐ τεῖχιν] οὐτε τεῖχιν Σ, unde οὐτε ναῦς οὐτε τεῖχιν nunc legit Dind. - κτησαμένης] Ita Σ: ceteri κεκτημένης.

1. προiέμενοι. The climax is rather shadowy. The Athenians were not content with shewing they bore no malice, which might have been done by a diplomatic protest, nor with shewing that they did not abandon the oppressed, which might have been done by scanty and grudging succours. Only a vigorous and successful intervention could content their generosity.

5. σύμβουλον λέγω καὶ ῥήτορα. The proviso is necessary, for a general in the service of Athens who lent his army to a foreign power, of course would propitiate his legitimate employers, by insisting on a certificate that he had done them credit.

§ 117. I will prove that this generosity was politic, however undeserved. I only proposed you should act up to the precedents set by your fathers.

7. τὰς βλασφημίας κ.τ.λ. 'I will prove that Aeschines' abuse of the Euboeans and Byzantines, when he twitted you with everything disagreeable they had ever done to you,

was a mass of vexatious calumny not merely because it was false, I take this for granted' [inasmuch as Euboea and Byzantium were not then unfriendly], 'but because if it was true my policy was still right.'

14. πρὸς τὰ κάλλιστα τῶν ὑπαρχόντων. 'According to the most glorious precedents of her previous history.' Cp. § 126, τῶν ὑπαρχόντων τῇ πόλει καλῶν.

§ 118. They assisted Thebes and Corinth, their ancient enemies, against Sparta at the height of her power:

19. τὰς ἄλλας νήσους. As the only islands mentioned, Euboea and Aegina are separated from each other, and from this phrase, by the names of other places to 'the islands beside.' Cp. Xen. An. 1. 5. 5, Soph. El. 601. The reading ἄλλας νήσους would imply that Cleonae was an island.

21. εἰς Κόρινθον. The Scholiast remarks on the force of saying, 'You braved even defeat, in the cause of freedom and generosity.'

πραχθέντων ἄλλ' οὐκ ἐποίουν τοῦτο, οὐδ' ἐγγύς. καίτοι τότε 119
 ταῦτα ἀμφοτέρα, Αἰσχίνη, οὐθ' ὑπὲρ εὐεργετῶν ἐποίουν οὔτ'
 ἀκίνδυνα ἐώρων. ἀλλ' οὐ διὰ ταῦτα προΐεντο τοὺς καταφεύ-
 γοντας ἐφ' ἑαυτοὺς, ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ εὐδοξίας καὶ τιμῆς ἤθελον τοῖς
 5 δεινοῖς αὐτοὺς διδόναι, ὀρθῶς καὶ καλῶς βουλευόμενοι. πέρας 120
 μὲν γὰρ ἅπασιν ἀνθρώποις ἐστὶ τοῦ βίου θάνατος, κἂν ἐν
 οἰκίσκῳ τις αὐτὸν καθεύξας τηρῇ· δεῖ δὲ τοὺς ἀγαθοὺς ἄνδρας
 ἐγχειρεῖν μὲν ἅπασιν αἰεὶ τοῖς καλοῖς, τὴν ἀγαθὴν προβαλλο-
 μένους ἐλπίδα, φέρειν δ' ὃ τι ἂν ὁ θεὸς διδῶ γενναίως. ταῦτ' 121
 10 ἐποίουν οἱ ὑμέτεροι πρόγονοι, ταῦθ' ὑμῶν οἱ πρεσβύτεροι, οἱ
 Λακεδαιμονίους οὐ φίλους ὄντας οὐδ' εὐεργέτας, ἀλλὰ πολλὰ
 τὴν πόλιν ἡμῶν ἡδικηκότας καὶ μεγάλα, ἐπειδὴ Θηβαῖοι κρατή-
 σαντες ἐν Λεύκτροις ἀνελεῖν ἐπεχείρουν, διεκωλύσατε, οὐ φοβη-
 θέντες τὴν τότε Θηβαίους ῥώμην καὶ δόξαν ὑπάρχουσαν, οὐδ'
 15 ὑπὲρ οἷα πεποιηκότων ἀνθρώπων κινδυνεύετε διαλογισάμενοι·
 καὶ γὰρ τοι πᾶσι τοῖς Ἑλλήσιν ἐδείξατε ἐκ τούτων ὅτι κἂν 122
 ὅτιοις τις εἰς ὑμᾶς ἐξαμάρτη, τούτῳ τὴν ὀργὴν εἰς τᾶλλα
 ἔχετε, εἰάν δ' ὑπὲρ σωτηρίας ἢ ἐλευθερίας κίνδυνός τις αὐτοὺς
 καταλαμβάνῃ, οὔτε μνησικακήσετε οὐθ' ὑπολογιεῖσθε. καὶ οὐκ 123
 20 ἐπὶ τούτων μόνων οὕτως ἐσχήκατε, ἀλλὰ πάλιν σφετεριζομένων

10. ὑμέτεροι.] ἡμέτεροι A et socii. Mox pro ὑμῶν, ὑμεῖς γρ. ὑμῶν Σ.

§ 119. *They ran a risk to protect those who trusted their honour.*

2. ὑπὲρ-εὐεργετῶν. A terse, popular, impolitic common-place often urged by Aeschines, that no state could claim help from a state to which it had given none. Cp. Dem. de F. L. p. 345, § 17. Demosthenes (ib. p. 361, § 73) appeals to the same sentiment, on the positive side.

§ 120. *For all men must die, and brave men die for honour.*

§ 120. 'For at last the life of every man must come to death, even if one should shut himself in a cell and keep close; but brave men ought always to reach out to all things honourable, putting on the breast-plate of good hope, and then bear whatsoever God sends manfully.' According to the Scholiast, οἰκίσκος is in Attic 'a bird's nest.'

§ 121. *In this spirit you protected the Spartans against Thebes,*

9. ταῦτ' ἐποίουν. 'And so your ancestors did' [when they assisted Thebes and Corinth], and so did the elder among you. Σ has ὑμεῖς instead of ὑμῶν, which goes rather better with διεκωλύσατε: and of πρεσβύτεροι would be added quite naturally

in exegesi, though the genitive is of course more familiar.

14. οὐδὲ... διαλογισάμενοι. 'Not being terrified at the strength and reputation on which Thebes might reckon then, nor discussing the treatment you had received from the men for whom you were to risk so much.' The latter part of the clause is a rather extreme example of the intrusion of *oratio recta* in dependent sentences.

§ 122. *And proved that you never indulged your just resentment against a state when its freedom and safety were in peril.*

16. κἂν ἐπιόθῃ κ.τ.λ. 'Be the offence what it may, you resent it in other things, but when they' [the Greeks or the offenders] 'come in peril of freedom or safety, you will bear no malice [will not take the opportunity of vengeance], nor count up old scores' [as a deduction from the assistance which might otherwise have been given]. κίνδυνος ὑπὲρ σωτηρίας = τὸ ὑπὲρ σωτηρίας κινδυνεύειν.

§ 123. *Further, you protected Euboea from Thebes (as now from Philip), though Euboean leaders had deprived you of Oropus; in this I aided, but I will not speak of this yet.*

Θηβαίων τὴν Εὐβοίαν οὐ περιείδετε, οὐδ' ὦν ὑπὸ Θεμισωνος καὶ Θεοδώρου περὶ Ὁρωνῶν ἡδίκησθε ἀνεμνήσθητε, ἀλλ' ἐβοηθήσατε καὶ τούτοις, τῶν ἐθελοντῶν τότε τριηράρχων πρῶτον γενομένων τῇ πόλει, ὦν εἰς ἦν ἐγώ. ἀλλ' οὕτω περὶ τούτων.

- 124 καίτοι καλὸν μὲν ἐποιήσατε καὶ τὸ σῶσαι τὴν νῆσον, πολλῷ 5
δ' ἔτι τούτου κάλλιον τὸ καταστάντες κύριοι καὶ τῶν σωματῶν
καὶ τῶν πόλεων ἀποδοῦναι ταῦτα δικαίως αὐτοῖς τοῖς ἐξημαρ-
τηκόσιν εἰς ὑμᾶς, μηδὲν ὦν ἡδίκησθε ἐν οἷς ἐπιστεύθητε ὑπολο-
γισάμενοι. μυρία τοίνυν ἕτερα εἰπεῖν ἔχων παραλείπω, ναυμα-
χίας, ἐξόδους πεζὰς, στρατείας, καὶ πάσαι γεγονυίας καὶ νῦν 10
ἐφ' ὑμῶν αὐτῶν, ἃς ἀπάσας ἡ πόλις τῆς τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων
125 ἐλευθερίας καὶ σωτηρίας πεποιήται. εἴτ' ἐγὼ τεθεωρηκῶς ἐν
τοσούτοις καὶ τοιούτοις τὴν πόλιν ὑπὲρ τῶν τοῖς ἄλλοις συμ-
φερόντων ἐθέλουσαν ἀγωνίζεσθαι, ὑπὲρ αὐτῆς τρόπον τινὰ τῆς
βουλῆς οὔσης τι ἔμελλον κελεύσειν ἢ τί συμβουλευσειν αὐτῇ 15
ποιεῖν; μνησικακεῖν νῆ Δία πρὸς τοὺς βουλομένους σάζεσθαι,
126 καὶ προφάσεις ζητεῖν δι' ἃς ἅπαντα προησόμεθα. καὶ τίς οὐκ
ἂν ἀπέκτεινέ με δικαίως, εἴ τι τῶν ὑπαρχόντων τῇ πόλει καλῶν
λόγῳ μόνον καταισχύνειν ἐπεχείρησα; ἐπεὶ τό γε ἔργον οὐκ
ἂν ἐποιήσαθ' ὑμεῖς, ἀκριβῶς οἶδ' ἐγώ· εἰ γὰρ ἐβούλεσθε, τί ἦν 20
ἐμποδῶν; οὐκ ἐξῆν; οὐχ ὑπῆρχον οἱ ταῦτ' ἐρῶντες οὗτοι;

3. τῶν ἐθελοντῶν τότε τριηράρχων] Sic Σ: ceteri τῶν τριηράρχων τότε ἐθελοντῶν. Praeterea, omnes fere libri pravum accentum ἐθελόντων habent.

16. μνησικακεῖν] μνησικακήσειν plerique.

19. ἐπεχείρησα] ἐπεχείρησ' ἂν Σ et al.

3. καὶ τούτοις. You aided these, as well as the other Euboean leaders whom Aeschines reproaches me with supporting.

4. ἀλλ' οὕτω περὶ τούτων, i.e. it is not yet time to raise the invidious question of my personal munificence in the public service.

§ 124. However, your generosity was disinterested.

5. καίτοι. Implying—at the same time the policy which called out the enthusiasm of so many citizens and mine was noble, and still more your conduct when that policy succeeded.

§ 125. Your generosity imposed a duty upon me as your adviser.

14. ὑπὲρ αὐτῆς... τῆς βουλῆς οὔσης. 'When the debate concerned her own interests indirectly.' There were precedents that would lead Athens to save, first the Euboeans, and afterwards the Thebans, as a matter of mere generosity; but when Philip's attacks on them were merely indirect advances against Athens, the case was stronger than in any of the previous instances, because

there were considerations of policy to enforce the dictates of generosity.

16. μνησικακεῖν νῆ Δία. 'Why of course rake up old grudges with men before me begging you to save them.' μνησικακήσειν, if right, is attracted by συμβουλευσειν. Σ and most good MSS. omit the obvious gloss τὰ συμφέροντα after προησόμεθα.

§ 126. I should have deserved to be punished for giving ungenerous advice which you would not have followed.

19. ἐπεχείρησα. Many good MSS. add ἂν. Is it possible Greek, to say ἐπεχείρησ' ἂν for 'if I had wanted to try'?

20. γὰρ gives the reason for ὑμεῖς with its emphatic position; the sense is, 'You, being what you are, would not, for you could, and you had people ready to bear the personal shame of giving the dishonourable counsel.'

21. οὗτοι refers to Aeschines himself and his supporters in court. οἱ ταῦτ' ἐρῶντες is the regular use of the future participle with the article, only found after a negative, 'some

Βούλομαι τοίνυν ἐπανελθεῖν ἐφ' ἃ τούτων ἐξῆς ἐπολιτεύομην· 127
καὶ σκοπεῖτε ἐν τούτοις πάλιν αὖ, τί τὸ τῇ πόλει βέλτιστον
ἦν. ὁρῶν γάρ, ὃ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τὸ ναυτικὸν ὑμῶν κατα-
λυόμενον, καὶ τοὺς μὲν πλουσίους ἀτελεῖς ἀπὸ μικρῶν ἀναλω-
5 μάτων γιγνομένους, τοὺς δὲ μέτρια ἢ μικρὰ κεκτημένους τῶν
πολιτῶν τὰ ὄντα ἀπολλύντας, ἔτι δ' ὑστερίζουσιν ἐκ τούτων
τὴν πόλιν τῶν καιρῶν, ἔθηκα νόμον καθ' ὃν τοὺς μὲν τὰ δίκαια 128
ποιεῖν ἠνάγκασα, τοὺς πλουσίους, τοὺς δὲ πένητας ἔπαυσ' ἀδι-
κουμένους, τῇ πόλει δ' ὅπερ ἦν χρησιμώτατον, ἐν καιρῷ γίγνε-
10 σθαι τὰς παρασκευὰς ἐπείρησα. καὶ γραφεῖς τὸν ἀγῶνα τοῦτον
εἰς ὑμᾶς εἰσῆλθον καὶ ἀπέφυγον, καὶ τὸ μέρος τῶν ψήφων ὃ
διώκων οὐκ ἔλαβεν. καίτοι πόσα χρήματα τοὺς ἡγεμόνας τῶν 129
συμμοριῶν ἢ τοὺς δευτέρους καὶ τρίτους οἷσθέ μοι διδόναι
ᾧστε μάλιστα μὲν μὴ θεῖναι τὸν νόμον τοῦτον, εἰ δὲ μὴ, κατα-
15 βαλόντα ἔαν ἐν ὑπωμοσίᾳ; τοσαῦτ', ὃ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, ὅσα
ὀκνήσαιμ' ἂν πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἰπεῖν. καὶ ταῦτ' εἰκότως ἔπραττον 130
ἐκεῖνοι. ἦν γὰρ αὐτοῖς ἐν μὲν τῶν προτέρων νόμων συνεκκαί-
δεκα λειτουργεῖν, αὐτοῖς μὲν μικρὰ καὶ οὐδὲν ἀναλίσκουσι, τοὺς

5. ἦ] καὶ A et socii, haud absurde.
nonnulli addunt *παράνομον*.

10. γραφεῖς .. τοῦτον] Post alterum horum
14. καταβαλόντα] καταβάλλοντα Z et al. B. et S.

16. πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἰπεῖν] εἰπεῖν πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἐγὼ A et socii et al.

one to do so and so; but here it is defined and explained by the addition of οὗτοι: cp. the insertion of ἐκεῖνος above, § 86.

§§ 127, 128. I return to the next stage of my policy, my legislation for the maintenance of your navy in which you sustained.

4. ἀτελεῖς. The heads of the symmoriae commonly found a contractor to take the whole responsibility of the trierarchy for a fixed sum, generally a trifle under the estimate which they gave to their partners, so that when the partners had paid their share of the estimate, the heads of the symmoriae had recouped their advance to the contractors without any expense to themselves, or at any rate only paid as much as the poorest. As the technical privilege of ἀτελεῖα did not include exemption from the trierarchy, the exact force here will be, 'They spent little or nothing, and were free of all other burdens.'

10. τὰς παρασκευὰς. Reiske adds *ναυτικὰς*, which is given as a γρ. in Z.

τὸν ἀγῶνα τοῦτον go with εἰσῆλθον, not with γραφεῖς. Cp. Aesch. in Ctes. § 192 εἰ τις εἰσίοι γραφὴν, and Dem. de Cor. § 13 εἰσῆλθον τῇ γραφῇ.

§§ 129-131. *Hers, also, I prove that my*

policy was disinterested; by pointing to those who would have paid high to buy me off, having a strong interest in doing so.

12. καίτοι πόσα χρήματα. Demosthenes returns to the charge of corruption, evidently one of the strongest parts of Aeschines' case.

13. ἢ τοὺς δευτέρους καὶ τρίτους. These only paid as much as the poorest, while they might be almost as rich as the heads of the partnership, and so were interested in the existing system almost as much as the heads, though they had not the same chance as the latter of recouping themselves entirely.

διδόναι. The infinitive without *ἀν* shews that the offer was actually made.

14. καταβαλόντα. The present *καταβάλλοντα* is harder, and MS. authority is not very trustworthy in the case of the doubling of a letter: else authority is for it.

15. ἔαν ἐν ὑπωμοσίᾳ. 'Let it drop on affidavit,' by which course Demosthenes would have escaped the personal consequences of a conviction on a *γραφὴ παράνομον*.

18. μικρὰ καὶ οὐδέν. 'Little or nothing;' more exactly, 'Little, in fact nothing.' The logical form is 'more natural in English, the rhetorical in Greek.'

- δ' ἀπόρους τῶν πολιτῶν ἐπιτρίβουσιν, ἐκ δὲ τοῦ ἐμοῦ νόμου τὸ
 γιγνόμενον κατὰ τὴν οὐσίαν ἕκαστον τιθέναι, καὶ δυοῖν ἐφάνη
 τριῆραρχος ὁ τῆς μιᾶς ἕκτος καὶ δέκατος πρότερον συντελής·
 οὐδὲ γὰρ τριηράρχους ἔτι ὠνόμαζον ἑαυτούς, ἀλλὰ συντελεῖς.
 131 ὥστε δὴ ταῦτα λυθῆναι καὶ μὴ τὰ δίκαια ποιεῖν ἀναγκασθῆναι, 5
 οὐκ ἔσθ' ὃ τι οὐκ ἐδίδουσιν. Καί μοι λέγε πρῶτον μὲν τὸ
 ψήφισμα καθ' ὃ εἰσηλθον τὴν γραφὴν, εἴτα τοὺς καταλόγους,
 τὸν τ' ἐκ τοῦ προτέρου νόμου καὶ τὸν κατὰ τὸν ἐμόν. λέγε.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

- 132 [Ἐπὶ ἄρχοντος Πολυκλέους, μηνὸς βοηδρομιῶνος ἔκτη ἐπὶ δέκα, 10
 φυλῆς πρυτανευούσης Ἰπποθωντίδος, Δημοσθένης Δημοσθένους Παια-
 νιεύς εἰσήνεγκε νόμον τριηραρχικὸν ἀντὶ τοῦ προτέρου, καθ' ὃν αἱ
 συντέλειαι ἦσαν τριηράρχων· καὶ ἐπεχειροτόνησεν ἡ βουλὴ καὶ
 ὁ δήμος· καὶ ἀπήνεγκε παρανόμων Δημοσθένει Πατροκλῆς Φλυεύς,
 καὶ τὸ μέρος τῶν ψήφων οὐ λαβὼν ἀπέτισε τὰς πεντακοσίας δραχμάς.] 15
 133 Φέρε δὴ καὶ τὸν καλὸν κατάλογον.

ΚΑΤΑΛΟΓΟΣ.

[Τοὺς τριηράρχους καλεῖσθαι ἐπὶ τὴν τριῆρα συνεκαίδεκα ἐκ τῶν ἐν
 τοῖς λόχοις συντελειῶν, ἀπὸ εἴκοσι καὶ πέντε ἐτῶν εἰς τετταράκοντα,
 ἐπὶ ἴσον τῇ χορηγίᾳ χρωμένους.] 20

Φέρε δὴ παρὰ τοῦτον τὸν ἐκ τοῦ ἐμοῦ νόμου κατάλογον.

ΚΑΤΑΛΟΓΟΣ.

- 134 [Τοὺς τριηράρχους αἰρεῖσθαι ἐπὶ τὴν τριῆρα ἀπὸ τῆς οὐσίας κατὰ
 τίμησιν, ἀπὸ ταλάντων δέκα· ἔαν δὲ πλειόνων ἢ οὐσία ἀποτετιμημένη
 ἢ χρημάτων, κατὰ τὸν ἀναλογισμὸν ἕως τριῶν πλοίων καὶ ὑπηρετικοῦ ἢ 25
 λειτουργίας ἔστω. κατὰ τὴν αὐτὴν δὲ ἀναλογίαν ἔστω καὶ οἷς ἐλάττων
 οὐσία ἐστὶ τῶν δέκα ταλάντων, εἰς συντέλειαν συναγομένοις εἰς τὰ
 δέκα τάλαντα.]
 135 *Ἀρὰ γε μικρὰ βοηθῆσαι τοῖς πένησιν ὑμῶν δοκῶ, ἢ μικρὰ

20. χρωμένους] Sic A et socii: ceteri plerique *χράμενον*, quod fortasse nonnihil omisissum esse indicat.

7. τοὺς καταλόγους. The lists were read at full, and the comparative liabilities of well-known rich men superseded comment, and justified the sarcastic τὸν καλὸν κατάλογον below, § 133.

§ 132. [Decree.]

§§ 133, 134. [Registers of trierarchs.]

§ 135. Was my law unimportant to the poor or to the rich, who might have bought it off. I may boast of more than my courage and impunity.

29. τοῖς πένησιν ὑμῶν. A very adroit appeal to the selfish interests of the court, implying that the poorest of the jury were still liable to serve as trierarchs.

ἀναλῶσαι ἂν τοῦ μὴ τὰ δίκαια ποιεῖν οἱ πλούσιοι; οὐ τοίνυν
μόνον τῷ μὴ καθυφεῖναι ταῦτα σεμνύνομαι, οὐδὲ τῷ γραφεῖς
ἀποφυγεῖν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῷ συμφέροντα θείναι τὸν νόμον καὶ τῷ
πεῖραν ἔργῳ δεδωκέναι. πάντα γὰρ τὸν πόλεμον τῶν ἀπο- 136
5 στόλων γιγνομένων κατὰ τὸν νόμον τὸν ἐμὸν οὐχ ἱκετηρίαν
ἔθηκε τριήραρχος οὐδείς πώποθ' ὡς ἀδικούμενος παρ' ὑμῖν, οὐκ
ἐν Μουνυχίᾳ ἐκαθέζετο, οὐχ ὑπὸ τῶν ἀποστολέων ἐδέθη, οὐ
τριήρης οὐτ' ἔξω καταληφθεῖσα ἀπώλετο τῇ πόλει, οὐτ' αὐτοῦ
ἀπελείφθη οὐ δυναμένη ἀνάγεσθαι. καίτοι κατὰ τοὺς προτέ- 137
10 ρους νόμους ἅπαντα ταῦτα ἐγίγνετο. τὸ δ' αἴτιον, ἐν τοῖς
πένησιν ἦν τὸ λειτουργεῖν πολλὰ δὴ τὰ ἀδύνατα συνέβαινεν.
ἐγὼ δ' ἐκ τῶν ἀπόρων εἰς τοὺς εὐπόρους μετήνεγκα τὰς τριηρα-
χίας· πάντ' οὖν τὰ δέοντα ἐγίγνετο. καὶ μὴν καὶ κατ' αὐτὸ 138
τοῦτο ἄξιός εἰμι ἐπαίνου τυχεῖν, ὅτι πάντα τὰ τοιαῦτα προη-
15 ρούμην πολιτεύματα, ἀφ' ὧν ἅμα δόξαι καὶ τιμαὶ καὶ δυνάμεις
συνέβαινον τῇ πόλει· βάσκανον δὲ καὶ πικρὸν καὶ κακόηθες
οὐδέν ἐστι πολίτευμα ἐμὸν, οὐδὲ ταπεινὸν, οὐδὲ τῆς πόλεως
ἀνάξιον. ταῦτό τοίνυν ἦθος ἔχων ἐν τε τοῖς κατὰ τὴν πόλιν 139
πολιτεύμασι καὶ ἐν τοῖς Ἑλληνικοῖς φανήσομαι· οὔτε γὰρ ἐν
20 τῇ πόλει τὰς παρὰ τῶν πλουσίων χάριτας μᾶλλον ἢ τὰ τῶν
πολλῶν δίκαια εἰλόμην, οὐτ' ἐν τοῖς Ἑλληνικοῖς τὰ Φιλίππου
δῶρα καὶ τὴν ξενίαν ἡγάπησα ἀντὶ τῶν κοινῇ πᾶσι τοῖς Ἑλ-
λησι συμφερόντων.

1. ποιεῖν] Sic A et socii: X et ceteri plerique ποιεῖν ἐθέλειν, impedito sensu idque receperunt B. et S.

7. ἀποστολέων] ἀποστόλων Ω u idque legisse scholiasta videtur.

8. καταληφθεῖσα] Ita A * sp: ceteri et B. et S. καταλειφθεῖσα.

11. λειτουργεῖν]

Nonnulli vel ante vel post hoc verbum μὴ δύνασθαι addunt.

§ 136. *Of the success of my legislation.*

5. ἱκετηρίαν ἔθηκε. Almost 'Set up as a suppliant,' or 'Take sanctuary in Munychia.' The first clause refers to the privileges of persons complying with certain ceremonies at the hearth or domestic altar of the person appealed to—in this case, at the national altar of the Assembly in Pnyx; the second to the privileges of the special shrine of Artemis in Munychia. Cp. Lys. Cont. Agorat. § 24.

8. ἔξω καταληφθεῖσα. Most MSS. read καταλειφθεῖσα: εἰ and η are constantly interchanged, and X, to mend matters, reads inf. ἀπελήφθη, which is impossible. The force of either participle would be much the same, except that καταληφθεῖσα would give the result, and ἀπολειφθεῖσα the cause. He means, 'No trireme was in bad condition, so that it was captured abroad or afraid to

put to sea.'

§ 137. *In remedying the old code, which left the burden on the wrong shoulders;*

11. τὰ ἀδύνατα. 'The cases of impossibility.' Cp. ad § 47.

§ 138. *Of a constant course of large-hearted and noble policy.*

16. βάσκανον δὲ καὶ πικρὸν καὶ κακόηθες. All these qualities would make Demosthenes justly odious to individual citizens; the two he disclaims in the latter half of the clause, would offend collective public sentiment.

§ 139. *Incarnate at home and abroad.*

19. ἐν τοῖς Ἑλληνικοῖς: cp. above, § 72.

21. τὰ Φιλίππου δῶρα καὶ τὴν ξενίαν. He had as good a claim to the second, and had had as good a chance of the first, as his fellow ambassadors.

- 140 Ἡγοῦμαι τοίνυν λοιπὸν εἶναί μοι περὶ τοῦ κηρύγματος εἰπεῖν καὶ τῶν εὐθυνῶν· τὸ γὰρ ὡς τὰ ἄριστα τε ἔπραττον καὶ διὰ παντὸς εἵνους εἰμὶ καὶ πρόθυμος εὖ ποιεῖν ὑμᾶς, ἰκανῶς ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων δεδηλωσθαι μοι νομίζω. καίτοι τὰ μέγιστα γε τῶν πεπολιτευμένων καὶ πεπραγμένων ἐμαντῷ παραλείπω, ὑπολαμβάνων πρῶτον μὲν ἐφεξῆς τοὺς περὶ αὐτοῦ τοῦ παρανόμου λόγους ἀποδοῦναί με δεῖν, εἴτα, κἂν μηδὲν εἴπω περὶ τῶν λοιπῶν πολιτευμάτων, ὁμοίως παρ' ὑμῶν ἐκάστῳ τὸ συνειδὸς ὑπάρχειν μοι.
- 141 Τῶν μὲν οὖν λόγων, οὓς οὗτος ἄνω καὶ κάτω διακυκῶν ἔλεγε περὶ τῶν παραγεγραμμένων νόμων, οὔτε μὰ τοὺς θεοὺς ὑμᾶς οἶμαι μαθάνειν οὔτ' αὐτὸς ἐδυνάμην συνεῖναι τοὺς πολλοὺς.
- 142 ἀπλῶς δὲ τὴν ὀρθὴν περὶ τῶν δικαίων διαλέξομαι. τοσοῦτου γὰρ δέω λέγειν ὡς οὐκ εἰμὶ ὑπεύθυνος, ὃ νῦν οὗτος διέβαλλε καὶ διωρίζετο, ὥσθ' ἅπαντα τὸν βίον ὑπεύθυνος εἶναι ὁμολογῶ ὧν ἡ διακεχρίκα ἢ πεπολίτευμαι παρ' ὑμῖν. ὧν μέντοι γε ἐκ τῆς ἰδίας οὐσίας ἐπαγγελάμενος δέδωκα τῷ δήμῳ, οὐδεμίαν ἡμέραν ὑπεύθυνος εἶναι φημι (ἀκούεις Αἰσχίνῃ;) οὐδ' ἄλλον
- 143 οὐδένα, οὐδ' ἂν τῶν ἐννέα ἀρχόντων τις ὧν τύχη. τίς γάρ

11. παραγεγραμμένων] γεγραμμένων A et socii.
 βαλλε omnes praeter XF.

ἐπαγγελάμενος) Hermog. Syrian.

14. διέβαλλε] πολλάκις διέ-
 17. δέδωκα] + In litura habet Σ + ἐπέδωκα (omisso

§ 140. Only the technical details remain; yet I omit my greatest merits; but you know them.

2. τὸ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. 'The clause setting forth,' etc. The article is to mark the words that follow as a quotation, though the quotation is not verbatim.

5. πεπολιτευμένων καὶ πεπραγμένων: cp. above, §§ 13, 72.

8. ὁμοίως. A sort of secondary equivalent for *δμως*, with an additional shade of meaning. One might say *δμως* answers to 'just the same,' and *ὁμοίως* to 'equally well.' *δμως* implies a sharp contrast between the protasis and apodosis, *ὁμοίως* that the former is a reserve which does not really affect the integrity of the latter.

§ 141. Aeschines has confused the matter, I will put it simply.

10. διακυκῶν. Because Aeschines has boasted of his arrangement as the only legitimate and reasonable one, Demosthenes calls it a muddle; perhaps, too, there is a touch of sarcasm on the very elaborate manner in which Aeschines goes over the ground.

11. τῶν παραγεγραμμένων νόμων: cp. Aesch. in Ctes. § 201. The laws alleged

to be violated were written beside the decree in stating the indictment.

12. μαθάνειν... συνεῖναι. 'I do not suppose you could follow what he said, for my part I could not understand what he meant.'

§ 142. I admit my perpetual responsibility for the public money: for my free gifts I am not responsible *an bou*.

15. ἅπαντα τὸν βίον. 'All my life,' even after my accounts are passed; *ὧν ἡ διακεχρίκα ἢ πεπολίτευμαι*, 'For all my acts administrative or political,' though legal accountability only extends to the first.

17. ἐπαγγελάμενος. A regular form of donation in public assemblies. The ἀνελεύθερος of Theophrastus goes out when the ἐπαγγέλαι, otherwise called ἐπιδόσεις, begin.

18. ἀκούεις Αἰσχίνῃ. As Aeschines had dilated, 'If Demosthenes says,' etc., when Demosthenes makes the exact statement anticipated, he naturally turns round, 'I say... you hear I do.'

19. τῶν ἐννέα ἀρχόντων. This office was the oldest and in a sense the highest, therefore the most strictly responsible.

§ 143. No law would impose such a tyrannical responsibility.

ἐστὶ νόμος τοσαύτης ἀδικίας καὶ μισανθρωπίας μεστὸς ὥστε
τὸν δόντα τι τῶν ἰδίων καὶ ποιήσαντα πρᾶγμα φιλάνθρωπον
καὶ φιλόδωρον τῆς χάριτος μὲν ἀποστερεῖν, εἰς τοὺς συκοφάν-
τας δ' ἄγειν, καὶ τούτους ἐπὶ τὰς εὐθύνas ὧν ἔδωκεν ἐφίσταναι;
οὐδὲ εἰς. εἰ δέ φησιν οὗτος, δεῖξάτω, κἀγὼ στέρξω καὶ σιω-
πήσομαι. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔστιν, ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, ἀλλ' οὗτος 144
συκοφαντῶν, ὅτι ἐπὶ τῷ θεωρικῷ τότε ὧν ἐπέδωκα τὰ χρή-
ματα, "ἐπήνεσεν αὐτὸν," φησὶν, "ἡ βουλὴ ὑπεύθυνον ὄντα."
οὐ περὶ τούτων γε οὐδενός, ὧν ὑπεύθυνος ἦν, ἀλλ' ἐφ' οἷς
10 ἐπέδωκα, ὦ συκοφάντα. ἀλλὰ καὶ τειχοποιὸς ἦσθα, φησί.
καὶ διὰ γε τοῦτο ὀρθῶς ἐπηνούμην, ὅτι τὰν ηλωμένα ἐπέδωκα
καὶ οὐκ ἐλογιζόμην. ὁ μὲν γὰρ λογισμὸς εὐθυνῶν καὶ τῶν
ἐξετασόντων προσδεῖται, ἡ δὲ δωρεὰ χάριτος καὶ ἐπαίνου δικαία
ἐστὶ τυγχάνειν· διόπερ ταῦτ' ἔγραψεν ὁδὶ περὶ ἐμοῦ· ὅτι δ' 145
15 οὕτω ταῦτα οὐ μόνον ἐν τοῖς νόμοις, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐν τοῖς ὑμετέ-
ροις ἤθεσιν ὄρισται, ἐγὼ ῥαδίως πολλαχόθεν δείξω. πρῶτον
μὲν γὰρ Ναυσικλῆς στρατηγῶν, ἐφ' οἷς ἀπὸ τῶν ἰδίων προεῖτο,
πολλάκις ἐστεφάνωνται ὑφ' ὑμῶν· εἴθ' ὅτε τὰς ἀσπίδας Διό-
τιμος ἔδωκε καὶ πάλιν Χαρίδημος, ἐστεφανοῦντο· εἴθ' οὕτως
20 Νεοπτόλεμος πολλῶν ἔργων ἐπιστάτης ὧν, ἐφ' οἷς ἐπέδωκε,
τετίμηται. σχέτλιον γὰρ ἂν εἴη τοῦτό γε, εἰ τῷ τινὰ ἀρχὴν 146

3. εἰς τοὺς συκοφάντας δὲ] εἰς δὲ τοὺς συκοφάντας A et socii.

ΣΑ et al.

15. ὑμετέροις] Σ* et volgo ἡμετέροις.

Marcellinus Soper Dind.

18. ὅτε] Fieri potest, ut scholiasta ὅτι legerit, cum

asserat Charidemum Diotimumque tum demum coronatos esse, cum magistratu se abdica-

vissent. Sed omnes optimi codd. ὅτε habent.

7. ἐπέδωκα] ἔδωκα

ΣΑ et al.

18. ὅτε] Fieri potest, ut scholiasta ὅτι legerit, cum

asserat Charidemum Diotimumque tum demum coronatos esse, cum magistratu se abdica-

vissent. Sed omnes optimi codd. ὅτε habent.

19. οὕτως] οὕτως ΣΤΨΩ κν.

3. εἰς τοὺς συκοφάντας δ' ἄγειν. Be-
cause if the accounts of free gifts were
checked, it would be by sycophants.

§ 144. *There is a difference between ac-
counts and generosity.*

7. συκοφαντῶν. 'He is a sycophant
when he says.' One might connect this
perhaps still more closely with οὐκ ἔστιν
(which answers to εἰ δέ φησιν above, not
φησὶν below). Then the sense will be,
'Such a law does not exist; but Aeschines
does exist, he is a sycophant: why, he says,'
etc. If so, there should be a colon instead
of a comma after συκοφαντῶν.

8. ἡ βουλὴ. He puts Aeschines' con-
duct in an invidious light, as having attacked
Ctesiphon after the Senate had approved
his motion. So the Scholiast remarks, here
and on § 153.

§ 145. *Which has often been recognised
in the case of others.*

16. ἡθεσιν. So the MSS. Bekker and

Dindorf adopt ἡθεσιν from quotations. Cp.
below, § 339.

17. Ναυσικλῆς was appointed to com-
mand the troops sent to Phocis in 352 B.C.,
proposed Aeschines as ambassador to Philip,
and supported him on his trial. Afterwards
he acted with Demosthenes, and lent his
name to the decrees drawn up by the latter
after Chaeronea.

18. Διότιμος. A wealthy and patriotic
citizen, whose surrender was demanded by
Alexander.

19. Χαρίδημος of Orens, naturalised
at Athens, a condottiere who ultimately
settled in the interest of Athens, or rather
of the enemies of Macedon. He was put
to death by the Persian court, having entered
the service of Darius.

οὕτως. As Neoptolemus was present,
the decree in his honour is naturally omitted.

§ 146. *Which proceed on a natural prin-
ciple, and shall be established by documents.*

ἄρχοντι ἢ διδόναι τῇ πόλει τὰ ἑαυτοῦ διὰ τὴν ἀρχὴν μὴ ἐξέ-
σται, ἢ τῶν δοθέντων ἀντὶ τοῦ κομίσασθαι χάριν εὐθύνας ὑφέξει.
"Οτι τοίνυν ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, λέγε τὰ ψηφίσματά μοι τὰ
τούτοις γεγεννημένα αὐτὰ λαβών. λέγε.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

5

- 147 [Ἄρχων Δημόνικος Φλυεύς, βοηδρομιῶνος ἔκτη μετ' εἰκάδα, γνώμη
βουλῆς καὶ δήμου, Καλλίας Φρεάρριος εἶπεν ὅτι δοκεῖ τῇ βουλῇ καὶ
τῷ δήμῳ στεφανῶσαι Ναυσικλέα τὸν ἐπὶ τῶν ὅπλων, ὅτι Ἀθηναίων
ὀπλιτῶν δισχιλίων ὄντων ἐν Ἰμβρῷ καὶ βοηθοῦντων τοῖς κατοικοῦσιν
Ἀθηναίων τὴν νῆσον, οὐ δυναμένον Φίλωνος τοῦ ἐπὶ τῆς διοικήσεως
κεχειροτονημένου διὰ τοὺς χειμῶνας πλεῦσαι καὶ μισθοδοτῆσαι τοὺς
ὀπλίτας, ἐκ τῆς ἰδίας οὐσίας ἔδωκε καὶ οὐκ εἰσέπραξε τὸν δῆμον, καὶ
ἀναγορεύσαι τὸν στέφανον Λιονυσίοις τραγῳδοῖς καινοῖς.]

ΕΤΕΡΟΝ ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

- 148 [Εἶπε Καλλίας Φρεάρριος, πρυτάνεων λεγόντων βουλῆς γνώμη, 15
ἐπειδὴ Χαρίδημος ὁ ἐπὶ τῶν ὀπλιτῶν, ἀποσταλὴς εἰς Σαλαμίνα,
καὶ Διότιμος ὁ ἐπὶ τῶν ἱππέων, ἐν τῇ ἐπὶ τοῦ ποταμοῦ μάχῃ τῶν
στρατιωτῶν τινῶν ὑπὸ τῶν πολεμίων σκυλευθέντων, ἐκ τῶν ἰδίων
ἀναλωμάτων καθώπλισαν τοὺς νεανίσκους ἀσπίσιν ὀκτακοσίαις, δεδό-
χθαι τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ στεφανῶσαι Χαρίδημον καὶ Διότιμον χρυσῷ 20
στεφάνῳ, καὶ ἀναγορεύσαι Παναθηναίοις τοῖς μεγάλαις ἐν τῷ γυμνικῷ
ἀγῶνι καὶ Διονυσίοις τραγῳδοῖς καινοῖς· τῆς δὲ ἀναγορεύσεως ἐπιμελη-
θῆναι θεσμοθέτας, πρυτάνεις, ἀγωνοθέτας.]
- 149 Τούτων ἕκαστος, Αἰσχίνη, τῆς μὲν ἀρχῆς ἥς ἦρχεν ὑπεύ-
θυνος ἦν, ἐφ' οἷς ὃ ἐστεφανοῦτο, οὐχ ὑπεύθυνος. οὐκοῦν οὐδ' 25
ἐγώ· ταῦτά γὰρ δίκαιά ἐστί μοι περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν τοῖς ἄλλοις
δήπου. ἐπέδωκα· ἐπαινοῦμαι διὰ ταῦτα, οὐκ ὦν ὦν ἐπέδωκα
ὑπεύθυνος. ἦρχον· καὶ δέδωκά γε εὐθύνας ἐκείνων, οὐχ ὦν ἐπέ-
δωκα. νῆ Δί', ἀλλ' ἀδίκως ἦρξα; εἴτα παρὼν, ὅτε με εἰσῆγον
οἱ λογισταί, οὐ κατηγόρεις;

30

§ 147. [*Decree in honour of Nausicles.*]

11. μισθοδοτῆσαι. Usually with the dative.

12. οὐκ εἰσέπραξε τὸν δῆμον. As we say, 'Did not get it in from the people.'

§ 148. [*Decree in honour of Charidemus and Diotimus.*]

15. πρυτάνεων .. γνώμη: cp. § 46 init.

16. ὁ ἐπὶ τῶν ὀπλιτῶν: cp. § 47, ὁ ἐπὶ τῶν ὅπλων.

22. τῆς δὲ ἀναγορεύσεως ἐπιμεληθῆναι κ.τ.λ. A scarcely credible arrangement, says Dindorf.

§ 149. Each of these owed account for his official duty: not for his free gifts. Their case is mine. I gave free gifts: I passed

my accounts unopposed by you.

§ 149. Here Demosthenes' argument really concedes the technical objection urged by Aeschines, that the crown was proposed at a time when the letter of the law forbade him to be crowned. He only replies, the spirit of the law was not violated. I was crowned for matters independent of my accounts: my accounts were all right, as is witnessed by your silence: there is no discredit from them to balance the credit of my free gift. It may be said that καὶ δέδωκά γε κ.τ.λ. is an attempt to make a point from the delay in the action: Aeschines could not deny that the crown would now be legal.

Ἵνα τοίνυν εἰδῆτε ὅτι αὐτὸς οὗτός μοι μαρτυρεῖ ἐφ' οἷς 150
οὐχ ὑπεύθυνος ἦν ἐστεφανῶσθαι, λαβὼν ἀνάγνωθι τὸ ψήφισμα
ὅλον τὸ γραφέν μοι. οἷς γὰρ οὐκ ἐγράψατο τοῦ προβου-
λεύματος, τούτοις ἃ διώκει συκοφαντῶν φανήσεται. λέγε.

5

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

[Ἐπὶ ἄρχοντος Εὐθυκλέους, πυναισιῶνος ἐνάτη ἀπίοντος, φυλῆς 151
πρυτανεύουσας Οἰνηίδος, Κτησιφῶν Λεωσθένους Ἀναφλύστιος εἶπεν,
ἐπειδὴ Δημοσθένης Δημοσθένους Παιανιεύς γενόμενος ἐπιμελητῆς τῆς
τῶν τειχῶν ἐπισκευῆς καὶ προσαναλώσας εἰς τὰ ἔργα ἀπὸ τῆς ἰδίας
10 οὐσίας τρία τάλαντα ἐπέδωκε ταῦτα τῷ δήμῳ, καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ θεωρικοῦ
κατασταθεὶς ἐπέδωκε τοῖς ἐκ πασῶν τῶν φυλῶν θεωροῖς ἑκατὸν μνᾶς εἰς
15 152 οὐσίας, δεδόσθαι τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ τῷ Ἀθηναίων ἐπαινέσαι Δημο-
σθένην Δημοσθένους Παιανιέα ἀρετῆς ἕνεκα καὶ καλοκαγαθίας ἧς ἔχων
διατελεῖ ἐν παντὶ καιρῷ εἰς τὸν δῆμον τὸν Ἀθηναίων, καὶ στεφανῶσαι
15 χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ, καὶ ἀναγορεῦσαι τὸν στέφανον ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ Διονυσίοις
τραγῳδοῖς καινοῖς· τῆς δὲ ἀναγορεύσεως ἐπιμεληθῆναι τὸν ἀγνο-
θῆτην.]

Οὐκοῦν ἃ μὲν ἐπέδωκα, ταῦτ' ἐστίν, ὧν οὐδὲν σὺ γέγραπται· 153
ἃ δὲ φησιν ἡ βουλὴ δεῖν ἀντὶ τούτων γενέσθαι μοι, ταῦτ' ἔσθ'
20 ἃ διώκεις. τὸ λαβεῖν οὖν τὰ διδόμενα ὁμολογῶν ἔννομον εἶναι,
τὸ χάριν τούτων ἀποδοῦναι παρανόμων γράφει. ὁ δὲ παμπό-
νηρος ἄνθρωπος καὶ θεοῖς ἐχθρὸς καὶ βάσκανος ὄντως ποίους
τις ἂν εἴη πρὸς θεῶν; οὐχ ὁ τοιοῦτος;

Καὶ μὴν περὶ τοῦ γ' ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ κηρύττεσθαι, τὸ μὲν 154
25 μυριάκις μυρίους κεκηρῦχθαι παραλείπω καὶ τὸ πολλάκις αὐτὸς
ἐστεφανῶσθαι πρότερον. ἀλλὰ πρὸς θεῶν οὕτω σκαιὸς εἶ καὶ
ἀναίσθητος, Αἰσχίνη, ὥστ' οὐ δύνασαι λογίσασθαι ὅτι τῷ μὲν
στεφανουμένῳ τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει ζῆλον ὁ στέφανος, ὅπου ἂν ἀναρ-
ρηθῇ, τοῦ δὲ τῶν στεφανούντων ἕνεκα συμφέροντος ἐν τῷ θεά-

13. Παιανιέα] Παιανιά Σ B. et S.

27. δύνασαι] ΣΓΓ hri.

§ 150. His silence on parts of the decrees, proves that he knew what I was crowned for lay outside my accountability.

3. οἷς .. φανήσεται. The clauses in the decree (vide ad § 151 sq.) which he did not prosecute, will prove that those he did prosecute were selected maliciously and capriciously. The point, as fairly represented by the compiler of the documents, is that the indictment does not recite anything corresponding to Δημοσθένης .. οὐσίας.

προβουλεύματος: cp. also § 10 above.

§§ 151, 152. [Decree in honour of Demobolus.]

§ 153. Is it not enecrable to receive a gift, and denounce a grateful return?

21. τὸ .. γράφει. 'He admits that my gifts might be accepted lawfully: it is the expression of gratitude for them which he prosecutes as illegal.'

§ 154. As to the details I waive precedent; but is the publicity of the reward the interest of the receiver or the giver? Of the latter he wishes to provoke emulation.

27. ὥστ' οὐ δύνασαι. So the best MSS.: *volgo δύνασθαι*, which arose from homoeoteleuton, and violates the rule of ὥστε with the infinitive taking μή.

τρω γίνεται τὸ κήρυγμα ; οἱ γὰρ ἀκούσαντες ἅπαντες εἰς τὸ ποιεῖν εὖ τὴν πόλιν προτρέπονται, καὶ τοὺς ἀποδιδόντας τὴν χάριν μᾶλλον ἐπαινοῦσι τοῦ στεφανουμένου διόπερ τὸν
 155 νόμον τοῦτον ἡ πόλις γέγραπεν. Λέγε δ' αὐτόν μοι τὸν νόμον λαβών.

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

[Ὅσους στεφανοῦσι τινας τῶν δῆμων, τὰς ἀναγορεύσεις τῶν στεφάνων ποιέσθαι ἐν αὐτοῖς ἐκάστους τοῖς ἰδίοις δήμοις, ἕαν μὴ τις αὖ δὲ δῆμος ὁ τῶν Ἀθηναίων ἢ ἡ βουλὴ στεφανοῦ τούτους δ' ἐξείναι ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ Διονυσίοις ἀναγορεύεσθαι.]

156 Ἀκούεις, Αἰσχίνη, τοῦ νόμου λέγοντος σαφῶς, πλὴν εἰς τινὰς ὁ δῆμος ἢ ἡ βουλὴ ψηφίσηται· τούτους δὲ ἀναγορευέτω. τί οὖν, ὦ ταλαίπωρε, συκοφαντεῖς ; τί λόγους πλάττετε ; τί σαυτὸν οὐκ ἐλλεβορίζεις ἐπὶ τούτοις ; ἀλλ' οὐδ' αἰσχύνη φθόρου δίκην εἰσάγων, οὐκ ἀδικήματος οὐδενός, καὶ νόμους τοὺς 15 μὲν μεταποιῶν, τῶν δ' ἀφαιρῶν μέρη, οὓς ὅλους δίκαιον ἦν ἀναγιγνώσκεισθαι τοῖς γε ὁμωμοκόσι κατὰ τοὺς νόμους ψηφιεῖ-
 157 σθαι ; ἔπειτα τοιαῦτα ποιῶν λέγεις ἃ δεῖ προσεῖναι τῷ δημοτικῷ, ὥσπερ ἀνδριάντα ἐκδεδακῶς κατὰ συγγραφὴν, εἰτ' οὐκ ἔχοντα ἃ προσῆκεν ἐκ τῆς συγγραφῆς κομιζόμενοι, ἢ λόγῳ 20 τοὺς δημοτικούς, ἀλλ' οὐ τοῖς πράγμασι καὶ τοῖς πολιτευμασι γιγνωσκομένους, καὶ βοᾷς ῥητὰ καὶ ἄρρητα ὀνομάζων, ὥσπερ

12. δῆμος] δῆμος ἔλθαι legisse videtur Schol. ; nisi forte id tanquam glossema deduxerit ex textu legis fortasse accurate. ψηφίσηται] στεφανώσθαι in margine habent nonnulli.
 15. τοὺς μὲν] Om. pr. Σ (a m. sec. restitutum) et editi nonnulli.

3. τὸν νόμον τοῦτον. The law has been implicitly referred to already, still we should have had *τόνδε* in Thucydides.

§ 155. [Law.]

9. στεφανοῖ. This cannot be reconciled with the terms in which Aeschines pleads to the law (in Ctes. § 33) below, § 156. Two MSS. read *στεφανώσθαι* instead of *ψηφίσθαι*, and the same conjecture is found in the margin of better MSS. ; but the tone of the discussion shews, if proof were needed, that *στεφανοῖ* is too strong.

§ 156. *The law is plain, and you are malicious or mad.*

§ 156. You hear, Aeschines, the law speaks plainly, 'except in the case of a vote of the senate and people, then proclamation may be made.' You wretch, where is the excuse for your calumnies, and all the words you put together? Why not take hellebore

after this? Are you really not ashamed of bringing an action for pure spite and for no wrong whatever, of garbling some laws and mutilating others, though as the court is sworn to vote according to law, it was but fair to let them hear the laws at full?

11. πλὴν . . ὁ δῆμος . . ψηφίσθαι. The Scholiasts are unanimous in regarding Aeschines' interpretation of the law as the true one.

§ 157. *Then you describe the qualification of the statesman, and indulge in ribaldry.*

19. ὥσπερ . . γιγνωσκομένους. 'As if you had contracted for a statue, and the statue sent did not fulfil the conditions of the contract, or as if statesmen and patriots could be judged by theory instead of by their acts.' Cp. Aesch. in Ctes. §§ 168 sqq. : and for the construction, *ibid.* § 142 fin.

ἐξ ἀμάξης, ἃ σοὶ καὶ τῷ σῷ γένει πρόσσεστιν, οὐκ ἐμοί. καίτοι 158
καὶ τοῦτο, ὃ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι. ἐγὼ λοιδορίαν κατηγορίας
τούτῃ διαφέρειν ἡγοῦμαι, τῷ τὴν μὲν κατηγορίαν ἀδικήματ'
ἔχειν, ὣν ἐν τοῖς νόμοις εἰσὶν αἱ τιμωρίαι, τὴν δὲ λοιδορίαν
βλασφημίας, ἃς κατὰ τὴν αὐτῶν φύσιν τοῖς ἐχθροῖς περὶ ἀλ-
λήλων συμβαίνει λέγειν. οἰκοδομῆσαι δὲ τοὺς προγόνους ταυτὶ
τὰ δικαστήρια ὑπέιληφα οὐχ ἵνα συλλέξαντες ὑμᾶς εἰς ταῦτα
ἀπὸ τῶν ἰδίων κακῶς τὰ ἀπόρρητα λέγωμεν ἀλλήλους, ἀλλ'
ἵνα ἐξελέγχωμεν, εἴαν τις ἡδίκηκώς τι τυγχάνῃ τὴν πόλιν.
10 ταῦτα τοίνυν εἰδὼς Αἰσχίνης οὐδὲν ἦττον ἐμοῦ πομπεύειν ἀντὶ 159
τοῦ κατηγορεῖν εἴλετο. οὐ μὴν οὐδ' ἐνταῦθα ἐλαττον ἔχων
δίκαιός ἐστιν ἀπελθεῖν. ἤδη δ' ἐπὶ ταῦτα πορεύσομαι, τοσοῦ-
τον αὐτὸν ἐρωτήσας. πότερόν σέ τις, Αἰσχίνη, τῆς πόλεως
ἐχθρόν ἢ ἐμὸν εἶναι φῆ; ἐμὸν δῆλον ὅτι. εἶτα οὐ μὲν ἦν
15 παρ' ἐμοῦ δίκην κατὰ τοὺς νόμους ὑπὲρ τούτων λαβεῖν, εἴπερ
ἡδίκουν, ἐξέλιπες, ἐν ταῖς εὐθύναις, ἐν ταῖς γραφαῖς, ἐν ταῖς
ἄλλαις κρίσειςιν οὐ δ' ἐγὼ μὲν ἀθῶος ἅπασι, τοῖς νόμοις, 160
τῷ χρόνῳ, τῇ προθεσμίᾳ, τῷ κεκρίσθαι περὶ πάντων πολλάκις
πρότερον, τῷ μηδεπώποτε ἐξελεγχθῆναι μηδὲν ὑμᾶς ἀδικῶν, τῇ
20 πόλει δ' ἢ πλεόν ἢ ἐλαττον ἀνάγκη τῶν γε δημοσίᾳ πεπραγ-
μένων μετεῖναι τῆς δόξης, ἐνταῦθα ἀπήντηκας; ὅρα μὴ τούτων
μὲν ἐχθρὸς ᾖς, ἐμοὶ δὲ προσποιῇ.

22. ἐμοί] Ita X^o pr. F pr γρ. s B. et S.: ceteri et volg. ἐμῶ.

1. ἐξ ἀμάξης. Ribaldry was used at the Eleusinia and the Anthesteria, also at the country Dionysia. The allusion to these and to mysteries of any kind, would be insulting to Aeschines.

§ 158. Which is irresponsible, as it involves no legal crimes.

καίτοι καὶ τοῦτο. 'Though there is this to say' [though Aeschines' accusations are too vague to deserve a reply], 'it is worth while to point out the difference there is in my judgment between invective and impeachment; the first is the expression of dislike, the second specifies punishable breaches of law.'

6. συμβαίνει goes with κατὰ τὴν αὐτῶν φύσιν, as we say, 'What it comes natural to them to say; the extent to which they indulged in such invective, would be measured by their moderation of character.'

§ 159. Still it must be met. As my enemy, not the enemy of Athens, you should have prosecuted me where I could be punished.

11. οὐ μὴν . . . ἀπελθεῖν. 'However, even here I must give him as good as he brings; yet, as ἐλαττον ἔχειν is generally

'to have the worst' of a contest, it is possible that here it means, 'Since he has tried to force me into bad language, he shall have his will.'

13. πότερον . . . εἶναι φῆ. As in § 110, Demosthenes suggests the answer that he is leading up to, though he formally declines to give it at once. Compare also D. de F. L. p. 405, § 228, Olynth. I. p. 14 extr., § 20.

§ 160. Instead you take an opportunity of discrediting Athens, where it is impossible to punish me.

17. τοῖς νόμοις . . . τῷ χρόνῳ are the securities to which Demosthenes is entitled by positive and natural law, τῇ προθεσμίᾳ is the union of both: yet the difference between τῷ χρόνῳ and τῇ προθεσμίᾳ is almost slight enough to justify Reiske's suspicion that the former is a gloss upon the latter.

19. τῇ πόλει . . . ἀπήντηκας, i. e. To prosecute the mover of a vote of thanks to me for recommending policy which Athens adopted, must discredit Athens, yet that is the ground you choose.

- 161 Ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν ἡ μὲν εὐσεβὴς καὶ δικαία ψῆφος ἅπασι δέ-
 δεικται, δεῖ δέ με, ὡς ἔοικε, καίπερ οὐ φιλολοιδόρον ὄντα φύσει,
 διὰ τὰς ὑπὸ τούτου βλασφημίας εἰρημένας ἀντὶ πολλῶν καὶ
 ψευδῶν αὐτὰ ταναγκαίωται εἰπεῖν περὶ αὐτοῦ, καὶ δεῖξαι τίς
 ὢν καὶ τίνων ῥαδίως οὕτως ἄρχει τοῦ κακῶς λέγειν, καὶ λόγους 5
 τίνας διασύρει, αὐτὸς εἰρηκῶς ἂ τίς οὐκ ἂν ὥκνησε τῶν μετρίων
 162 ἀνθρώπων φθέγγασθαι;—εἰ γὰρ Αἰακὸς ἢ Ῥαδάμανθους ἢ Μί-
 νως ἦν κατηγορῶν, ἀλλὰ μὴ σπερμολόγος, περίτρυμμα ἀγορᾶς,
 ὀλεθρος γραμματεῦς, οὐκ ἂν αὐτὸν οἶμαι τοιαῦτ' εἰπεῖν οὐδ'
 ἂν οὕτως ἐπαχθεῖς λόγους πορίσασθαι, ὥσπερ ἐν τραγῳδίᾳ 10
 βοῶντα ὦ γῆ καὶ ἧλιε καὶ ἀρετὴ καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα, καὶ πάλιν
 σύνεσιν καὶ παιδείαν ἐπικαλούμενον, ἢ τὰ καλὰ καὶ τὰ αἰσχρὰ
 διαγιγνώσκειται· ταῦτα γὰρ δῆκουθεν ἡκούετ' αὐτοῦ λέγοντος·
 163 σοὶ δὲ ἀρετῆς, ὦ κάθαρμα, ἢ τοῖς σοῖς τίς μετουσία; ἢ καλῶν
 ἢ μὴ τοιούτων τίς διάγνωσις; πόθεν ἢ πῶς ἀξιωθέντι; ποῦ 15
 δὲ παιδείας σοι θέμις μνησθῆναι, ἥς τῶν μὲν ὡς ἀληθῶς τετυχη-
 κόντων οὐδ' ἂν εἰς εἴποι περὶ αὐτοῦ τοιοῦτον οὐδὲν, ἀλλὰ κἂν
 ἑτέρου λέγοντος ἐρυθρίασειε, τοῖς δ' ἀπολειφθεῖσι μὲν ὥσπερ
 σὺ, προσποιουμένοις δ' ὑπ' ἀναισθησίας τὸ τοὺς ἀκούοντας
 ἀλγεῖν ποιεῖν, ὅταν λέγωσιν, οὐ τὸ δοκεῖν τοιούτοις εἶναι 20
 περίεστιν.
 164 Οὐκ ἀπορῶν δ' ὁ τι χρὴ περὶ σοῦ καὶ τῶν σῶν εἰπεῖν,
 ἀπορῶ τοῦ πρώτου μνησθῶ, πότερ' ὡς ὁ πατὴρ σου Τρόμης
 ἐδούλευε παρ' Ἑλπίᾳ τῇ πρὸς τῇ Θησεΐφ διδάσκοντι γράμ-
 ματα, χοίνικας παχείας ἔχων καὶ ξύλον, ἢ ὡς ἡ μήτηρ σου 25

2. δέ] δὴ ρ. profesto ex grammatici conjectura.
 σῦρει] διασύρειν A et socii.

6. τίνες] τινὰς FTTI prom. δια-
 24. Ἑλπίᾳ] Ἑλπίδα pr. X et Tiberius, Ἑλπίδα sec. X.

§ 161. *Aeschines' calumnies compel me to indicate his character,*

1. ἔπασσ. If this is the dative of the instrument, 'by the whole argument,' if not, 'in all the points raised.'

2. δεῖ δέ με. One MS. alters δὲ to δὴ, in order to make the construction consistent: the truth is that the apodosis is suppressed: it would virtually be an anticipation of § 164 sq. οὐκ ἀπορῶν δὲ κ.τ.λ.

5. ῥαδίως. 'Unscrupulously,' as one who had nothing to lose.

λόγους τίνας διασύρει. Several MSS. have τινὰς, 'And pulls some phrases (of mine) to pieces' (Aesch. in Ctes. §§ 72, 166), while he himself has been talking in the worst taste possible. This is so much more obvious a reading as to be suspicious, and τίνας is more forcible in sense, though

irregular in construction. It should strictly be, τίς ἂν λόγους τίνας διασύρει or τίνα αὐτὸς εἰρηκῶς λόγους τίνας διασύρει, tr. 'What sort of phrases he thinks it safe to 'mangle,' though he has said,' etc.

§ 162. *For he has brought charges and made pretensions no man of character would bring.*

8. περίτρυμμα. So περίτρυμμα δικῶν Ar. Nub. 447. 260. Perhaps the rhythm from σπερμολόγος .. ὀλεθρος may suggest a quotation from a comedy, if so, γραμματεὺς would be substituted παρὰ προσδοκίαν for the close of the line.

§ 163. *You have no right to such pretensions: men who have would not make them.*

§§ 164, 165. *I shall only disgrace myself by telling you what you know of his family,*

τοῖς μεθήμερινοῖς γάμοις ἐν τῷ κλεισίῳ τῷ πρὸς τῷ καλαμίτῃ
 Ἦρω χρωμένη τὸν καλὸν ἀνδριάντα καὶ τριταγωνιστὴν ἄκρον
 ἐξέθρεψέ σε. ἀλλ' ὡς ὁ τριηραύλης Φορμίων, ὁ Δίωνος τοῦ 165
 Φρεαρρίου δούλος, ἀνέστησεν αὐτὴν ἀπὸ ταύτης τῆς καλῆς
 5 ἐργασίας; ἀλλὰ νῆ τὸν Δία καὶ τοὺς θεοὺς ὀκνῶ μὴ περὶ σοῦ
 τὰ προσήκοντα λέγων αὐτὸς οὐ προσήκοντας ἐμαυτῷ δόξω
 προηρῆσθαι λόγους. ταῦτα μὲν οὖν ἐάσω, ἀπ' αὐτῶν δὲ ὦν
 αὐτὸς βεβίωκεν ἄρξομαι· οὐδὲ γὰρ ὦν ἔτυχεν ἦν, ἀλλ' οἷς ὁ
 10 δῆμος καταρᾶται. ὁψέ γάρ ποτε—, ὁψέ λέγῃ; χθὲς μὲν 166
 οὖν καὶ πρῶν ἂμ' Ἀθηναῖος καὶ ῥήτωρ γέγονε, καὶ δύο συλ-
 λαβὰς προσθεῖς τὸν μὲν πατέρα ἀντὶ Τρόμητος ἐποίησεν Ἀτρώ-
 μητον, τὴν δὲ μητέρα σεμνῶς πάνυ Γλαυκοθέαν ὠνόμασεν, ἣν
 Ἔμπουσαν ἅπαντες ἴσασι καλουμένην, ἐκ τοῦ πάντα ποιεῖν καὶ
 πᾶσχειν δηλονότι ταύτης τῆς ἐπωνυμίας τυχοῦσαν· πόθεν γὰρ 167

2. [Ἦρω] Om. A et socii: ἦρῳ in Σ superscriptum est. Utrum i. subscribi an adscribi debeat, vid. annot. Quod ad calcem hujus § addebatur, ἀλλὰ πάντες ἴσασι ταῦτα, καὶ ἐγὼ μὴ λέγω, om. ΣΒΦΤ in B. et S. 7. οὖν] Om. pr. Σ: ταῦτα μὲν ... ἄρξομαι post οὐδὲ γὰρ .. καταρᾶται ponit Σ. 14. Post πόθεν γὰρ ἄλλοθεν Reiskio videbantur ea addenda esse quae memoratur Hermogenes, καί μοι ἐφθόνη βοῶσα κατὰ πᾶν τὸ θέρος ἐπλανᾶτο. Ex ipsius Hermogenis verbis videri potest ea potius ad § 324 fuisse: sed ibi minus bene verbis accommodari possunt.

2. Ἦρω. A proper name (cp. Demos. de F. L. p. 419, § 279) to be written thus, as there the best MSS. give Ἦρω for the genitive. καλαμίτῃ is not a proper name, but a cant word for a surgeon; even apart from the question of orthography, the position of the article proves that καλαμίτῃ, not ἦρον, is the epithet.

τὸν καλὸν ἀνδριάντα. As we say, 'The fine figure of a man.' There is a double sneer at Aeschines' good looks and at his pretensions to be the model statesman: cp. above, § 157, ὥσπερ ἀνδριάντα ἐκδεδακνῶς. For Aeschines' personal appearance, see Life. It may be added that καλὸς ἀνδριάντα was a common pet name for a child, 'pretty puppet.'

At the end of this section was added ἀλλὰ πάντες ἴσασι ταῦτα, καὶ ἐγὼ μὴ λέγω. Most good MSS. omit it: can it be merely a gloss on the following ἀλλά?

8. οὐδὲ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. This is Demosthenes' reply to Aeschines' criticism on his maternal descent as a disqualification for public life (in Ctes. §§ 168 sqq.) I confine myself to his personal history, 'for his parentage was not simply mean, it was infamous; his parents belonged to a class which is included in the solemn public imprecations, and therefore he is naturally disaffected to the public. It is mentioned in the margin of Σ, that some proposed to transpose the clauses ταῦτα .. ἄρξομαι and οὐδὲ .. καταρᾶται.

Some refer ὦν ἔτυχε to ὦν βεβίωκεν, but this does not explain the genitive.

§ 166. Whom he has capriciously dignified.

9. ὁψέ γάρ. The γάρ serves merely to continue the sense, and to introduce the narrative of Aeschines' life. Its English equivalent would be, 'Well, after a long time: a long time do I say, why it was only yesterday or the day before,' etc. He interrupts the narrative before it is fairly begun, to shew that Aeschines had reason to be ashamed of his family, or at least behaved as if he was.

10. ἂμ' Ἀθηναῖος καὶ ῥήτωρ γέγονε, i.e. 'He was never recognised as a citizen till of age to be an orator.'

12. Γλαυκοθέαν. Her work-a-day name was Glaucis. Aeschines (De F. L. p. 38, § 78) mentions her father Glaucus, in a way which implies that he was a credit to his descendants.

13. Ἔμπουσαν: cp. Ar. Ran. 288 sqq. The transformations of this bogey or demon were the most remarkable point in her character, so that Demosthenes is probably right in his explanation of the nickname, but the anonymous biographer of Aeschines and other grammarians suggest, that she was so called from her ghostly appearance in the mysteries: vid. ad § 331.

14. καὶ γίγνεσθαι. These words are added by Σ. They have very little point

ἄλλοθεν; ἀλλ' ὅμως οὕτως ἀχάριστος εἶ καὶ πονηρὸς φύσει ὥστ' ἐλεύθερος ἐκ δούλου καὶ πλούσιος ἐκ πτωχοῦ διὰ τουτουσὶ γεγωνὸς οὐχ ὅπως χάριν αὐτοῖς ἔχεις, ἀλλὰ μισθώσας σαντὸν κατὰ τουτωνὶ πολιτεύει. καὶ περὶ ὧν μὲν ἔστι τις ἀμφισβήτησις, ὡς ἄρα ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως εἴρηκεν, εἰσώ· ἃ δ' ὑπὲρ τῶν 5 ἐχθρῶν φανερώς ἀπεδείχθη πράττων, ταῦτα ἀναμνήσω.

- 168 Τίς γὰρ ὑμῶν οὐκ οἶδε τὸν ἀποψηφισθέντα Ἀντιφῶντα, ὃς ἐπαγγεिलाμένος Φιλίππῳ τὰ νεώρια ἐμπρήσειν εἰς τὴν πόλιν ἦλθεν; ὃν λαβόντος ἐμοῦ κεκρυμμένον ἐν Πειραιεὶ καὶ καταστήσαντος εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν βοῶν ὁ βάσκανος οὗτος καὶ 10 κεκραγὼς, ὡς ἐν δημοκρατίᾳ δεινὰ ποιῶ τοὺς ἡτυχηκότας τῶν πολιτῶν ὑβρίζων καὶ ἐπ' οἰκίας βαδίζων ἄνευ ψηφίσματος, 169 ἀφεθῆναι ἐποίει. καὶ εἰ μὴ ἡ βουλὴ ἡ ἐξ Ἀρείου πάγου τὸ πρᾶγμα αἰσθομένη καὶ τὴν ὑμετέραν ἄγνοϊαν ἐν οὐ δέοντι συμβεβηκυῖαν ἰδοῦσα ἐπεζήτησε τὸν ἄνθρωπον καὶ συλλαβοῦσα 15

14. ὑμετέραν] In litura habet Z, et Dind. ἡμετέραν a m. pr. scriptum fuisse perhibet.

in reference to Aeschines' mother; but if it was more natural to speak of Empusa turning into everything, than doing or suffering everything, this would account for the gloss or the afterthought.

§ 167. *I will come to his own ingratitude and treason.*

πόθεν γὰρ ἄλλοθεν. See the critical note for the passage which we know from Hermogenes was suppressed by ancient critics, about Glaucis 'crying "boiled beans" all the summer' It is possible that something may have been retrenched which referred to Aeschines, and that it was this, which was clenched by πόθεν γὰρ ἄλλοθεν. If so, ὅμως would be intended to introduce a contrast to this; if the text is still as Demosthenes left it, ὅμως must refer back to ὅψις . . γέγονε. Vid. ad § 324.

2. διὰ τουτουσί. 'Thanks to your countrymen.' Through their good nature, which tolerated your false claims and admitted your pretended services, Demosthenes implies (below, § 385) that Aeschines was already in comfortable circumstances before he had received anything from Philip.

4. περὶ ὧν μὲν ἔστι τις ἀμφισβήτησις, e. g. His support of the peace of Philocrates, which after Aeschines' acquittal Demosthenes could not, in courtesy to the judges, treat as manifest treason.

5. ἐφρακεν. Emphatic, opposed to πρᾶ-

των. In his speeches, he would have to mask his treasonable designs, so in them it would be just possible to believe that *after all* (ἄρα) he had acted honestly. His *acts* could bear no such interpretation.

§ 168. *You remember how he procured the discharge of Antipbon, who came back to burn the arsenal.*

7. ἀποψηφισθέντα. In 346 B.C. a review of the citizens and claimants to citizenship was held: in each Deme the Demotae voted on the case of every member whose claims were doubtful, and every one rejected had an appeal to the Heliaea, but if cast on appeal he was sold into slavery, while if he acquiesced in the vote of the Demotae, he had his choice of leaving Athens or remaining as a denizen. Libanius founded on this legal device an amusing subject for a rhetorical exercise: *ἐάλω ξενίας ὁ Δημοσθένης· ἔπειτα Φίλιππος ἀνοούμενος αὐτὸν γράφει Δημόδῳ δίδοναι, Ὑπερίδῃ δημόσιον εἶναι*. Antiphan had obviously acquiesced, and elected to leave Athens.

11. τοὺς ἡτυχηκότας τῶν πολιτῶν. As Janet in Waverley speaks of the 'misfortune' of her father, who was hanged for cattle lifting. A man was considered unfortunate at Athens when the law was put in force against him, except to obtain direct redress for a personal injury.

§ 169. *But he got his deserts, thanks to the Areopagus.*

ἐπ' ἀνήγαγεν ὡς ὑμᾶς, ἐξήρπαστ' ἂν ὁ τοιοῦτος καὶ τὸ δίκην
 δοῦναι διαδύς ἐξεπέπεμπτ' ἂν ὑπὸ τοῦ σεμνολόγου τουτουί-
 νυν δ' ὑμεῖς στρεβλώσαντες αὐτὸν ἀπεκτείνετε, ὡς ἔδει γε καὶ
 τοῦτον. τοιγαροῦν εἰδῖα ταῦτα ἢ βουλὴ ἢ ἐξ Ἀρείου πάγου 170
 τότε τούτῳ πεπραγμένα, χειροτονησάντων αὐτὸν ὑμῶν σύν-
 δικον ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἱεροῦ τοῦ ἐν Δήλῳ ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς ἀγνοίας
 ἥσπερ πολλὰ προῖεσθε τῶν κοινῶν, ὡς προ[σ]εῖλεσθε κάκει-
 νην καὶ τοῦ πράγματος κυρίαν ἐποίησατε, τοῦτον μὲν εὐθύς
 ἀπήλασεν ὡς προδοτήν, Ὑπερείδην δὲ λέγειν προσέταξε· καὶ
 10 ταῦτα ἀπὸ τοῦ βωμοῦ φέρουσα τὴν ψῆφον ἔπραξε, καὶ οὐδε-
 μία ψῆφος ἠνέχθη τῷ μιαιφῶ τούτῳ. Καὶ ὅτι ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ
 λέγω, κάλει μοι τούτων τοὺς μάρτυρας.

MARTYRES.

- [Μαρτυροῦσι Δημοσθένει ὅπερ ἀπάντων οἶδε, Καλλίας Σουινεύς, 171
 15 Ζήνων Φλυεύς, Κλέων Φαληρεὺς, Δημόνικος Μαραθώνιος, ὅτι τοῦ
 δήμου ποτὲ χειροτονήσαντος Αἰσχίνην σύνδικον ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἱεροῦ τοῦ
 ἐν Δήλῳ εἰς τοὺς Ἀμφικτύνας συνεδρεύσαντες ἡμεῖς ἐκρίναμεν
 Ὑπερείδην ἄξιον εἶναι μᾶλλον ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως λέγειν, καὶ ἀπε-
 στάλην Ὑπερείδης.]
 20 Οὐκοῦν ὅτε τούτου λέγοντος ἀπήλασεν ἢ βουλὴ καὶ προσ- 172

7. ἥσπερ] ἥσπερ ἐνεκα A et socii: sequiores plerique ἀφ' ἥσπερ.
 Σ et al. B. et S.

20. λέγοντος] Ita Σ teste Dind. Teste Bekk. Σ habet μέλλοντος, idque receperunt B. et S. Volgatum μέλλοντος λέγειν habet Σ a m. sec. Poenitet quod nos non animadverteramus, duas inter se collationes discrepare, unde nec utrum verum sit notavimus, sed Dindorfio potius ut recentiori credimus.

1. ὁ τοιοῦτος. We say, 'a man like that,' the Greeks say, 'the man of that character,' as we say 'the wretch,' 'the villain,' or any other special definite imputation.

3. οὕν δέ. 'As it was,' the Greeks say, 'as it is.'

στρεβλώσαντες αὐτὸν ἀπεκτείναντες: cp. Dinarchus in Dem. p. 98, § 64.

§ 170. *Which censured Aeschines by substituting Hyperides.*

5. σύνδικον. 'As pleader before the Amphictyons,' to whom the Delians had appealed on the old standing question, whether they or the Athenians were entitled to the custody of the temple of Apollo. Cp. Hdt. 8. 123, and the fragments of Hyp. Del. Or. There existed anciently a spurious speech of Aeschines on this occasion; one may suppose written as the one he would have delivered if he had gone. It appears from inscriptions, that the Athenians must have made good their claim.

7. ὡς προεῖλεσθε κάκειν. So the MSS.

Wolf proposed *προεῖλεσθε*, which he adopted by Dindorf and Dissen: the MS. reading may be due to the previous *προῖεσθε*: it cannot mean 'preferred their judgment' (to your own), for this gives no sense to *καὶ*, nor can 'chose them previously' be turned into 'chose them to exercise a choice previous to his actual departure.' The only way to defend *προεῖλεσθε*, would be to strike out *καὶ* and *ἐποίησατε*. Wolf's emendation would be milder, if not safer, and gives an admirable sense, 'co-opted them too' into the body which appointed the advocate.

10. ἀπὸ τοῦ βωμοῦ φέρουσα τὴν ψῆφον contrasts with *χειροτονησάντων*. The voting was more deliberate, more free, and more responsible, against Aeschines than for him. The Areopagites took their ballots from the altar one by one to put them into the urn.

§ 171. [*Depositions.*]

§ 172. *Clearly the substitution was a censure. Here is one point of comparison between him and me.*

έταξεν έτέρω, τότε καὶ προδότην εἶναι καὶ κακόνουν ὑμῖν ἀπέφηνεν.

- Ἐν μὲν τοίνυν τοῦτο τοιοῦτο πολίτευμα τοῦ νεανίου τούτου, ὁμοίον γε, οὐ γάρ; οἷς ἐμοῦ κατηγορεῖ ἕτερον δὲ ἀναμιμ-
 173 νήσκεσθε. ὅτε γὰρ Πύθωνα Φίλιππος ἔπεμψε τὸν Βυζάντιον 5
 καὶ παρὰ τῶν αὐτοῦ συμμάχων πάντων συνέπεμψε πρέσβεις,
 ὡς ἐν αἰσχύνη ποιήσων τὴν πόλιν καὶ δείξων ἀδικούσαν,
 τότε ἐγὼ μὲν τῷ Πύθωνι θρασυνομένῳ καὶ πολλῷ ρέοντι καθ'
 ὑμῶν οὐχ ὑπεχώρησα, ἀλλ' ἀναστὰς ἀντεῖπον καὶ τὰ τῆς
 πόλεως δίκαια οὐχὶ προῦδωκα, ἀλλ' ἀδικούντα Φίλιππον ἐξή- 10
 λεγξα φανερώς οὕτως ὥστε τοὺς ἐκείνου συμμάχους αὐτοὺς
 ἀνισταμένους ὁμολογεῖν· οὗτος δὲ συνηγωνίζετο καὶ τὰναντία
 ἐμαρτύρει τῇ πατρίδι, καὶ ταῦτα ψευδῇ.
 174 Καὶ οὐκ ἀπέχρη ταῦτα, ἀλλὰ πάλιν μετὰ ταῦθ' ὕστερον
 Ἀναξίνῳ τῷ κατασκόπῳ συνιὼν εἰς τὴν Θράσωνος οἰκίαν ἐλήφθη. 15
 καίτοι ὅστις τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν πολεμίων πεμφθέντι μόνος μόνῳ
 συνῆει καὶ ἐκοινολογεῖτο, οὗτος αὐτὸς ὑπῆρχε τῇ φύσει κατά-
 σκοπος καὶ πολέμιος τῇ πατρίδι. Καὶ ὅτι ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω,
 κάλει μοι τούτων τοὺς μάρτυρας.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΣ.

20

- 175 [Τελέδημος Κλέωνος, Ὑπερείδης Καλλιόσχρου, Νικόμαχος Διο-
 φάντου μαρτυροῦσι Δημοσθένει καὶ ἐπωμόσαντο ἐπὶ τῶν στρατηγῶν
 εἰδέναι Αἰσχίνην Ἀτρομήτου Κοθωκίδην συνερχόμενον νυκτὸς εἰς
 τὴν Θράσωνος οἰκίαν καὶ κοινολογούμενον Ἀναξίνῳ, ὃς ἐκρίθη εἶναι
 κατάσκοπος παρὰ Φίλιππου. αὗται ἀπεδόθησαν αἱ μαρτυρίαι ἐπὶ 25
 Νικίου, ἐκατομβαιῶνος τρίτῃ ἰσταμένου.]

3. τοῦ νεανίου τούτου. 'Our hero here.' This ironical sense is commoner in the derivatives of *νεανίας* than in the word itself; but cp. below, § 386.

4. ὁμοίον γε. 'It resembles,' not my conduct which he impeaches, but 'his imputations on me,' as Tennyson has it, 'and impute themselves Lacking the mental range.'

§ 173. Again, when Python was sent here by Philip, I confuted him, Aeschines supported him.

8. τῷ Πύθωνι θρασυνομένῳ. Auctor de Halonneso, p. 81, §§ 21, 22, gives an outline of a speech which he says was well received, in which Python throws the whole blame of the coldness on the unfriendly attitude of Athens under the influence of the orators of the war party.

§ 174. Not content with this, he beld interviews with Anaxinus the spy.

15. Ἀναξίνῳ. According to Aeschines (in Ctes. § 224), he was sent to make purchases for Olympia. The passage has little of the air of an afterthought, though admirably adapted to reply to this.

17. ὑπῆρχε answers to *πεμφθέντι*. Philip sent a spy; he found a spy on the spot bred to his hand in Aeschines.

§ 175. [Depositions.]

25. αὗται .. Νυκτὸς. Boeckh conjectures that this evidence was taken soon after the event, in view of a prosecution of Aeschines, which the senate declined to press; but, as Dissen points out, it is strange that Aeschines does not make a point against Demosthenes as an unsuccessful sycophant.

Μυρία τοίνυν ἕτερ' εἰπεῖν ἔχων περὶ αὐτοῦ παραλείπω. καὶ 176
γὰρ οὕτω πως ἔχει. πολλὰ ἂν ἐγὼ ἔτι τούτων ἔχοιμι δεῖξαι,
ὧν οὗτος κατ' ἐκείνους τοὺς χρόνους τοῖς μὲν ἐχθροῖς ὑπηρετῶν,
ἐμοὶ δ' ἐπηρεάζων εὐρέθη. ἀλλ' οὐ τίθεται ταῦτα παρ' ὑμῖν
5 εἰς ἀκριβῆ μνήμην οὐδ' ἦν προσῆκεν ὀργήν, ἀλλὰ δεδώκατε
ἔθει τινὶ φαῦλῳ πολλὴν ἐξουσίαν τῷ βουλομένῳ τὸν λέγοντά
τι τῶν ὑμῖν συμφερόντων ὑποσκελίζει καὶ συκοφαντεῖν, τῆς
ἐπὶ ταῖς λοιδορίαις ἡδονῆς καὶ χάριτος τὸ τῆς πόλεως συμ-
φέρον ἀνταλλαττόμενοι· διόπερ ῥῆθ' ἐστὶ καὶ ἀσφαλέστερον
10 αἰεὶ τοῖς ἐχθροῖς ὑπηρετοῦντα μισθαρνεῖν ἢ τὴν ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν
ἐλόμενον τάξιν πολιτεύεσθαι.

Καὶ τὸ μὲν δὴ πρὸ τοῦ πολεμεῖν φανερώς συναγωνίζεσθαι 177
Φιλίππῳ δεινὸν μὲν, ὃ γῇ καὶ θεοῖ, πῶς γὰρ οὐ; κατὰ τῆς
πατρίδος· δότε δ', εἰ βούλεσθε, δότε αὐτῷ τούτο. ἀλλ' ἐπειδὴ
15 φανερώς ἤδη τὰ πλοῖα ἐσεσύλητο, Χερρόνησος ἐπορθεῖτο, ἐπὶ
τὴν Ἀττικὴν ἐπορεύεθ' ἄνθρωπος, οὐκέτ' ἐν ἀμφισβητήσιμῳ
τὰ πράγματα ἦν, ἀλλ' ἐνειστήκει πόλεμος, ὃ τι μὲν πῶποτ'
ἔπραξεν ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν ὁ βάσκανος οὗτος ἰαμβειογράφος, οὐκ ἂν 178
ἔχοι δεῖξαι, οὐδ' ἔστιν οὔτε μείζον οὐτ' ἑλαττον ψήφισμα
20 οὐδὲν Αἰσχίνῃ ὑπὲρ τῶν συμφερόντων τῇ πόλει. εἰ δέ φησι,
νῦν δειξάτω ἐν τῷ ἐμῷ ὕδατι. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔστιν οὐδέν. καίτοι

9. ἀνταλλαττόμενοι] ἀντικαταλλόμενοι nonnulli.

ἀνθρώπος.

18. ἰαμβειογράφος] Ita Ω τιν marg. Σ Hermog.: ἰαμβιογράφος ΣΦ, ἰαμβογράφος A et socii, ἰαμβειομάχος γρ. F ἰαμβοφάγος † γρ. Σ † Etym. M. s. v. et Zonaras, ἰαμβιοφάγος Scholiasta Hermogenis, ἰαμβειοφάγος γρ. B et Reiski duo codd. Parisienses. Hoc recepit Reiske, et post eum edd. plerique omnes. 'Fort. ἰαμβειογράφος' conicit Sauppilus. Vid. annot.

20. ὕδατι] Ita Σ: ceteri περὶ.

16. ἀνθρώπος] Ita FΦ: ceteri

21. ἐν] ἐπὶ Σ et plerique.

§ 176. *But I will not dwell on his treasons; it is safer to serve your enemies than you.*

8. ἡδονῆς καὶ χάριτος. 'Pleasure and gratification,' by denouncing patriotic speakers the sycophant χαρίζεται.

9. διόπερ... πολιτεύεσθαι. 'So it is easier and safer always to serve your enemies like a hireling, than to choose your side and act like a free statesman.' The double antithesis is rather frigid in English, but forcible in Greek.

§ 177. *Though not content with siding with Philip against you during the peace, he continued to do so during the war, and*

13. κατὰ τῆς πατρίδος. Emphatic, and at once heightens and confirms the force of δεινόν. Tr. 'And that against his fatherland.'

§ 178. *Can show no service to you. His neutrality proves my innocence or his disloyalty.*

18. ἰαμβειογράφος. So most MSS., with insignificant variations: γρ. ἰαμβειομάχος, which may be only a conjecture, and not such a good one as ἰαμβειοφάγος, which had got into the text by the time of the Scholiast on Hermogenes. ἰαμβειογράφος means a writer of lampoons, and is recognised by the grammarians here, who explain it φιλολοδορος ὑβριστής, which last explanation is appended by the Scholiast on Hermogenes to his new reading. Aeschines (in Tim. § 135) speaks of his love verses, and it is not a great stretch of imagination to suppose that when his attentions had involved him in a quarrel he retaliated by a lampoon. If Aeschines' lampoons were only half as well known as his ill luck on the stage, an allusion to them would suit the context better.

21. ἐν τῷ ἐμῷ ὕδατι. ἐπὶ, as Dindorf says, would require the genitive.

δυοῖν αὐτὸν ἀνάγκη θάτερον, ἢ μὴδὲν τοῖς πραττομένοις ὑπ' ἐμοῦ τότ' ἔχοντ' ἐγκαλεῖν μὴ γράφειν παρὰ ταυθ' ἕτερα, ἢ τὸ τῶν ἐχθρῶν συμφέρον ζητοῦντα μὴ φέρειν εἰς μέσον τὰ τούτων ἀμείνω.

179 Ἄρ' οὖν οὐδ' ἔλεγεν, ὥσπερ οὐδ' ἔγραφεν, ἡνίκα ἐργάσασθαι τι δέοι κακόν; οὐ μὲν οὖν ἦν εἰπεῖν ἑτέρωφ. καὶ τὰ μὲν ἄλλα καὶ φέρειν ἐδύναθ', ὥς ἔοικεν, ἢ πόλις καὶ ποιῶν οὗτος λανθάνειν· ἐν δ' ἐπεξεργάσατο, ὧς ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τοσούτον δ' πᾶσι τοῖς προτέροις ἐπέθηκε τέλος· περὶ οὗ τοὺς πολλοὺς ἀνάλωσε λόγους, τὰ τῶν Ἀμφισσέων τῶν Λοκρῶν διεξιὼν δόγματα, ὥς διαστρέψων τὰληθές. τὸ δ' οὐ τοιοῦτόν ἐστι. πόθεν; οὐδέποτ' ἐκνίψει σὺ τὰκεῖ πεπραγμένα σαυτῷ· οὐχ οὕτω πολλὰ ἔρεῖς.

180 Καλῶ δ' ἐναντίον ὑμῶν, ὧς ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τοὺς θεοὺς πάντας καὶ πάσας, ὅσοι τὴν χώραν ἔχουσι τὴν Ἀττικὴν, καὶ τὸν Ἀπόλλω τὸν Πύθιον, ὅς πατρῷός ἐστι τῇ πόλει, καὶ ἐπέυχομαι πᾶσι τούτοις, εἰ μὲν ἀληθὴ πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἵποιμι καὶ εἶπον τότ' εὐθύς ἐν τῷ δήμῳ, ὅτε πρῶτον εἶδον τουτονὶ τὸν μιαρὸν τούτου τοῦ πράγματος ἀπτόμενον (ἔγνω γὰρ, εὐθέως ἔγνω), εὐτυχίαν μοι δοῦναι καὶ σωτηρίαν, εἰ δὲ πρὸς ἔχθραν ἢ φιλονεικίας ἰδίας ἕνεκ' αἰτίαν ἐπάγω τούτῳ ψευδῇ, πάντων τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀνόνητόν με ποιῆσαι.

181 Τί οὖν ταυτ' ἐπῆραμαι καὶ διετεινάμην οὕτως σφοδρῶς;

6. Post κακὸν in omnibus praeter XF* additur ὑμᾶς.

7. καὶ ποιῶν οὗτος λανθάνειν] Sic pr. X: volgo ἀ ποιῶν οὗτος ἐλάνθανεν: ἀ καὶ ποιῶν οὗτος ἐλάνθανεν A et socii.

10. ἀνάλωσε] ἀνῆλωσε X.

11. πόθεν] πολλοῦ γὰρ καὶ δεῖ add. X a m. sec. ΩΤ et volg.

23. καὶ] Om. X.

καίτοι... ἀμείνω. This sentence is a digression from the general argument of this part of the speech, which is to prove Aeschines a bad citizen. 'His abstinence in the first stage of the war proves either that he did not care to help Athens, or, if he did, was perfectly satisfied with the measures of Demosthenes.'

§ 179. Especially the latter, for he was active when there was mischief to do. He aided Philip in the Amphissian affair.

10. τὰ... δόγματα. 'The decrees about the Amphissian Locrians.' Cp. Aesch. in Ctes. §§ 113, 124; for a similar genitive, τὸ Μεγάρων ψήφισμα, Thuc. i. 140. 6.

11. ὥς διαστρέψων τὰληθές. 'As if the facts were not too strong for him.'

§ 180. I pray heaven to bless me if I

speak true in this, or curse me if the charge is a calumny.

16. πατρῷος, as the father of Ion, the national hero. Demosthenes invokes him especially, in order to mark that he repudiates Aeschines' charge of misprision of sacrilege, as well as his claim to have been the champion of religion in the affairs of Amphissa.

17. εἰ μὲν... εἵποιμι καὶ εἶπον. 'If I should speak the truth now to you, and spoke the truth then.' Demosthenes has not yet told his story of the Amphissian business, and therefore regards it as something future and uncertain, to which he is not yet committed.

§ 181. Aeschines is such a paltry traitor, you may scarcely believe he ruined Greece: you did not believe he ruined Phocis.

ὅτι καὶ γράμματ' ἔχων ἐν τῷ δημοσίῳ κείμενα, ἐξ ὧν ταύτ' ἐπιδείξω σαφῶς, καὶ ὑμᾶς εἰδὼς τὰ πεπραγμένα μνημονεύοντας, ἐκείνο φοβούμαι, μὴ τῶν εἰργασμένων αὐτῷ κακῶν ὑποληφθῇ οὗτος ἐλάττων· ὅπερ πρότερον συνέβη, ὅτε τοὺς τάλαιπώρους
 5 Φωκέας ἐποίησεν ἀπολέσθαι τὰ ψευδῇ δεῦρ' ἀπαγγέλλας· τὸν 182
 γὰρ ἐν Ἀμφίσσῃ πόλεμον, δι' ὃν εἰς Ἑλλάτειαν ἦλθε Φίλιππος καὶ δι' ὃν ἡρέθη τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων ἡγεμὼν, ὃς ἅπαντ' ἀνέτρεψε τὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων, οὗτός ἐστιν ὁ συγκατασκευάσας καὶ πάντων εἰς ἀνὴρ τῶν μεγίστων αἴτιος κακῶν. καὶ τότε εὐθὺς ἐμοῦ 183
 10 διαμαρτυρομένου καὶ βοῶντος ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ "πόλεμον εἰς τὴν Ἀττικὴν εἰσάγεις, Αἰσχίνη, πόλεμον Ἀμφικτυονικόν" οἱ μὲν ἐκ παρακλήσεως συγκαθήμενοι οὐκ εἶπον με λέγειν, οἱ δ' ἐθαύμαζον καὶ κενὴν αἰτίαν διὰ τὴν ἰδίαν ἔχθραν ἐπάγειν με ὑπελάμβανον αὐτῷ. ἥτις δ' ἡ φύσις, ὃ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, γέγονε 184
 15 τούτων τῶν πραγμάτων, καὶ τίνος ἕνεκα ταῦτα συνεσκευάσθη καὶ πῶς ἐπράχθη, νῦν ἀκούσατε, ἐπειδὴ τότε ἐκωλύθητε· καὶ γὰρ εἰ πράγμα συντεθὲν ὄψεσθε, καὶ μεγάλα ὠφελήσεσθε πρὸς ἱστορίαν τῶν κοινῶν, καὶ ὅση δεινότης ἦν ἐν τῷ Φιλίππῳ θεάσεσθε.

2. μνημονεύοντας] νύσσοντας ΣΦ B. et S.

ceteri οὗτος ἐλάσσειν ὑποληφθῇ.

9. τῶν] Om. ΣΦ. Mox post κακῶν, γεόμενος add. A et socii.

EΦ et al.

16. ἀκούσατε] ὑπακούσαμε ΣΒΦ B. et S.

3. ὑποληφθῇ οὗτος ἐλάττων] Ita Σ:

8. Post Ἑλλήνων πράγματα add. TA et socii.

11. εἰσάγεις] ἀγεις

1. γράμματα refers to the χρόνοι and the letter of Philip cited below, §§ 200, 202. His passionate adjuration is provoked by the prejudice which he expects to be too strong for his documentary evidence and the knowledge of the court.

3. τῶν.. ἐλάττων. 'Too insignificant for the evil he has done,' 'too small to have been equal to so much mischief.' So below, § 246, πάντων τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων μείζων. Cp. Juv. 4. 66, 'Privatis majora fociis;' 3. 203, 'Lectus erat Codro Procula minor;' 15. 140, 'Et minor igne rogi.'

4. ὅπερ πρότερον συνέβη. When I brought him to trial and you acquitted him.

5. τὰ ψευδῇ δεῦρ' ἀπαγγέλλας. 'By his false report to you.' 'The report' already proved or admitted to be false.

§ 182. Yet the Amphissian war, which ruined everything, was his work exclusively,

τὸν.. Ἑλλάτειαν is a complete hexameter, and the following words supply three feet of another.

8. πάντων εἰς ἀνὴρ κ.τ.λ. 'It was all the work of one man, and the most mis-

chievous work that might be.'

§ 183. Accomplished in spite of my protest, which you disregarded.

10. πόλεμον.. εἰσάγεις. Cp. for the phrase the first fragment of Hegesippus, quoted on Aesch. in Ctes. § 143.

12. ἐκ παρακλήσεως. 'Those who had been summoned to support him.' They sat together in a dense body, not necessarily with their leader, for he and they had no fixed places. Cp. παράταξις (Aesch. in Ctes. § 1), παραγγελία (Dem. de F. L. § 1), παρακλητῶν δεήσεις (ibid.) Demosthenes was naturally εὐκατάληκτος (Lib. Vit. Dem. p. 4 init.), perhaps from the natural weakness of his voice: cp. Dem. de F. L. p. 405, § 228. The story in Aeschines (De F. L. p. 35, §§ 37, 38 sq.) looks unlike an invention, and if true would indicate that he was by no means always secure against his old constitutional failings.

§ 184. Now at least you shall bear the truth: it will be an useful lesson.

18. πρὸς ἱστορίαν τῶν κοινῶν. Here almost equivalent to 'history,' though the sense of investigation has not quite disappeared.

- 185 Οὐκ ἦν τοῦ πρὸς ὑμᾶς πολέμου πέρας οὐδ' ἀπαλλαγὴ Φιλίππῳ, εἰ μὴ Θηβαίους καὶ Θετταλοὺς ἐχθροὺς ποιήσειε τῇ πόλει· ἀλλὰ καίπερ ἀθλίως καὶ κακῶς τῶν στρατηγῶν τῶν ὑμετέρων πολεμούντων αὐτῷ ὅμως ὑπ' αὐτοῦ τοῦ πολέμου καὶ τῶν ληστῶν μυρία ἔπασχε κακά. οὔτε γὰρ ἐξήγετο τῶν ἐκ 5
- 186 τῆς χώρας γιγνομένων οὐδὲν οὔτ' εἰσήγετο ὧν ἐδεῖτ' αὐτῷ· ἦν δὲ οὔτ' ἐν τῇ θαλάττῃ τότε κρείττων ὑμῶν οὔτ' εἰς τὴν Ἀττικὴν ἐλθεῖν δυνατὸς μήτε Θετταλῶν ἀκολουθούντων μήτε Θηβαίων διέντων· συνέβαινε δὲ αὐτῷ τῷ πολέμῳ κρατοῦντι τοὺς ὁποιοισδήποτε ὑμεῖς ἐξεπέμπετε στρατηγούς (ἐὼ γὰρ τοῦτό γε) 10
- 187 αὐτῇ τῇ φύσει τοῦ τόπου καὶ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων ἐκατέροις καταβεῖν· εἰ μὲν οὖν τῆς ἰδίας ἕνεκ' ἐχθρας ἢ τοὺς Θετταλοὺς ἢ τοὺς Θηβαίους συμπεῖθαι βαδίζειν ἐφ' ὑμᾶς, οὐδένα ἡγεῖτο προσέξειν αὐτῷ τὸν νοῦν· ἐὰν δὲ τὰς ἐκείνων κοινὰς προφάσεις λαβὼν ἡγεμῶν αἰρεθῇ, ῥᾶον ἤλπιζε τὰ μὲν παρακροῖσθαι, τὰ 15
- δὲ πείσειν. τί οὖν; ἐπιχειρεῖ, θεάσασθ' ὡς εὖ, πόλεμον ποιῆσαι τοῖς Ἀμφικτύοσι καὶ περὶ τὴν Πυλαίαν ταραχὴν· εἰς γὰρ ταῦτ' 188 εὐθὺς αὐτοὺς ὑπελάμβανεν αὐτοῦ δεῖσθαι· εἰ μὲν τοίνυν τοῦτο

1. ὑμᾶς] ἡμᾶς A et socii. 9. συνέβαινε δὲ] συνέβαινε τε A et socii. 13. οὐδένα ἡγεῖτο προσέξειν] Ita Aristides: οὐδένα ἡγεῖτο προσέχων A et socii, οὐδέν' ἂν ἡγεῖτο προσέξειν Σ et al. B. et S.

§ 185. Philip needed to set Thebes and Thessaly against you, in order to end the war.

3. ἀθλίως. 'In sorry sort,' used oftener of moral depravity than of pure stupidity. Plutarch (De Lib. Ed. p. 6 F) has ἀθλίως ζῶντας, 'a sorry painter,' which is exactly parallel.

§ 186. Which crippled his trade and could only end by an invasion, impossible if Thessaly refused a contingent and Thebes a passage.

8. μήτε Θετταλῶν .. διέντων. 'While both the Thessalians refused a contingent and the Thebans a passage.' Both unfavourable conditions were in fact united, and their union was decisive. He expected more from the Thessalians, for he had the customs of Pagasae, their chief revenue, in his hands, and so could keep them in dependence.

11. αὐτῇ τῇ φύσει τοῦ τόπου. Philip had to supply himself in a poor country from Macedonia, which was not rich; the Athenian condottieri supplied themselves from the rich Aegean and Bosphorus.

§ 187. To obtain either he had to come forward as their chosen champion, though

already their ally. Accordingly he availed himself of the imbroglia at Pylae.

12. εἰ .. συμπαθεῖ. 'If he were to persuade.'

τῆς ἰδίας .. ἐχθρας. 'His private enmity,' opposed as well to ἐκείνων as to κοινὰς. He wanted an excuse which should concern the Thebans and Thessalians as well as himself, and one which they could join in pressing on others, as being like him Amphictyons.

14. εἰν .. αἰρεθῇ. 'If he should be chosen.' The first of a mere supposition, the second of a possible alternative: so below, § 188, εἰ .. εἰσπηγοῖτο, ἂν δ' Ἀθηναῖος ᾖ.

15. ῥᾶον ἤλπιζε κ.τ.λ. 'He hoped to succeed better between cajolery and persuasion.'

16. πόλεμον .. ταραχὴν: cp. below, § 193, ἐγκλήματα καὶ πόλεμος ἐπαράχθη. Here τοῖς Ἀμφικτύοσι and περὶ τὴν Πυλαίαν ταραχὴν may be parallel clauses, dependent on πόλεμον ποιῆσαι, giving the parties for which and the matter on which the war was got up.

§ 188. Even there he needed an Athenian agent.

ἢ τῶν παρ' ἑαυτοῦ πεμπομένων ἱερομνημόνων ἢ τῶν ἐκείνου συμ-
μάχων εἰσηγοῖτό τις, ὑπόψεσθαι τὸ πρᾶγμα ἐνόμιζε καὶ τοὺς
Θηβαίους καὶ τοὺς Θετταλοὺς καὶ πάντας φυλάξεσθαι, ἃν δ'
'Αθηναῖος ἢ καὶ παρ' ὑμῶν τῶν ὑπεναντίων ᾧ τοῦτο ποιῶν,
5 εὐπόρως λήσκειν ὅπερ συνέβη. πῶς οὖν ταῦτ' ἐποίησεν; μισ-189
θοῦται τουτονί. οὐδενὸς δὲ προειδότες, οἶμαι, τὸ πρᾶγμα
οὐδὲ φυλάττοντος, ὥσπερ εἶωθε τὰ τοιαῦτα παρ' ὑμῖν γίγ-
νεσθαι, προβληθεὶς πυλάγορος οὗτος καὶ τριῶν ἢ τεττάρων
χειροτονησάντων αὐτὸν ἀνερρήθη. ὥς δὲ τὸ τῆς πόλεως ἀξίωμα 190
10 λαβὼν ἀφίκετο εἰς τοὺς Ἀμφικτυόνας, πάντα τὰλλ' ἀφείς καὶ
παριδὼν ἐπέβαινε ἐφ' οἷς ἐμισθώθη, καὶ λόγους εὐπροσώπους
καὶ μύθους, ὅθεν ἡ Κιρραία χώρα καθιερώθη, συνθείς καὶ διεξε-
λθὼν ἀνθρώπους ἀπείρους λόγων καὶ τὸ μέλλον οὐ προορωμέ-
νους, τοὺς ἱερομνήμονας, πείθει ψηφίσασθαι περιελθεῖν τὴν 191
15 χώραν ἣν οἱ μὲν Ἀμφισσεῖς σφῶν αὐτῶν οὔσαν γεωργεῖν
ἔφασαν, οὗτος δὲ τῆς ἱερᾶς χώρας ἡτιάτῃ εἶναι, οὐδεμίαν δίκην
τῶν Δοκρῶν ἐπαγόντων ἡμῖν, οὐδ' ἂν νῦν οὗτος προφασίζεται,
λέγων. οὐκ ἀληθῆ. γινώσσετε δ' ἐκείθεν. οὐκ ἐνῆν ἄνευ τοῦ 192
προσκαλέσασθαι δήπου τοῖς Δοκροῖς δίκην κατὰ τῆς πόλεως
20 τελέσασθαι. τίς οὖν ἐκλήτευσεν ἡμᾶς; ἀπὸ ποίας ἀρχῆς; εἶπε

2. εἰσηγοῖτό] Sic T p A et socii et superscr. F: ceteri εἰσηγείτο.

8. πυλάγορος]

Sic pr. Σ: volgo πυλαγόρας.

17. προφασίζεται λέγων] Al. λέγων προφασίζεται.

20. ἀπὸ] ἐκ A et socii Dind.

1. τῶν παρ' ἑαυτοῦ. He had two votes as succeeding to the Phocians.

§ 189. *He bired Aeschines.*

6. τὸ πρᾶγμα. Not so much that he was going to be proposed, as that he was going to do mischief in the office. Demosthenes says that no one thought it worth while to stay to the end of the assembly, to vote against Aeschines; they treated the matter as a merely formal nomination to a merely formal office. This, rather than a censure, like § 176, is the point of ὥσπερ εἶωθε τὰ τοιαῦτα παρ' ὑμῖν γίγνεσθαι: cp. Aesch. in Ctes. § 136.

§ 190. *Who was sent with your authority, and lost no time about beginning Philip's business.*

12. μύθους, ὅθεν. 'Legends of the grounds on which.'

13. ἀνθρώπους ἀπείρους λόγων. Almost, 'Poor creatures who could not resist fine talk,' with the usual compassionate sense of ἀνθρώπου. The Amphictyons originally were a confederation of the most backward parts of Greece.

14. τοὺς ἱερομνήμονας. It seems to

have been optional to send Pylagori: yet the phrase supports the view mentioned on Ae. in Ctes. § 117.

§ 191. *He induced the Amphictyons to beat the bounds of territory in dispute, though the Amphissians had given us no offence.*

περιελθεῖν. Exactly, 'To beat the bounds.'

περιελθεῖν .. εἶναι. Demosthenes defends the Amphissians, not by an appeal to prescription, but by suggesting that the dispute arose about a doubtful question of boundaries.

16. οὐδεμίαν .. προφασίζεται. 'Bringing no charge against us, nor shewing the feeling he makes us a pretence of now.'

§ 192. *There is no evidence of a citation from them.*

18. οὐκ ἐνῆν .. δήπου. 'Of course it was out of the question.' All that Aeschines asserts (In Ctes. §§ 117, 118), is that a complaint was in contemplation, not that a complaint has been actually laid: and after all Athens might have been condemned unheard, as Amphissa was at last.

20. ἀπὸ ποίας ἀρχῆς. 'From whose

τὸν εἰδότα, δείξον. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἂν ἔχοις, ἀλλὰ κενὴ προφάσει
 193 ταύτη κατεχρῶ καὶ ψευδεῖ. περμιόντων τοίνυν τὴν χώραν τῶν
 Ἀμφικτυόνων κατὰ τὴν ὑφήγησιν τὴν τούτου, προσπεσόντες
 οἱ Λοκροὶ μικροῦ μὲν κατηκόντισαν ἅπαντας, τινὰς δὲ καὶ
 συνήρπασαν τῶν ἱερομνημόνων. ὥς δ' ἅπαξ ἐκ τούτων ἐγκλή- 5
 ματα καὶ πόλεμος πρὸς τοὺς Ἀμφισσεῖς ἐταράχθη, τὸ μὲν
 πρῶτον ὁ Κόττυφος αὐτῶν τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων ἤγαγε στρα-
 194 τίαν, ὥς δ' οἱ μὲν οὐκ ἦλθον, οἱ δ' ἐλθόντες οὐδὲν ἐποίουν, εἰς
 τὴν ἐπιούσαν πυλαίην ἐπὶ τὸν Φίλιππον εὐθὺς ἡγεμόνα ἤγον
 οἱ κατεσκευασμένοι καὶ πάλοι πονηροὶ τῶν Θετταλῶν καὶ τῶν 10
 ἐν ταῖς ἄλλαις πόλεσι. καὶ προφάσεις εὐλόγους εἰλήφεσαν·
 ἡ γὰρ αὐτοὺς εἰσφέρειν καὶ ξένους τρέφειν ἔφασαν δεῖν καὶ
 195 ζῆμιον τοὺς μὴ ταῦτα ποιοῦντας, ἡ κείνον αἰρεῖσθαι. τί δὲ
 τὰ πολλὰ λέγειν; ἡρέθη γὰρ ἐκ τούτων ἡγεμὼν. καὶ μετὰ
 ταῦτ' εὐθέως δύναμιν συλλέξας καὶ παρελθὼν ὥς ἐπὶ τὴν Κιρ- 15
 ραίαν, ἐρρώσθαι φράσας πολλὰ Κιρραίοις καὶ Λοκροῖς, τὴν
 Ἑλλάτειαν καταλαμβάνει. εἰ μὲν οὖν μὴ μετέγνωσαν εὐθέως,
 ὥς τοῦτ' εἶδον, οἱ Θηβαῖοι καὶ μεθ' ἡμῶν ἐγένοντο, ὥσπερ
 χειμάρρους ἂν ἅπαν τοῦτο τὸ πρᾶγμα εἰς τὴν πόλιν εἰσέπεσε·
 196 νῦν δὲ τό γ' ἐξαίφνης ἐπέσχον αὐτὸν ἐκείνοι, μάλιστα μὲν, ὃ 20
 ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, θεῶν τινὸς εὐνοίᾳ πρὸς ὑμᾶς, εἴτα μέντοι
 καὶ, ὅσον καθ' ἓνα ἄνδρα, καὶ δι' ἐμέ. ὁδὸς δέ μοι τὰ δόγματα

1. κενῇ] κενῇ Σ (sed volgato superscr.) A et socii: vid. annot.

ἅπαντας] ΑΙ. ἅπαντας κατηκόντισαν.

πολλὰ] πολλὰ φράσας A et socii: statim multi inserunt καὶ, et mox iidem plerique om. ὡς

τοῦτ' εἶδον, οἱ.

4. κατηκόντισαν

15. εὐθέως] ΑΙ. εὐθὺς.

16. φράσας

archonship does the summons date? Of course ἐπὶ, the reading of some MSS. and Edd., is easier. 'In whose archonship was the summons laid?' ποῖας ironically for what does not exist: so Ar. Nub. 247, 1233, ποιοῦς θεός.

1. κενῇ. The reading κενῇ, though perhaps less likely, has rather better MS. authority. The words are very frequently confused, as at least in late Greek they were pronounced almost exactly alike; but though this accounts for the variation, it does not prove which variant is right. κενῇ gives a perfectly good sense, 'a new excuse,' invented for this occasion only.

§ 193. The Locrians defended themselves with success against the Amphictyons.

5. ἐγκλήματα καὶ πόλεμος .. ἐταράχθη: cp. above, § 187, πόλεμος .. καὶ .. ταραχῇ. Tr. 'Stirred up a pother of grievances and war,' the war to enforce the complaints of Aesch. in Ctes. §§ 128, 129.

§ 194. So the latter called in Philip.

8. οὐδὲν ἐποίουν. 'Did nothing,' 'got nothing done,' 'effected nothing.'

εἰς τὴν κ.τ.λ. 'Made a movement for Philip against the next meeting.'

11. εἰλήφεσαν. 'They had got by this time' (thanks to Aeschines). He had said before that Philip's allies could not venture to move.

§§ 195, 196. Philip was elected champion of the league: he occupied Elatea. The Thebans drew back to you by heaven's blessing and by my advice.

14. ἐκ τούτων. 'Hereupon.'

16. ἐρρώσθαι .. Λοκροῖς. 'Bidding a hearty farewell to Locrians and Cirrhaeans;' the legendary examples of the trespass of which the Locrians were accused. Several MSS. insert καὶ before Κιρραίοις, which emphasises the point: 'Paid as little attention to the Locrians of his own day, as to the Cirrhaeans of Solon's.'

20. νῦν δ' .. ἐπέσχον. 'As it was, they delayed him so far as the surprise went.'

ταῦτα καὶ τοὺς χρόνους ἐν οἷς ἕκαστα πέπρακται, ἵν' εἰδῇτε ἡλίκᾳ πράγματα ἢ μισρὰ κεφαλὴ ταραξάσα αὕτη δίκην οὐκ ἔδωκε. λέγε μοι τὰ δόγματα.

ΔΟΓΜΑ ΑΜΦΙΚΤΥΟΝΩΝ.

- 5 [Ἐπὶ ἱερέως Κλειναγόρου, ἑαρινῆς πυλαίας, ἔδοξε τοῖς πυλαγόροις 197 καὶ τοῖς συνέδροις τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων καὶ τῷ κοινῷ τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων, ἐπειδὴ Ἀμφισσεῖς ἐπιβαίνουσιν ἐπὶ τὴν ἱερὰν χώραν καὶ σπείρουσι καὶ βοσκήμασι κατανέμουσιν, ἐπελθεῖν τοὺς πυλαγόρους καὶ τοὺς συνέδρους, καὶ στήλαις διαλαβεῖν τοὺς δρους, καὶ ἀπειπεῖν τοῖς Ἀμφισσεῦσι τοῦ
10 λοιποῦ μὴ ἐπιβαίνειν.]

ΕΤΕΡΟΝ ΔΟΓΜΑ.

- [Ἐπὶ ἱερέως Κλειναγόρου, ἑαρινῆς πυλαίας, ἔδοξε τοῖς πυλαγόροις 198 καὶ τοῖς συνέδροις τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων καὶ τῷ κοινῷ τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων, ἐπειδὴ οἱ ἐξ Ἀμφίσσης τὴν ἱερὰν χώραν κατανειμάμενοι γεωργοῦσι καὶ βοσκήματα νέμονται, καὶ κωλυόμενοι τοῦτο ποιεῖν, ἐν τοῖς ὅπλοις
15 παραγενόμενοι, τὸ κοινὸν τῶν Ἑλλήνων συνέδριον κεκωλύκασιν μετὰ βίας, τινὰς δὲ καὶ τετραυματίκασιν, τὸν στρατηγὸν τὸν ἡγούμενον τῶν 199 Ἀμφικτυόνων Κόττυφον τὸν Ἀρκάδα πρεσβεῦσαι πρὸς Φίλιππον τὸν Μακεδόνα, καὶ ἀξιοῦν ἵνα βοηθήσῃ τῷ τε Ἀπόλλωνι καὶ τοῖς Ἀμφικ-
20 τυοῖσι, ὅπως μὴ περιβῇ ὑπὸ τῶν ἀσεβῶν Ἀμφισσέων τὸν θεὸν πλημ- μελούμενον· καὶ διότι αὐτὸν στρατηγὸν αὐτοκράτορα αἰροῦνται οἱ Ἕλληνες οἱ μετέχοντες τοῦ συνεδρίου τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων.]

Λέγε δὴ καὶ τοὺς χρόνους ἐν οἷς ταῦτ' ἐγίγνετο· εἰσὶ γὰρ 200 καθ' οὓς ἐπυλαγόρησεν οὗτος. λέγε.

25

ΧΡΟΝΟΙ.

[Ἄρχων Μνησιθείδης, μηνὸς ἀνθεστηριῶνος ἕκτη ἐπὶ δέκα.]

- Δὸς δὴ τὴν ἐπιστολὴν ἦν, ὥς οὐχ ὑπήκουον οἱ Θηβαῖοι, 201 πέμπει πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Πελοποννήσῳ συμμάχους ὁ Φίλιππος, ἵν' εἰδῇτε καὶ ἐκ ταύτης σαφῶς ὅτι τὴν μὲν ἀληθῆ πρόφασιν
30 τῶν πραγμάτων, τὸ ταῦτ' ἐπὶ τὴν Ἑλλάδα καὶ τοὺς Θηβαίους

4. ΔΟΓΜΑ] ΔΟΓΜΑΤΑ Σ.
legebatur -pas et -pas.

17. τὸν στρατηγὸν] καὶ τὸν στρατηγὸν libri omnes.

δεκάτη. Et in spurio utique decreto fortasse is error non tollendus.

et socii B. et S. : ceteri et Dind. om.

5, 8. πυλαγόροις .. -ρους] Ita optimi libri passim :
6. καὶ τῷ κοινῷ τῶν Ἀμφικτυόνων] Om. Σ et alii.

26. δέκα] Ita Taylor : libri

27. δὴ] Sic Σ A

§§ 197-199. [*Decrees of the Amphictyons.*]

18. τὸν Ἀρκάδα. He was a Pharsalian (Aesch. in Ctes. § 125).

§ 200. [*Dates.*]

26. Ἄρχων Μνησιθείδης : cp. Aesch. in Ctes. § 62, ὁ χρόνος Θεμιστοκλῆς ἀρ-
χων.

§ 201. Philip's letter to Peloponnesians,

proves he needed the Amphictyonic pretext.

29. πρόφασιν. The 'reason' that he put forward sincerely in his own council.

30. τὸ .. πράττειν. 'That he was doing this against Greece and Thebes and you,' while he affected to be carrying out a policy in which all were interested, and executing Amphictyonic decrees.

καὶ ὑμᾶς πράττειν, ἀπεκρύνετο, κοινὰ δὲ καὶ τοῖς Ἀμφικ-
τύοσι δόξαντα ποιεῖν προσεποιεῖτο· ὁ δὲ τὰς ἀφορμὰς ταύτας
καὶ τὰς προφάσεις αὐτῷ παρασχὼν οὗτος ἦν. λέγει.

ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ.

- 202 [Βασιλεὺς Μακεδόνων Φίλιππος Πελοποννησίων τῶν ἐν τῇ συμ- 5
μαχίᾳ τοῖς δημιουργοῖς καὶ τοῖς συνέδροις καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις συμμάχοις
πᾶσι χαίρειν. ἐπειδὴ Λοκροὶ οἱ καλούμενοι Ὀζόλαι, κατοικοῦντες
ἐν Ἀμφίσσῃ, πλημμελοῦσιν εἰς τὸ ἱερὸν τοῦ Ἀπόλλωνος τοῦ ἐν
Δελφοῖς καὶ τὴν ἱερὰν χώραν ἐρχόμενοι μεθ' ὧν δπλων λεηλατοῦσι,
βούλομαι τῷ θεῷ μεθ' ὑμῶν βοηθεῖν καὶ ἀμύνεσθαι τοὺς παρα- 10
βαλυντάς τι τῶν ἐν ἀνθρώποις εὐσεβῶν· ὥστε συναντᾶτε μετὰ
τῶν δπλων εἰς τὴν Φωκίδα, ἔχοντες ἐπισιτισμὸν ἡμερῶν τετταρά-
κοντα, τοῦ ἐνεστώτος μηνὸς λφου, ὥς ἡμεῖς ἄγομεν, ὥς δὲ Ἀθηναῖοι,
βοηδρομιῶνος, ὥς δὲ Κορίνθιοι, πανήμου. τοῖς δὲ μὴ συναντήσασι
πανδημεὶ χρυσόμεθα [τοῖς δὲ συμβούλοις ἡμῖν κεμένοις] ἐπιζη- 15
μίους. εὐτυχεῖτε.]
- 203 Ὅραθ' ὅτι φεύγει μὲν τὰς ἰδίας προφάσεις, εἰς δὲ τὰς Ἀμ-
φικτυονικὰς καταφεύγει. τίς οὖν ὁ ταῦτα συμπαρασκευάσας
αὐτῷ; τίς ὁ τὰς προφάσεις ταύτας ἐνδούς; τίς ὁ τῶν κακῶν
τῶν γεγενημένων μάλιστα αἴτιος; οὐχ οὗτος; μὴ τοίνυν λέ- 20
γετε, ὡς ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, περιόντες ὥς ὑφ' ἐνὸς τοιαῦτα πέ-
204 πονθεν ἡ Ἑλλάς ἀνθρώπου. οὐχ ὑφ' ἐνὸς, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ πολλῶν
καὶ πονηρῶν τῶν παρ' ἐκάστοις, ὡς γῆ καὶ θεοί. ὦν εἰς οὐτοσί,
ὄν, εἰ μὴδὲν εὐλαβηθέντα τάληθές εἰπεῖν δέοι, οὐκ ἂν ὀκνήσαιμι
ἔγωγε κοινὸν ἀλιτῆριον τῶν μετὰ ταῦτα ἀπολωλότων ἀπάντων 25
εἰπεῖν, ἀνθρώπων, τόπων, πόλεων· ὁ γὰρ τὸ σπέρμα παρασχών,

* 3. ἦν] ἦν αὐτῷ A et socii.

15. κεμένοις] μὴ κεμένοις nonnulli. 'Fort. χρυσόμεθα τοῖς διὰ συμβόλοις ἡμῖν κεμένοις.'
Sauppins. 17. μὲν] Om. Σ B. et S. 20. λέγετε] Om. pr. Σ. 23. τῶν]

Om. pr. Σ B. et S. οὐτοσί] Sic Σ: ceteri οὕτως ἔστω.

§ 202. [Philip's letter.]

13. λφου. The Boeotian and Corinthian Panemus coincided with Metageitnion. Lous came next after Panemus, and of course it is possible that different states which had the same names for the months, may have followed different systems of intercalation: cp. Thuc. 5. 19 init.

14. τοῖς δὲ μὴ... ἐπιζημίους. This seems a confusion of two clauses, τοῖς δὲ συναντήσασι πανδημεὶ συμβούλοις χρυσόμεθα: τοῖς δὲ μὴ [κατὰ τὰς συνθηκὰς] ἡμῖν κειμένας, ἐπιζημίους. After the words in brackets fell out, the rest was sure to follow. There are traces in some MSS. that μὴ belongs to the second

clause.

§ 203. Aeschines gave him the help he needed.

18. καταφεύγει. 'Takes refuge in,' 'ensconces himself behind.' φεύγειν is to fly to a point beyond the reach of danger. καταφεύγειν, to fly to a point where one is protected from danger.

21. περιόντες. We should invert the verb and participle, 'Don't go about saying.' Cp. Dem. de F. L. §§ 208, 209, περιὼν λέγει, ἐτραφεῖ περιών.

§ 204. He was one of many, and himself answerable for all that followed.

26. τόπων, πόλεων. 'Regions and states.'

οὗτος τῶν φύντων αἴτιος. ὃν ὅπως ποτὲ οὐκ εὐθὺς ἰδόντες ἀπεστράφητε θαυμάζω. πλὴν πολὺ τι σκότος, ὡς ἔοικεν, ἐστὶ παρ' ὑμῖν πρὸ τῆς ἀληθείας.

Συμβέβηκε τοίνυν μοι τῶν κατὰ τῆς πατρίδος τούτῳ πε- 205
5 πραγμένων ἀψαμένῳ εἰς ἃ τοῦτοις ἐναντιούμενος αὐτὸς πεπο-
λίτευμαι ἀφίχθαι· ἃ πολλῶν μὲν ἔνεκ' ἂν εἰκότως ἀκούσαιοί τε
μου, μάλιστα δ' ὅτι αἰσχρὸν ἐστίν, ὃ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, εἰ ἐγὼ
μὲν τὰ ἔργα τῶν ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν πόνων ὑπέμεινα, ὑμεῖς δὲ μὴδὲ
τοὺς λόγους αὐτῶν ἀνέξεσθε. ὁρῶν γὰρ ἐγὼ Θηβαίους, σχε- 206
10 δὸν δὲ καὶ ὑμᾶς ὑπὸ τῶν τὰ Φιλίππου φρονούντων καὶ διε-
φθαρμένων παρ' ἑκατέροις, ὃ μὲν ἦν ἀμφοτέροις φοβερὸν καὶ
φυλακῆς πολλῆς δεόμενον, τὸ τὸν Φίλιππον εἶαν αὐξάνεσθαι,
παρορῶντας καὶ οὐδὲ καθ' ἓν φυλαττομένους, εἰς ἔχθραν δὲ
καὶ τὸ προσκρούειν ἀλλήλοις ἐτοίμως ἔχοντας, ὅπως τοῦτο μὴ
15 γενήσεται παρατηρῶν διετέλουν, οὐκ ἀπὸ τῆς ἐμαντοῦ γνώμης 207
μόνον ταῦτα συμφέρειν ὑπολαμβάνων, ἀλλ' εἰδὼς Ἀριστο-
φῶντα καὶ πάλιν Εὐβουλον πάντα τὸν χρόνον βουλομένους
πρᾶξαι ταύτην τὴν φιλίαν, καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων πολλάκις
ἀντιλέγοντας ἑαυτοῖς τοῦθ' ὁμογνωμονοῦντας αἰεὶ. οὓς σὺ ζῶν-
20 τας μὲν, ὃ κίναδος, κολακεύων παρηκολούθεις, τεθνεώτων δ' οὐκ
αἰσθάνει κατηγορῶν· ἃ γὰρ περὶ Θηβαίων ἐπιτιμὰς ἐμοὶ, ἐκεί-
νων πολὺ μᾶλλον ἢ ἐμοῦ κατηγορεῖς, τῶν πρότερον ἢ ἐγὼ
ταύτην τὴν συμμαχίαν δοκιμασάντων. ἀλλ' ἐκείσε ἐπάνειμι, ὅτι 208

1. φύντων] φύντων κακῶν X et al. B. et S.

A et socii eikótws akóusaté, ceteri eikótws akóuseteí.

al.: legebatur πρᾶττόντων.

facilior conjectura.

19. ἐαυτοῖς] Om. pr. X. Statim els add. A et socii.

21. αἰσθάνει]

ve.] Sic X: legebatur αἰσχύνει.

6. ἂν εἰκότως ἀκούσαιοί τε] Sic X:

10. φρονούντων] Sic XA et

al.: φρονούντων] Sic XA et

al.: φρονούντων] Sic XA et

al.: φρονούντων] Sic XA et

al.: φρονούντων] Sic XA et

1. ὃν .. ἀπεστράφητε. Like the Latin *aversari*. ὃν depends on ἀπεστράφητε, not ἰδόντες.

§ 205. *I am brought round from his treason to my service.*

4. συμβέβηκε κ.τ.λ. i. e. 'I now, in the natural course of my argument, have come to the time of my chief public services, and cannot be blamed if I describe them.' Above, §§ 4, 5, we have a similar but more deferential apology for self-praise; here the tone is so bold, that Dissen doubts if the passage was actually spoken.

§ 206. *I promoted alliances with Thebes,*

§ 207. *Like Eubulus and Aristophon, whom you flattered in their life, though you denounced their policy in my hands,*

16. Ἀριστοφῶντα: cp. Aesch. in Ctes.

§ 139. It is possible that Aristophon desired a close understanding between the two states as a condition of an active foreign policy, Eubulus as a guarantee of perpetual peace. Cp. ad § 26, above: cp. also, for Demosthenes' respect for Thebes, De F. L. pp. 384, 385, § 152 sqq.

20. κολακεύων. According to the anonymous life, both these statesmen nominated him as clerk,

οὐκ αἰσθάνει. So X for οὐκ αἰσχύνει, which presupposes the sense of αἰσθάνει. 'You do not give a thought to the effect your language has on the reputation of your patrons.'

§ 208. *And brought Athens to the brink of ruin by the antagonism you and yours kept up.*

τὸν ἐν Ἀμφίσσῃ πόλεμον τούτου μὲν ποιήσαντος, συμπερι-
ναμένων δὲ τῶν ἄλλων τῶν συνεργῶν αὐτῷ τὴν πρὸς Θηβαίους
ἔχθραν, συνέβη τὸν Φίλιππον ἐλθεῖν ἐφ' ἡμᾶς, οὐπερ ἔνεκα τὰς
πόλεις οὗτοι συνέκρουον, καὶ εἰ μὴ προεξανέστημεν μικρὸν, οὐδ'
ἀναλαβεῖν ἂν ἐδυνήθημεν· οὕτω μέχρι πόρρω προήγαγον οὗτοι ἡ
τὴν ἔχθραν. ἐν οἷς δ' ἦτε ἤδη τὰ πρὸς ἀλλήλους, τουτωνὶ
τῶν ψηφισμάτων ἀκούσαντες καὶ τῶν ἀποκρίσεων εἴσεσθε. Καί
μοι λέγε ταῦτα λαβών.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

- 209 [Ἐπὶ ἀρχοντος Ἡροπίθου, μηνὸς ἐλαφβολιῶνος ἕκτη φθίνοντος, 10
φυλῆς πρυτανεύουσας Ἐρεχθίδος, βουλῆς καὶ στρατηγῶν γνώμη,
ἐπειδὴ Φίλιππος ἂς μὲν κατέλιπε πόλεις τῶν ἀστυγαιτόνων, τινὰς
δὲ πορθεῖ, κεφαλαίῳ δὲ ἐπὶ τὴν Ἀττικὴν παρασκευάζεται παραγί-
νεσθαι, παρ' οὐδὲν ἡγούμενος τὰς ἡμετέρας συνθήκας, καὶ τοὺς ὁρκους
210 λύειν ἐπιβάλλεται καὶ τὴν εἰρήνην, παραβαίνων τὰς κοινὰς πίστεις, 15
δεδοχθαι τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ πέμψει πρὸς αὐτὸν πρέσβεις, οἵτινες
αὐτῷ διαλέξονται καὶ παρακαλέσουσι αὐτὸν μάλιστα μὲν τὴν πρὸς
ἡμᾶς ὁμόνοιαν διατηρεῖν καὶ τὰς συνθήκας, εἰ δὲ μὴ, πρὸς τὸ βουλευ-
σασθαι δοῦναι χρόνον τῇ πόλει καὶ τὰς ἀνοχὰς ποιήσασθαι μέχρι τοῦ
θαργηλιῶνος μηνός. ἡρέθησαν ἐκ τῆς βουλῆς Σίμος Ἀναγυράσιος, 20
Εὐθύδημος Φυλάσιος, Βουλαγόρας Ἀλωπεκῆθεν.]

ΕΤΕΡΟΝ ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ.

- 211 [Ἐπὶ ἀρχοντος Ἡροπίθου, μηνὸς μουνηχιῶνος ἔξη καὶ νέα, πολε-
μάρχου γνώμη, ἐπειδὴ Φίλιππος εἰς ἀλλοτριότητα Θηβαίους πρὸς ἡμᾶς
ἐπιβάλλεται καταστήσαι, παρεσκεύασται δὲ καὶ παντὶ τῷ στρατεύματι 25
πρὸς τοὺς ἐγγιστα τῆς Ἀττικῆς παραγίνεσθαι τόπους, παραβαίνων τὰς
212 πρὸς ἡμᾶς ὑπαρχούσας αὐτῷ συνθήκας, δεδοχθαι τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ
πέμψαι πρὸς αὐτὸν κήρυκα καὶ πρέσβεις, οἵτινες ἀξιώσουσι καὶ παρα-
καλέσουσιν αὐτὸν ποιήσασθαι τὰς ἀνοχὰς, ὅπως ἐνδεχομένως ὁ δῆμος

6. τὴν ἔχθραν] Sic Σ et al.: usque ad Bekkerum legebatur τὸ πρᾶγμα: om. A et socii et marg. Σ.

7. εἴσεσθε .. λαβών] οὕτω εἴσεσθε. λέγε δὲ καὶ τὰ ἀποκρίσεις

ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ ΦΙΛΙΠΠΟΥ. ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ. οὕτω διαθείς κ.τ.λ. Α.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑΤΑ Σ B. et S.

13. πορθεῖ] + πορθεῖν Σ †.

mox Ἀναγυράσιος Σ et al., et Φιλιάσιος plerique.

22. ΕΤΕΡΟΝ] Om. Σ, utpote

cujus exemplar supra ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑΤΑ semel tantum pro utriusque decreti titulo scripsisset.

1. τὸν .. ποιήσαντος. The order is curious, because it implies that Aeschines made the war and others did something else to the war. It would make the sentence more symmetrical to omit τὴν πρὸς Θηβαίους ἔχθραν with some MSS., or even to substitute τὸ πρᾶγμα for τὴν ἔχθραν with all the MSS. which insert the phrase except Σ.

3. συνέβη τὸν Φίλιππον. Reiske wished

to read συνέβη ἄν.

§§ 209, 210. [Decree.]

§§ 211, 212. [Decree.]

23. Ἐπὶ .. Ἡροπίθου. It is possible of course that the thirty-six days between the two decrees may have fallen into one prytany: more probably the compiler, instead of dating the second decree by the right clerk, meant to date both decrees by the same archon.

βουλευσῆται· καὶ γὰρ νῦν οὐ κέκρικε βοηθεῖν ἐν οὐδενὶ τῶν μετρίων. ἤρεθθησαν ἐκ τῆς βουλῆς Νέαρχος Σωσινόμου, Πολυκράτης Ἐπιφρόνος, καὶ κῆρυξ Εὐνομος Ἀναφλύστιος ἐκ τοῦ δήμου.]

Λέγε δὴ καὶ τὰς ἀποκρίσεις.

5

ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ ΑΘΗΝΑΙΟΙΣ.

[Βασιλεὺς Μακεδόνων Φίλιππος Ἀθηναίων τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ **213** χαίρειν. ἦν μὲν ἀπ' ἀρχῆς εἴχετε πρὸς ἡμᾶς αἵρεσιν, οὐκ ἄγνοοι, καὶ τίνα σπουδὴν ποιείσθε προσκαλέσασθαι βουλόμενοι Θετταλοὺς καὶ Θηβαίους, ἐτι δὲ καὶ Βοιωτούς· βέλτιον δ' αὐτῶν φρονούντων καὶ μὴ **214** βουλομένων ἐφ' ὑμῖν ποιήσασθαι τὴν ἐαυτῶν αἵρεσιν, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὸ **214** συμφέρον ἱσταμένων, νῦν ἐξ ὑποστροφῆς ἀποστειλαντες ὑμεῖς πρὸς με πρέσβεις καὶ κήρυκα συνθηκῶν, μνημονεύετε καὶ τὰς ἀνοχὰς αἰτείσθε, κατ' οὐδὲν ὑφ' ἡμῶν πεπλημμελημένοι. ἐγὼ μέντοι ἀκούσας τῶν πρε- **215** σβευτῶν συγκατατίθεμαι τοῖς παρακαλουμένοις καὶ ἔτοιμός εἰμι ποιεῖ- **215** σθαι τὰς ἀνοχὰς, ἃν περ τοὺς οὐκ ὀρθῶς συμβουλευόντας ὑμῖν παρα- **215** πέμψαντες τῆς προσήκουσας ἀτιμίας ἀξιώσητε. ἔρρωσθε.]

ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ ΘΗΒΑΙΟΙΣ.

[Βασιλεὺς Μακεδόνων Φίλιππος Θηβαίων τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ **216** χαίρειν. ἐκομισάμην τὴν παρ' ὑμῶν ἐπιστολὴν, δι' ἧς μοι τὴν ὁμό- **20** νοιαν ἀνανεοῦσθε καὶ τὴν εἰρήνην ὄντως ἐμοὶ ποιείτε. πυνθάνομαι **20** μέντοι διότι πᾶσαν ὑμῖν Ἀθηναῖοι προσφέρονται φιλοτιμίαν βουλό- **20** μενοι ὑμᾶς συγκαταλόους γενέσθαι τοῖς ὑπ' αὐτῶν παρακαλουμένοις. **20** πρότερον μὲν οὖν ὑμῶν κατεγύγνωσκον ἐπὶ τῷ μέλλειν πείθεσθαι ταῖς **20** ἐκείνων ἐλπίσι καὶ ἐπακολουθεῖν αὐτῶν τῇ προαίρεσει. νῦν δ' ἐπι- **216** **25** γνους ὑμᾶς τὰ πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἐζητηκότας ἔχειν εἰρήνην μᾶλλον ἢ ταῖς ἐτέρων **25** ἐπακολουθεῖν γνώμαις, ἥσθην καὶ μᾶλλον ὑμᾶς ἐπαινώ κατὰ πολλὰ, **25** μάλιστα δ' ἐπὶ τῷ βουλευσάσθαι περὶ τούτων ἀσφαλέστερον καὶ τὰ **25** πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἔχειν ἐν εὐνοίᾳ· ὅπερ οὐ μικρὰν ὑμῖν οἴσειν ἐλπίζω ῥοπήν, **25** ἑάν περ ἐπὶ ταύτης μένητε τῆς προθέσεως. ἔρρωσθε.] **25**

30 Οὕτω διαθεῖς ὁ Φίλιππος τὰς πόλεις πρὸς ἀλλήλας διὰ **217**

2. τῆς] Om. Σ et al.

nonnulli ΑΘΗΝΑΙΟΙΣ omittunt.

Ae. in Ct. § 62.

5. ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ] Libri plerique ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ, dum

12. κήρυκα] Sic pr. B: ceteri κήρυκας. Vid. ad

supra. 17. ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ] ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ iterum habent iidem fere qui

20. ἀνανεοῦσθε] Hoc loco posui cum Φ. Legebatur post εἰρήνην. συμμα- **20**

χίαν ποιέσθαι καὶ τὴν πατριὴν φίλιαν ἀνανεοῦσθαι dixit Dem. pp. 660, 18. Post εἰρήνην **20**

in Φ est lacuna, cujus quae sit ratio ex scriptura codicis Σ intelligitur, qui post εἰρήνην, omissio **20**

verbo ἀνανεοῦσθε, has solus servavit veteris lectionis reliquias: τὰς ἐμοὶ εἰτε (litteris on a **25**

manu tertia superscriptis) ex quibus, adscita Dobrael conjectura ποιέιτε, feci ὄντως ἐμοὶ ποι- **25**

εῖτε, ut intelligi saltem haec possent' Dind. Ejus lectionem ut ingeniosam recipimus, quan- **25**

quam parum certum videtur, Φ aliquam memoriam habuisse eorum quae in Σ leguntur, **25**

et non solum haesitasse, quoniam loco ἀνανεοῦσθε ponendum esset. 25. μᾶλλον] **25**

'Malim abesse' Sauppe. 26. κατὰ] καὶ τὰ plerique.

§§ 213, 214. [Reply to Athens.]

§§ 215, 216. [Reply to Thebes.]

§ 217. Philip counted on the failure of *this policy when he occupied Elateæ.*

30. οὕτω διαθεῖς. 'Philip got the cities

into such dispositions towards each other, as are set forth in the decrees cited.' Those quoted by the compiler, if genuine, are irrelevant.

τούτων, καὶ τούτοις ἐπαρθεῖς τοῖς ψηφίσμασι καὶ ταῖς ἀποκρίσεσιν, ἦκεν ἔχων τὴν δύναμιν καὶ τὴν Ἑλάτειαν κατέλαβεν, ὡς οὐδ' ἂν εἴ τι γένοιτο ἔτι συμπνευσόντων ἡμῶν καὶ τῶν Θηβαίων. ἀλλὰ μὴν τὸν τότε συμβάντα ἐν τῇ πόλει θόρυβον ἴστε μὲν ἅπαντες· μικρὰ δ' ἀκούσατε ὅμως, αὐτὰ τὰναγκαιότατα. 5

218 Ἐσπέρα μὲν γὰρ ἦν, ἦκε δ' ἀγγέλλων τις ὡς τοὺς πρυτάνεις ὡς Ἑλάτεια κατεῖληπται. καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα οἱ μὲν εὐθὺς ἐξαναστάντες μεταξὺ δειπνοῦντες τοὺς τ' ἐκ τῶν σκηνῶν τῶν κατὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν ἐξεύργον καὶ τὰ γέρρα ἐνεπίμπρασαν, οἱ δὲ τοὺς στρατηγούς μετεπέμποντο καὶ τὸν σαλπικτὴν ἐκάλουν· καὶ θορύ- 10

219 βου πλήρης ἦν ἡ πόλις. τῇ δ' ὑστεραία ἄμα τῇ ἡμέρᾳ οἱ μὲν πρυτάνεις τὴν βουλὴν ἐκάλουν εἰς τὸ βουλευτήριον, ὑμεῖς δ' εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν ἐπορεύεσθε, καὶ πρὶν ἐκείνην χρηματίσαι καὶ προβουλευ-σαι πᾶς ὁ δῆμος ἄνω καθήτο. καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα ὡς ἦλθεν ἡ βουλὴ καὶ ἀπήγγειλαν οἱ πρυτάνεις τὰ προσηγγεγμένα ἑαυτοῖς καὶ τὸν 15

220 ἦκοντα παρήγαγον κἀκεῖνος εἶπεν, ἡρώτα μὲν ὁ κῆρυξ “τίς ἀγορεύειν βούλεται;” παρήει δ' οὐδεὶς. πολλάκις δὲ τοῦ κήρυκος ἐρωτῶντος οὐδὲν μᾶλλον ἀνίστατ' οὐδεὶς, ἀπάντων μὲν τῶν στρατηγῶν παρόντων, ἀπάντων δὲ τῶν ῥητόρων, καλούσης δὲ τῆς πατρίδος τῇ κοινῇ

3. συμπνευσόντων] συμπνευσόντων ἂν ΣΑ et socii: -σάντων ἂν Dobr. Dind. Statim ἡμῶν habent nonnulli, ἡμῶν ἂν Dion.

4. ἐν] Om. A et socii.

5. αὐτὰ

τὰναγκαιότατα] ἀναγκαιότατα pr. Σ.

10. σαλπικτὴν] Ita Dind. cum optimis

librorum.

14. ἦλθεν] Ita ΣΦΑ et socii B. et S.: volg. εἰσῆλθεν.

15. ἱαν-

τοῖς] αὐτοῖς A et socii.

19. τῆς πατρίδος τῇ κοινῇ φωνῇ] Sic Bekk. Dind. cum

γρ. ΣΦ. τῆς κοινῆς πατρίδος φωνῆς Σ B. et S.: τῇ κοινῇ τῆς πατρίδος φωνῆς A et socii.

τῆς κοινῆς τῆς πατρίδος φωνῆς ceteri.

2. τὴν δύναμιν. Almost, 'The whip hand;' perhaps simply, 'his power,' 'his forces.'

3. ὡς . . Θηβαίων. 'As though Thebes and Athens could no longer have coalesced [had lost the power of coalescing], whatever happened.' The MSS. give συμπνευσόντων, but Σ and others insert ἂν after the participle, whence Dobree and Dindorf read συμπνευσάντων: we have in fact the choice between dropping the particle and changing the tense. If we retain the future, the earlier ἂν would present no difficulty: the sense would be, 'They said the Athenians and Thebans will not, and they would not whatever might happen.' Cp. the redundant or elliptical ἂν (whichever we call it) below, § 269, etc.: cp. ὥσπερ ἂν ei below, § 369.

§§ 218-221. In your panic I stood forth alone to answer the call of my country; all were willing, I alone was ready.

9. τὰ γέρρα. The γέρρα are the wicker-work of the booths (σκήναι): they were

burnt at once to clear the ἀγορὰ, and give a signal to citizens without the walls that an assembly was soon to meet. The assemblies were held in the morning (Od. 6. 138, 139, Ar. Eccl. 20, 21, 84, 85), and it appears from Auctor in Neaeram, p. 376, § 90, that one object of this was to leave the marketplace free for other business when the assembly rose. Here the ἀγορὰ was cleared overnight: as they could not hold the assembly, they relieved their impatience with these preparations.

14. ἄνω. Up the hill of Pnyx.

16. τίς ἀγορεύειν βούλεται; cp. Ar. Ach. 45. This simple form had superseded the more elaborate citation regretted by Aeschines (in Ctes. § 4), because there was a class of habitual speakers (τῶν ῥητόρων, below, § 220) almost as responsible as the generals. Till this class rose, the theory was that all the citizens were equally well-informed, and the eldest entitled to precedence.

φωνῇ τὸν ἐρουῖνθ' ὑπὲρ σωτηρίας· ἦν γὰρ ὁ κῆρυξ κατὰ τοὺς νόμους φωνῇ ἀφήσει, ταύτην κοινὴν τῆς πατρίδος δίκαιόν ἐστιν ἡγεῖσθαι. καίτοι εἰ μὲν τοὺς σωθῆναι τὴν πόλιν βουλομένους 221 παρελθεῖν ἔδει, πάντες ἂν ὑμεῖς καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι Ἀθηναῖοι ἀναστάντες ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα ἐβαδίζετε· πάντες γὰρ οἶδ' ὅτι σωθῆναι αὐτὴν ἐβούλεσθε· εἰ δὲ τοὺς πλουσιωτάτους, οἱ τριακόσιοι· εἰ δὲ τοὺς ἀμφοτέρα ταῦτα, καὶ εὐνοὺς τῇ πόλει καὶ πλουσίους, οἱ μετὰ ταῦτα τὰς μεγάλας ἐπιδόσεις ἐπιδόντες· καὶ γὰρ εὐνοῖα καὶ πλούτῳ τοῦτ' ἐποίησαν. ἀλλ' ὥς ἔοικεν, ἐκεῖνος ὁ 222 10 καιρὸς καὶ ἡ ἡμέρα ἐκείνη οὐ μόνον εὐνοὺν καὶ πλούσιον ἄνδρα ἐκάλει, ἀλλὰ καὶ παρηκολουθηκότα τοῖς πράγμασιν ἐξ ἀρχῆς, καὶ συλλελογισμένον ὁρθῶς τίνος ἕνεκα ταῦτ' ἐπραττεν ὁ Φίλιππος καὶ τί βουλόμενος· ὁ γὰρ μὴ ταῦτ' εἰκὼς μὴδ' ἐξητακὼς πόρρωθεν ἐπιμελῶς, οὐτ' εἰ εὐνοὺς ἦν οὐτ' εἰ πλούσιος, οὐδὲν 15 μᾶλλον ἔμελλεν ὅ τι χρὴ ποιεῖν εἰσεσθαι οὐδ' ὑμῖν ἔξειν συμβουλεύειν. ἐφάνην τοίνυν οὗτος ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ ἡμέρᾳ ἐγὼ, καὶ 223 παρελθὼν εἶπον εἰς ὑμᾶς, ἃ μου δυοῖν ἕνεκ' ἀκούσατε προσσχόντες τὸν νοῦν, ἐνὸς μὲν, ἵν' εἰδῆτε ὅτι μόνος τῶν λεγόντων καὶ πολιτευομένων ἐγὼ τὴν τῆς εὐνοίας τάξιν ἐν τοῖς δεινοῖς 20 οὐκ ἔλιπον, ἀλλὰ καὶ λέγων καὶ γράφων ἐξηταζόμεν τὰ δέονθ' ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν ἐν αὐτοῖς τοῖς φοβεροῖς, ἐτέρου δὲ, ὅτι μικρὸν ἀνάλωσαντες χρόνον πολλῶ πρὸς τὰ λοιπὰ τῆς πάσης πολιτείας

5. οἶδ' Ita Σ: ceteri et volg. εὐ οἶδ'.

13. μὴδ' Ita ΣA et socii: volgo μὴτ'. Mox ἐπιμελῶς om. pr. Σ.

σχόντες] Ita Φ: προσχόντες Σ: volgo et Bekk. προσέχοντες.

12. ὁρθῶς] ἐξ ἀρχῆς ὁρθῶς Σ. Vid. annot.

17. προσ-

1. ἦν .. ἡγεῖσθαι. Aeschines parades his devotion to constitutional forms: Demosthenes idealises their spirit. There is some variation in the MSS. as to the order of the words τῆς πατρίδος τῇ κοινῇ φωνῇ: Dobree would omit ἦν γὰρ .. ἡγεῖσθαι as weak rhodomontade.

6. οἱ τριακόσιοι. The three hundred rich men who stood at the head of the symmoriae.

8. μετὰ ταῦτα κ.τ.λ. 'The men who gave such large benevolences after this.'

§ 222. A man was required who understood the circumstances, and had followed Philip's plans.

11. παρηκολουθηκότα: cp. Dem. de F. L. p. 423, § 291 fin.; in Timocr. p. 703, § 13; Aeschines in Tim. p. 16, § 116 fin.; Demades, § 1 fin. The repetition of ἐξ ἀρχῆς after ὁρθῶς in the next line, would not be unlike the manner of Demosthenes, though to our taste, and perhaps the taste of

contemporaries to whom he could be denounced as ἐπαχθῆς, the topic seems hardly suited for emphasis.

14. πόρρωθεν. Starting from a long way back.

§ 223. That man was I: let me recall my words.

16. ἐφάνην. 'Such an one was found that day in me.'

17. εἰς ὑμᾶς go rather with εἶπον than with παρελθὼν: the sense here differs from πρὸς ὑμᾶς, in implying that what Demosthenes says was spoken εἰς τὸ μέσον.

19. τὴν τῆς .. ἔλιπον. With more or less definite reference to Aeschines' reiterated charge of λιποταξία; perhaps especially to § 159 of the speech against Ctesiphon. For its metaphorical use, cp. Dem. de Synt. p. 174, § 37; Mid. p. 354 init.

22. πρὸς .. πολιτείας. 'For all future public business.'

- 224 ἔσεσθ' ἐμπειρότεροι. εἶπον τοίνυν ὅτι "τοὺς μὲν ὡς ὑπαρχόντων Θηβαίων Φιλίππῳ λίαν θορυβουμένους ἀγνοεῖν τὰ παρόντα πράγμαθ' ἡγοῦμαι· εὖ γὰρ οἶδ' ὅτι, εἰ τοιούτῳ οὕτως ἐτύγχανεν ἔχον, οὐκ ἂν αὐτὸν ἡκούομεν ἐν Ἑλατείᾳ ὄντα, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τοῖς ἡμετέροις ὁρίοις. ὅτι μέντοι ἴν' ἔτοιμα ποιήσῃται τὰ ἐν Θή-
 225 βαϊς ἡκει, σαφῶς ἐπίσταμαι. ὡς δ' ἔχει" ἔφην "ταῦτα, ἀκούσατέ μου. ἐκεῖνος ὅσους ἢ πείσαι χρήμασι Θηβαίων ἢ ἐξαπατήσαι ἐνῆν, ἅπαντας ἡντρέπισταί· τοὺς δ' ἀπ' ἀρχῆς ἀνθεστηκότας αὐτῷ καὶ νῦν ἐναντιουμένους οὐδαμῶς πείσαι δύναται. τί οὖν βούλεται, καὶ τίνος ἔνεκα τὴν Ἑλατείαν κατείληφεν; 10 πλησίον δύναμιν δείξας καὶ παραστήσας τὰ ὅπλα τοὺς μὲν ἐάντου φίλους ἐπάραι καὶ θρασεῖς ποιῆσαι, τοὺς δ' ἐναντιουμένους καταπλήξαι, ἴν' ἡ συγχωρήσῃσι φοβηθέντες ἃ νῦν οὐκ ἐθέλου-
 226 σιν, ἢ βιασθῶσιν. εἰ μὲν τοίνυν προαιρησόμεθ' ἡμεῖς" ἔφην "ἐν τῷ παρόντι, εἴ τι δύσκολον πέπρακται Θηβαίοις πρὸς 15 ἡμᾶς, τούτου μεμνήσθαι καὶ ἀπιστεῖν αὐτοῖς ὡς ἐν τῇ τῶν ἐχθρῶν οὔσι μερίδι, πρῶτον μὲν ἃ ἂν εὔξαιτο Φίλιππος ποιήσομεν, εἶτα φοβοῦμαι μὴ προσδεξαμένων τῶν νῦν ἀνθεστηκότων αὐτῷ καὶ μιᾷ γνώμῃ πάντων φιλιππισάντων εἰς τὴν Ἀττικὴν ἔλθωσιν ἀμφοτέροι. ἂν μέντοι πεισθῇτ' ἐμοὶ καὶ πρὸς 20 τῷ σκοπεῖν, ἀλλὰ μὴ φιλονεικεῖν περὶ ὧν ἂν λέγω γένησθε, οἶμαι καὶ τὰ δέοντα λέγειν δόξειν καὶ τὸν ἐφεστηκότα κίνδυνον
 227 τῇ πόλει διαλύσειν. τί οὖν φημί δεῖν; πρῶτον μὲν τὸν παρόντα ἐπανεῖναι φόβον, εἶτα μεταθέσθαι καὶ φοβεῖσθαι πάντας ὑπὲρ Θηβαίων· πολὺ γὰρ τῶν δεινῶν εἰσιν ἡμῶν ἐγγυτέρω, 25 καὶ προτέροις αὐτοῖς ἐστὶν ὁ κίνδυνος· ἐπειτ' ἐξελθόντας Ἑλευ-

2. Φιλίππῳ λίαν] Ita X Tiberius: φίλαν post Φιλίππῳ addunt A et socii, ante id ceteri.

4. ὄντα] νῦν ὄντα A et socii.

6. ἔφην, ταῦτα] Sic X: ceteri ταῦτα, ἔφην.

8. ἡντρέπισταί] εὐτρέπισταί X B. et S.

11. πλησίον] ἴνα πλησίον X.

12. ἐπάραι καὶ θρασεῖς ποιῆσαι] Al. θρασεῖς ποιῆσαι καὶ ἐπάραι.

14. τοίνυν]

Sic X: ceteri οὖν.

25. ἡμῶν] Al. om.

26. ἐστὶν] Al. om.

§ 224. I told you Philip could not count upon Thebes yet,

1. ὑπαρχόντων .. Φιλίππῳ. 'Are already secured to Philip.' Cp. Dem. de F. L. pp. 358, 377, §§ 61, 138.

§ 225. But came to complete his mastery by terrorism;

8. ἡντρέπισταί. Really a middle perfect.

12. ἐπάραι .. ποιῆσαι .. καταπλήξαι are all so many answers to the question τί βούλεται; and depend upon it.

§ 226. That his success depended on the extent of your generosity;

16. ἐν τῇ .. μερίδι. 'In infensorum loco,' rather than 'in hostium partibus.'

Cp. sup. ad § 78.

20. ἂν .. γένησθε. 'Should you take my advice, so far as to weigh my words rather than wrangle over them.' For γίνεσθαι πρὸς, cp. Plat. Phaed. p. 54 C and 65 init.

§ 227. That you must support your friends at Thebes, and

24. μεταθέσθαι is to be taken absolutely as a reflexive 'turn round:' one cannot say either in Greek or English, 'remit your present fear, and then transfer it,' etc.

26. Ἑλευσινιάδε. And no further, lest a friendly demonstration should pass for a menace at Thebes.

σινάδε τοὺς ἐν ἡλικίᾳ καὶ τοὺς ἱππέας δέξαι πᾶσιν ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς
ἐν τοῖς ὅπλοις ὄντας, ἵνα τοῖς ἐν Θήβαις φρονουσι τὰ ὑμέτερα
ἐξ ἴσου γένηται τὸ παρρησιάζεσθαι περὶ τῶν δικαίων, εἰδόσιν
ὅτι, ὥσπερ τοῖς πωλοῦσι Φιλίτῳ τὴν πατρίδα πάρεσθ' ἢ
5 βοηθήσουσα δύναμις ἐν Ἑλατεῖᾳ, οὕτω τοῖς ὑπὲρ τῆς ἐλευθερίας
ἀγωνιζέσθαι βουλομένοις ὑπάρχεθ' ὑμεῖς ἔτοιμοι καὶ βοηθήσετ',
εἰάν τις ἐπ' αὐτοὺς ἦ. μετὰ ταῦτα χειροτονῆσαι κελεύω δέκα 228
πρέσβεις, καὶ ποιῆσαι τούτους κυρίους μετὰ τῶν στρατηγῶν
καὶ τοῦ πότε δεῖ βαδίζειν ἐκείσε καὶ τῆς ἐξόδου. ἐπειδὴν δ'
10 ἔλθωσιν οἱ πρέσβεις εἰς Θήβας, πῶς χρῆσασθαι τῷ πράγματι
παραινῶ; τούτῳ πάνυ μοι προσέχετε τὸν νοῦν. μὴ δέισθαι 229
Θηβαίων μηδὲν (αἰσχυρὸς γὰρ ὁ καιρὸς), ἀλλ' ἐπαγγέλλεσθαι
βοηθήσειν, ἂν κελεύωσιν, ὥς ἐκείνων μὲν ὄντων ἐν τοῖς ἐσχάτοις,
ἡμῶν δὲ ἄμεινον ἢ κείνοι τὸ μέλλον προορωμένων ἴν' εἰν μὲν
15 δέξωνται ταῦτα καὶ πεισθῶσιν ἡμῖν, καὶ ἃ βουλόμεθα ὁμεν
διφκημένοι καὶ μετὰ προσχήματος ἀξίου τῆς πόλεως ταῦτα
πράξωμεν, ἂν δ' ἄρα μὴ συμβῇ κατατυχεῖν, ἐκείνοι μὲν αὐτοῖς
ἐγκαλῶσιν, ἂν τι νῦν ἐξαμαρτάνωσιν, ἡμῖν δὲ μηδὲν αἰσχυρὸν
μηδὲ ταπεινὸν ἢ πεπραγμένον. Ταῦτα καὶ παραπλήσια τού- 230
20 τοις εἰπὼν κατέβην. συνεπαίνεσάντων δὲ πάντων καὶ οὐδενὸς
εἰπόντος ἐναντίον οὐδὲν οὐκ εἶπον μὲν ταῦτα, οὐκ ἔγραψα δέ,

2. ὑμέτερα] ἡμέτερα A et socii et corr. Σ.
εἰδοῦσιν ΑΤΦ.

9. δεῖ βαδίζειν ἐκείσε] Sic ΣΑ et socii: ἐκείσε δεῖ βαδίζειν O, ceteri δεῖ ἐκείσε βαδίζειν.

11. δέισθαι] Sic Σ et alii plerique, et mox ἐπαγγέλλεσθαι ΣΦΦ. Utrumque etiam habet Dionysius. Legebatur δέισθε .. ἐπαγγέλλεσθε.

Om. Σ et plerique. ἐσχάτους] Sic Σ Dion.: volg. et Bekk. add. κινδύνους.

14. κείνοι ΣΦ: ἐκείνοι A et socii, ceteri κείνων vel ἐκείνων. Vid. annot.

18. ἐξαμαρτάνωσιν] Sic Σ Dion.: ceteri ἐξαμαρτάνωσιν.

3. εἰδοῦσιν] ἰδοῦσιν Σ et B. et S., εἰδοῦσιν ΑΤΦ.

9. δεῖ βαδίζειν ἐκείσε] Sic ΣΑ et socii: ἐκείσε δεῖ βαδίζειν O, ceteri δεῖ ἐκείσε βαδίζειν.

11. δέισθαι] Sic Σ et alii plerique, et mox ἐπαγγέλλεσθαι ΣΦΦ. Utrumque etiam habet Dionysius. Legebatur δέισθε .. ἐπαγγέλλεσθε.

13. μὲν] Om. Σ et plerique.

14. κείνοι ΣΦ: ἐκείνοι A et socii, ceteri κείνων vel ἐκείνων. Vid. annot.

16. προ- 18. ἐξαμαρτάνωσιν] Sic Σ Dion.: ceteri ἐξαμαρτάνωσιν.

§ 228. *Elect ten ambassadors to share the control of the army with the generals.*

9. τοῦ πότε δεῖ κ.τ.λ. τῆς ἐξόδου must mean, 'Of the armed expedition' into Boeotia which was to follow the embassy, and one can hardly suppose that the ambassadors were allowed any option as to when they themselves would start: the whole phrase therefore will mean, 'Of the time to move on Thebes, and the force to move with,' which accounts for the ambassadors being associated with the generals, who would have to conduct the ἐξόδος itself.

11. μοί. Not merely = *quæso*, but a double dative, 'Give me your attention to this point.' So Reiske.

§ 229. *The ambassadors are to offer everything, ask nothing.*

14. ἡμῶν .. προορωμένων. This clause would balance ἐκείνων μὲν ὄντων better if

we could translate, 'And we had a better outlook for the future than they,' than if we take the common sense of προορᾶν to foresee. Most MSS. beside Σ give κείνων or ἐκείνων instead of ἐκείνοι; it would be possible to account for the attraction.

16. μετὰ προσχήματος. 'In accordance with a plan that we could announce as worthy of the city.'

17. κατατυχεῖν. 'To attain a final result.'

§ 230. *That was the policy for which in the fullest sense I made myself responsible.*

21. οὐκ εἶπον κ.τ.λ. 'Did not make this speech without proposing a motion,' etc., is perhaps the nearest English equivalent to the construction. The passage was a favourite example of climax with the ancient rhetoricians.

οὐδ' ἔγραψα μὲν, οὐκ ἐπρέσβευσα δέ, οὐδ' ἐπρέσβευσα μὲν, οὐκ ἔπεισα δέ Θηβαίους, ἀλλ' ἀπὸ τῆς ἀρχῆς διὰ πάντων ἄχρι τῆς τελευτῆς διεξήλθον, καὶ ἔδωκ' ἑμαυτὸν ὑμῖν ἀπλῶς εἰς τοὺς περιεστηκότας τῇ πόλει κινδύνους. Καί μοι φέρε τὸ ψήφισμα τὸ τότε γενόμενον.

- 231 Καίτοι τίνα βούλει σέ, Αἰσχίνη, καὶ τίνα ἑμαυτὸν ἐκείνην τὴν ἡμέραν εἶναι θῶ; βούλει ἑμαυτὸν μὲν, ὃν ἂν σὺ λοιδοροῦμενος καὶ διασύρων καλέσῃς, Βάτταλον, σέ δέ μὴδ' ἦρω τὸν τυχόντα, ἀλλὰ τούτων τινὰ τῶν ἀπὸ τῆς σκηνῆς, Κρεσφόντην ἢ Κρέοντα ἢ ὃν ἐν Κολλυτῷ ποτὲ Οἰνόμαον κακῶς [ὑποκρι- 10 νόμενος] ἐπέτριψας; τότε τοῖνυν κατ' ἐκείνον τὸν καιρὸν ὁ Παιανιεύς ἐγὼ Βάτταλος Οἰνομάου τοῦ Κοθωκίδου σοῦ πλείονος ἄξιος ὢν ἐφάνην τῇ πατρίδι. σὺ μὲν γε οὐδὲν οὐδαμοῦ χρήσιμος ἦσθα· ἐγὼ δὲ πάντα, ὅσα προσήκε τὸν ἀγαθὸν πολίτην, ἔπραττον. Λέγε τὸ ψήφισμά μοι. 15

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ ΔΗΜΟΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ.

- 232 [Ἐπὶ ἄρχοντος Ναυσικλέους, φυλῆς πρυτανευούσης Αἰαντίδος, σκιροφοριῶντος ἕκτη ἐπὶ δέκα, Δημοσθένης Δημοσθένους Παιανιεύς εἶπεν, ἐπειδὴ Φίλιππος ὁ Μακεδόνων βασιλεὺς ἔν τε τῷ παρεληλυθότι χρόνῳ παραβαίνων φαίνεται τὰς γεγενημένας αὐτῷ συν- 20 θήκας πρὸς τὸν Ἀθηναίων δῆμον περὶ τῆς εἰρήνης, ὑπεριδὼν τοὺς

2. διὰ πάντων] Om. pr. Σ B. et S.
Σic Σ k: ceteri Βάταλον.

ἦρω] ἦρωα ΣFT et al. B. et S.

10. κακῶς] Ita plerique: legebatur κακὸς κακῶς. Statim ὑποκρινόμενος om. pr. Σ B. et S.: atque equidem suspicarer, κακὸς κακῶς ἐπέτριψας veram esse lectionem, κακῶς ὑποκρινόμενος autem glossa esse ad ἐπέτριψας.

15. μοι] Accessit ex ΣA k.

ΣFΦ; unde suspicari licet, Μακεδὼν pro Μακεδόνων legendum esse, ut est in B.

3. ὑμῖν] Om. A et socii.

8. Βάτταλον]

19. βασιλεὺς] Om.

3. ἔδωκ' ἑμαυτὸν: cp. below, §§ 250, 278. The latter especially refers to this passage.

§ 231. That day you, Aeschines, might envy me.

§ 231. Naturally it took the clerk some time (Lept. p. 482, § 92 fin.) to find the particular document wanted on the file of those given him to be read, besides which the Clepsydra had to be stopped. It was convenient for the orator to fill up this interval with a slight digression, humorous, as here or below, § 331; pathetic, as in Mid. p. 550 init. § 139, Adv. Lept. pp. 469, 470, §§ 46-50.

8. Βάτταλον: see Life.

9. Κρεσφόντην .. Οἰνόμαον: cp. Dem. de F. L. p. 418, § 295, where we see that the τριταγωνίστης had the barren honour of acting kings; there Creon in the Antigone is expressly mentioned as a part that Aeschines

had often performed, but with no mention of a breakdown like the Oenomaus. Cresphontes, so far as we know, only appeared as a ghost in the Merope of Euripides, which no longer kept the stage. Cp. Demosthenes ubi sup., Ar. Ran. 868: cp. however below, § 331. According to Demosthenes (ap. Vit. Anon. Aesch.), Aeschines lost his place in the company of the poet Ischander owing to a breakdown in the Oenomaus, and sank into a mere strolling player, the tritagonist of Socrates and Simylus (below, § 326); but this hardly agrees with ἀρουραίος Οἰνόμαος below, § 302.

§§ 232-239. [Decree of Demosthenes.]

§ 232. The length of this decree looks as if it were meant to correspond with that described by Aeschines (in Ctes. § 100), but as that was passed before the Amphissian dispute, and included elaborate statistics, this is hardly possible.

ὅρκους καὶ τὰ παρὰ πᾶσι τοῖς Ἑλλήσι νομιζόμενα εἶναι δίκαια,
 καὶ πόλεις παραιρεῖται οὐδὲν αὐτῷ προσηκούσας, τινὰς δὲ καὶ Ἀθη-
 ναίων οὕσας δοριαλώτους πεποίηκεν οὐδὲν προαδικηθεὶς ὑπὸ τοῦ
 5 δήμου τοῦ Ἀθηναίων, ἐν τε τῷ παρόντι ἐπὶ πολλῷ προάγει τῇ τε
 βίᾳ καὶ τῇ ὀμότητι· καὶ γὰρ Ἑλληνίδας πόλεις ἅς μὲν ἐμφροῦρους 233
 ποιεῖ καὶ τὰς πολιτείας καταλείπει, τινὰς δὲ καὶ ἐξανδραποδίζόμενος
 κατασκάπτει, εἰς ἐνίας δὲ καὶ ἀντὶ Ἑλλήνων βαρβάρους κατοικίζει
 ἐπὶ τὰ ἱερὰ καὶ τοὺς τάφους ἐπάγων, οὐδὲν ἀλλότριον ποιῶν οὔτε
 10 τῆς ἑαυτοῦ πατρίδος οὔτε τοῦ τρόπου, καὶ τῇ νῦν αὐτῷ παρουσίᾳ
 τύχῃ κατακόρως χρώμενος, ἐπιλελησμένος ἑαυτοῦ ὅτι ἐκ μικροῦ καὶ
 τοῦ τυχόντος γέγονεν ἀνελπίστως μέγας. καὶ ἕως μὲν πόλεις ἑώρα 234
 παραιρούμενον αὐτὸν βαρβάρους καὶ ἰδίας, ὑπελάμβανε ἐλαττον
 εἶναι ὁ δῆμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων τὸ εἰς αὐτὸν πλημμελεῖσθαι· νῦν δὲ
 ὁρῶν Ἑλληνίδας πόλεις τὰς μὲν ὑβριζόμενας, τὰς δὲ ἀναστάτους
 15 γιγνομένας, δεινὸν ἡγείται εἶναι καὶ ἀνάξιον τῆς τῶν προγόνων
 δόξης τὸ περιορᾶν τοὺς Ἑλληνας καταδουλουμένους. διὸ δεδῶχθαι 235
 τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ τῷ Ἀθηναίων, εὐξαμένους καὶ θύσαντας
 τοῖς θεοῖς καὶ ἥρωσι τοῖς κατέχουσι τὴν πόλιν καὶ τὴν χώραν τὴν
 Ἀθηναίων, καὶ ἐνθυμηθέντας τῆς τῶν προγόνων ἀρετῆς, διότι περὶ
 20 πλείονος ἐποιούντο τὴν τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐλευθερίαν διατηρεῖν ἢ τὴν
 ἰδίαν πατρίδα, διακοσίας ναῦς καθέλκειν εἰς τὴν θάλατταν καὶ τὸν
 ναύαρχον ἀναπλεῖν ἐντὸς Πυλῶν, καὶ τὸν στρατηγὸν καὶ τὸν ἱπ-
 παρχον τὰς πεζὰς καὶ τὰς ἱππικὰς δυνάμεις Ἐλευσινῶδε ἐξάγειν,
 πέμψαι δὲ καὶ πρέσβεις πρὸς τοὺς ἄλλους Ἑλληνας, πρῶτον δὲ 236
 25 πάντων πρὸς Θηβαίους διὰ τὸ ἐγγυτάτω εἶναι τὸν Φίλιππον τῆς
 ἐκείνων χώρας, παρακαλεῖν δὲ αὐτοὺς μηδὲν καταπλαγέμεν τὸν
 Φίλιππον ἀντέχεσθαι τῆς ἑαυτῶν καὶ τῆς τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων
 ἐλευθερίας, καὶ ὅτι ὁ Ἀθηναίων δῆμος, οὐδὲν μνησικακῶν εἴ τι
 30 πρότερον γέγονεν ἀλλότριον ταῖς πόλεσι πρὸς ἀλλήλας, βοηθήσει
 καὶ δυνάμεσι καὶ χρήμασι καὶ βέλεσι καὶ ὅπλοις, εἰδὼς ὅτι αὐτοῖς
 μὲν πρὸς ἀλλήλους διαμφισβητεῖν περὶ τῆς ἡγεμονίας οὐσιν Ἑλ-
 λησι καλόν, ὑπὸ δὲ ἀλλοφύλου ἀνθρώπου ἄρχεισθαι καὶ τῆς ἡγε- 237
 μονίας ἀποστρεφίσθαι ἀνάξιον εἶναι καὶ τῆς τῶν Ἑλλήνων δόξης

2. παραιρεῖται] παραιτεῖται Σ.

11. μέγας] Om. optimi plerique.

24. πρέσβεις] τοὺς πρέσβεις Σ.

al. Mox τῆς τῶν προγόνων om. p, et τῶν προγόνων in § proximo om. id.

4. τοῦ Ἀθηναίων] Ita Σφ: volgo τῶν Ἀθηναίων.

17. τῷ et mox τὴν sic Σ et al.: legebatur τῶν

33. Ἑλλήνων] προγόνων ΣFTφ et

9. τῆς ἑαυτοῦ πατρίδος. Demosthenes (Olynth. 3. p. 85, § 28, Phil. 3. p. 119, § 40, etc.) ignores the claim of the Macedonian royal family to Hellenic descent: the mass of the nation were confessedly *βάρβαροι*. See Shilleto's note on De Fals. Leg. § 248, and the passages from Thirlwall's Greece there cited.

12. βαρβάρους καὶ ἰδίας. Probably both refer to the same places, 'barbarian cities to which he had a claim;' opposed to οὐδὲν αὐτῷ προσηκούσας of § 232, or ἰδίας

may mean cities in his territory, the Greek colonies and old subjects of Athens.

16. διὰ δεδῶχθαι. Practically the apodosis to ἐπειδὴ Φίλιππος, § 232.

22. ναύαρχον. An Athenian fleet of any size was commanded by one or more στρατηγοί: but it appears that ναύαρχος is used once at least of an Attic officer in temporary command of a small squadron. Yet τὸν στρατηγὸν καὶ τὸν ἱππαρχον are curious: there were ten of the former and two of the latter.

- καὶ τῆς τῶν προγόνων ἀρετῆς. ἔτι δὲ οὐδὲ ἀλλότριον ἡγεῖται
 εἶναι ὁ Ἀθηναίων δῆμος τὸν Θηβαίων δῆμον οὔτε τῇ συγγενείᾳ
 οὔτε τῷ ὁμοφύλῳ. ἀναμνησκεται δὲ καὶ τὰς τῶν προγόνων τῶν
 238 ἑαυτοῦ εἰς τοὺς Θηβαίων προγόνους εὐεργεσίας· καὶ γὰρ τοὺς Ἡρα-
 κλέους παῖδας ἀποστερουμένους ὑπὸ Πελοποννησίων τῆς πατρῴας 5
 ἀρχῆς κατήγαγον, τοῖς ὅπλοις κρατήσαντες τοὺς ἀντιβαίνειν πειρώ-
 μένους τοῖς Ἡρακλέους ἐγόνοις, καὶ τὸν Οἰδίπουν καὶ τοὺς μετ'
 239 ἐκείνου ἐκπεσόντας ὑπεδεξάμεθα, καὶ ἕτερα πολλὰ ἡμῖν ὑπάρχει
 φιλάνθρωπα καὶ ἐνδοξα πρὸς Θηβαίους· διόπερ οὐδὲ νῦν ἀποστή-
 σεται ὁ Ἀθηναίων δῆμος τῶν Θηβαίους τε καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις Ἑλλήσι 10
 συμφερόντων. συνθέσθαι δὲ πρὸς αὐτοὺς καὶ συμμαχίαν καὶ ἐπιγαμίαν
 ποιήσασθαι καὶ ὀρκους δοῦναι καὶ λαβεῖν. πρέσβεις Δημοσθένους
 Δημοσθένους Παιανιεὺς, Ὑπερίδης Κλεάνδρου Σφήττιος, Μνησι-
 θείδης Ἀντιφάνους Φρεάρριος, Δημοκράτης Σωφίλου Φλυεὺς, Κάλ-
 λαισχος Διοτίμου Κοθωκλῆς.] 15
- 240 Αὕτη τῶν περὶ Θήβας ἐγίνετο πραγμάτων ἀρχὴ καὶ κατὰ-
 στασις πρώτη, τὰ πρὸ τούτων εἰς ἔχθραν καὶ μῖσος καὶ ἀπι-
 στίαν τῶν πόλεων ὑπηγμένων ὑπὸ τούτων. τοῦτο τὸ ψήφισμα
 τὸν τότε τῇ πόλει περιστάντα κίνδυνον παρελθεῖν ἐποίησεν
 ὥσπερ νέφος. ἦν μὲν τοῖνυν τοῦ δικαίου πολίτου τότε δεῖξαι 20
 241 πᾶσιν, εἴ τι τούτων εἶχεν ἄμεινον, μὴ νῦν ἐπιτιμᾶν. ὁ γὰρ
 σύμβουλος καὶ ὁ συκοφάντης, οὐδὲ τῶν ἄλλων οὐδὲν εἰκότες,
 ἐν τούτῳ πλείστον ἀλλήλων διαφέρουσιν· ὁ μὲν γε πρὸ τῶν
 πραγμάτων γνώμην ἀποφαίνεται, καὶ δίδωσιν ἑαυτὸν ὑπεύθυνον
 τοῖς πεισθεῖσι, τῇ τύχῃ, τοῖς καιροῖς, τῷ βουλομένῳ· ὁ δὲ 25
 σιγήσας ἡνίκ' ἔδει λέγειν, ἂν τι δύσκολον συμβῇ, τοῦτο βα-

7. ἐγόνους] Sic Dind. ex T et aliis nonnullis: volg. et Bekk. ἐγγόνους.

8. συμμαχίαν] καὶ om. Dind.

22. οὐδέ] Sic Σ B. et S. Dind.: οὐδενί A et socii et γρ. Σ: volg. ἐν οὐδενί.

καίροις] τῷ καιρῷ Σ B. et S.

11. καὶ

16. ἐγίνετο] ἐγίνετο ΣΑ, ἐγένετο al. et Dind.

25. τοῖς

§ 239. The decree, as given here, recites only six ambassadors instead of ten (above, § 228), and Hyperides the orator, who is probably meant, was Κολλυτεύς, not Σφήτιος.

§ 240. This decree united Thebes and Athens.

16. ἐγίνετο. So (or ἐγένετο) the best MSS.: volg. ἐγένετο. The imperfect heightens the sense a little, 'These were the first steps toward an understanding with Thebes.'

18. ὑπηγμένων ὑπὸ τούτων. While the old peace party of Eubulus wished to be on good terms both with Thebes and Macedonia, Aeschines and his friends wished to crush Thebes by means of Macedonia.

20. ἦν μὲν. This is resumed after the

parenthesis ὁ γὰρ σύμβουλος . . βασκαίνει, by ἦν μὲν οὖν, § 242, where οὖν is simply resumptive, and ἦν μὲν is answered by ἐγὼ δέ.

§ 241. If unwise, it ought to have been denounced at the time.

24. ὑπεύθυνον. 'Responsible to those who take his advice, to fortune, to opportunities (used or abused), to anyone' or anything. As responsibility to fortune and opportunities seems rather strange (though not stranger than αὐτὰ τὰ πράγματα καταμαρτυρεῖ Dem. de F. L. p. 377, § 130), some translate, 'Responsible to whoever will for his advice, for its success and for circumstances;' but this would require the genitive (cp. above, § 142 sqq.), to say nothing of the awkwardness of one case in two senses.

σκαίνει. ἦν μὲν οὖν, ὅπερ εἶπον, ἐκεῖνος ὁ καιρὸς τοῦ γε 242
 φροντίζοντος ἀνδρὸς τῆς πόλεως καὶ τῶν δικαίων λόγων· ἐγὼ
 δὲ τοσαύτην ὑπερβολὴν ποιούμεαι ὥστε, ἂν νῦν ἔχη τις δεῖξαι
 τι βέλτιον, ἢ ὅλως εἴ τι ἄλλο ἐνὴν πλὴν ὧν ἐγὼ προειλόμην,
 5 ἀδικεῖν ὁμολογῶ. εἰ γὰρ ἔσθ' ὃ τι τις νῦν ἐόρακεν, ὃ συνή-
 νεγκεν ἂν τότε πραχθῆν, τοῦτ' ἐγὼ φημι δεῖν ἐμὲ μὴ λαθεῖν.
 εἰ δὲ μήτ' ἔστι μήτε ἦν μήτ' ἂν εἰπεῖν ἔχοι μηδεὶς μηδέπω
 καὶ τήμερον, τί τὸν σύμβουλον ἐχρῆν ποιεῖν; οὐ τῶν φαινο-
 μένων καὶ ἐνότων τὰ κράτιστα ἐλέσθαι; τοῦτο τοίνυν ἐποίησα 243
 10 ἐγὼ, τοῦ κήρυκος ἐρωτῶντος, Αἰσχίνη, "τίς ἀγορεύειν βούλε-
 ται," οὐ "τίς αἰτιάσθαι περὶ τῶν παρεληλυθόντων," οὐδὲ "τίς
 ἐγγυᾶσθαι τὰ μέλλοντ' ἔσεσθαι." σοῦ δ' ἀφώνου κατ' ἐκεί-
 νους τοὺς χρόνους ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις καθημένου ἐγὼ παριῶν
 ἔλεγον. ἐπειδὴ δ' οὐ τότε, ἀλλὰ νῦν δείξον. εἰπέ τις ἡ λό-
 15 γος, ὅντιν' ἐχρῆν εὐρεῖν, ἢ καιρὸς συμφέρων ὑπ' ἐμοῦ παρε-
 λείφθη τῇ πόλει; τίς δὲ συμμαχία, τίς πράξις, ἐφ' ἣν μᾶλλον
 ἔδει με ἀγαγεῖν τουτουσί;
 Ἀλλὰ μὴν τὸ μὲν παρεληλυθὸς αἰὲ παρὰ πᾶσιν ἀφεῖται, 244
 καὶ οὐδεὶς περὶ τούτου προτίθησιν οὐδαμοῦ βουλὴν· τὸ δὲ
 20 μέλλον ἢ τὸ παρὸν τὴν τοῦ συμβούλου τάξιν ἀπαιτεῖ. τότε
 τοίνυν τὰ μὲν ἔμελλεν, ὡς ἐδόκει, τῶν δεινῶν, τὰ δ' ἤδη παρῆν,
 ἐν οἷς τὴν προαίρεσίν μου σκόπει τῆς πολιτείας, μὴ τὰ συμ-
 βάντα συκοφάντει. τὸ μὲν γὰρ πέρασ, ὡς ἂν ὁ δαίμων βου-
 βηθῇ, πάντων γίγνεται· ἡ δὲ προαίρεσις αὐτῇ τὴν τοῦ συμ-
 25 βούλου διάνοιαν δηλοῖ. μὴ δὲ τοῦτο ὡς ἀδίκημα ἐμὸν θῆς, 245
 εἰ κρατῆσαι συνέβη Φιλίππῳ τῇ μάχῃ· ἐν γὰρ τῷ θεῷ τὸ
 τούτου τέλος ἦν, οὐκ ἐν ἐμοί. ἀλλ' ὡς οὐχ ἅπαντα ὅσα ἐνὴν

1. γε] τε A et socii: al. om.
 σθαι] αἰτιάσασθαι multi.

26. τῇ μάχῃ] Sic ΣFA: ceteri τὴν μάχην.

10. ἐγὼ] Om. Σ et alii multi, B, et S.

13. παριῶν] Sic A et socii: περιῶν Σ, ceteri παρελθόν.

27. ἐν] Om. ΣA' B. et S.

11. αἰτιά-

§ 242. But I am willing to be judged now by the event, and it is clear I did my duty and chose for the best.

2. ἐγὼ... ποιούμεαι. 'I go so far in my concessions,' surpass so much what could be required of me. The same phrase occurs in Dem. de F. L. p. 247, § 381 fin.

§ 243. This was all that could be required, this I did when you did nothing.

10. τοῦ κήρυκος. Demosthenes will not leave Aeschines to enjoy undisturbed the effect of his appeal to constitutional routine (in Ctes. § 4).

§ 244. A statesman has to deal with con-

tingencies, a sycophant keeps to results.

22. ἐν οἷς κ.τ.λ. 'Consider the general scope of my policy, do not make captious objections to events.' προαίρεσις here comes half way between the popular and psychological sense: cp. Arist. Eth. Nic. 6. 2. 6, οὐδεὶς προαίρεται· διὰ τὸν πεπονημένον. From the next sentence one might illustrate the difference between πέρασ and τέλος, the latter being implied in προαίρεσις.

§ 245. You ought to criticise my policy now, and not complain of the event.

27. ἅπαντα... ἐλόμην. 'Made every choice' I ought, 'secured every advantage.'

- κατ' ἀνθρώπινον λογισμὸν εἰλόμην, καὶ δικαίως ταῦτα καὶ ἐπι-
 μελῶς ἔπραξα καὶ φιλοπόνως ὑπὲρ δύναμιν, ἢ ὡς οὐ καλὰ καὶ
 τῆς πόλεως ἄξια πράγματα ἐνεστησάμην καὶ ἀναγκαῖα, ταῦτά
 246 μοι δεῖξον, καὶ τότε ἤδη κατηγορεῖ μου. εἰ δ' ὁ συμβὰς σκηπ-
 τὸς μὴ μόνον ἡμῶν, ἀλλὰ καὶ πάντων τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων 5
 μεῖζων γέγονε, τί χρὴ ποιεῖν; ὥσπερ ἂν εἴ τις ναύκληρον πάντ'
 ἐπὶ σωτηρίᾳ πράξαντα, καὶ πᾶσι κατασκευάσαντα τὸ πλοῖον
 ἀφ' ὧν ὑπελάμβανε σωθήσεσθαι, εἴτα χειμῶνι χρησάμενον καὶ
 πονησάντων αὐτῷ τῶν σκευῶν ἢ καὶ συντριβέντων ὅλως, τῆς
 ναυαγίας αἰτιῶτο. ἀλλ' οὐτ' ἐκυβέρνων τὴν ναῦν, φήσειεν ἂν, 10
 ὥσπερ οὐδ' ἐστρατήγουν ἐγὼ, οὔτε τῆς τύχης κύριος ἦν, ἀλλ'
 247 ἐκείνη τῶν πάντων. ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνο λογίζου καὶ ὄρα, | εἰ μετὰ 195
 Θηβαίων ἡμῖν ἀγωνιζομένοις οὕτως εἵμαρτο πράξαι, τί χρῆν
 προσδοκᾶν, εἰ μὴδὲ τούτους ἔσχομεν συμμάχους, ἀλλὰ Φιλίππῳ
 προσέθεντο, ὑπὲρ οὗ τότε ἐκείνος πάσας ἀφήκε φωνάς; καὶ εἰ 15
 νῦν τριῶν ἡμερῶν ἀπὸ τῆς Ἀττικῆς ὁδὸν τῆς μάχης γενομένης
 τοσοῦτος κίνδυνος καὶ φόβος περιέστη τὴν πόλιν, τί ἂν, εἴ
 που τῆς χώρας ταῦτο τοῦτο πάθος συνέβη, προσδοκῆσαι χρῆν;
 248 ἄρ' οἴσθ' ὅτι νῦν μὲν στῆναι, συνελθεῖν, ἀναπνεῦσαι, πολλὰ μία
 ἡμέρα καὶ δύο καὶ τρεῖς ἔδοσαν τῶν εἰς σωτηρίαν τῇ πόλει, τότε 20
 δ'—, οὐκ ἄξιον εἰπεῖν, ἃ γε μὴδὲ πείραν ἔδωκε θεῶν τινὸς εὐνοία

4. σκηπτὸς] ἡ χειμῶν add. libri: delevit Reisk. 7. πᾶσι] Om. Σ B. et S.
 16. ἀπὸ τῆς Ἀττικῆς ὁδὸν] Sic ΣA: ceteri ὁδὸν ἀπὸ τῆς Ἀττικῆς. 18. τῆς
 χώρας] πλησίον τῆς χώρας A et socii. 21. εὐνοία καὶ τῷ] Ita FΦΩA: εὐνοία καὶ
 τῷ ΣT: neque Σ quidem ita constanter: adscriptum dativis adiungit, ut pro testimonio
 habendum sit scriptorem non εὐνοία voluisse. Alii habent εὐνοία καὶ τό: vid. annot.

3. καὶ ἀναγκαῖα. 'And in fact inevitable.' Cp. above, § 242, ὅλως εἰ τι ἄλλο ἐνῆν.

§ 246. *Though we were beaten I did my best; the generals and Providence were answerable for our defeat.*

6. μεῖζων. 'Too great for,' not κρείττων, 'stronger than.' Cp. sup. ad § 181.

10. οὐτ' ἐκυβέρνων. The ναύκληρος was the owner, not the captain: he was legally answerable for his ship being well formed and seaworthy, not, says Demosthenes, for her being well managed on the voyage. Cp. Acts xxvii. 11, for their joint action and responsibility.

§ 247. *Which would have been far more fatal if we had fought alone and in our own territory.*

16. τριῶν ἡμερῶν .. ὁδόν. Defined below, § 389, as 700 stadia from Athens.

§ 248. *Three days gave time to do much.*

19. ἄρ' οἴσθ' .. ἔδωκε. 'Do you realise that as it was [νῦν μὲν] we had one day,

and a second and a third, to recover our footing, to meet, to take breath, to do many things to save the state; but in that case—God forbid we should speak of things we never tasted.' Dissen takes *μὴ ἡμέρα κ.τ.λ.* of the days immediately after the occupation of Elatea, instead of the three days required for the advance from Chaeronea, which gave the Athenians time to put on a bold front and obtain tolerable terms. He translates, '*factum esse ut stemus, coeamus, respiremus, alia multa salutis adminicula civitas haberet*,' i. e. 'liberum vitae quotidianae usum habere.' Reiske reads *ἄρ' οἴσθε*. ἄρ' is 'not equivalent' to *ἄρ' οὐ*: though Demosthenes consistently credits Aeschines with knowledge of Philip's mischievous designs, he asks here, 'Did he really know the full extent of the danger which Athens in part escaped?'

21. οὐκ ἄξιον, exactly 'indignum.'

εὐνοία καὶ τῷ. So, apart from accidents, all the best MSS.: others have *εὐνοία καὶ τό*. It would be possible, though a little

καὶ τῷ προβάλλεσθαι τὴν πόλιν ταύτην τὴν συμμαχίαν, ἥς
σὺ κατηγορεῖς.

*Ἔστι δὲ ταυτὶ πάντα μοι, τὰ πολλὰ, πρὸς ὑμᾶς, ἄνδρες **249**
δικασταί, καὶ τοὺς περιεστηκότας ἔξωθεν καὶ ἀκροωμένους, ἐπεὶ
5 πρὸς γε τοῦτον τὸν κατάπτυστον βραχὺς καὶ σαφὴς ἐξήρκει
λόγος. εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἦν σοὶ πρόδηλα τὰ μέλλοντα, Αἰσχίνη,
μόνῳ τῶν ἄλλων, ὅτ' ἐβουλεύεθ' ἡ πόλις περὶ τούτων, τότε
ἔδει προλέγειν· εἰ δὲ μὴ προήδεις, τῆς αὐτῆς ἀγνοίας ὑπεύθυνος
εἰ τοῖς ἄλλοις, ὥστε τί μᾶλλον ἐμοῦ σὺ ταῦτα κατηγορεῖς ἢ
10 ἐγὼ σοῦ; τοσοῦτον γὰρ ἀμείνων ἐγὼ σοῦ πολίτης γέγονα εἰς **250**
αὐτὰ ταῦθ' ἃ λέγω (καὶ οὐπω περὶ τῶν ἄλλων διαλέγομαι),
ὅσον ἐγὼ μὲν ἔδωκα ἑμαυτὸν εἰς τὰ πᾶσι δοκοῦντα συμφέριν,
οὐδένα κίνδυνον ὀκνήσας ἴδιον οὐδ' ὑπολογισάμενος, σὺ δὲ οὐθ'
ἕτερα εἶπες βελτίῳ τούτων (οὐ γὰρ ἂν τούτοις ἐχρῶντο), οὐτ'
15 εἰς ταῦτα χρήσιμον οὐδὲν σαντὸν παρέσχες, ὅπερ δ' ἂν ὁ φαν- **251**
λότατος καὶ δυσμενέστατος ἄνθρωπος τῇ πόλει, τοῦτο πεποι-
ηκὼς ἐπὶ τοῖς συμβάσιν ἐξήτασαι, καὶ ἅμα Ἀρίστρατος ἐν Νάξῳ
καὶ Ἀριστολέως ἐν Θάσῳ, οἱ καθάπαξ ἐχθροὶ τῆς πόλεως,
τοὺς Ἀθηναίων κρίνουσι φίλους καὶ Ἀθηνησιν Αἰσχίνης Δημο-
20 σθένους κατηγορεῖ. καίτοι ὅτῳ τὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἀτυχήματα **252**
ἐνευδοκιμεῖν ἀπέκειτο, ἀπολωλέναι μᾶλλον οὐτός ἐστι δίκαιος
ἢ κατηγορεῖν ἐτέρου· καὶ ὅτῳ συνεννηόχασιν οἱ αὐτοὶ καιροὶ

1. προβάλλεσθαι] Ita ΣΦ B. et S. : volgo προβαλέσθαι.

3. ἄνδρες δικασταί]

δ δικασταί A k, δ ἄνδρες δικασταί Dind.

5. τοῦτον] Ita Σ et γρ. Φ : ceteri τοῦτον

αὐτόν.

ἐξήρκει] ἐξήρκει μοι A et socii.

10. τοσοῦτον] τοσοῦτ' A et socii.

16. ἄνθρωπος τῇ πόλει] Ita Σ A et socii : ceteri ἄνθρωπος ποιήσσει τῇ πόλει.

18. Ἀρι-

στόλεως] Ita A et socii, Ἀριστόλεως Σ : ceteri plerique -όλαος.

awkward, to translate the latter reading, 'Which the favour of heaven and the protection of this alliance did not try (i.e. permit to be tried) upon us.' μηδὲ πείραν is not, 'not even a taste,' but 'we need not talk of them, for we did not feel them either.'

§§ 249, 250. *At any rate I did my duty, as Aeschines did not, by giving the best advice I could.*

3. τὰ πολλὰ. Not 'mostly,' which would be weak after πάντα, but 'this long argument.'

5. πρὸς γε τοῦτον. He insinuates that as Aeschines could not honestly prosecute, he could not obtain a conviction: throughout Demosthenes claims that having faced responsibility like a good citizen, he is not to be held accountable to Aeschines, who, like a bad citizen, held aloof.

11. περὶ τῶν ἄλλων, i.e. I am speaking of one particular period, that of the war which ended with Chaeronea: during this Aeschines was simply inactive: before it he had done a great deal, though it was mostly harm. Cp. below, § 253, πολιτεύει καὶ πάλιν οὐ πολιτεύει.

§ 251. *Now he brings me to trial for what he did not oppose: it is the same all over Greece.*

19. Αἰσχίνης Δημοσθένους. The names themselves in juxtaposition, are synonyms of οἱ καθάπαξ ἐχθροὶ τῆς πόλεως and τοὺς Ἀθηναίων φίλους.

§ 252. *He boasts of our misfortunes, and thrives on them.*

20. ὅτῳ . . ἀπέκειτο. 'Whoever kept the misfortunes of Greece laid up as foundations for his own reputation for foresight.'

- καὶ τοῖς τῆς πόλεως ἐχθροῖς, οὐκ ἔνι τοῦτον εὖνον εἶναι τῇ
253 πατρίδι. δηλοῖς δὲ καὶ ἐξ ὧν ζῆς καὶ ποιεῖς καὶ πολιτεύει
καὶ πάλιν οὐ πολιτεύει. πρᾶττεται τι τῶν ὑμῖν δοκούντων
συμφέρειν, ἄφωνος Αἰσχίνης; ἀντέκρουσέ τι καὶ γέγονεν οἶον
οὐκ ἔδει, πάρεστιν Αἰσχίνης· ὥσπερ τὰ ῥήγματα καὶ τὰ σπά- 5
σματα, ὅταν τι κακὸν τὸ σῶμα λάβῃ, τότε κινεῖται.
- 254** Ἐπειδὴ δὲ πολλὺς τοῖς συμβεβηκόσιν ἔγκειται, βούλομαί τι
καὶ παράδοξον εἰπεῖν. καὶ μου πρὸς Διὸς καὶ θεῶν μηδεὶς τὴν
ὑπερβολὴν θαυμάσῃ, ἀλλὰ μετ' εὐνοίας ὃ λέγω θεωρησάτω. εἰ
γὰρ ἦν ἅπασι πρόδηλα τὰ μέλλοντα γενήσεσθαι, καὶ προή- 10
δεσαν πάντες, καὶ σὺ προὔλεγες, Αἰσχίνη, καὶ διεμαρτύρου
βοῶν καὶ κεκραγῶς, ὅς οὐδ' ἐφθέγξω, οὐδ' οὕτως ἀποστατέον
τῇ πόλει τούτων ἦν, εἴπερ δόξης ἢ προγόνων ἢ τοῦ μέλλοντος
255 αἰῶνος εἶχε λόγον. νῦν μὲν γε ἀποτυχεῖν δοκεῖ τῶν πραγ-
μάτων, ὃ πᾶσι κοινόν ἐστιν ἀνθρώποις, ὅταν τῷ θεῷ ταῦτα 15
δοκῇ· τότε δ' ἀξιούσα προεστάναι τῶν ἄλλων, εἴτ' ἀποσταῖσα
τούτου, Φιλίππῳ προδεδωκέναι πάντας ἂν ἔσχεν αἰτίαν. εἰ
γὰρ ταῦτα προεῖτο ἀκονιτὶ, περὶ ὧν οὐδένα κίνδυνον ὄντιν' οὐχ
ὑπέμειναν οἱ πρόγονοι, τίς οὐχὶ κατέπτυσεν ἂν σοῦ; μὴ γὰρ
256 τῆς πόλεως γε, μηδ' ἐμοῦ. τίσι δ' ὀφθαλμοῖς πρὸς Διὸς ἐώ- 20
ρῶμεν ἂν τοὺς εἰς τὴν πόλιν ἀνθρώπους ἀφικνουμένους, εἰ τὰ

3. ἡμῖν] ἡμῖν A et socii.

Om. Dion. et librorum praeter X optimi.

16. ἄλλων] ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων Dion. et γρ. ΣΦ.

γιν et corr. X: ὄντιν' ὅντιν' i.

10. γενήσεσθαι] ἔσεσθαι A et socii.

14. μὴ γε] Sic X A et socii: ceteri γάρ.

18. ὄντιν' οὐχ] ὄντιν' οὐχ FTΦΩ

19. τίς] τίς ἂν A et socii, et mox μὴ γάρ δὴ iidem.

11. Αἰσχίνῃ]

§ 253. When things go well with us he is dumb: we feel him like an old wound in sickness.

5. ὥσπερ .. κινεῖται. 'He is like broken bones, and sprains: when there is any trouble upon the body, then you feel him stirring.' The same illustration is used in Olynth. 2. p. 24, § 21, Ad Ep. Phil. pp. 115, 116, § 16, and may perhaps have been suggested by Demosthenes' experience as a valetudinarian.

§ 254. Even if I could have foreseen everything, I was right.

7. πολλὸς τοῖς συμβεβηκόσιν ἔγκειται: cp. Thuc. 4. 22, Hdt. 7. 158 init. In all these the sense is vehement rebuke.

13. εἴπερ δόξης κ.τ.λ. So Dissen and Dindorf from the quotation of Dionysius, on the ground that 'either' is out of place at the beginning of an enumeration of similar things. All the MSS. have ἢ before δόξης except two cursives, which have καί.

§ 255. For now Athens is unfortunate, not base,

19. μὴ .. ἐμοῦ. 'I hope it would not be the city or me either.' The hypothetical case he has put is, 'If Athens had failed of her duty;' the natural apodosis would be, 'Then Athens would be disgraced,' but Demosthenes shrinks from saying this: he insists that some individual traitor must have deluded Athens, although he hints that the city and more honest statesmen might suffer in reputation from this subservience. So in the next section he goes on, 'Though we know that the city would not have been disgraced, still how could the Athenians have looked foreigners in the face? They would have judged us in the light of our past history.' The sentiment is a democratic parallel to the monarchical fictions that the king can do no wrong, and the doctrine of ministerial responsibility.

§ 256. As she would have been bad she allowed Philip to conquer unresisted.

μὲν πράγματ' εἰς ὅπερ νυνὶ περιέστη, ἡγεμῶν δὲ καὶ κύριος
 ἦρέθη Φίλιππος ἀπάντων, τὸν δ' ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ γενέσθαι ταῦτ'
 ἀγῶνα ἕτεροι χωρὶς ἡμῶν ἦσαν πεποιημένοι, καὶ ταῦτα μηδε-
 πώποτε τῆς πόλεως ἐν τοῖς ἔμπροσθε χρόνοις ἀσφάλειαν ἄδοξον
 5 μᾶλλον ἢ τὸν ὑπὲρ τῶν καλῶν κίνδυνον ἡρημένης. τίς γὰρ οὐκ 257
 οἶδεν Ἑλλήνων, τίς δὲ βαρβάρων, ὅτι καὶ παρὰ Θηβαίων καὶ
 παρὰ τῶν ἔτι τούτων πρότερον ἰσχυρῶν γενομένων Λακεδαι-
 μονίων καὶ παρὰ τοῦ Περσῶν βασιλέως μετὰ πολλῆς χάριτος
 τοῦτ' ἂν ἀσμένως ἐδόθη τῇ πόλει, ὃ τι βούλεται λαβούσῃ καὶ
 10 τὰ ἑαυτῆς ἐχούσῃ τὸ κελευόμενον ποιεῖν καὶ ἂν ἕτερον τῶν
 Ἑλλήνων προεστάναι. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἦν ταῦθ', ὥς ἔοικε, τοῖς τότε 258
 Ἀθηναίοις πάτρια οὐδ' ἀνεκτὰ οὐδ' ἔμφυτα, οὐδ' ἐδυνήθη πώ-
 ποτε τὴν πόλιν οὐδεὶς ἐκ παντὸς τοῦ χρόνου πείσαι τοῖς ἰσχύ-
 ουσιν μὲν, μὴ δίκαια δὲ πράττουσι προσθεμένην ἀσφαλῶς δου-
 15 λεῖν, ἀλλ' ἀγωνιζομένη περὶ πρωτείων καὶ τιμῆς καὶ δόξης
 κινδυνεύουσα πάντα τὸν αἰῶνα διατετέλεκε. ✓ καὶ ταῦθ' οὕτω 259
 σεμνὰ καὶ προσήκοντα τοῖς ὑμετέροις ἦθεσιν ὑμεῖς ὑπολαμ-
 βάνετ' εἶναι ὥστε καὶ τῶν προγόνων τοὺς ταῦτα πράξαντας
 μάλιστ' ἐπαινέετε, εἰκότως. τίς γὰρ οὐκ ἂν ἀγάσαιο τῶν
 20 ἀνδρῶν ἐκείνων τῆς ἀρετῆς, οἳ καὶ τὴν χώραν καὶ τὴν πόλιν
 ἐκλιπεῖν ὑπέμειναν εἰς τὰς τριήρεις ἐμβάντες ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ τὸ
 κελευόμενον ποιῆσαι, τὸν μὲν ταῦτα συμβουλευσάντα Θεμιστο-
 κλέα στρατηγὸν ἐλόμενοι, τὸν δ' ὑπακούειν ἀποφηνάμενον τοῖς

1. περιέστη] περιέστησεν A et socii.

3. ἡμῶν] ἡμῶν Σ.

5. ἡρημένης]

δραμένης pr. Σ.

7. γενομένων] γεγενημένων, ut saepe, A et socii.

11. τοῖς

τότε] Ita Σ a m. sec. et A et socii: ceteri τοῖς.

16. κινδυνεύουσα] καὶ κινδυνεύ-

ουσα A et socii et Edd. ante Bekk.

17. ὑπολαμβάνετ' ὑπελαμβάνετ' FTΦΩ rsnv.

23. ἀποφηνάμενον τοῖς ἐπιταττομένοις] Ita ΣA et socii et Dion.: ceteri τοῖς ἐπιταττο-
 μένοις ἀποφηνάμενον.

3. μηδεπώποτε. Athens never had accepted a dependent position, except in the short interval between the peace of Lysander and the battle of Cnidus.

§ 257. *She who never sold herself, as she might at a high price, to any who sought to enslave Greece.*

6. παρὰ .. βασιλέως. See the account of Xerxes' conciliatory embassies, Hdt. 8 fin., 9 init.

9. τοῦτ' .. ἐδόθη. The gift of course is ὃ τι βούλεται λαβεῖν and τὰ ἑαυτῆς ἔχειν, not τὸ κελευόμενον ποιεῖν.

§ 258. *But craven counsels were never welcome.*

11. ἀλλ' .. διατετέλεκε. 'But it seems the Athenians that were then had learnt

another lesson and inherited another spirit, and could not bear that burthen: never a man was able since time began, to persuade the city to take part with them that had the power and dealt unrighteously, and so keep safe in slavery: but in battle, for pre-eminence and honour and good fame, she hath continued hitherto in jeopardy.'

§ 259. *Remember the heroism of Salamis, and how Cyrus was punished.*

§ 259. He suggests, but refrains from developing the parallel, 'Everyone admires those who trusted Themistocles and stoned Cyrus: they will equally admire you who trusted Demosthenes and are going to banish Aeschines.'

- ἐπιταπτομένοις Κυρσίλον καταλιθώσαντες, οὐ μόνον αὐτὸν, ἀλλὰ
 260 καὶ αἱ γυναῖκες αἱ ὑμέτεραι τὴν γυναῖκ' αὐτοῦ. οὐ γὰρ ἐξήτουν
 οἱ τότε Ἀθηναῖοι οὔτε ῥήτορα οὔτε στρατηγὸν δι' ὅτου δου-
 λεύουσιν εὐτυχῶς, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ ζῆν ἡξίου, εἰ μὴ μετ' ἐλευθερίας
 ἐξέσται τοῦτο ποιεῖν. ἡγεῖτο γὰρ αὐτῶν ἕκαστος οὐχὶ τῷ 5
 πατρὶ καὶ τῇ μητρὶ μόνον γεγενῆσθαι, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῇ πατρίδι.
 διαφέρει δὲ τί; ὅτι ὁ μὲν τοῖς γονεῦσι μόνον γεγενῆσθαι νομί-
 ζων τὸν τῆς εἰμαρμένης καὶ τὸν αὐτόματον θάνατον περιμένει,
 ὁ δὲ καὶ τῇ πατρίδι ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ ταύτην ἐπιδεῖν δουλεύουσιν
 ἀποθνήσκειν ἐθέλησει, καὶ φοβερωτέρας ἡγήσεται τὰς ὕβρεις 10
 καὶ τὰς ἀτιμίας, ἃς ἐν δουλευούσῃ τῇ πόλει φέρειν ἀνάγκη,
 τοῦ θανάτου.
- 261 Εἰ μὲν τοίνυν τοῦτ' ἐπεχείρουν λέγειν, ὥς ἐγὼ προήγαγον
 ὑμᾶς ἄξια τῶν προγόνων φρονεῖν, οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅστις οὐκ ἂν εἰκότως
 ἐπιτιμήσειέ μοι. νῦν δ' ἐγὼ μὲν ὑμέτερας τὰς τοιαύτας προαι- 15
 ρέσεις ἀποφαίνω, καὶ δείκνυμι ὅτι καὶ πρὸ ἐμοῦ τοῦτ' εἶχε τὸ
 φρόνημα ἢ πόλις, τῆς μέντοι διακονίας τῆς ἐφ' ἐκάστοις τῶν
- 262 πεπραγμένων καὶ ἐμαυτῷ μετεῖναι φῆμι, οὗτος δὲ τῶν ὅλων
 κατηγορῶν, καὶ κελύων ὑμᾶς ἐμοὶ πικρῶς ἔχειν ὥς φόβων καὶ
 κινδύνων αἰτίῳ τῇ πόλει, τῆς μὲν εἰς τὸ παρὸν τιμῆς ἐμὲ ἀποστε- 20
 ρῆσαι γλίχεται, τὰ δ' εἰς ἅπαντα τὸν λοιπὸν χρόνον ἐγκώμια

1. Κυρσίλον] Κύρσιλον Σ.

4. εὐτυχῶς] Om. Σ B. et S.

5. ἐξέσται] Ita

Σ Dion.: volgo αὐτοῖς ἐξέσται.

12. τοῦ θανάτου] Om. TΩ Iuv, in γρ. habent FΦ.

13. ὥς ἐγὼ] ὡς ἄρα ἐγὼ A et socii.

15. ἐπιτιμήσει] ἐπετίμησέ A et socii T.

20. πόλει] Addebatur γεγενημένα: om. ΣA et socii Dion.

1. Κυρσίλον. Herodotus 9. 5 names the traitor Lycidas.

2. αἱ γυναῖκες. Here, as usual, the so-called *nominativus pendens* is a loose kind of apposition: the citizens' wives are part and parcel of the citizens. The effect of the sentence is, 'You stoned not only him, but his wife, that is, your wives did.'

§ 260. Our ancestors knew they were the children, not of their parents only; but of freedom and fatherland.

8. τὸν .. θάνατον: cp. Plat. Crit. p. 51, A, B. The connection of the sentiment is, 'He who recognises none but his natural birth, will wait for a natural death; he who recognises a higher life than that of the individual, will be ready to sacrifice the individual life thereto.' It is the Hellenic counterpart of Romans xiv. 7 sqq.

§ 261. My own claim is, that I ministered to their spirit, which survived in you.

15. ἐγὼ μὲν answers to οὗτος δὲ, προαιρῶντες τοὺς διακονίας, ὑμέτερας τοῖς μοί. Cp. above, § 110, ἀλλὰ τίς ἦν .. βρεῖς ὁ ἄνθρωπος

Ἀθηναῖοι.

17. διακονίας practically comes to the same as 'execution,' but is a more depreciatory word: cp. Plat. Gorg. 517 B, c. 73, where Socrates complains that statesmen never rise above ministerial functions.

§ 262. Therefore Aeschines' envy affects you more than me.

18. τῶν ὅλων κ.τ.λ. i.e. If he found fault only with the details of my policy, it might tell against me alone; but when he attacks me on first principles, he attacks the nation also, whose first principles were the same. It is noticeable that Demosthenes does not defend any of the points of detail attacked by Aeschines (in Ctes. §§ 142-151). He says generally, that the terms of the alliance were meant to be liberal towards Thebes, and his plea, that he was not answerable for military faults, would apply to the detachment of the 10,000 mercenaries to Amphissa, though it hardly meets the specific charges made by Aeschines (in Ctes. § 146).

ὑμῶν ἀφαιρεῖται. εἰ γὰρ ὥς οὐ τὰ βέλτιστα ἐμοῦ πο-
 λιτευσαμένου τουδὶ καταψηφίεσθε, ἡμαρτηκέναι δόξετε, οὐ
 τῇ τῆς τύχης ἀγνωμοσύνη τὰ συμβάντα παθεῖν. ἀλλ' οὐκ 263
 ἔστιν, οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως ἡμάρτετε, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τὸν ὑπὲρ
 5 τῆς ἀπάντων ἐλευθερίας καὶ σωτηρίας κίνδυνον ἀράμενοι, μὰ
 τοὺς Μαραθῶνι προκινδυνεύσαντας τῶν προγόνων καὶ τοὺς ἐν
 Πλαταιαῖς παραταξαμένους καὶ τοὺς ἐν Σαλαμῖνι ναυμαχίσαντας
 καὶ τοὺς ἐπ' Ἀρτεμισίῳ καὶ πολλοὺς ἐτέρους τοὺς ἐν τοῖς
 δημοσίοις μνήμασι κειμένους ἀγαθοὺς ἄνδρας, οὓς ἅπαντας ὁμοίως 264
 10 ἡ πόλις τῆς αὐτῆς ἀξίωσασα τιμῆς ἔθαψεν, Αἰσχίνη, οὐχὶ τοὺς
 κατορθώσαντας αὐτῶν οὐδὲ τοὺς κρατήσαντας μόνους. δικαίως.
 ὃ μὲν γὰρ ἦν ἀνδρῶν ἀγαθῶν ἔργον, ἅπασι πέπρακται τῇ
 τύχῃ δ', ἣν ὁ δαίμων ἐνειμεν ἐκάστοις, ταύτῃ κέχρηται. ἔπειτ', 265
 ὃ κατάρατε καὶ γραμματοκύφων, σὺ μὲν τῆς παρὰ τουτωνὶ
 15 τιμῆς καὶ φιλανθρωπίας ἔμ' ἀποστερηῆσαι βουλόμενος τρόπαια
 καὶ μάχας καὶ παλαιὰ ἔργα ἔλεγε, ὧν τίνος προσεδείτο ὁ
 παρῶν ἀγὼν οὐτοσί; ἐμὲ δὲ, ὃ τριταγωνιστὰ, τὸν περὶ τῶν
 πρωτείων σύμβουλον τῇ πόλει παριόντα τὸ τίνος φρόνημα
 λαβόντ' ἀναβαίνειν ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμ' ἔδει; τὸ τοῦ τούτων ἀνάξια
 20 ἐρῶντος; δικαίως μέντ' ἀπέθανον. ἐπεὶ οὐδ' ὑμᾶς, ἄνδρες 266

4. ἡμάρτετε] ἡμαρτήκατε A et socii.

θῶνι Σ: volg. ἐν Μαραθῶνι.

πόλιν] ἡ πόλις ὁμοίως A et socii.

ΣA et socii Dion.: volg. ἀπένειμεν.

testimonio decepti.

volgo ἔπειτ'.

19. λαβόντ'] ἀναλαβόντ' A et socii.

5. μὰ] Ita Σ: volg. αὐ μὰ. Mox Mara-

7. Πλαταιαῖς] Πλαταιαῖς Σ.

11. αὐτῶν] αὐτοὺς Σ.

13. ἐνειμεν] Sic

17. τὸν] Om. A^o et B. et S., falso de codice Σ

20. ἐπειτ'] Sic Σ:

2. τουδὶ. Ctesiphon: vid. ad Ac. in Ctes. § 24, p. 57.

§ 263. You were not wrong, by all the brave departed.

6. Μαραθῶν. 'At Marathon,' distinguished from ἐν Πλαταιαῖς, ἐν Σαλαμῖνι: Marathon was but a demus, each of the others included a territory. Strictly the battle was not fought 'in the streets of Plataea,' still less the sea-fight 'in Salamis;' only within their bounds.

προκινδυνεύσαντας. So in Demosthenes' favourite author, Thucydides (1. 73), who also is speaking of Marathon.

7. παραταξαμένους. 'Who stood in the line:' at Marathon, they met danger before others were ready, at Plataea they distinguished themselves among many confederates. Perhaps Demosthenes means to refer here to discipline, as he referred before to courage: the Athenians were at their posts, while most of the army was out of the way till after the battle was over.

§ 264. Whom the city honours alike,

whether they died in victory or defeat.

12. τῇ τύχῃ κ.τ.λ. 'Of fortune, they had what it pleased Providence to send.' δαίμων and τὸ δαιμόνιον are used especially in this sense of 'Providence,' supernatural power as the disposer of fortune.

§ 265. And therefore their honour is no reason why you should refuse to honour me, who acted in the spirit of your history.

14. γραμματοκύφων refers to Aeschines' occupation as usher, not his occupation as clerk: consequently one cannot translate 'quill-driver.' The sneer is hardly appropriate against a soldier who had distinguished himself personally in three campaigns.

17. τριταγωνιστὰ. The point is, that a man who had never risen above the *tertias partes* of the drama, could not criticise the *primas partes*, *πρωτεῖα*, of history.

§ 266. In that spirit my cause ought to be tried.

20. ἐπειτ' οὐδ'. The reading before Reiske was ἔπειτ' οὐδ'. οὐδ' ὑμᾶς, you, no more than your advisers.

Ἀθηναῖοι ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς διανοίας δεῖ τὰς τε ἰδίας δίκας καὶ τὰς δημοσίας κρίνειν, ἀλλὰ τὰ μὲν τοῦ καθ' ἡμέραν βίου συμβόλαια ἐπὶ τῶν ἰδίων νόμων καὶ ἔργων σκοποῦντας, τὰς δὲ κοινὰς προαιρέσεις εἰς τὰ τῶν προγόνων ἀξιώματα ἀποβλέποντας. καὶ παραλαμβάνειν γε ἅμα τῇ βακτηρίᾳ καὶ τῷ συμβόλῳ τὸ φρόνημα τὸ τῆς πόλεως νομίζειν ἕκαστον ὑμῶν δεῖ, ὅταν τὰ δημόσια εἰσίσητε κρινούντες, εἴπερ ἄξια ἐκείνων πράττειν οἴεσθε χρῆναι.

267 Ἀλλὰ γὰρ ἐμπεσὼν εἰς τὰ πεπραγμένα τοῖς προγόνοις ὑμῶν ἔστιν ἃ τῶν ψηφισμάτων παρέβην καὶ τῶν πραχθέντων. 10 ἐπανελθεῖν οὖν, ὁπόθεν εἰς ταῦτ' ἐξέβην, βούλομαι.

Ὡς γὰρ ἀφικόμεθ' εἰς τὰς Θήβας, καταλαμβάνομεν Φιλίππου καὶ Θετταλῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων συμμαχῶν παρόντας πρέσβεις, καὶ τοὺς μὲν ἡμετέρους φίλους ἐν φόβῳ, τοὺς δ' ἐκείνου θρασεῖς. ὅτι δ' οὐ νῦν ταῦτα λέγω τοῦ συμφέροντος ἕνεκα ἐμαυτῷ, 15 λέγε μοι τὴν ἐπιστολὴν ἣν τότε ἐπέμψαμεν εὐθὺς οἱ πρέσβεις.

268 καίτοι τοσαύτη γ' ὑπερβολῇ συκοφαντίας οὗτος κέχρηται ὥστ', εἰ μὲν τι τῶν δεόντων ἐπράχθη, τὸν καιρὸν, οὐκ ἐμέ φησιν αἴτιον γεγενῆσθαι, τῶν δ' ὡς ἐτέρως συμβάντων ἀπάντων ἐμὲ καὶ τὴν ἐμὴν τύχην αἰτίαν εἶναι. καὶ ὡς ἔοικεν, ὁ σύμβουλος 20 καὶ ῥήτωρ ἐγὼ τῶν μὲν ἐκ λόγου καὶ τοῦ βουλευσασθαι πραχθέντων οὐδενὸς αὐτῷ συναίτιος εἶναι δοκῶ, τῶν δ' ἐν τοῖς ὅπλοις καὶ κατὰ τὴν στρατηγίαν ἀτυχηθέντων μόνος αἴτιος εἶναι. πῶς ἂν ὁμότερος συκοφάντης γένοιτ' ἢ καταρατότερος; λέγε τὴν ἐπιστολὴν. 25

11. εἰς ταῦτ' ἐνταῦθ' Σ (volg. est in γρ.) B. et S.

λαμβάνομεν ΓΤΦΩ et al.

22. οὐδένος] οὐδὲν Σ B. et S.

12. καταλαμβάνομεν] κατα- 24. γένοντ' ἢ καταρατότερος] Ita ΣΑ et socii: ceteri plerique γένοντ' ἂν ἢ καταρατότερος τούτου.

3. τῶν ἰδίων νόμων is taken by Reiske and Dissen as 'laws affecting private persons,' which would answer very well to *ιδίας δίκας*. If so, the antithesis will be an example of chiasmus, τὰ . . συμβόλαια will be opposed to τὰ . . ἀξιώματα, and *ιδίων νόμων καὶ ἔργων* to *κοινὰς προαιρέσεις*. It would be possible also to translate τῶν ἰδίων νόμων καὶ ἔργων, 'your own laws and experience' (viz. those of the existing generation), as opposed to τὰ τῶν προγόνων ἀξιώματα.

§ 267. But I must not forget recent details in this retrospect: our embassy at Thebes was difficult.

12. καταλαμβάνομεν. Several MSS. give καταλαμβάνομεν.

15. ὅτι δ' οὐ . . πρέσβεις. The point of this is an appeal, not from an interested to a disinterested statement, but from a single statement made when it could not be checked,

to a joint statement made when it could. Cp. the argument below, §§ 284, 285.

§ 268. *Aeschines has no right to say circumstances made it easy.*

17. καίτοι . . ἐπιστολὴν. As the course of the argument is interrupted by the call for the letter, there is no need to curtail the virulent apostrophe to Aeschines: cp. sup. ad § 231. Here λίγε τὴν ἐπιστολὴν comes in at the end of the personal attack as a sort of violent effort of the orator to calm himself.

18. τὸν καιρὸν: cp. Aesch. in Ctes. § 141 sqq.

20. ὁ σύμβουλος . . ἐγώ. 'An orator and statesman when his name is Demosthenes.'

22. συναίτιος. Not to have even 'a share of the credit:' opposed to μόνος αἴτιος.

ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ.

Ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν ἐποίησαντο τὴν ἐκκλησίαν, προσήγον ἐκείνους 269
 προτέρους διὰ τὸ τὴν τῶν συμμάχων τάξιν ἐκείνους ἔχειν. καὶ
 παρελθόντες ἐδημηγόρουν πολλὰ μὲν Φιλίππον ἐγκωμιάζοντες,
 5 πολλὰ δ' ὑμῶν κατηγοροῦντες, πάνθ' ὅσα πώποτ' ἐναντία
 ἐπράξατε Θηβαίοις ἀναμνησκόντες. τὸ δ' οὖν κεφάλαιον, 270
 ἡξίουν ὧν μὲν εὖ πεπόνθεσαν ὑπὸ Φιλίππου χάριν αὐτοὺς ἀπο-
 δοῦναι, ὧν δ' ὑφ' ὑμῶν ἡδίκηντο δίκην λαβεῖν, ὁποτέρως βού-
 λονται, ἢ διέντας αὐτοὺς ἐφ' ὑμᾶς ἢ συνεμβалόντας εἰς τὴν
 10 Ἀττικὴν, καὶ ἐδείκνυσαν, ὡς ᾤοντο, ἐκ μὲν ὧν αὐτοὶ συνεβού-
 λειον τὰ ἐκ τῆς Ἀττικῆς βοσκήματα καὶ ἀνδράποδα καὶ τᾶλλ'
 ἀγαθὰ εἰς τὴν Βοιωτίαν ἡξόντα, ἐκ δὲ ὧν ἡμᾶς ἐρεῖν ἔφασαν
 τὰ ἐν τῇ Βοιωτίᾳ διαρπασθησόμενα ὑπὸ τοῦ πολέμου. καὶ
 ἄλλα πολλὰ πρὸς τοῦτοις, εἰς ταῦτα δὲ πάντα συντείνοντ'
 15 ἔλεγον. ἃ δ' ἡμεῖς πρὸς ταῦτα, τὰ μὲν καθ' ἕκαστα ἐγὼ μὲν 271
 ἀντὶ παντὸς ἂν τιμησαίμην εἰπεῖν τοῦ βίου, ὑμᾶς δὲ δέδοικα,
 μὴ παρελθλυθόντων τῶν καιρῶν, ὥσπερ ἂν εἰ κατακλυσμὸν γεγε-
 νῆσθαι τῶν πραγμάτων ἡγούμενοι, μάταιον ὄχλον τοὺς περὶ
 τούτων λόγους νομίσητε· ὃ τι δ' οὖν ἐπέσαμεν ἡμεῖς καὶ ἃ
 20 ἡμῖν ἀπεκρίναντο, ἀκούσατε. Λέγε ταυτὶ λαβών.

ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ ΘΗΒΑΙΩΝ.

Μετὰ ταῦτα τοίνυν ἐκάλουν ὑμᾶς καὶ μετεπέμποντο. ἐξήτε, 272

5. πάνθ' Sic ΣΑ et socii: volg. ἀπανθ.

αὐτοὺς] αὐτοῖς F et al. A et socii.

post eum omnes. Ille credebat, hoc a pr. m. in Σ scriptum fuisse, qui sicut ceteri ἡδίκηνται habet. δίκην omiserat prima manus, mox additur 'a m. antiqua, sed erasum, ita tamen ut literas δ et ν agnoscere liceat' Dind. Nobis potius verbum in litura scriptum esse videbatur: et ται syllabam verbi idcirco 'litteras paullo quam ceteras nigriores' habere, quia δίκην inter verba ponere conatus erat scriptor.

ἡμᾶς habent ΦΑ et socii.

14. ταῦτα] ταῦτα Σ, ταῦτα FΦΩ et al.

15. ἃ δ' ἡμεῖς πρὸς ταῦτα] Ita pr. Σ: ἃ δ' ἡμεῖς εἴπομεν πρὸς ταῦτα sic Σ, ἃ δ' ἡμεῖς πρὸς ταῦτα εἴπομεν A et socii, ἃ δ' ἡμεῖς πρὸς ταῦτα ἀντεῖπομεν FΤΩ u: volg. ἃ δ' ἡμεῖς πρὸς ταῦτα ἀντεῖπομεν.

19. ἃ] Om. pr. Σ pr. et tert. A. Omisso durior videtur locutio.

21. ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ] ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ Σ et al.

22. ἐξήτε] Ita ΣFΤΦ: volg. ἐξήετε.

§ 269. Philip's ambassadors were beard first.

2. τὴν ἐκκλησίαν. 'The assembly' which was to hear the ambassadors.

3. τῶν συμμάχων, i.e. 'They [of the two parties] were the party holding the position of allies.'

§ 270. And made a plausible appeal to Theban selfishness.

9. διέντας. If the Thebans adopted this alternative, they would still gain by the plunder of Attica, which would be sold cheap in Boeotia by the conquerors on their re-

turn. This plunder was quite a respectable political motive; in fact Isocrates in the Panegyricus proposes the plunder of Susa as the proper object of Panhellenic patriotism.

§ 271. I will not repeat my arguments which convinced the Thebans, but they were convinced.

19. ὃ τι .. ἐπέσαμεν. 'The result of our advice.'

ἃ .. ἀπεκρίναντο. 'The terms of their reply.'

§ 272. They admitted you into their city, and trusted you with their wives and children.

- ἐβοηθεῖτε, ἵνα τὰν μέσῳ παραλείπω, οὕτως οἰκείως ὑμᾶς ἐδέ-
 χοντο ὥστ' ἔξω τῶν ὀπλιτῶν καὶ τῶν ἱππέων ὄντων εἰς τὰς
 οἰκίας καὶ τὸ ἄστυ δέχσθαι τὴν στρατιὰν ἐπὶ παῖδας καὶ γυ-
 ναῖκας καὶ τὰ τιμιώτατα. καίτοι τρία ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ ἡμέρᾳ
 πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις ἔδειξαν ἐγκώμια Θηβαῖοι καθ' ὑμῶν τὰ κάλ- 5
 273 λιστα, ἐν μὲν ἀνδρείας, ἕτερον δὲ δικαιοσύνης, τρίτον δὲ σωφρο-
 σύνης. καὶ γὰρ τὸν ἀγῶνα μεθ' ὑμῶν μᾶλλον ἢ πρὸς ὑμᾶς
 ἐλόμενοι ποιήσασθαι καὶ ἀμείνους εἶναι καὶ δικαιότερ' ἀξιούν
 ὑμᾶς ἔκριναν Φιλίππου· καὶ τὰ παρ' αὐτοῖς καὶ παρὰ πᾶσι δ'
 ἐν πλείστη φυλακῇ, παῖδας καὶ γυναῖκας, ἐφ' ὑμῖν ποιήσαντες 10
 274 σωφροσύνης πίστιν περὶ ὑμῶν ἔχοντες ἔδειξαν. ἐν οἷς πᾶσιν,
 ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, κατὰ γ' ὑμᾶς ὀρθῶς ἐφάνησαν ἐγνωκότες.
 οὔτε γὰρ εἰς τὴν πόλιν εἰσελθόντος τοῦ στρατοπέδου οὐδεὶς
 οὐδὲν οὐδὲ ἀδίκως ὑμῖν ἐνεκάλεσεν· οὕτω σῶφρονας παρῄσχετε
 ὑμᾶς αὐτούς· δῖς τε συμπαραταξάμενοι τὰς πρώτας μάχας, 15
 τὴν τ' ἐπὶ τοῦ ποταμοῦ καὶ τὴν χειμερινήν, οὐκ ἀμέμπτους
 μόνον ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς, ἀλλὰ καὶ θαυμαστοὺς ἐδείξατε τῷ κόσμῳ,
 ταῖς παρασκευαῖς, τῇ προθυμίᾳ. ἐφ' οἷς παρὰ μὲν τῶν ἄλλων
 ὑμῖν ἐγίγνοντο ἔπαινοι, παρὰ δ' ὑμῶν θυσίαι καὶ πομπαὶ τοῖς θεοῖς.
 275 καὶ ἔγωγε ἡδέως ἂν ἐροίμην Αἰσχίνην, ὅτε ταῦτ' ἐπράττετο 20

1. παραλείπω] παραλίπω nonnulli.

5. καθ' περὶ A et socii.

6. ἀνδρείας]

ἀνδρίας Σ, eraso ε.
socio.9. αὐτοῖς καὶ παρὰ πᾶσι δ'] αὐτοῖς δὲ καὶ παρὰ πᾶσιν A et
socio. 11. πᾶσιν] Ita ΣA et socii: volg. ἅπασιν.

13. τοῦ] Om. Σ.

14. παρῄσχετε] Sic ΣA k: legebatur παρῄσχεσθε.

15. μάχας] Om. Σ B. et S.

16. τὴν τ'] τὴν FΓΩ et al.

20. ἐπράττετο] ἐπράττετε nonnulli.

2. ἔξω .. ὄντων. 'Although the men-at-arms and cavalry were not in the city, they received the army.' The main Theban force had gone to the front, and yet they admitted the main Athenian force into the city. The sentence is painfully ambiguous in Greek, still more so in English; but τὴν στρατιὰν can hardly be a synonym for ὀπλίτας and ἱππείας.

5. καθ' ὑμῶν. κατὰ is more frequently used of applying censure than praise: but cp. Ae. in Tim. p. 24, § 169, Phil. 2. p. 68, § 10.

τὰ κάλλιστα. As corresponding to three of the four conventional cardinal virtues. The fact that the Thebans chose to fight with Athens, not against her, is made to do double duty as a proof of her courage and the justice of her cause.

§ 273. They judged you brave, just, tem-
 perate.

§ 274. This homage was justified by your discipline and courage, twice successfully displayed.

12. κατὰ γ' ὑμᾶς ὀρθῶς. He does not venture to say that the Thebans' decision was not a mistake, only that they were not mistaken in Athens.

16. τὴν ἐπὶ τοῦ ποταμοῦ: cp. above, § 148. 'The battle on the river;' perhaps, though the district of the Cephissus was called Παραποταμία, it is hardly safe to translate after Reiske, 'the battle of Potamus.'

τὴν χειμερινήν. 'The winter battle' for 'the battle in the winter,' seems more possible than 'the stormy battle' for 'the battle in the storm,' which in itself is an odder designation for a battle than the other, though that is far from obvious or precise. But the chronological difficulties in finding room for a winter campaign between the occupation of Elatea and the battle of Chaeronea, are almost, if not quite, insuperable. If the word is corrupt, the corruption must be very old, for the MSS. are unanimous.

§ 275. Did Aeschines regret its success?

20. ἐπράττετο. Reiske conj. ἐπράττετε.

καὶ ζήλου καὶ χαρᾶς καὶ ἐπαίνων ἢ πόλις ἦν μεστή,
 πότερον συνέθε καὶ συνευφραίνετο τοῖς πολλοῖς, ἢ λυπούμενος
 καὶ στένων καὶ δυσμεναίνων τοῖς κοινοῖς ἀγαθοῖς οἴκοι καθήτο.
 εἰ μὲν γὰρ παρὴν καὶ μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων ἐξητάζετο, πῶς οὐ 276
 5 δεινὰ ποιεῖ, μᾶλλον δ' οὐδ' ὅσια, εἰ ὧν ὡς ἀρίστων αὐτὸς τοὺς
 θεοὺς ἐποιήσατο μάρτυρας, ταῦθ' ὡς οὐκ ἄριστα νῦν ὑμᾶς ἀξιοῖ
 ψηφίσασθαι τοὺς ὁμωμοκότας τοὺς θεοὺς; εἰ δὲ μὴ παρὴν,
 πῶς οὐκ ἀπολωλέναι πολλάκις ἐστὶ δίκαιος, εἰ ἐφ' οἷς ἔχαιρον
 οἱ ἄλλοι, ταῦτα ἐλυπεῖτο ὀρών; Λέγε δὴ καὶ ταῦτα τὰ ψη-
 10 φίσματά μοι.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑΤΑ ΘΥΣΙΩΝ.

Οὐκοῦν ἡμεῖς μὲν ἐν θυσίαις ἤμεν τότε, Θηβαῖοι δ' ἐν τῷ 277
 δι' ἡμᾶς σεσῶσθαι νομίζειν, καὶ περιεστίκει τοῖς βοηθείας δεή-
 σεσθαι δοκοῦσιν ἀφ' ὧν ἔπραττον οὗτοι, αὐτοὺς βοηθεῖν ἐτέροις
 15 ἐξ ὧν ἐπέισθητ' ἐμοί. ἀλλὰ μὴν οἷας τὸτ' ἠφίει φωνὰς ὁ
 Φίλιππος καὶ ἐν οἷαις ἦναραχαῖς ἐπὶ τούτοις, ἐκ τῶν ἐπι-
 στολῶν τῶν ἐκείνου μαθήσεσθε ὧν εἰς Πελοπόννησον ἔπεμπεν.
 καὶ μοι λέγε ταύτας λαβὼν, ἵν' εἰδῆτε ἡ ἐμὴ συνέχεια καὶ
 πλάνοι καὶ ταλαιπωρίαὶ καὶ τὰ πολλὰ ψηφίσματα, ἃ νῦν
 20 οὗτος διέσυρε, τί ἀπειργάσατο.

Καίτοι πολλοὶ παρ' ὑμῖν, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, γεγόνασι ῥή- 278
 τορες ἔνδοξοι καὶ μεγάλοι πρὸ ἐμοῦ, Καλλίστρατος ἐκείνος,

1. ἡ πόλις ἦν] Ita ΣΑ et socii: ceteri ἦν ἡ πόλις.

ἐπὶ τοῖς.

5. ἀρίστων] ἀρίστων ὄντων Α et socii: μοι ἔτια pro ἀρίστα iidem.

11. ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑΤΑ] ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ Σ.

αὐτοὺς] αὐτοῖς ΓΥΦΩ et socii.

volg. ἔπεμψεν.

2. τοῖς] Sic ΣΑ s. em: ceteri

14. δοκοῦσιν] νομίζουσιν Σ Β. et S.

17. ἔπεμπεν] ἔπεμπον pr. Σ, ἔπεμψεν corr. id.:

18. Post εἰδῆτε add. ΓΥΦΩ et socii et a m. tertia Σ.

§ 276. *If he shared your rejoicing, he cannot condemn the policy which produced it: if he did not he is a traitor.*

4. μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων ἐξητάζετο. 'Passed muster with the rest,' 'gave the same signs of patriotic joy.' Of course a man might honestly think an enterprise imprudent, be glad when it seemed succeeding, and denounce the imprudence when it failed.

§ 277. *Philip showed signs of distress, thanks to my exertions, which Aeschines ridicules,*

12. ἐν .. νομίζων. Rather a harsh and formal parallelism to ἐν θυσίαις.

18. ἡ ἐμὴ συνέχεια. 'My persistence,' 'my diligence.'

19. πλάνοι. Almost, 'my restlessness;' he is speaking of his journeys to negotiate treaties of alliance.

20. διέσυρε. In a passage retrenched

from the speech as we have it: unless the reference to τὰ πολλὰ ψηφίσματα be to Demosthenes' general habit of drawing up long decrees, as described by Ae. in Ctes. § 67, not to 'the many decrees' proposed at this particular period. It is curious that Demosthenes does not speak of Philip's attempts to negotiate, mentioned by Aeschines (in Ctes. §§ 148 sqq.), which were an unmistakable proof of his uneasiness; nor does he justify, as he easily might, his own conduct in rejecting them. They are usually dated rather before this; if so, it was out of place to mention them here, and it might have been embarrassing to mention them in their proper place.

§ 278. *Unprecedented as they were,*

22. Καλλίστρατος. The great anti-Theban orator: his father was Callicrates, his demus Aphidnae.



Ἀριστοφῶν, Κέφαλος, Θρασύβουλος, ἕτεροι μυριοί· ἀλλ' ὅμως οὐδεὶς πώποτε τούτων διὰ παντός ἔδωκεν ἑαυτὸν εἰς οὐδὲν τῇ πόλει, ἀλλ' ὁ μὲν γράφων οὐκ ἂν ἐπρέσβευσεν, ὁ δὲ πρεσβεύων οὐκ ἂν ἔγραψεν. ὑπέλειπε γὰρ αὐτῶν ἕκαστος ἑαυτῷ ἅμα μὲν
 279 ῥαστώνην, ἅμα δ', εἴ τι γένοιτ', ἀναφοράν. τί οὖν; εἴποι τις 5
 ἂν, σὺ τοσοῦτον ὑπερῆρας τοὺς ἄλλους ῥώμῃ καὶ τόλμῃ ὥστε πάντα ποιεῖν αὐτός; οὐ ταῦτα λέγω, ἀλλ' οὕτως ἐπεπίεσμην μέγαν εἶναι τὸν κατειληφότα κίνδυνον τὴν πόλιν ὥστ' οὐκ ἐδόκει μοι χώραν οὐδὲ πρόνοιαν οὐδεμίαν τῆς ἰδίας ἀσφαλείας διδόναι, ἀλλ' ἀγαπητὸν εἶναι, εἰ μηδὲν παραλείπων τις ἂν δεῖ
 280 πράξειεν. ἐπεπίεσμην δ' ὑπὲρ ἑμαυτοῦ, τυχὸν μὲν ἀναισθητῶν, ὅμως δ' ἐπεπίεσμην, μήτε γράφοντ' ἂν ἐμοῦ γράψαι βέλτιον μηδένα, μήτε πράττοντα πράξαι, μήτε πρεσβεύοντα πρεσβεῦσαι προθυμότερον μηδὲ δικαιοτέρον. διὰ ταῦτα ἐν πᾶσιν ἑμαυτὸν ἔταπτον. Λέγε τὰς ἐπιστολάς τὰς τοῦ Φιλίππου. 15

ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΑΙ.

281 Εἰς ταῦτα κατέστησε Φίλιππον ἡ ἐμὴ πολιτεία, Αἰσχίνῃ ταύτην τὴν φωνὴν ἐκείνος ἀφῆκε, πολλοὺς καὶ θρασεῖς τὰ πρὸ τούτων τῇ πόλει ἐπαιρόμενος λόγους. ἀνθ' ὧν δικαίως

2. πώποτε τούτων] τούτων πώποτε FTΦΩ et socii. 4. ὑπέλειπε] Sic Σ: legabatur ὑπελείπετο.

5. γένοιτ'] Sic Σ s, γένοιτο A, ceteri γίγνοιτο. 6. τοὺς ἄλλους] Om. Σ, post τόλμῃ ponunt FTΦΩ et socii: quod parum abest quin propter symmetriam malim, et respondentian ad vocem αὐτοῦς.

9. χώραν] ὄραν A et socii, unde ὄραν Schæfer. Dissen. ὄραν γρ. ΣΦ.

10. παραλείπων] παραλιπὼν ΤΑ et socii. 11. ἀναισθητῶν] Ita solus ω: ceteri ἀναισθητον.

14. μηδὲ] Sic Σ p: ceteri μήτε.

15. τὰς τοῦ] Sic ΣΑ et socii: ceteri om.

18. Post ἀφῆκε δι' ἐμὲ add. omnes praeter Σ.

1. Ἀριστοφῶν: cp. ad § 85.

Κέφαλος: cp. ad Aesch. in Ctes. § 195.

Θρασύβουλος: cp. ib. 138.

5. ῥαστώνην. 'Some repose at the moment.'

ἀναφοράν. 'Some chance of shifting the blame.' Cp. Aesch. de F. L. p. 41, § 110; also below, § 283, ἀνεγκλείν.

§ 279. Not as a display of unprecedented power, but as called out by unprecedented danger.

7. οὕτως ἐπεπίεσμην. 'I was so thoroughly persuaded of the greatness of the danger.' Dissen joins οὕτως with μέγαν.

9. ἔδοξα μοι with διδόναι means, 'I determined,' with εἶναι, 'I thought'; so that there is a slight zeugma in the construction, though with both it might be trans-

lated, 'I made up my mind.'

χώραν. The vulgate will mean, 'to give myself no room to escape, not to waste a thought on safety.' This is more forcible than Schæfer and Dissen's reading, 'no care nor forethought'; though of course ὄραν goes smoothly with πρόνοιαν, and might have got altered as an unfamiliar word.

§ 280. Which I felt none could meet better.

13. πράττοντα. Almost a technical word for public action, as 'execute' with us: cp. Xen. Mem. 1. 2. 15; 2. 4. 4. It is also specifically used of negotiations, of a kind to be discreditable to at least one party, what were called in Old English 'practices.'

§ 281. I alarmed Philip: I deserved a crown, which I received without opposition from Aeschines.

ἐστεφανούμην ὑπὸ τούτων, καὶ σὺ παρὼν οὐκ ἀντέλεγες, ὁ δὲ γράψάμενος Διώνδας τὸ μέρος τῶν ψήφων οὐκ ἔλαβεν. Καὶ μοι λέγε ταῦτα τὰ ψηφίσματα τὰ τότε μὲν ἀποπεφευγότα, ὑπὸ τούτου δ' οὐδὲ γραφέντα.

5

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑΤΑ.

Ταυτὶ τὰ ψηφίσματ', ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τὰς αὐτὰς συλλα- 282
βας καὶ ταῦτα ῥήματ' ἔχει ἅπερ πρότερον μὲν Ἀριστόνικος,
νῦν δὲ Κτησιφῶν γέγραφεν οὕτως. καὶ ταῦτ' Αἰσχίνης οὗτ'
ἐδίωξεν αὐτὸς οὔτε τῷ γραψαμένῳ συγκατηγόρησεν. καίτοι
10 τότε τὸν Δημομέλῃ τὸν ταῦτα γράφοντα καὶ τὸν Ὑπερείδῃ,
εἴπερ ἀληθὴ μου νῦν κατηγορεῖ, μᾶλλον ἂν εἰκότως ἢ τόνδ'
ἐδίωκεν. διὰ τί; ὅτι τῷ μὲν ἔστ' ἀνενεγκεῖν ἐπ' ἐκείνους 283
καὶ τὰς τῶν δικαστηρίων γνώσεις καὶ τὸ τοῦτον αὐτὸν ἐκείνων
μὴ κατηγορηκέναι ταῦτα γραψάντων ἅπερ οὗτος νῦν, καὶ τὸ
15 τοὺς νόμους μηκέτ' εἶναι περὶ τῶν οὕτωπραχθέντων κατηγορεῖν,
καὶ πολλὰ ἕτερα· τότε δ' αὐτὸ τὸ πρῶγμ' ἂν ἐκρίνετο ἐφ'
αὐτοῦ, πρὶν τι τούτων προλαβεῖν. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἦν, οἶμαι, τότε, 284
ὃ νυνὶ ποιεῖ, ἐκ παλαιῶν χρόνων καὶ ψηφισμάτων πολλῶν
ἐκλέξαντα, ἃ μήτε προήδει μηδεὶς μὴτ' ἂν φήθη τήμερον ῥη-
20 θῆναι, διαβάλλειν, καὶ μετενεγκόντα τοὺς χρόνους καὶ προ-

2. τὸ μέρος] Ita Σ: volgo τὸ πέμπτον μέρος.

τὰ τότε μὲν] Om. pr. Σ. 'Fort. ψηφίσματα τὰ ἀποπεφευγότα' Saupp.

μᾶλῃ] Ita libri optimi: -λην Dind.

14. νῦν] Ita ΣΑ et socii B. et S.: ceteri et Dind. νῦν.

ceteri δ. Mox ποιεῖν Σ B. et S.

3. λέγε] λάβε Σ B. et S.

10. Δημο-

12. διὰ τί; ὅτι τῷ μὲν] τοῦτ' ἔστι μὲν γὰρ Α β.

18. δ] Ita ΣΑ et socii:

1. οὐκ ἀντέλεγες. These words are introduced to confirm δικαίως, though Demosthenes was probably still ambassador and already treasurer, so that this decree would be open to the same technical objection as Ctesiphon's.

§ 282. Yet the decree then acquitted is identical with that which he prosecutes now.

9. συγκατηγόρησεν. 'Did not put his name to the indictment' (Latin *subscribere*), 'or speak as *συνήγορος* on the trial.' Cp. Aesch. in Ctes. § 200.

10. τὸν Δημομέλῃ .. τὸν Ὑπερείδῃ. Each had moved one decree. ταῦτα in the plural refers to the details of the decree of Demoteles. Was this Demosthenes' cousin, with whom Demosthenes had had the questionable quarrel talked of by Aeschines?

§ 283. True, Ctesiphon is protected by precedent,

12. ἀνενεγκεῖν: cp. sup. ad § 279. 'Throw the responsibility on them, on the decisions of the courts, on the very silence

of Aeschines, who never prosecuted the authors of decrees identical with this.' Cp. Aesch. in Ctes. § 194.

§ 284. But Aeschines can misrepresent facts now.

18. παλαιῶν χρόνων. The time of the battle of Chaeronea would be παλαιός when Demosthenes was speaking.

19. προήδεν. From the terms of the indictment; ἂν φήθῃ, from its matter. The reference is partly to the decrees moved to facilitate the peace of Philocrates (Aesch. in Ctes. § 60), partly to the decrees connected with the Theban alliance selected for invidious comment. Ibid. § 142 sqq.

20. μετενεγκόντα τοὺς χρόνους: cp. Aesch. in Ctes. § 140, πρὶν περὶ συμμαχίας μίαν μόνην συλλαβὴν γράψαι Δημοσθένην.

προφάσεις .. μεταθέτειν. As in the motives he imputes to Demosthenes' conduct in the matter of the peace of Philocrates, of Euboea, and of Amphissa.

- φάσεις ἀντὶ τῶν ἀληθῶν ψευδεῖς μεταθέντα τοῖς πεπραγμένοις
 285 δοκεῖν τι λέγειν. οὐκ ἦν τότε ταῦτα, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τῆς ἀληθείας, ἐγγὺς τῶν ἔργων, ἔτι μεμνημένων ὑμῶν καὶ μόνον οὐκ ἐν ταῖς χερσὶν ἕκαστα ἐχόντων, πάντες ἐγίγνοντ' ἂν οἱ λόγοι. διόπερ τοὺς παρ' αὐτὰ τὰ πράγματ' ἐλέγχους φυγῶν νῦν ἤκει, ῥητό-
 ρων ἀγῶνα νομίζων, ὥς γ' ἐμοὶ δοκεῖ, καὶ οὐχὶ τῶν πεπολιτευ-
 μένων ἐξέτασιν ποιήσιν ὑμᾶς, καὶ λόγου κρίσιν, οὐχὶ τοῦ τῇ πόλει συμφέροντος ἔσεσθαι.
- 286 Εἴτα σοφίζεται, καὶ φησὶ προσήκειν, ἧς μὲν οἰκοθεν ἦκετ' ἔχοντες δόξης περὶ ἡμῶν ἀμελῆσαι, ὥσπερ δ', ὅταν οἰόμενοι 10 περιεῖναι χρήματά τῃ λογίζεσθε, ἂν καθαιρῶσιν αἱ ψῆφοι καὶ μηδὲν περιῆ, συγχωρεῖτε, οὕτω καὶ νῦν τοῖς ἐκ τοῦ λόγου
- 287 φαινομένοις προσθέσθαι. θεάσασθε τοῖνυν ὡς σαθρὸν, ὡς ἔοικεν, ἔστι φύσει πᾶν ὃ τι ἂν μὴ δικαίως ἢ πεπραγμένον. ἐκ γὰρ αὐτοῦ τοῦ σοφοῦ τούτου παραδείγματος ὁμολόγηκε νῦν γ' ἡμᾶς 15 ὑπάρχειν ἐγνωσμένους ἐμὲ μὲν λέγειν ὑπὲρ τῆς πατρίδος, αὐτὸν δ' ὑπὲρ Φιλίππου· οὐ γὰρ ἂν μεταπίθην ὑμᾶς ἐξήτει μὴ
- 288 τοιαύτης οὔσης τῆς ὑπαρχούσης ὑπολήψεως περὶ ἐκατέρου. καὶ μὴν ὅτι γε οὐ δίκαια λέγει μεταθέσθαι ταύτην τὴν δόξαν

2. δοκεῖν] δοκεῖ Σ. ἐπὶ τῆς] Ita ΣΑ et socii et γρ. Φ, ἐπ' αὐτῆς FΦΩ, volg. ἐπ' αὐτῆς τῆς.
 3. Post ἐγγὺς οὔσης add. FΓΦΩ et socii. 5. Post νῦν ὑπερὸν add. iidem.
 6. γ'] Om. A et socii. 7. Post ὑμᾶς ὑπολαμβάναν addebat: om. ΣΑ et socii. οὐχί] Sic Σ: volg. οὐ. 11. καθαιρῶσιν] Ita pr. Σ B. et S.: volgo καθαρὰ ὄσιν. Vid. annot. 15. νῦν γ'] Sic Σ, νῦν Ω u, om. A et socii: legebatur νυνί.
 19. τήν] Om. Σ.

§ 285. *He chooses to bring forward his accusations when they cannot be tested.*

5. ῥητόρων .. ἔσεσθαι. 'Thinking, I must suppose, you will hold a tournament of oratory, not a review of our policy, and that the decision will turn upon eloquence, not the interest of the state.'

§ 286. *Then he pretends you are to judge by the speeches of a day, not by your lifelong knowledge of us both:*

9. σοφίζεται. 'Makes a display of ingenuity;' as in the next section τοῦ σοφοῦ παραδείγματος, 'this clever illustration,' the contemptuous or ironical use of σοφός that leads to the ordinary sense of σοφίζομαι and σοφιστής. See Ae. in Ct. § 59.

10. οἰόμενοι .. λογίζεσθε. Westermann's theory, that these words refer to Lysurgus, who was expected to have a balance after his five years' administration, is quite unsupported by the parallel passage in Aeschines: but his construction, taking τῃ μετρίᾳ χρήματα, is certainly better than Dissen's, who joins it with λογίζεσθε,

for fear of making the other words too emphatic.

11. καθαιρῶσιν. It might be taken, 'If they prove this point, the sense of καθαιρεῖν being 'to convict,' like the simple αἰρεῖν, as in Soph. Ant. 384. Perhaps it is more like Demosthenes to make καθαιρῶσιν correlative to καὶ μηδὲν περιῆ, 'If the figures (the several items) exhaust (the sum-total), and there is no remainder.' The common reading, καθαρὰ ὄσιν, would mean simply 'come out even.'

§ 287. *Confessing thereby, that this test condemns him.*

15. ἡμᾶς .. ἐγνωσμένους. This reading is now received from Σ by Dindorf, as well as B. and S. Baier refers to his own note on Lyc. in Leocr. p. 125, § 82, for evidence that ἐγνωσμένους is always strictly passive. If we retained the old reading ὑμᾶς, we should have to give ἐγνωσμένους a semi-active meaning, like 'decided,' 'that your minds were already made up.'

§§ 288, 289. *Naturally: I gained Thebes, and Euboea and the Hellespont,*

ἀξιῶν, ἐγὼ διδάξω ῥαδίως, οὐ τιθεῖς ψήφους (οὐ γάρ ἐστιν ὁ
 τῶν πραγμάτων οὗτος λογισμός), ἀλλ' ἀναμνησκῶν ἕκαστα
 ἐν βραχέσι, λογισταῖς ἅμα καὶ μάρτυσι τοῖς ἀκούουσιν ὑμῖν
 χρώμενος. ἢ γὰρ ἐμὴ πολιτεία, ἧς οὗτος κατηγορεῖ, ἀντὶ μὲν
 5 τοῦ Θηβαίους μετὰ Φιλίππου συνεμβαλεῖν εἰς τὴν χώραν, ὃ 289
 πάντες φόντο, μεθ' ἡμῶν παραταξαμένους ἐκείνον κωλύειν ἐποίη-
 σεν, ἀντὶ δὲ τοῦ ἐν τῇ Ἀττικῇ τὸν πόλεμον εἶναι ἐπτακόσια
 στάδια ἀπὸ τῆς πόλεως ἐπὶ τοῖς Βοιωτῶν ὁρίοις γενέσθαι,
 ἀντὶ δὲ τοῦ τοὺς ληστὰς ἡμᾶς φέρειν καὶ ἄγειν ἐκ τῆς Εὐβοίας
 10 ἐν εἰρήνῃ τὴν Ἀττικὴν ἐκ θαλάττης εἶναι πάντα τὸν πόλεμον,
 ἀντὶ δὲ τοῦ τὸν Ἑλλήσποντον ἔχειν Φιλίππον, λαβόντα Βυ-
 ζάντιον, συμπολεμεῖν τοῖς Βυζαντίους μεθ' ἡμῶν πρὸς ἐκείνον.
 ἄρα σοι ψήφοις ὅμοιος ὁ τῶν ἔργων λογισμὸς φαίνεται; ἢ 290
 δεῖν ἀντανελεῖν ταῦτα, ἀλλ' οὐχ ὅπως τὸν ἅπαντα χρόνον μνη-
 15 μονευθήσεται σκέψασθαι; καὶ οὐκέτι προστίθῃμι ὅτι τῆς μὲν
 ὠμότητος, ἣν ἐν οἷς καθάπαξ τινῶν κύριος κατέστη Φιλίππος
 ἔστιν ἰδεῖν, ἐτέροις πειραθῆναι συνέβη, τῆς δὲ φιланθρωπίας,
 ἣν τὰ λοιπὰ τῶν πραγμάτων ἐκείνος περιβαλλόμενος ἐπλάτ-
 τετο, ὑμεῖς καλῶς ποιοῦντες τοὺς καρποὺς κεκόμισθε. ἀλλ'
 20 ἐὼ ταῦτα.

Καὶ μὴν οὐδὲ τοῦτ' εἰπεῖν ὀκνήσω, ὅτι ὁ τὸν ῥήτορα βου- 291
 λόμενος δικαίως ἐξετάζειν καὶ μὴ συκοφαντεῖν, οὐκ ἂν οἶα σὺ νῦν

1. Post ἀξιῶν volg. et Bekk. add. ὑμᾶς. Om. ΣΑ et socii.

ἔσεσθαι add. ΓΥΦΩ et socii omnes et Bekk.

A et socii. 12. Post ἐκείνον ἐποίησεν add. A et socii.

κατέστη κύριος h Dion.

18. Post περιβαλλόμενος volgo et Bekk. add. πρὸς ὑμᾶς:

om. Σ.

21. τοῦτ' ταῦτ' ΣΦ.

6. Post φόντο

παραταξαμένους συμπαταξαμένους

16. κύριος κατέστη]

1. οὐ γάρ ἐστιν κ.τ.λ. 'For the calculation of state affairs is not simply arithmetical,' anticipating the point afterwards worked out in § 290 init.

3. λογισταῖς ἅμα καὶ μάρτυσι. 'With you who hear me, for me vouchers and auditors both,' to testify to the facts and decide the result. Perhaps there is the same point as in § 142, 'I am not afraid of submitting to the strictest legitimate εὐθύναι.'

§ 290. To say nothing of making Philip respect us in defeat. Are these mere items in an overdrawn account?

13. ἄρα σοι... φαίνεται. 'Are deeds to be reckoned like counters, and cancelled as lightly? are they not to be remembered for ever?' 'You are not,' says Demosthenes, 'to ignore services done to the state, even when counterbalanced by misfortune or treason. Statesmanship is not merely a matter of profit and loss, where, if the loss be

greater than the profit, the profit counts for nothing.' Vid. ad Ae. in Ct. § 59, for the question of the relevancy of this reply.

15. οὐκέτι. 'After this, I have no need to add...'

16. ἦν... ἐπλάττετο. 'Which he feigned, as a means of compassing what remained of supremacy,' viz. the being acknowledged as chief of Greece by a nominally free election. It might be just possible to translate ἦν... περιβαλλόμενος ἐπλάττετο, 'which he fashioned as a cloak for the rest he had to do.'

19. καλῶς ποιοῦντες. Often, as in Ae. in Ct. § 233, a mere expletive of good omen, 'and good luck to you with it!' here more gravely, 'and very rightly,' almost, 'and it does you honour.'

§§ 291, 292. Nay, these are the tests of statesmanship; not your paltry εὐκλείε. But I must apply them, as you did not.

- ἔλεγε, τοιαῦτα κατηγορεῖ, παραδείγματα πλάττων καὶ ῥήματα καὶ σχήματα μιμούμενος (πάνν γὰρ παρὰ τοῦτο, οὐχ ὁρᾷς; γέγονε τὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων, εἰ τουτὶ τὸ ῥῆμα, ἀλλὰ μὴ τουτὶ διελέχθην
 292 ἐγὼ, ἢ δευρὶ τὴν χεῖρα, ἀλλὰ μὴ δευρὶ παρήνευκα), ἀλλ' ἐπ' αὐτῶν τῶν ἔργων ἂν ἐσκόπει τίνας εἶχεν ἀφορμὰς ἢ πόλιν καὶ 5
 τίνας δυνάμεις, ὅτ' εἰς τὰ πράγματ' εἰσῆιν, καὶ τίνας συνήγαγον αὐτῇ μετὰ ταῦτ' ἐπιστὰς ἐγὼ, καὶ πῶς εἶχε τὰ τῶν ἐναντίων. εἴτ' εἰ μὲν ἐλάττους ἐποίησα τὰς δυνάμεις, παρ' ἐμοὶ τὰδίκημ' ἂν ἐδείκνυν ὄν, εἰ δὲ πολλῶ μείζους, οὐκ ἂν ἐσυκοφάντει. ἐπειδὴ δὲ σὺ τοῦτο πέφευγας, ἐγὼ ποιήσω· καὶ 10
 σκοπεῖτε εἰ δικαίως χρήσομαι τῷ λόγῳ.
 293 Δύναμιν μὲν τοίνυν εἶχεν ἡ πόλις τοὺς νησιώτας, οὐχ ἅπαντας, ἀλλὰ τοὺς ἀσθενεστάτους· οὔτε γὰρ Χίος οὔτε Ῥόδος οὔτε Κέρκυρα μεθ' ἡμῶν ἦν· χρημάτων δὲ σύνταξιν εἰς πέντε καὶ 15
 τετταράκοντα τάλαντα, καὶ ταῦτ' ἦν προεξελεγμένα· ὀπλίτην 15
 δ' ἢ ἰππέα πλὴν τῶν οἰκείων οὐδένα. ὁ δὲ πάντων καὶ φοβερώτατον καὶ μάλισθ' ὑπὲρ τῶν ἐχθρῶν, οὗτοι παρεσκευάκεισαν τοὺς περιχώρους πάντας ἔχθρας ἢ φιλίας ἐγγυτέρω, Μεγαρέας,
 294 Θηβαίους, Εὐβοέας. τὰ μὲν τῆς πόλεως οὕτως ὑπῆρχεν ἔχοντα, καὶ οὐδεὶς ἂν ἔχοι παρὰ ταῦτ' εἰπεῖν ἄλλο οὐδέν· τὰ δὲ τοῦ 20

3. Post Ἑλλήνων addebatur πράγματα: om. ΣΑ et socii.
 et al. 11. δικαίως] δικαίῳ Dobr. 16. ἡ] Om. ΣΦΘΩ et al. B. et S., laudato
 Bernhardt, Synt. Gr. p. 448, nimis tamen id durum videtur. 19. τὰ μὲν] καὶ τὰ μὲν
 A et socii.

6. εἰσῆιν] εἰσῆι FT
 19. τὰ μὲν] καὶ τὰ μὲν

1. παραδείγματα πλάττων κ.τ.λ. 'Making up models (of what I had done, or ought to do), and imitating phrases and gestures.' Perhaps παραδείγματα refers to his putting words into Demosthenes' mouth, as in §§ 210, 212: ῥήματα .. μιμούμενος, to verbal criticisms like §§ 72, 166. Of course the imitation of gestures does not appear in the written speech, but § 167 would suggest it, and in § 212 we have clearly an imitation of voice. For Aeschines' proneness to lay stress on such matters, cp. D. de F. L. p. 420, § 281 sqq.

2. οὐχ ὁρᾷς. Pointing an irony just like *videlicet* in Latin, or 'you see' in English: so below, § 330.

4. ἐπ' αὐτῶν τῶν ἔργων. The preposition has the same force as in § 266.

5. ἀφορμὰς .. δυνάμεις. Supplementary rather than synonymous, 'opportunities and resources.'

8. εἴτ'. 'If he had done so,' had adopted this test, then he would either have accused me justly, or found no materials for accusing me at all.

§ 293. Our resources as I found them were the minor islands: the more powerful were alienated, our nearer neighbours hostile.

13. οὔτε γὰρ Χίος κ.τ.λ. Having revolted from the confederacy in B.C. 358. Cp. ad Ae. in Ct. § 42.

15. προεξελεγμένα. 'Raised in advance,' the reverse of 'in arrear': the confederacy was in debt to its members. ἐκλέγειν is the technical word for raising a tax, e.g. Thuc. 8. 44 fin.: also *παρεκλέγειν*, of 'embezzling' (raising for *by-ends*), D. de F. L. p. 435, § 336.

17. παρεσκευάκεισαν. By their appeals to Athenian selfishness.

§ 294. Philip had full control over his resources, was rich enough to carry out his designs, absolute enough to keep his secrets,

20. παρὰ ταῦτ'. One can hardly say whether 'besides these' or 'against this' is the more accurate translation: such passages shew how the first meaning leads to the second.

Φιλίππου, πρὸς ὃν ἦν ἡμῖν ὁ ἀγὼν, σκέψασθε πῶς. πρῶτον
 μὲν ἦρχε τῶν ἀκολουθούντων αὐτὸς αὐτοκράτωρ, ὃ τῶν εἰς τὸν
 πόλεμον μέγιστόν ἐστιν ἀπάντων· εἴθ' οὗτοι τὰ ὄπλα εἶχον
 ἐν ταῖς χερσὶν αἰεῖ· ἔπειτα χρημάτων ἡπόρει, καὶ ἔπραττεν
 5 ἃ δόξειεν αὐτῷ, οὐ προλέγων ἐν τοῖς ψηφίσμασιν, οὐδ' ἐν τῷ
 φανερῷ βουλευόμενος [οὐδ' ὑπὸ τῶν συκοφαντούντων κρινόμενος],
 οὐδὲ γραφὰς φεύγων παρανόμων, οὐδ' ὑπεύθυνος ὧν οὐδενί, ἀλλ'
 ἀπλῶς αὐτὸς δεσπότης, ἡγεμὼν, κύριος πάντων. ἐγὼ δ' ὁ πρὸς 295
 τοῦτον ἀντιτεταγμένος (καὶ γὰρ τοῦτ' ἐξετάσαι δίκαιον) τίνας
 10 κύριος ἦν; οὐδενός· αὐτὸ γὰρ τὸ δημηγορεῖν πρῶτον, οὐ μόνου
 μετεῖχον ἐγὼ, ἐξ ἴσου προὔτιθεθ' ὑμεῖς τοῖς παρ' ἐκείνου μισθα-
 νοῦσι καὶ ἐμοὶ, καὶ ὅσα οὗτοι περιγένοιτο ἐμοῦ (πολλὰ δ'
 ἐγίγνετο ταῦτα, δι' ἣν ἕκαστον τύχοι πρόφασιν), ταῦθ' ὑπὲρ
 τῶν ἐχθρῶν ἀπῆτε βεβουλευμένοι. ἀλλ' ὅμως ἐκ τοιούτων ἐλατ- 296
 15 τωμάτων ἐγὼ συμμαχους μὲν ὑμῖν ἐποίησα Εὐβοέας, Ἀχαιοὺς,
 Κορινθίους, Θηβαίους, Μεγαρέας, Λευκαδίους, Κερκυραίους, ἀφ'
 ὧν μύριοι μὲν καὶ πεντακισχίλιοι ξένοι, δισχιλίοι δ' ἱππεῖς
 ἄνευ τῶν πολιτικῶν δυνάμεων συνήχθησαν· χρημάτων δὲ ὅσων
 ἐδυνήθη ἐγὼ πλείστην συντέλειαν ἐποίησα. εἰ δὲ λέγεις ἡ 297
 20 τὰ πρὸς Θηβαίους δίκαια, Αἰσχίνη, ἢ τὰ^ν πρὸς Βυζαντίους
 ἢ τὰ πρὸς Εὐβοέας, ἢ περὶ τῶν ἴσων νυνὶ διαλέγει, πρῶτον

2. Post αὐτοκράτωρ ὃν add. A et socii: atque ita Bekk. Dind.

συκοφαντούντων κρινόμενος] In margine habet Z, om. B. et S., uncis inclusit Dind.

11. προὔτιθεθ' προὔτιθεσθ' ΣΦ.

18. ὅσων] ὅσην Dobr.

6. οὐδ' ὑπὸ
 14. ἀπῆτε] ἀπῆτετε AB et volg. ante Bekk.

2. αὐτοκράτωρ. ὃν was added after this word, an easy but not needful gloss, with no sufficient MS. authority.

3. εἴθ' οὗτοι κ.τ.λ. Whereas the Athenians were too civilised to serve in person, except at an emergency like Chaeronea itself; and then of course shewed the want of habitual training.

5. οὐ προλέγων κ.τ.λ. It was a real weakness in the Athenian constitution, that it had no vigorous executive, untrammelled in action even though responsible afterwards. The complaint that a statesman at Athens was responsible is a less fair one; but as it is obviously made, it seems likely that the clause οὐδ' ὑπὸ τῶν συκοφαντούντων κρινόμενος is genuine, as it puts Demosthenes' point in the most invidious light.

§ 295. And as well off for Athenian speakers as Athens.

12. ὅσα οὗτοι . . . βεβουλευμένοι. As τίνας κύριος ἦν balances αὐτὸς δεσπότης, ἡγεμὼν, κύριος πάντων, so this clause may

be said to balance ἔπραττεν ἃ δόξειεν αὐτῷ. 'Philip had absolute power over affairs, and conducted them in his own interest; I had only a hearing, equally with other men (μετεῖχον), in a debate that often ended in our opponent's interest.'

§ 296. Yet, though Philip had these advantages over me, I gained you many profitable allies, and enriched the military chest:

§§ 297, 298. Without committing Athens to greater sacrifices than she had already made to Greece, from Salamis onward.

20. τὰ πρὸς Θηβαίους δίκαια. 'If you are going into our just grievances against these individual states' (the force of the repeated ἡ πρὸς), 'or insisting on general principles of equity . . .' The first clause speaks of the argument, that Athens ought not to have helped these particular states at all; the second, that Athens ought to have received more benefit from any state she helped.

- μὲν ἀγνοεῖς ὅτι καὶ πρότερον τῶν ὑπὲρ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐκείνων
 ἀγωνισαμένων τριήρων, τριακοσίων οὐσῶν τῶν πασῶν, τὰς δια-
 298 κοσίας ἢ πόλιν παρέσχετο, καὶ οὐκ ἐλαττωθῆναι νομίζουσα
 οὐδὲ κρίνουσα τοὺς ταῦτα συμβουλευσάντας οὐδὲ ἀγανακτοῦσα
 ἐπὶ τούτοις ἐωρᾶτο (αἰσχροὺν γὰρ), ἀλλὰ τοῖς θεοῖς ἔχουσα 5
 χάριν, εἰ κοινὸν κινδύνον τοῖς Ἑλλησι περιστάντος αὐτὴ διπλά-
 σια τῶν ἄλλων εἰς τὴν ἀπάντων σωτηρίαν παρέσχετο. εἶτα
 299 κενὰς χαρίζει χάριτας τουτοισὶ συκοφαντῶν ἐμέ. τί γὰρ νῦν
 λέγεις οἷα ἐχρῆν πράττειν, ἀλλ' οὐ τότε ὧν ἐν τῇ πόλει καὶ
 παρὼν ταῦτ' ἔγραφες, εἴπερ ἐνεδέχετο παρὰ τοὺς παρόντας 10
 καιροὺς, ἐν οἷς οὐχ ὅσα ἐβουλόμεθα, ἀλλ' ὅσα δοίη τὰ πράγ-
 ματ' ἔδει δέχεσθαι· ὁ γὰρ ἀντωνούμενος καὶ ταχὺ τοὺς παρ'
 ἡμῶν ἀπελαυνόμενους προσδεξόμενος καὶ χρήματα προσθήσων
 ὑπῆρχεν ἔτοιμος.
 300 Ἄλλ' εἰ νῦν ἐπὶ τοῖς πεπραγμένοις κατηγορίας ἔχω, τί ἂν 15

2. τριήρων] τμηρῶν ΣFTΦ 1.
 χαρί(γ) Σ.
 FT et socii.

8. κενὰς] κενὰς γε A et socii Bekk. Statim
 11. ἐβουλόμεθα] ἡβουλόμεθα Σ p Dind. in edit. Oxon., ἀν βουλόμεθα

2. τριακοσίων κ.τ.λ. The statements of the numbers of the fleet at Salamis present a well-known difficulty. Of the two principal authorities, Aeschylus (*Persae*, 341, 342) states the Greek fleet at 310 (or 300 as some understand him), Herodotus (8. 42) at 366 or 378, according as we accept his calculation of the aggregate force, or his enumeration of the several contingents: and, according to him, the Athenian ships numbered 180. He is describing the muster, not the actual battle, so it is possible that the force engaged was 310, while the larger number were present, but some of them not fit for action. But we are told (Hdt. 8. 18) that the Athenians had suffered especially at Artemisium, so that if the efficient strength of the fleet be reckoned instead of the nominal, the number of their contingent ought to be reduced in at least as great a proportion as that of the total. Yet it was a constant boast of the Athenians, that they had furnished two-thirds of the fleet that fought at Salamis (see, especially, Thuc. 1. 74, where the total force is said, by most MSS., to have reached *ἐς τὰς τετρακοσίας*). This could be justified, if Herodotus be right as to the number of Athenian ships, and Aeschylus as to the total: but it is arbitrary to suppose this. Probably (as Arnold in Thuc. 1. c.) we have the truth coloured by national vanity. If we count all the ships that were on the coast of Salamis before the battle, there were 180 Athenian triremes

there: if we count only those that actually fought, there were 310 Greek triremes in all, and 180 out of 310 might, without much exaggeration, be called two-thirds, or 200 out of 300. But if we reckon fairly, and make either no deductions, or make the same from the parts as the whole, the Athenian contingent was less than half the fleet—180 out of 366, or 150 (perhaps) out of 310.

τὰς διακοσίας. 'Two hundred out of three,' *the* two hundred which make two-thirds. The article is thus constantly used in Greek for defining one portion out of a larger number, even when the remainder is not specified.

§ 299. *And your criticism on me comes too late to save our resources.*

9. ὧν ἐν τῇ πόλει καὶ παρὼν. *παρὼν* is not simply synonymous with *ὧν ἐν τῇ πόλει*, but means that he actually attended the assembly: below, § 337 init.

12. ἀντωνούμενος. Not exactly 'one to bid against us,' but 'one to buy in the same market with us,' to pay money down to get what we rejected. The bargain is in terms of military help, of which Philip was always ready to pay any sum to secure a bargain declined by Athens.

§§ 300, 301. *If I am accused now, what would have been said if these states had joined Philip?*

15. ἐπὶ τοῖς πεπραγμένοις. The pre-

οἴεσθε, εἰ τότε ἐμοῦ περὶ τούτων ἀκριβολογουμένου, ἀπῆλθον αἱ πόλεις καὶ προσέθεντο Φιλίππῳ, καὶ ἅμα Εὐβοίας καὶ Θηβῶν καὶ Βυζαντίου κύριος κατέστη, τί ποιεῖν ἂν ἢ τί λέγειν τοὺς ἀσεβεῖς ἀνθρώπους τουτουσί; οὐχ ὥς ἐξεδόθησαν; οὐχ 301 ὥς ἀπηλάθησαν βουλόμενοι μεθ' ἡμῶν εἶναι; εἴτα τοῦ μὲν Ἑλλησπόντου διὰ Βυζαντίων ἐγκρατὴς καθέστηκε, καὶ τῆς σιτοπομπίας τῆς τῶν Ἑλλήνων κύριος, πόλεμος δ' ὁμορος καὶ βαρὺς εἰς τὴν Ἀττικὴν διὰ Θηβαίων κεκόμενται, ἅπλους δ' ἡ θάλαττα ὑπὸ τῶν ἐκ τῆς Εὐβοίας ὁρμωμένων ληστῶν γέγονεν; 302 οὐκ ἂν ταῦτ' ἔλεγον, καὶ πολλὰ γε πρὸς τούτοις ἕτερα; πονηρὸν, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, πονηρὸν ὁ συκοφάντης αἰεὶ καὶ πανταχόθεν βάσκανον καὶ φιλαίτιον· τοῦτο δὲ καὶ φύσει κίναδος τὰνθρώπιόν ἐστιν, οὐδὲν ἐξ ἀρχῆς ὑγιὲς πεποικὸς οὐδ' ἐλευθρον, αὐτοτραγικὸς πίθηκος, ἀρουραῖος Οἰνόμαος, παράσημος ῥήτωρ. 303 τί γὰρ ἢ σὴ δεινότης εἰς ὄνησιν ἤκει τῇ πατρίδι; νῦν ἡμῖν λέγεις περὶ τῶν παρελθυθότων; ὥσπερ ἂν εἴ τις ἰατρὸς ἀσθενοῦσι μὲν τοῖς κάμνουσιν εἰσιὼν μὴ λέγοι μηδὲ δεικνύοι δι' ὧν ἀποφεύζονται τὴν νόσον, ἐπειδὴ δὲ τελευτήσῃ τις αὐτῶν καὶ τὰ νομιζόμεν' αὐτῷ φέροιτο, ἀκολουθῶν ἐπὶ τὸ μνήμα διεξίει

1. εἰ τότε] εἰ ποτ' FT et socii. τούτων] τούτου Σ τούτου FB. 3. Post λέγων al. add. οἴεσθε: alii post τί vel post τουτουσί. 4. Alterum οὐχ ὥς om. pr. Σ B. et S. Mox pro ἡμῶν, ὑμῶν Σ. 6. καθέστηκε] κατέστη TA et socii: statim φιλαίτιος add. Σ a m. sec. 7. Post κύριος addebatur γέγονεν. Om. ΣA et socii. 9. ἐκ] Om. Σ. 15. τῇ] Om. pr. Σ B. et S. 17. δεικνύοι] δεικνύη Σ. 19. φέροιτο] φαίνοιτο Σφ.

position has the same force as ἐπ' ἐξεργασμένοις, Aesch. Ag. 1379.

τί ἂν οἴεσθε... τί ποιεῖν ἂν. Cp. Phil. 3. p. 120, § 45, for the repetition of the interrogative, when the thread of the main question is resumed. This view seems better than to put a note of interrogation after κατέστη, and take τί ἂν οἴεσθε, 'What do you think would have happened?' No good MSS. repeat οἴεσθε after λέγειν.

1. τούτων. It seems hard to find a singular substantive designating the benefit Athens ought to have insisted on, that will justify the reading τούτου. Yet Σ is not unsupported in it.

4. ἐξεδόθησαν, sc. οἱ Θηβαῖοι καὶ Εὐβοεῖς καὶ Βυζάντιοι. That οὐχ ὥς should be repeated, seems more like Demosthenes, though one might accept its omission, if we were sure it was not accidental on the part of the transcriber of Σ.

5. εἴτα τοῦ μὲν Ἑλλησπόντου κ.τ.λ. He passes into *oratio recta* by simply dropping the ὥς.

§§ 302, 303. But no inconsistency is too bad for a sycophant, who speaks after the event, like a doctor prescribing at the funeral.

11. ἀεὶ καὶ πανταχόθεν. Whatever has happened, and wherever he has to get his accusations; else, to say merely that a συκοφάντης was always φιλαίτιος, would be a truism. The neuter predicates give a very intelligible sarcasm: you regard the man simply as an embodied nuisance, and despise him too much to recognise his personality.

12. καὶ φύσει. As well as by profession.

14. αὐτοτραγικὸς κ.τ.λ. 'He is an ape of an actor in grain, the very Oenomaus for a fair, but a counterfeit as a statesman.' It appears from Arist. Poet. c. 27, that *πίθηκος* was a cant name for a ranting actor.

παράσημος carries a reference to the model statesman described by Aeschines, and already criticised: above, § 157.

§ 303. Aeschines attempts to reply, in Ct. § 226.

19. τὰ νομιζόμενα. He does not pre-

“εἰ τὸ καὶ τὸ ἐποίησεν ἄνθρωπος οὐτοσί, οὐκ ἂν ἀπέθανεν.”
ἐμβρόντητε, εἴτα νῦν λέγεις;

- 304 Οὐ τοίνυν οὐδὲ τὴν ἦτταν, εἰ ταύτη γαυριῶς ἐφ’ ἣ στένειν
σε, ὧ κατάρατε, προσῆκεν, ἐν οὐδενὶ τῶν παρ’ ἐμοὶ γεγονυῖαν
εὐρήσετε τῇ πόλει. οὕτως δὲ λογίζεσθε. οὐδαμῶς πάποθ’ 5
ὅποι πρεσβευτὴς ἐπέμφθη ὑφ’ ὑμῶν ἐγὼ, ἡττηθεὶς ἀπῆλθον
τῶν παρὰ Φιλίππου πρέσβειων, οὐκ ἐκ Θετταλίας, οὐκ ἐξ Ἀμ-
βρακίας, οὐκ ἐξ Ἰλλυριῶν, οὐ παρὰ τῶν Θρακῶν βασιλέων,
οὐκ ἐκ Βυζαντίου, οὐκ ἄλλοθεν οὐδαμῶθεν, οὐ τὰ τελευταῖα
ἐκ Θηβῶν, ἀλλ’ ἐν οἷς κρατηθεῖεν οἱ πρέσβεις αὐτοῦ τῷ λόγῳ, 10
305 ταῦτα τοῖς ὅπλοις ἐπιὼν κατεστρέφετο. ταῦτ’ οὖν ἀπαιτεῖς
παρ’ ἐμοῦ, καὶ οὐκ αἰσχύnei τὸν αὐτὸν εἰς τε μαλακίαν σκώπ-
των καὶ τῆς Φιλίππου δυνάμεως ἀξίων ἓνα ὄντα κρείττω γενέ-
σθαι; καὶ ταῦτα τοῖς λόγοις; τίνος γὰρ ἄλλου κύριος ἦν
ἐγὼ; οὐ γὰρ τῆς γε ἐκάστου ψυχῆς, οὐδὲ τῆς τύχης τῶν 15
παραταξαμένων, οὐδὲ τῆς στρατηγίας, ἥς ἐμ’ ἀπαιτεῖς εὐθύνας·
306 οὕτω σκαιὸς εἶ. Ὡς ἀλλὰ μὴν ὧν γ’ ἂν ὁ ῥήτωρ ὑπεύθυνος εἴη, 2 4 -
πᾶσαν ἐξέτασιν λάμβανε· οὐ παραιτοῦμαι. τίνα οὖν ἐστὶ

1. ἄνθρωπος] Ita Bekk.: + Σ ἄνθρωπος †, ceteri ἄνθρωπος. 3. Post ἦτταν αὐτὴν add.
A et socii. 4. ἐμοὶ] Ita Σ FΩ et al. B. et S. et nunc Dind.: volgo ἐμοῦ. 6. ὅποι.]
δπου FΩ et socii. ἐπέμφθη] ἐξεπέμφθη A et socii. 7. οὐκ ἐξ Ἀμβρακίας]
Ita A et socii p.: ceteri οὐδ’ ἐξ Ἀμβρακίας. Et Σ οὐδ’ ἐξ Ἰλλυριῶν οὐδὲ παρὰ τῶν Θρακῶν
βασιλέων, οὐκ ἐκ Βυζαντίου κ.τ.λ. habet. 9. Post τελευταῖα volg. usque ad Bekk.
add. πρῶην, νῦν A et socii, Σ om. 12. αἰσχύnei] Sic Σ, volg. -νρ. 13. γενέσθαι]
γενενησθαι A et socii. 18. λάμβανε] λαμβάνετε Σ B. et S.

scribe immediately after death, but waits for the public funeral (τὰ ἔνατα, as Aeschines l. c. understands it) to make a shew of his sham sorrow.

§§ 304, 305. *My defeats were military, where I was not responsible: my diplomatic successes were uninterrupted,*

4. παρ’ ἐμοῦ. Reiske reads παρ’ ἐμοῦ, and so Dind. in his Oxford Edition, against the best MSS., and with perhaps a harsher sense. It would have to mean, ‘in any result of my action,’ the text, ‘in anything that lay at my door,’ much like παρ’ ἐμὲ below, § 307.

7. οὐκ ἐξ Ἀμβρακίας. Nearly all MSS. have οὐδὲ for οὐκ here, and Σ has it so three times; but then reads οὐκ ἐκ Βυζαντίου, οὐκ ἄλλοθεν οὐδαμῶθεν, οὐ τὰ τελευταῖα, and it is harder to give a reason for this change than to suppose an error, rectified in the middle of the passage. It would be far-fetched to regard οὐδὲ παρὰ τῶν Θρακῶν βασιλέων as ending one enumeration, and οὐκ ἐκ Βυζαντίου .. Θηβῶν as making a fresh one, on the ground, that Byzantium, Thebes, and the places gained in between,

were those on account of which Aeschines specially censured him.

9. τὰ τελευταῖα. νῦν and πρῶην, one of which follows these words in all MSS. but Σ, are clearly rival glosses on them.

14. καὶ ταῦτα τοῖς λόγοις. ‘You call me a coward, yet you ask me to defeat Philip by my single prowess, and that as a speaker.’

15. τῶν παραταξαμένων. ‘Of the men who stood in line with me,’ hinting that he deserved credit for having brought them into line at all, and perhaps that he had done all the military duty that could be expected of one man—fought like any other soldier.

16. στρατηγίας .. εὐθύνας. Referring, probably, to the charge of having separated and sacrificed two-thirds of his 15,000 mercenaries, Ae. in Ct. §§ 146, 147.

§ 306. *My political foresight unclouded, my political courage unswerving:*

18. λάμβανε. Σ has λαμβάνετε, but it would weaken the passage to turn from Aeschines to the court.

ταῦτα; ἰδεῖν τὰ πράγματα ἀρχόμενα καὶ προαισθῆσθαι καὶ προειπεῖν τοῖς ἄλλοις. ταῦτα πέπρακταί μοι. καὶ ἔτι τὰς ἐκασταχοῦ βραδυτήτας, ὅκνους, ἀγνοίας, φιλονεικίας, ἃ πολιτικά ταῖς πόλεσι πρόσσεστιν ἀπάσαις καὶ ἀναγκαῖα ἀμαρτήματα, ταῦθ' ὡς εἰς ἐλάχιστα συστῆλαι, καὶ τούναντίον εἰς ὁμόνοιαν καὶ φιλίαν καὶ τοῦ τὰ δέοντα ποιεῖν ὁρμὴν προτρέψαι. καὶ ταῦτά μοι πάντα πεποιήται, καὶ οὐδεὶς μήποθ' εὖρη κατ' ἐμὲ οὐδὲν ἐλλειφθέν. εἰ τοίνυν τις ἔροιτο ὄντινούν 307 τίσι τὰ πλείστα Φίλιππος ὦν κατέπραξε διφκήσατο, πάντες 10 ἂν εἴποιεν τῷ στρατοπέδῳ καὶ τῷ δίδοναι καὶ διαφθείρειν τοὺς ἐπὶ τῶν πραγμάτων. οὐκοῦν τῶν μὲν δυνάμεων οὔτε κύριος οὔθ' ἡγεμὼν ἦν ἐγὼ, ὥστε οὐδ' ὁ λόγος τῶν κατὰ ταῦτα πραχθέντων πρὸς ἐμέ. καὶ μὴν τῷ διαφθαρῆναι χρήμασιν ἢ μὴ κεκράτηκα Φιλίππου· ὥσπερ γὰρ ὁ ὠνούμενος νενίκηκε τὸν 15 λαβόντα, ἔαν πρίηται, οὕτως ὁ μὴ λαβὼν μηδὲ διαφθαρεῖς νενίκηκε τὸν ὠνούμενον. ὥστε ἀήττητος ἢ πόλις τὸ κατ' ἐμέ.

Ἄ μὲν τοίνυν ἐγὼ παρεσχόμην εἰς τὸ δικαίως τοιαῦτα γρά- 308 φειν τουτονὶ περὶ ἐμοῦ, πρὸς πολλοῖς ἐτέροις ταῦτα καὶ παραπλήσια τούτοις ἐστίν· ἃ δ' οἱ πάντες ὑμεῖς, ταῦτ' ἤδη λέξω. 20 μετὰ γὰρ τὴν μάχην εὐθύς ὁ δῆμος, εἰδὼς καὶ ἑορακῶς πάντα

3. πολιτικά] *πῆλικα* A et socii.

ἐλάχιστον.

8. κατ' ἐμέ] Ita Σ B. et S.: ceteri τὸ κατ' ἐμέ.

10. ὄντινούν] *ὄντινούν* ἔροιτο FTΦΩ et socii.

15. μηδὲ διαφθαρεῖς] καὶ διαφθαρεῖς pr. Σ B. et S.

Sic A et socii FΩ p Bekk. Dind.: ceteri et B. et S. τούτων.

A et al.

20. ἑορακῶς] Ita Dind.: libri *εωρακῶς*.

5. ἐλάχιστα] Sic Σ: volg. usque ad Bekk.

13. τῷ διαφθαρῆναι χρήμασιν ἢ μὴ] Ita Σ:

18. τουτονὶ] *τὸν* ἔροιτο FTΦΩ et socii.

19. οἱ πάντες] πάντες

power.

13. τῷ διαφθαρῆναι χρήμασιν ἢ μὴ.

'On the point whether I should take or not take bribes.' Dindorf compares D. de Rhod. Lib. p. 197, § 29, De F. L. p. 429, § 312, for the construction, which the second group of MSS. changed into τῷ γε μὴ διαφθαρῆναι χρήμασιν, with great detriment to the force of the passage. γε is read by the other MSS. except Σ, and may be right: if so, its force is, 'incorruptibility at any rate might be required of me,' though generalship could not.

14. ὠνούμενος... πρίηται. 'The bidder'... 'if he effect the bargain.'

16. τὸ κατ' ἐμέ. See on the last section. § 308. I have said *what ground I gave Ctesiphon for his decree*: I will now say *what ground you gave*.

§ 308. I gave him a right to propose a vote of thanks by serving you, you by acceptance and appreciation of the service.

3. πολιτικά ταῖς πόλεσι. 'Inherent weaknesses in cities as such,' the name πόλις almost implying a free government (πόλις γὰρ οὐκ ἔσθ', ἢ τις ἀνδρὸς ἔσθ' ἐνός): these weaknesses, inseparable from freedom, were explained in § 294. 'Constitutional infirmities in constitutional states,' would be too mere a pun, but would exactly express the meaning.

8. κατ' ἐμέ. Almost 'at my post,' in the matters where I was on duty: exactly like καθ' ἡμᾶς in Soph. Aj. 775. So, again, τὸ κατ' ἐμέ at the end of the next section. All MSS. but Σ have τὸ κατ' ἐμέ here also; but the article seems to break the flow of the sense, and the following passage is weaker if verbally the same as this.

§ 307. And I conquered Philip on the one point that rested with me—incorruptibility.

12. οὐδ' ὁ λόγος. 'So that neither was the responsibility mine,' any more than the

- ὅσα ἔπραττον ἐγὼ, ἐν αὐτοῖς τοῖς δεινοῖς καὶ φοβεροῖς ἐμβε-
 309 βηκῶς, ἥνικ' οὐδ' ἀγνωμονῆσαι τι θαυμαστὸν ἦν τοὺς πολλοὺς
 πρὸς ἐμέ, πρῶτον μὲν περὶ σωτηρίας τῆς πόλεως τὰς ἐμὰς
 γνώμας ἐχειροτόνει, καὶ πάνθ' ὅσα τῆς φυλακῆς ἔνεκα ἐπράτ-
 τετο, ἡ διάταξις τῶν φυλάκων, αἱ τάφροι, τὰ εἰς τὰ τεῖχη 5
 χρήματα, διὰ τῶν ἐμῶν ψηφισμάτων ἐγίγνετο· ἔπειθ' αἰρού-
 310 μενος σιτώνην ἐκ πάντων ἐμέ ἐχειροτόνησεν ὁ δῆμος. καὶ μετὰ
 ταῦτα συστάντων οἷς ἦν ἐπιμελὲς κακῶς ἐμέ ποιεῖν, καὶ γρα-
 φὰς, εὐθύνas, εἰσαγγελίας, πάντα ταῦτ' ἐπαγόντων μοι, οὐ δι'
 ἑαυτῶν τό γε πρῶτον, ἀλλὰ δι' ὧν μάλισθ' ὑπελάμβανον ἀγνο- 10
 ῆσθαι (ἴστε γὰρ δῆπου καὶ μέμνησθε ὅτι τοὺς πρώτους
 χρόνους κατὰ τὴν ἡμέραν ἐκάστην ἐκρινόμην ἐγὼ, καὶ οὐτ'
 ἀπόνοια Σωσικλέους οὔτε συκοφαντία Φιλοκράτους οὔτε Διών-
 δου καὶ Μελάντου μαῖνία οὐτ' ἄλλ' οὐδὲν ἀπείρατον ἦν τούτοις
 κατ' ἐμού), ἐν τοίνυν τούτοις πᾶσι μάλιστα μὲν διὰ τοὺς θεοὺς, 15
 δεύτερον δὲ δι' ὑμᾶς καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους Ἀθηναίους ἐσωζόμεν.
 311 δικαίως· τοῦτο γὰρ καὶ ἀληθὲς ἐστὶ καὶ ὑπὲρ τῶν ὁμωμοκώτων
 καὶ γνόντων τὰ εὐορκα δικαστῶν. οὐκοῦν ἐν μὲν οἷς εἰσηγ-
 γελλόμην, ὅτ' ἀπεψηφίζεσθέ μου καὶ τὸ μέρος τῶν ψήφων
 τοῖς δώκουσιν οὐ μετεδίδοτε, τότε ἐψηφίζεσθε τὰ ἄριστα με 20
 πράττειν· ἐν οἷς δὲ τὰς γραφὰς ἀπέφευγον, ἔννομα καὶ γρά-
 φειν καὶ λέγειν ἀπεδεικνύμην· ἐν οἷς δὲ τὰς εὐθύνas ἐπεση-
 μαίνεσθε, δικαίως καὶ ἀδωροδοκῆτως πάντα πεπραχθαί μοι

3. Post πόλεως βουλευόμενος Σ a m. sec.
 ταῦτ'] ταῦτα πάντ' Σ et pr. A. 12. κατὰ τὴν ἡμέραν ἐκάστην] Sic Σ h et (praefixio σχεδόν) A s: vofgo καθ' ἐκάστην ἡμέραν.
 et socii. 18. γνόντων τὰ εὐορκα] ὑπὲρ παντὰ εὐορκα γνόντων F et socii. 19. τὸ μέρος] Ita Σ: ceteri τὸ πέμπτον μέρος.

5. φυλάκων] φυλακῶν Σ. 9. πάντα
 16. Post ἅλους ἀπαντας add. F
 et socii.

§§ 309-312. You maintained my policy against all assailants: your acquittal was my praise.

5. φυλάκων. φυλακῶν, the reading of Σ, would be harsh after τῆς φυλακῆς.

αἱ τάφροι... χρήματα. 'The stockades, the money for the fortifications, were provided by decrees of mine.' He hints that in the matter of the stockades, he did more than assign public money to them, providing private: while yet their plan and construction was a public act.

12. ἐκρινόμην. 'I was always being brought to trial; ἐσωζόμεν, 'I was preserved as often.'

13. ἀπόνοια... συκοφαντία... μαῖνία. The first is the deliberate desperation of a man with nothing to lose, the last the desperation of blind passion; the second merely a combination of craft and malice. Philo-

crates cannot be the ambassador: even if the general amnesty after Chaeronea had allowed him to return to Athens, it is clear that he was thrown over even by the Macedonian party, so he can have had no hope of a hearing against Demosthenes.

17. δικαίως κ.τ.λ. 'Rightly, too: I say this, for it is true, and a testimony due to the judges, who had taken the same oath as you have, and kept it,' as I know you will. It seems as if Demosthenes blended the two thoughts of the former judges who voted honestly, and the present ones who have still to vote. But the tense of γνόντων shews that the primary reference is to the former.

20. τὰ ἄριστα με πράττειν. With special reference to the terms of Ctesiphon's decree.

προσωμολογεῖτε. τούτων οὖν οὕτως ἐχόντων τί προσήκον ἢ τί 312
δίκαιον ἦν τοῖς ὑπ' ἐμοῦ πεπραγμένοις θέσθαι τὸν Κτησι-
φῶντα ὄνομα, οὐχ ὃ τὸν δῆμον ἐώρα τιθέμενον, οὐχ ὃ τοὺς
ὁμωμοκότας δικαστὰς, οὐχ ὃ τὴν ἀλήθειαν παρὰ πᾶσι βεβαι-
5 οὔσαν;

Ναὶ, φησὶν, ἀλλὰ τὸ τοῦ Κεφάλου καλὸν, τὸ μηδεμίαν 313
γραφὴν φεύγειν. καὶ νῆ Δί' εὐδαιμόν γε. ἀλλὰ τί μᾶλλον
ὃ πολλάκις μὲν φυγὼν, μηδεπώποτε δ' ἐξελεγχθεὶς ἀδικῶν ἐν
ἐγκλήματι γίγνοιτ' ἂν διὰ τοῦτο δικαίως; καίτοι πρός γε
10 τοῦτον, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ τὸ τοῦ Κεφάλου καλὸν εἰπεῖν
ἔστι μοι. οὐδεμίαν γὰρ πώποτ' ἐγράψατό με οὐδ' ἐδίωξε
γραφὴν, ὥστε ὑπὸ σοῦ γε ὁμολόγημαι μηδὲν εἶναι τοῦ Κε-
φάλου χείρων πολίτης.

Πανταχόθεν μὲν τοίνυν ἂν τις ἴδοι τὴν ἀγνωμοσύνην αὐτοῦ 314
15 καὶ τὴν βασκανίαν, οὐχ ἥκιστα δ' ἀφ' ὧν περὶ τῆς τύχης
διελέχθη. ἐγὼ δ' ὅλως μὲν, ὅστις ἄνθρωπος ὧν ἀνθρώπῳ τύχην

1. προσήκον] Ita ΣFN et socii et B. et S.: volgo προσήκεν.

ΣFA et socii B. et S.: Σ a m. sec. et ceteri φυγεῖν.

batur πολλαχόθεν.

7. φεύγειν] Ita

14. πανταχόθεν] Ita Σ: leg-

batur πολλαχόθεν. 16. διελέχθη] + διελέγχθη Σ†.

1. προσήκον .. δίκαιον. Aeschines has said (In Ct. § 212) that a crown to Demosthenes was in bad taste, even if it had been justified by facts.

3. τὸν δῆμον .. τοὺς ὁμωμοκότας δικαστὰς .. τὴν ἀλήθειαν. Corresponding to the three forms of trial, by *εἰσαγγελία*, *γραφὴν παρανόμων*, and *εὐθύναι*, in all of which, he has just said, he was acquitted. The climax of *τὴν ἀλήθειαν* is rather rhetorical than logical: but it may be said that in questions of accounts the figures would speak for themselves, and that acquittal would prove more about facts and less about opinions, than in the other cases.

§ 313. *And if never to have been prosecuted for public measures is a distinction, it is no thanks to Aeschines if I cannot claim that.*

6. τὸ τοῦ Κεφάλου καλόν. 'Nay, but the glorious boast of Cephalus,' is more accurately the force than 'the boast of Cephalus is a noble one,' as appears from τὸ τοῦ Κεφάλου καλὸν being repeated substantively in the next sentence. Vid. Ae. in Ct. § 195.

7. φεύγειν. For the merits of the reading *φυγεῖν*, vid. ad Ae. in Ct. § 221.

καὶ νῆ Δί' εὐδαιμόν γε. 'Glorious it is, indeed, and not less fortunate;' he was more fortunate than me or Ctesiphon, not necessarily more upright.

11. ἐδίωξε γραφὴν. As *συνήγορος*: cp. above, § 282, οὐδε τῷ γραφάμενῳ συγκατηγορήσεν. 'He cannot say that he would have prosecuted me, had not others taken the duty off his hands: for he let them lose their cases without a word to help them.'

12. μηδὲν εἶναι. Not οὐδὲν, lest he should appear to make the claim to equality with Cephalus in his own person, which he disclaims as invidious: below, § 387 sqq.

§§ 314, 315. *He reviles me as unlucky—no legitimate ground for reviling: but to meet him,*

15. τῆς τύχης. The topic perhaps is suggested at this particular point, by the mention of Cephalus' distinction as depending rather on fortune than merit. The point of Demosthenes' ill-fortune, as having ruined all whom he professed to help, even apart from his treachery, is urged by Aeschines repeatedly, §§ 114, 157, etc. One may illustrate the superstitious notion which Demosthenes thinks worth refuting, by the popular Italian belief that the national movement of 1848 failed, because the Pope with the evil eye blessed it.

16. ὅλως μὲν. 'As a general principle:' in the particular case of Aeschines, I feel justified by his violence in making an exception, and twitting him with his personal ill-fortune.

- προφέρει, ἀνόητον ἡγοῦμαι· ἦν γὰρ ὁ βέλτιστα πράττειν νομίζων καὶ ἀρίστην ἔχειν οἰόμενος οὐκ οἶδεν εἰ μενεῖ τοιαύτη
315 μέχρι τῆς ἐσπέρας, πῶς χρὴ περὶ ταύτης λέγειν ἢ πῶς ὀνειδίζειν ἐτέρῳ; ἐπειδὴ δ' οὗτος πρὸς πολλοῖς ἄλλοις καὶ περὶ τούτων ὑπερηφάνως χρῆται τῷ λόγῳ σκέψασθ', ὧς ἄνδρες **5**
 Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ θεωρήσατε ὅσῳ καὶ ἀληθέστερον καὶ ἀνθρω-
 ***316** πινώτερον ἐγὼ περὶ τῆς τύχης τούτου διαλεχθῆσομαι. ἐγὼ τὴν μὲν τῆς πόλεως τύχην ἀγαθὴν ἡγοῦμαι, καὶ ταύτῃ ὁρῶ καὶ τὸν Δία τὸν Δωδωναῖον ἡμῖν μαντευόμενον, τὴν μέντοι τῶν πάντων ἀνθρώπων, ἣ νῦν ἐπέχει, χαλεπὴν καὶ δεινὴν· τίς γὰρ **10**
 Ἑλλήνων ἢ τίς βαρβάρων οὐ πολλῶν κακῶν ἐν τῷ παρόντι
317 πεκίραται; τὸ μὲν τοίνυν προελεῖσθαι τὰ κάλλιστα καὶ τὸ τῶν οἰηθέντων Ἑλλήνων, εἰ πρόοινοτο ἡμᾶς, ἐν εὐδαιμονίᾳ διά-
 ξειν, αὐτῶν ἄμεινον πράττειν τῆς ἀγαθῆς τύχης τῆς πόλεως εἶναι τίθημι· τὸ δὲ προσκροῦσαι καὶ μὴ πάνθ' ὥς ἐβουλόμεθ' **15**
 ἡμῖν συμβῆναι τῆς τῶν ἄλλων ἀνθρώπων τύχης τὸ ἐπιβάλλον ἐφ' ἡμᾶς μέρος μετεληφέναι νομίζω τὴν πόλιν. τὴν δ' ἰδίαν
 τύχην τὴν ἐμὴν καὶ τὴν ἐνὸς ἡμῶν ἐκάστου ἐν τοῖς ἰδίοις ἐξετά-
318 ζειν δίκαιον εἶναι νομίζω. ἐγὼ μὲν οὕτως περὶ τῆς τύχης

1. ἀνόητον] Ita ΣFA: ceteri plerique παντελῶς ἀνόητον. βέλτιστα] τὰ βέλτιστα
 Σ a m. sec. 2. μενεῖ] μένει Σ. 5. χρῆται] Sic Σ et γρ. Φ: ceteri et Bekk.
 κέρχρηται. 9. Post ἡμῖν addebatur καὶ τὸν Ἀπόλλων τὸν Πύθιον: quae om. ΣΦ, in
 margine habent AB k. † In Σ additur a m. recentissima καὶ τὰ πολλὰ τῶν Πυθίων †.

14. αὐτῶν] Ita ΣΦ B. et S.: αὐτοὺς γρ. Σ: αὐτῶν ἐκείνων TΩ et al. et γρ. Φ, αὐτῶν F, volg. τούτων αὐτῶν. 15. ὥς] ὅσα TA et socii et γρ. FΩ. 19. μὲν] μὲν
 οὖν FΦT et socii et volgo. Mox post τύχης ante Bekk. addebatur ἐξετάζειν. Post
 τύχης συνδοκεῖν add. FΦT et socii: quod primus uncis inclusit Bekk., om. B. et S. Dind.

6. θεωρήσατε ὅσῳ κ.τ.λ. Aeschines' point was that Demosthenes' enterprises turned out badly. Demosthenes distinguishes his personal fortune from that of his enterprises, accounts for the failure of the latter by the evil times, and contrasts his personal fortune with that of Aeschines.

§ 316. *I say that the luck of Athens is always relatively good, but that of mankind at large is in our generation bad. The last is obvious,*

9. τὸν Δία τὸν Δωδωναῖον. The reference is to the oracle said to have been given to an early king of Attica, in which Athens is compared to a bladder, sure always to rise to the surface after being submerged: at the same time, the oracle seems to have been often consulted by Athens in historical times, when Delphi was under Spartan influence. The words that are added after these in the text or margin of most MSS., καὶ τὸν Ἀπόλλων τὸν Πύθιον, are no doubt an interpola-

tion for the sake of symmetry: but the interpolation is old, as it appears to be known to the imitator of this passage who wrote the fourth Epistle of Demosthenes.

11. ἢ τίς βαρβάρων. He is doubtless thinking especially of the Persian king, as Ae. in Ct. § 132. Philip's violent death, and, as it proved, Alexander's untimely one, would prevent the kings of Macedonia being exempted from the supposed universal curse.

§§ 317-319. *The first is proved by our having saved our honour, and faring better than those who sacrificed us and their own honour. As for my luck, that is not a public question: but it is better than Aeschines'.*

16. τῆς τῶν ἄλλων ἀνθρώπων τύχης. The sentence would have been complete here, but Demosthenes continues it so as to vary the construction, τὸ δὲ προσκροῖναι . . . συμβῆναι being put in apposition with τὸ ἐπιβάλλον ἐφ' ἡμᾶς μέρος.

ἀξιῶ, ὀρθῶς καὶ δικαίως, ὡς ἐμαυτῷ δοκῶ, νομίζω δὲ καὶ ὑμῖν· ὁ δὲ τὴν ἰδίαν τύχην τὴν ἐμὴν τῆς κοινῆς τῆς πόλεως κυριωτέραν εἶναι φησι, τὴν μικρὰν καὶ φαύλην τῆς ἀγαθῆς καὶ μεγάλης. καὶ πῶς ἐνι τοῦτο γενέσθαι;

5 Καὶ μὴν εἴ γε τὴν ἐμὴν τύχην πάντως ἐξετάζειν, Αἰσχίνη, 319 προαιρεῖ, πρὸς τὴν σεαυτοῦ σκόπει, κὰν εὖρης τὴν ἐμὴν βελτίω τῆς σῆς, παῦσαι λοιδορούμενος αὐτῇ. σκόπει τοίνυν εὐθὺς ἐξ ἀρχῆς. καὶ μου πρὸς Διὸς μηδεμίαν ψυχρότητα καταγνώφῃ μηδεῖς. ἐγὼ γὰρ οὐτ' εἴ τις πενίαν προπηλακίζει, νοῦν ἔχειν
10 ἡγοῦμαι, οὐτ' εἴ τις ἐν ἀφθόνοις τραφεῖς ἐπὶ τούτῳ σεμνύνεται· ἀλλ' ὑπὸ τῆς τουτουῖ τοῦ χαλεποῦ βλασφημίας καὶ συκοφαντίας εἰς τοιούτους λόγους ἐμπίπτειν ἀναγκάζομαι, οἷς ἐκ τῶν ἐνόντων ὡς ἂν δύνωμαι μετριώτατα χρήσομαι.

Ἐμοὶ μὲν τοίνυν ὑπῆρξεν, Αἰσχίνη, παιδὶ μὲν ὄντι φοιτᾶν 320
15 εἰς τὰ προσήκοντα διδασκαλεῖα, καὶ ἔχειν ὅσα χρὴ τὸν μηδὲν αἰσχρὸν ποιήσοντα δι' ἔνδειαν, ἐξελθόντι δὲ ἐκ παιδῶν ἀκούλουθα τούτοις πράττειν, χορηγεῖν, τριηραρχεῖν, εἰσφέρειν, μηδεμιᾶς φιλοτιμίας μήτε ἰδίας μήτε δημοσίας ἀπολείπεσθαι, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῇ πόλει καὶ τοῖς φίλοις χρήσιμον εἶναι, ἐπειδὴ δὲ πρὸς 321
20 τὰ κοιὰ προσελθεῖν ἔδοξέ μοι, τοιαῦτα πολιτεύματα ἐλέσθαι ὥστε καὶ ὑπὸ τῆς πατρίδος καὶ ὑπ' ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων πολλῶν πολλάκις ἐστεφανῶσθαι, καὶ μηδὲ τοὺς ἐχθροὺς ὑμᾶς, ὡς οὐ καλὰ γ' ἦν ἂ προειλόμην, ἐπιχειρεῖν λέγειν. ἐγὼ μὲν δὴ τοιαύτῃ συμβεβίωκα τύχῃ, καὶ πόλλ' ἂν ἔχων ἕτερόν εἴπειν περὶ

14. μὲν ὄντι φοιτᾶν εἰς] Om. pr. Σ B. et S.
Ita Σ: ante Bekk. legebatur τῶν ἄλλων Ἑλλήνων.
γῆναι Σ, † spatiis verborum, si verba vocanda sunt, distinctis †: ita ut paene crediderim haec ὡς οὐκ ἄλλα γ' ἦν εἰ μὴ ἂ προειλόμην indicare. Peccavit librarius dum dictata parum accurate auscultat.

21. ὧν Ἑλλήνων πολλῶν]

22. οὐ καλὰ γ' ἦν ἂ] οὐκ ἄλλα

3. τὴν μικρὰν καὶ φαύλην. Demosthenes concedes the first epithet, and imputes the second.

8. ψυχρότητα. 'Dulness,' at once moral and rhetorical, unfeeling introduction of a topic the audience will not feel. Cp. Xen. Symp. 6. 7.

12. ἐκ τῶν ἐνόντων. 'As moderately as the case allows,' hinting what he expresses in § 328, that it is hard to describe Aeschines' private life without scurrility.

§§ 320, 321. *I was well educated, and served with honour as a private citizen and as a statesman:*

15. τὰ προσήκοντα διδασκαλεῖα. He omits to state that his school fees were not paid, in Aph. 1. p. 828, § 53.

16. ἐξελθόντι δι' ἐκ παιδῶν. It is re-

marked, that Demosthenes had been called on for an εἰσφορά in his childhood; but these services generally are characteristic of mature life, and the λειτουργίαι peculiar to it. Demosthenes had served his first trierarchy immediately on attaining manhood: D. in Mid. p. 539 sq., § 100 sqq.

17. μηδεμιᾶς φιλοτιμίας κ.τ.λ. Demosthenes says he spent his money in a high-minded way, no doubt speaking of the same habits that Aeschines calls ridiculous extravagance.

20. ἔδοξέ μοι. He did so of free choice, not, like Aeschines, as a last resource, when all trades failed. Aeschines makes the same imputation, In Ct. § 173.

23. καλὰ γ'. If not either prudent or profitable.

αὐτῆς παραλείπω, φυλαττόμενος τὸ λυπῆσαί τινα ἐν οἷς σεμ-
322 νύνομαι. σὺ δ' ὁ σεμνὸς ἀνὴρ καὶ διαπτύων τοὺς ἄλλους σκό-
 πει πρὸς ταύτην ποία τινὶ κέχρησαι τύχη, δι' ἣν παῖς μὲν
 ὦν μετὰ πολλῆς ἐνδείας ἐτράφη, ἅμα τῷ πατρὶ πρὸς τῷ διδα-
 σκαλεῖν προσεδρεύων, τὸ μέλαν τρίβων καὶ τὰ βάθρα σπογ- **5**
323 γίζων καὶ τὸ παιδαγωγεῖον κορῶν, οἰκέτου τάξιν, οὐκ ἐλευθέρου
 παιδὸς ἔχων, ἀνὴρ δὲ γενόμενος τῇ μητρὶ τελοῦσθαι τὰς βίβλους
 ἀνεγίνωσκες καὶ τᾶλλα συνεσκευωροῦ, τὴν μὲν νύκτα νεβρίζων
 καὶ κρατηρίζων καὶ καθαίρων τοὺς τελουμένους καὶ ἀπομάττων
 τῷ πληρῷ καὶ τοῖς πιτύροις καὶ ἀνιστὰς ἀπὸ τοῦ καθαρμοῦ **10**
 κελεύων λέγειν "ἐφυγον κακὸν, εὖρον ἄμεινον," ἐπὶ τῷ μηδένα
 πώποτε τηλικούτ' ὀλοῦξαι σεμνυνόμενος (καὶ ἔγωγε νομίζω
 μὴ γὰρ οἶεσθ' αὐτὸν φθέγγεσθαι μὲν οὕτω μέγα, ὀλοῦξιν
324 δ' οὐχ ὑπέρλαμπρον), ἐν δὲ ταῖς ἡμέραις τοὺς καλοὺς θιάσους
 ἄγων διὰ τῶν ὁδῶν, τοὺς ἐστεφανωμένους τῷ μαράθῳ καὶ τῇ **15**
 λεύκῃ, τοὺς ὄφεις τοὺς παρείας θλίβων καὶ ὑπὲρ τῆς κεφαλῆς
 αἰωρῶν, καὶ βοῶν εὐοῖ σαβοῖ, καὶ ἐπορχούμενος ὕψι ἄττης ἄττης
 ὕψι, ἑξαρχος καὶ προηγεμὼν καὶ κιστοφόρος καὶ λικνοφόρος

1. *τινα*] Sic XFΦΩ A et socii: volg. et Bekk. *τινας*.

2. *σεμνός*] *σεμνός ὢν* Herodian., *σεμνυνόμενος* Σ B. et S. Cum *σεμνύνομαι* praecesserit, illud fortasse nonnullis placebit, tanquam diceret, 'Equidem invidiam fugio τὴν ἀπὸ τοῦ σεμνύνεσθαι: tu autem *es re vera* *σεμνός*, neque alius cujusquam infirmitatis curas.'

Bekk. καὶ κελεύων: καὶ om. Σ A et socii.

11. *καλεύων*] Volg. usque ad

15. *μαράθῳ*] *μαράθῳ* nonnulli.

18. *κιστοφόρος*] Ita s † et fortasse superscr. Σ. Habet enim *κιστοφόρος*, et lineola super prius τ a m. pr., ut nobis videbatur, erat posita †. Ceteri *κιστοφόρος*.

1. *τινα*. Those of the audience who are less fortunate.

§ 322. *You were first a school fag,*

5. *προσεδρεύων*. Almost 'tied to the spot.'

τὰ βάθρα: cp. Plat. Protag. p. 325.

6. τὸ παιδαγωγεῖον. The waiting-room of the *παιδαγωγαί*, not merely the school-room: you had to wait upon slaves as well as freemen.

§ 323. *Then a deputy sorcerer*

8. *νεβρίζων καὶ κρατηρίζων* must both be transitive, though against the Scholiast: 'Clothing with fawn-skins, and drenching with holy wine.' *κρατηρίζω* is, indeed, quoted as intransitive in a fragment of Sophron, but there is a passive of it in Hesychius; and the construction flows much more naturally, if τοὺς τελουμένους is the object to all the verbs.

10. *πιτύροις*. Cp. the *meal* in the initiation of Strepades, Ar. Nub. 263.

§ 324. [*By night, a deputy greengrocer by day*],

16. *λεύκη*. The Homeric *ἀχεραῖς*, considered as an infernal plant, perhaps on account of the name: though in Homer (Od. 10. 510) the infernal tree is the kindred *αἰγίφος*. Sabazius was identified with Bacchus, but was no doubt more or less of a chthonian deity. The Eleusinian Dionysus, also, was a brother of Persephone; and probably the difference of these mysteries and the more reputable ones was rather social, and perhaps ritual, than theological.

τοὺς ὄφεις τοὺς παρείας. Cp. the fourth fragment of Hyperides' speech against Demades. They are perhaps 'hooded snakes.' The bacchanals certainly claimed power over serpents: as the ritual is outlandish, it may perhaps be relevant to compare the position of the Cobra in Hindoo idolatry.

17. *ἄττης*. In St. Clement Alex., Protrepticon, p. 6, we find a theory of the identification of Dionysus and Attis, as having undergone the same mutilation.

18. *κιστοφόρος*. So one MS. for *κιστοφόρος*; the variation is as old as Harpocra-

καὶ τοιαῦτα ὑπὸ τῶν γραδίων προσαγορευόμενος, μισθὸν λαμβάνων τούτων ἔνθρυπτα καὶ στρεπτούς καὶ νεήλατα, ἐφ' οἷς τίς οὐκ ἂν ὡς ἀληθῶς αὐτὸν εὐδαιμονίσειε καὶ τὴν αὐτοῦ τύχην; ἐπειδὴ δ' εἰς τοὺς δημότας ἐνεγράφησ' ὅπωςδὴποτε, ἐὼ γὰρ 325
 5 τοῦτό γε, ἐπειδὴ δ' οὖν ἐνεγράφησ', εὐθέως τὸ κάλλιστον ἐξέλεξω τῶν ἔργων, γραμματεῦν καὶ ὑπηρετεῖν τοῖς ἀρχιδίοις. ὡς δ' ἀπηλλάγης ποτὲ καὶ τούτου, πάνθ' ἃ τῶν ἄλλων κατηγορεῖς αὐτὸς ποιήσας, οὐ κατήσχυνας μὰ Δὶ οὐδὲν τῶν προὔπρηγμένων τῷ μετὰ ταῦτα βίῳ, ἀλλὰ μισθώσας σαντὸν τοῖς 326
 10 βαρυστόνοις ἐπικαλουμένοις ἐκείνοις ὑποκριταῖς, Σιμύλῳ καὶ Σωκράτει, ἐτριταγωνίστεις, σῦκα καὶ βότρυς καὶ ἐλάας σὺλ-

1. τοιαῦτα.] Sic Σ: ceteri τὰ τοιαῦτα.

γ' ΣΦΤΦ / B. et S. ἐπειδὴ .. ἐνεγράφησ' om. A et socii.

socii, et T in litura, et p, et γρ. ΣΦ.

9. σαντὸν] αὐτὸν Σ B. et S., ταυτὸν Harpocr.

ἐκείνοις ἐπικαλουμένοις A et socii.

Σιμύλῳ] Σιμύδῳ T, Σιμύκκῳ Φ et al., Σιμύλλῳ ἢ Σιμύδῳ γρ. F, †σιμύκ και και σοκρατει Σ, ita ut videatur potius prius και mera dittoγραφία esse, quam librarius Σιμύκκῳ in animo habuisse †.

5. τοῦτό γε] τοῦτο ΣΦΩ ν.

8' οὖν]

6. ἀρχιδίοις] ἀρχεῖοις A et

7. κατηγορεῖς] κατηγόρεις A et socii.

10. ἐπικαλουμένοις ἐκείνοις]

tion. In Σ there is an obscure mark over the τ, probably meant for a σ, though more like a modern cursive α: it seemed to us to be by the original hand. If we are right in thus reading it, we should have a fresh testimony to the antiquity of the variant; and modern editors are entitled to choose, as most have done, on grounds of internal evidence rather than mediaeval authority. From Hermogenes' manner of quotation, it would seem to be here that some editions in his time added the passage quoted at § 167, which critics objected to, as below the dignity of a public speech. It is likely to be genuine, but we cannot tell how or where to work it into the present text.

§ 325. *Then, being enrolled as a citizen, first a clerk and a swindler,*

4. ἐὼ γὰρ τοῦτό γε. 'I do not say that you are no citizen,' only that you were not by birth; for τὸ εἰς τοὺς δημότας ἐγγράφασθαι ought to have coincided with, not followed, τὸ ἀνὴρ γενέσθαι of § 323.

6. ἀρχιδίοις. 'The petty offices of routine;' yet, according to one biographer, he attended in this capacity on men of as much note as Aristophanes and Eubulus, which receives some support from Demosthenes' own language: below, § 333.

7. ὡς δ' ἀπηλλάγης ποτὲ καὶ τούτου. It seems hard to suppose that the point is (as Shilleto ad D. de F. L. § 100), that he 'actually rose in caste when he emerged from this menial office and became a third-rate actor,' though it seems as if Libanius had understood it so (Vit. Dem. p. 3, v. 21). But, comparing the parallel taunt (Ae. in Ct.

§ 173), it seems likelier to mean, 'You were discharged even from this mean place, for malversations such as you now impute to others.' Cp. D. de F. L. p. 403, § 222 init. This would prevent δ' τῶν ἄλλων κατηγορεῖς referring to Timarchus: and, in spite of D. de F. L. ibid. ad fin., it is hardly likely that so vague an insinuation would have told on a man of Aeschines' age and character.

§ 326. *Then a player and a thief, often libelled in both capacities,*

10. Σιμύλῳ καὶ Σωκράτει. See Conspr. Lectt. for the evidence as to the name of the former of these worthies. According to Demochares (quoted in the anonymous Life of Aeschines), he had at first been tritagonist to Ischander the tragic poet (his senior colleagues being perhaps Theodorus and Aristodemus, D. de F. L. p. 418, § 274), but was dismissed by him as incompetent, and then joined a mere strolling company.

11. σῦκα .. ὥσπερ ὀπωρώνης. 'You collected figs, etc., from other people's land, like the farmer of a crop from his neighbour's farms.' One or two MSS., and more editors, add ἐκείνους after ὀπωρώνης, as though there was some well-known or proverbial story of such dishonesty: and Dissen asks if we are to suppose that all ὀπωρώνας did so. Surely it is likely that they should have borne a name for doing so as a class: if you contracted for one season's crop from an orchard, the temptation to encroach on an adjoining one would be greater, and the risk of detection less, than if you had a more permanent tenure.

λέγων ὥσπερ ὀπωρώνης ἐκ τῶν ἀλλοτρίων χωρίων, πλείω λαμβάνων ἀπὸ τούτων [τραύματα] ἢ τῶν ἀγώνων, οἷς ἡμεῖς περὶ τῆς ψυχῆς ἡγωνίζεσθε· ἦν γὰρ ἄσπονδος καὶ ἀκήρυκτος ἡμῖν πρὸς τοὺς θεατὰς πόλεμος, ὑφ' ᾧ πολλὰ τραύματ' εἰληφώς εἰκότως τοὺς ἀπείρους τῶν τοιούτων κινδύνων ὡς δειλοὺς σκώπ- 5

327 τεις. ἀλλὰ γὰρ παρεῖς ὦν τὴν πενίαν αἰτιάσασθαι ἂν τις, πρὸς αὐτὰ τὰ τοῦ τρόπου σου βαδιῶμαι κατηγορήματα. τοιαύτην γὰρ εἴλου πολιτείαν, ἐπειδὴ ποτε καὶ τοῦτ' ἐπῆλθέ σοι ποιῆσαι, δι' ἣν εὐτυχούσης μὲν τῆς πατρίδος λαγὼ βίον ἔζης δεδιώς καὶ τρέμων καὶ αἰεὶ πληγῆσθαι προσδοκῶν ἐφ' οἷς σαντῶ 10 συνήδεις ἀδικοῦντι, ἐν οἷς δ' ἡτύχησαν οἱ ἄλλοι, θρασὺς ὦν ὑφ' 328 ἀπάντων ὦψαι. καίτοι ὅστις χιλίων πολιτῶν ἀποθανόντων ἐθάρρησε, τί οὗτος παθεῖν ὑπὸ τῶν ζώντων δίκαιός ἐστιν; πολλὰ τοίνυν ἔτερ' εἰπεῖν ἔχων περὶ αὐτοῦ παραλείψω· οὐ γὰρ ὅσ' ἂν δείξαιμι προσόντ' αἰσχυρὰ τούτῳ καὶ ὀνείδη, πάντ' 15 οἶμαι δεῖν εὐχερῶς λέγειν, ἀλλ' ὅσα μηδὲν αἰσχυρόν ἐστιν εἰπεῖν ἐμοί.

329 Ἐξέτασον τοίνυν παρ' ἄλλα τὰ σοὶ κάμοι βεβιωμένα, πρῶτος, μὴ πικρῶς, Αἰσχίνῃ· εἴτ' ἐρώτησον τουτουσί τὴν ποτέ- 20 ρου τύχην ἂν ἔλοιθ' ἕκαστος αὐτῶν. ἐδίδασκες γράμματα, ἐγὼ δ' ἐφοίτων. ἐτέλεις, ἐγὼ δ' ἐτελούμην. [ἐχόρευες, ἐγὼ δ' ἐχορήγουν.] ἐγραμμάτευες, ἐγὼ δ' ἡκκλησίαζον. ἐτριταγωνίσσεις,

1. Post ὀπωρώνης ἐκείνος add. k.

ibi est additum.

Σ†.

ποτέρου] Ita ΣA: ceteri δ ποτέρου.

2. τραύματα] Om. pr. Σ, nec nisi a m. recenti

4. πρὸς] Ita Σ: legebatur δ πρὸς.

18. κάμοι] † καὶ μοί

19. μὴ] καὶ μὴ omnes praeter Σ, quod hoc tantum a m. rec. habet.

21. ἐχόρευες .. ἐχορήγουν] Om. Σ et gram-

matici.

22. ἡκκλησίαζον] Ita BF: ἡκκλησίαζον Σ (ε superscr. a m. ant.): ceteri ἐκκλησίαζον. k annotatum habet 'ὅρα τὸ ἐκκλησίαζον. οἶμαι ἐξεκκλησίαζον εἶδει.' Vid. annot.

1. πλείω λαμβάνων ἀπὸ κ.τ.λ. So Σ: the other MSS., Bekker (in brackets), and Dissen, add τραύματα after λαμβάνων, 'Your thefts brought you into more trouble than even your bad acting.' And it would be just possible to understand the text the same way, illustrating the ellipse with πλείω by πολλὰ in D. de F. L. p. 403, § 219, etc. But probably it means simply, 'You made more income by your thefts than by your profession.'

§§ 327, 328. At last a politician, keeping in a corner till Athens was in distress.

8. ἐπειδὴ ποτε .. ποιῆσαι. Correlative to the εἰδοί μοι of § 320.

10. πληγῆσθαι. Perhaps continuing the illustration of the hare, perhaps expecting a thrashing, as not being worth a prosecution.

12. χιλίων πολιτῶν ἀποθανόντων ἐθάρρησε. Cp. § 350, describing Aeschines' avowed attitude after Chaeronea.

§ 329. Now compare your life and mine quietly, and ask the judges which they prefer.

19. μὴ πικρῶς. For you cannot afford. καὶ, omitted by Σ, seems rather to weaken the irony of the quasi-friendly appeal.

21. ἐχόρευες, ἐγὼ δ' ἐχορήγουν. Not only Σ, but most rhetorical and grammatical writers who quote the passage, omit this clause. Internal evidence, or taste, can hardly be said to decide either way. Whether genuine or no, ἐχόρευες must refer to the mystical chorus described in § 324: for there is no evidence of Aeschines ever having served in a dramatic chorus.

22. ἡκκλησίαζον. So one would naturally write, and it has quite sufficient authority,

ἐγὼ δ' ἐθεώρουν. ἐξέπιπτες, ἐγὼ δ' ἐσύριττον. ὑπὲρ τῶν
 ἐχθρῶν πεπολίτευσαι πάντα, ἐγὼ δ' ὑπὲρ τῆς πατρίδος.
 ἐὼ τᾶλλα, ἀλλὰ νυνὶ τήμερον ἐγὼ μὲν ὑπὲρ τοῦ στεφανω- 330
 θῆναι δοκιμάζομαι, τὸ δὲ μὴδ' ὅτι οὖν ἀδικεῖν ἀνωμολόγηται,
 5 σοὶ δὲ συκοφάντῃ μὲν εἶναι δοκεῖν ὑπάρχει, κινδυνεύεις δὲ εἶτε
 δεῖ σ' εἶτι τοῦτο ποιεῖν, εἴτ' ἤδη πεπαῦσθαι μὴ μεταλαβόντα
 τὸ πέμπτον μέρος τῶν ψήφων. ἀγαθὴ γ', οὐχ ὅρας; τύχῃ
 συμβεβιωκὼς τῆς ἐμῆς ὡς φαύλης κατηγορεῖς.

Φέρε δὴ καὶ τὰς τῶν λειτουργιῶν μαρτυρίας, ὧν λελειτούρ- 331
 10 γηκα, ὑμῖν ἀναγνώ. παρ' ἃς παρανάγνωθι καὶ σύ μοι τὰς
 ῥήσεις ἃς ἐλυμαίνου,

ἤκω λιπὼν κευθμῶνα καὶ σκότου πύλας

καὶ

κακαγγελεῖν μὲν ἴσθι μὴ θέλοντά με,

15 καὶ κακὸν κακῶς σε μάλιστα μὲν οἱ θεοὶ, ἔπειτα οὗτοι πάντες
 ἀπολέσειαν, πονηρὸν ὄντα καὶ πολίτην καὶ τριταγωνιστήν.

Λέγε τὰς μαρτυρίας.

7. πῆμpton] Om. Dind, quia alibi meliores libri omittunt: hic autem omnes habent; neque est cur eadem passim formula sit Demosthenes usus. γ] δὲ FΓΦΩ et socii.

8. συμβεβιωκὼς] συμβεβηκὼς pr. Σ. ὡς φαύλης] Om. Σ B. et S. 9. λει-

τουργιών] λειτουργιών Σ. 10. ἀναγνώ. παρ' ἃς] Sic ΣΦ: ceteri ἀναγνώ πάσας.

καὶ σύ μοι] Sic ΣΦ: δὴ καὶ σύ μοι A et socii: ceteri δ' ἡμῖν καὶ σύ. 12. λιπὼν]

νεκρῶν pr. Σ, nec nisi a m. recentissima est mutatum. Idque recepit Dind. 14. κακαγ-

γελεῖν] κακ' ἀγγέλλειν vel κακαγγέλλειν ΣΦA et socii. 16. καὶ πολίτην] Sic

ΣΦΦ: καὶ est deletum in Σ a m. sec.: legebatur πολίτην καὶ προδότην, cum paucis neque optimis librorum.

even in this place alone. The custom of writing, on a false analogy, ἐκκλησίαζον, seems to have arisen early, but to be decidedly post-Attic: and ἐκκλησίαζον is likely to be nothing but a confusion between the two.

1. ἐξέπιπτες, ἐγὼ δ' ἐσύριττον. We should view the two actions as coming in the opposite order, as cause and effect: 'You were in the stage, I in the stalls: I hissed you, you went off.' Demosthenes reaps the advantage of being able to use a neuter verb in a sense where most languages would require a passive.

§ 330. Even to-day, the question for me is of a reward for my services; for you, whether to expel you from your profession, such as it is.

4. τὸ δὲ μὴδ' ὅτι οὖν κ.τ.λ. As you only prosecute Ctesiphon.

7. τὸ πέμπτον μέρος τῶν ψήφων. In the other passages where this phrase occurs, the best MSS. omit πέμπτον, and accordingly Dindorf does so here. But the fact that they do not introduce it where it is only a gloss, is rather a reason that they should be trusted where they have it.

§§ 331-333. As to my private generosity, I will let facts speak for themselves, rather than talk of my own good deeds:

9. τῶν λειτουργιών. A proof at once of prosperity and patriotism: 'I served the state well, therefore I did not hurt it wilfully: I was in a position to serve it handsomely, so I cannot have entangled it in ill-fortune.'

12. λιπὼν. So all MSS. but Σ, which has νεκρῶν, as in Eur. Hec. 1. It is more likely that a thoughtful copyist restored the text of the Hecuba, than that Demosthenes should have delivered the sentence incomplete. He probably took λιπὼν from the next line, not from a slip of memory, but to complete the construction without too long a quotation. See on §§ 166, 231, for part of the possible point: Aeschines was a ghost from the womb!

14. κακαγγελεῖν. Clearly the right reading, though a ἀπαξ λεγόμενον, and though the MSS. are in a little confusion, from not being familiar with it. It is not known from what play the quotation is taken; but the allusion no doubt is to Aeschines' 'reluctance to give bad news' on the embassy, about Philip's Phocian expedition.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑΙ.

- 332** Ἐν μὲν τοίνυν τοῖς πρὸς τὴν πόλιν τοιοῦτος· ἐν δὲ τοῖς ἰδίοις εἰ μὴ πάντες ἴστε ὅτι κοινὸς καὶ φιλόανθρωπος καὶ τοῖς δεομένοις ἐπαρκῶν, σιωπῶ καὶ οὐδὲν ἂν εἴποιμι οὐδὲ παρασχοίμην περὶ τούτων οὐδεμίαν μαρτυρίαν, οὐτ' εἴ τινας ἐκ τῶν πολεμίων ἐλυσάμην, οὐτ' εἴ τισι θυγατέρας συνεξέδωκα, οὔτε
- 333** τῶν τοιούτων οὐδέν. καὶ γὰρ οὕτω πως ὑπέιληφα. ἐγὼ νομίζω τὸν μὲν εὖ παθόντα δεῖν μεμνήσθαι πάντα τὸν χρόνον, τὸν δὲ ποιήσαντα εὐθὺς ἐπιλελῆσθαι, εἰ δεῖ τὸν μὲν χρηστοῦ, τὸν δὲ μὴ μικροψύχου ποιεῖν ἔργον ἀνθρώπου. τὸ δὲ τὰς 10 ἰδίας εὐεργεσίας ὑπομιμνήσκειν καὶ λέγειν μικροῦ δεῖν ὁμοίον ἐστὶ τῷ ὀνειδίζειν. οὐ δὴ ποιήσω τοιοῦτον οὐδέν, οὐδὲ προαχθήσομαι, ἀλλ' ὅπως ποθ' ὑπέιλημμαι περὶ τούτων, ἀρκεῖ μοι.
- 334** Βούλομαι δὲ τῶν ἰδίων ἀπαλλαγεῖς ἔτι μικρὰ πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἰπεῖν περὶ τῶν κοινῶν. εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἔχεις, Αἰσχίνη, τῶν ὑπὸ 15 τοῦτον τὸν ἥλιον εἰπεῖν ἀνθρώπων ὅστις ἀθῶος τῆς Φιλίππου πρότερον καὶ νῦν τῆς Ἀλεξάνδρου δυναστείας γέγονεν, ἢ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἢ τῶν βαρβάρων, ἔστω, συγχωρῶ σοι τὴν ἐμὴν εἴτε τύχην εἴτε δυστυχίαν ὀνομάζειν βούλει πάντων αἰτίαν γεγενῆ-
- 335** σθαι. εἰ δὲ καὶ τῶν μηδεπώποτ' ἰδόντων ἐμὲ μηδὲ φωνῆν 20 ἀκηκοότων ἐμοῦ πολλοὶ πολλὰ καὶ δεινὰ πεπόνθασι, μὴ μόνον κατ' ἄνδρα, ἀλλὰ καὶ πόλεις ὅλαι καὶ ἔθνη, πόσῃ δικαιότερον καὶ ἀληθέστερον τὴν ἀπάντων, ὡς ἔοικεν, ἀνθρώπων τύχην κοινήν καὶ φορὰν τινα πραγμάτων χαλεπὴν καὶ οὐχ οἷαν ἔδει

8. πάντα τὸν] Sic ΣΦΑ et socii: ceteri τὸν πάντα.
A et alii. 16. τοῦτον] Ita Σ: ceteri et Bekk. τουτονί.

9. ποιήσαντα] εὖ ποιήσαντα
18. σοί] Om. Σ.

19. αἰτίαν] Om. pr. Σ.

5. εἴ τινας .. ἐλυσάμην. As on his second embassy in Macedonia, D. de F. L. p. 396, § 186 sqq., A. de F. L. pp. 29, 41, § § 12, 106.

7. ἐγὼ νομίζω κ.τ.λ. It is perhaps worth noticing that the Iambic rhythm arises less from accident than from the sententious fulness of expression: there is a very similar verse in the *Midias*, p. 547, § 129.

8. δεῖν .. εἰ δεῖ. 'We are to expect .., if we are to expect the one to act like an honest man, and the other not to act like a mean-spirited one.'

12. οὐδὲ προαχθήσομαι. Not even under provocation, such as your slanders.

§ § 334, 335. *About public affairs I have a little more to say. If there be a single man under the sun that is free from Macedonia, let it be beld my fault that Athens is not free.*

15. τῶν ὑπὸ τοῦτον τὸν ἥλιον. All west of the Adriatic had fallen out of the political horizon.

17. δυναστείας. The most accurate word afforded by Greek political nomenclature for the informal but absolute and, in Demosthenes' view, unjust and oppressive supremacy of the Macedonian kings. The transference of the word from domestic power to international, may be illustrated from what is said of the *τυραννὶς* of Athens, Thuc. i. 122, 124; 3. 37; and so Demosthenes has applied the same word to Philip, in the era of his *conquests*, above, § 80.

18. τὴν ἐμὴν .. δυστυχίαν. He only gives this verbal alternative; even in a hypothetical case, he will not admit a suspicion of his loyalty.

τούτων αἰτίαν ἡγεῖσθαι. σὺ τοίνυν ταύτ' ἀφείς ἐμέ τὸν παρὰ 336
 τουτοισὶ πεπολιτευμένον αἰτιά, καὶ ταύτ' εἰδὼς ὅτι, καὶ εἰ μὴ
 τὸ ὅλον, μέρος γ' ἐπιβάλλει τῆς βλασφημίας ἅπασι, καὶ μά-
 λιστα σοί. εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἐγὼ κατ' ἐμαυτὸν αὐτοκράτωρ περὶ
 5 τῶν πραγμάτων ἐβουλευόμην, ἣν ἂν τοῖς ἄλλοις ῥήτορσιν ὑμῖν
 ἐμέ αἰτιάσθαι· εἰ δὲ παρήτε μὲν ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις ἀπάσαις, 337
 αἰεὶ δ' ἐν κοινῷ τὸ συμφέρον ἢ πόλις προὔτιθει σκοπεῖν, πᾶσι
 δὲ ταύτ' ἐδόκει τότε ἄριστ' εἶναι, καὶ μάλιστα σοί (οὐ γὰρ
 ἐπ' εὐνοίᾳ γ' ἐμοὶ παρεχώρεις ἐλπίδων καὶ ζήλου καὶ τιμῶν,
 10 ἃ πάντα προσῆν τοῖς τότε πραττομένοις ὑπ' ἐμοῦ, ἀλλὰ τῆς
 ἀληθείας ἠπτώμενος δηλονότι καὶ τῷ μηδὲν ἔχειν εἰπεῖν βέλ-
 τιον), πῶς οὐκ ἀδικεῖς καὶ δεινὰ ποιεῖς τούτοις νῦν ἐγκαλῶν
 ὦν τότε οὐκ εἶχες λέγειν βελτίῳ; παρὰ μὲν τοίνυν τοῖς ἄλλοις 338
 ἔγωγ' ὁρῶ πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις διωρισμένα καὶ τεταγμένα πως τὰ
 15 τοιαῦτα. ἀδικεῖ τις ἐκὼν; ὀργὴν καὶ τιμωρίαν κατὰ τούτου.
 ἐξήμαρτέ τις ἄκων, συγγνώμην ἀντὶ τῆς τιμωρίας τούτῳ. οὐτ'
 ἀδικῶν τις οὐτ' ἐξαμαρτάνων, εἰς τὰ πᾶσι δοκοῦντα συμφέρειν
 ἑαυτὸν δούς οὐ κατῴρθωσε μεθ' ἀπάντων; οὐκ ὀνειδιῶν οὐδὲ
 λοιδορεῖσθαι τῷ τοιούτῳ δίκαιον, ἀλλὰ συνάχθεσθαι. φανή- 339
 20 σεται ταῦτα πάντα οὕτως οὐ μόνον ἐν τοῖς νομίμοις, ἀλλὰ
 καὶ ἡ φύσις αὕτῃ τοῖς ἀγράφοις νόμοις καὶ τοῖς ἀνθρωπίνοις
 ἦθεσι διώρικεν. Αἰσχίνης τοίνυν τοσοῦτον ὑπερβέβληκεν ἅπαν-

4. αὐτοκράτωρ] Ita ΣΑ et socii B. et S. Volgo et Dind. αὐτοκράτωρ ὦν. 15. ὀργὴν καὶ τιμωρίαν] Ita ΣΑ et socii: legebatur ὀργὴ καὶ τιμωρία, et mox συγγνώμη.
 17. ἐξαμαρτάνων] ἐξαμαρτάνων A et socii. δοκοῦντα συμφέρειν] τὰ φέρειν pr. Σ, spatium praecedente, in quo δοκοῦντα a m. rec. est insertum. 20. ταῦτα] Legebatur τοίνυν ταῦτα: τοίνυν om. Σ. ἐν] Om. ΣΑ et socii. Mox νόμοις pro νομίμοις habent iidem.
 21. νόμοις] νομίμοις libri: νόμοις et νομίμοις inter se permutavit Reisk. 22. ἦθεσι] Om. Σ: vid. annot.

§ 336. *There is none, yet you brow beat on me that you know must fall upon Athens.*

1. τὸν παρὰ τουτοισὶ πεπολιτευμένον. My sphere of action has been limited to Athens, yet you attribute to it world-wide effects: it has been visible to all Athens, yet you expect to deceive Athenians as to its character.

3. καὶ μάλιστα σοί. Who hated me most.

4. αὐτοκράτωρ. Addebatur ὦν ut ad § 294.

§ 337. *And on you, who might have opposed me.*

9. ἐλπίδων. Hopes of future influence, to be founded on success.

ζήλου. Present rivalry in the contest. τιμῶν. Present respect.

§§ 338, 339. *Other men punish crime, forgive error, and pity misfortune: Aeschines holds me criminally responsible for ill-success.*

13. παρὰ μὲν τοίνυν κ.τ.λ. Answered by Αἰσχίνης τοίνυν in the next section. 'All other men make a difference between the three cases of crime, blunder, and misfortune: Aeschines confuses even the first and last.' Cp. Ar. Rhet. i. 13.

20. νομίμοις .. νόμοις. All MSS. have νομίμοις in the second place, and Σ and others have νόμοις in the first. Reiske's transposition is generally accepted: νόμμα is the more formal word, and being originally an adjective, τὰ ἀγραφα νόμμα is rare, and would be harsh.

22. ἦθεσι. So the MSS. (Σ omits it, doubtless by accident), Bekker, B. and S.

τας ἀνθρώπους ὁμότητι καὶ συκοφαντίᾳ ὥστε καὶ ὧν αὐτὸς ὡς ἀτυχημάτων ἐμέμνητο, καὶ ταῦτ' ἐμοῦ κατηγορεῖ.

- 340 Καὶ πρὸς τοῖς ἄλλοις, ὥσπερ αὐτὸς ἀπλῶς καὶ μετ' εὐνοίας πάντας εἰρηκῶς τοὺς λόγους, φυλάττειν ἐμὲ καὶ τηρεῖν ἐκέλευεν, ὅπως μὴ παρακρούσομαι μηδ' ἐξαπατήσω, δεινὸν καὶ γόητα 5 καὶ σοφιστὴν καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτ' ὀνομάζων, ὡς· εἰν πρότερός τις εἶπῃ τὰ προσόνθ' ἐάντῳ περὶ ἄλλου; καὶ δὴ ταῦθ' οὕτως ἔχοντα, καὶ οὐκέτι τοὺς ἀκούοντας σκεψομένους τίς ποτ' αὐτὸς ἐστὶν ὁ ταῦτα λέγων. ἐγὼ δ' οἶδ' ὅτι γιγνώσκετε τοῦτον ἅπαντες, καὶ πολὺ τούτῳ μᾶλλον ἢ ἐμοὶ νομίζετε ταῦτα προσεῖναι. 10
- 341 κἀκείνο εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι τὴν ἐμὴν δεινότητα—ἔστω γάρ. καίτοι ἐγὼ γ' ὁρῶ τῆς τῶν λεγόντων δυνάμεως τοὺς ἀκούοντας τὸ πλείστον κυρίου· ὡς γάρ· ἂν ὑμεῖς ἀποδέξησθε καὶ πρὸς ἑκα- 15 στον ἔχητ' εὐνοίας, οὕτως ὁ λέγων ἔδοξε φρονεῖν. εἰ δ' οὖν ἐστὶ καὶ παρ' ἐμοὶ τις ἐμπειρία τοιαύτη, ταύτην μὲν εὐρήσετε 15 πάντες ἐν τοῖς κοινοῖς ἐξεταζομένην ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν ἀεὶ καὶ οὐδαμοῦ καθ' ὑμῶν οὐδ' ἰδίᾳ; τὴν δὲ τούτου τούναντίον οὐ μόνον τῷ λέγειν ὑπὲρ τῶν ἐχθρῶν, ἀλλὰ καὶ εἴ τις ἐλύπησέ τι τοῦ-
- 342 τον ἢ προσέκρουσέ· πον, κατὰ τούτων. οὐ γὰρ αὐτῇ δικαίως, οὐδ' ἐφ' ᾧ συμφέρει τῇ πόλει, χρῆται. οὔτε γὰρ τὴν ὀργὴν 20

5. παρακρούσομαι] Sic Σ: *legebatur παρακρούσομαι*.

socii, καὶ ἐκείνο Σ, κἀκείνο δὲ ceteri.

ante Bekk. πλείστον μέρος κυρίου ὄντας.

11. κἀκείνο] Ita A et

13. πλείστον κυρίου] Ita Σ: *legebatur*

Dindorf has *ἴθουσι*, as at § 115. So far as the words were really distinct in popular language, *ἴθουσι* seems more appropriate here: the sense is not 'habits,' so much as 'universal moral traditions or feelings.'

Ἀλοχ(νης) τοίνυν. As *ἔπειτα* without δὲ is sufficient to mark the antithesis to *πρώτων μὲν*, so is the proper name without δὲ sufficient to answer to *παρὰ μὲν τοῖς ἄλλοις*.

§§ 340-343. Then, as if he had spoken fairly and moderately, he charges me not to mislead you:—you, who know him for a trickster—as if an intelligent audience was so easy to mislead. If I have been able to lead you, it was always for your good, like a good citizen, who places the common weal before all things. He exerts himself for the public good,

5. δεινὸν καὶ γόητα κ.τ.λ.: cp. Ae. in Ct. §§ 16, 207.

6. ὡς εἰν .. οὕτως ἔχοντα. καὶ δὴ introduces the apodosis: 'As if, should a man come first to charge his neighbour with his own faults, presently the charge is forsooth to be true, and the hearers are too late to

examine the accuser.' For the construction of the accusatives, vid. ad Ae. in Ct. § 112.

11. δεινότητα—ἔστω γάρ. *δεινότης* being not exactly a word of blame, but a suspicious quality that it is doubtful praise to impute. That he was γόης καὶ σοφιστής of course he could not admit at all.

καίτοι ἐγὼ γ' ὁρῶ. I am a successful speaker, Aeschines is not: he thinks everything depends on the speaker, I on the audience.

18. ὑπὲρ τῶν ἐχθρῶν answers to *ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν ἀεὶ καὶ οὐδαμοῦ καθ' ὑμῶν*.

εἰ τις ἐλύπησέ τι .. κατὰ τούτων answers to οὐδ' ἰδίᾳ. Dissen thinks that the last words are meant to describe Aeschines' developing his personal quarrel with himself on the embassy into a political antagonism: but surely Demosthenes, by his prosecution, was himself chiefly responsible for that. The same might be said of Timarchus. Possibly a private quarrel with *Clesippus* is referred to.

20. τὴν ὀργὴν .. βαβαιοῦν. Almost 'endorse his passion or his spite,' secure him the luxury of feeling it, by deciding in accordance with it.

οὔτε τὴν ἔχθραν οὐτ' ἄλλο οὐδὲν τῶν τοιούτων τὸν καλὸν
καγαθὸν πολίτην δεῖ τοὺς ὑπὲρ τῶν κοινῶν εἰσέλθῃς δικα-
στάς ἀξιούν αὐτῷ βεβαιούν, οὐδ' ὑπὲρ τούτων εἰς ὑμᾶς εἰσιέναι,
ἀλλὰ μάλιστα μὲν μὴ ἔχειν ταῦτ' ἐν τῇ φύσει, εἰ δ' ἄρ'
5 ἀνάγκη, πρῶτος καὶ μετρίως διακείμεν' ἔχειν, ἐν τίσιν οὖν 343
σφοδρὸν εἶναι τὸν πολιτευόμενον καὶ τὸν ῥήτορα δεῖ; ἐν οἷς
τῶν ὅλων τι κινδυνεύεται τῇ πόλει, καὶ ἐν οἷς πρὸς τοὺς ἐναν-
τίους ἐστὶ τῷ δήμῳ ἐν τούτοις ταῦτα γὰρ γενναίου καὶ ἀγαθοῦ
πολίτου. μηδενὸς δὲ ἀδικήματος πῶποτε δημοσίου, προσθήσω 344
10 δὲ μὴδ' ἰδίου, δίκην ἀξιώσαντα λαβεῖν παρ' ἐμοῦ μὴδ' ὑπὲρ
τῆς πόλεως μὴδ' ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ, στεφάνου καὶ ἐπαίνου κατηγο-
ρίαν ἣκειν συνεσκευασμένον, καὶ τοσούτους λόγους ἀνηλωκέναι
ἰδίας ἔχθρας καὶ φθόνου καὶ μικροψυχίας ἐστὶ σημεῖον, οὐδε-
νὸς χρηστοῦ. τὸ δὲ δὴ καὶ τοὺς πρὸς ἐμὲ αὐτὸν ἀγῶνας ἐά-
15 σαντα νῦν ἐπὶ τόνδ' ἣκειν καὶ πᾶσαν ἔχει κακίαν. καὶ μοι 345
δοκεῖς ἐκ τούτων, Αἰσχίνη, λόγων ἐπίδειξιν τινα καὶ φωνα-
σκίας βουλούμενος ποιήσασθαι τούτον προελέσθαι τὸν ἀγῶνα,
οὐκ ἀδικήματος οὐδενὸς λαβεῖν τιμωρίαν. ἔστι δ' οὐχ ὁ λόγος
τοῦ ῥήτορος, Αἰσχίνη, τίμιον, οὐδ' ὁ τόνος τῆς φωνῆς, ἀλλὰ
20 τὸ ταῦτά προαιρεῖσθαι τοῖς πολλοῖς καὶ τὸ τοὺς αὐτοὺς μισεῖν
καὶ φιλεῖν οὐσπερ ἂν ἡ πατρίς. ὁ γὰρ οὕτως ἔχων τὴν ψυχὴν, 346
οὗτος ἐπ' εὐνοίᾳ πάντ' ἐρεῖ· ὁ δ' ἀφ' ᾧ ἡ πόλις προοράται
τινα κίνδυνον ἑαυτῇ, τούτους θεραπεύων οὐκ ἐπὶ τῆς αὐτῆς ὁρμῇ
τοῖς πολλοῖς, οὐκ οὐδὲ τῆς ἀσφαλείας τὴν αὐτὴν ἔχει προσ-
25 δοκίαν. ἀλλ', ὁρᾷς; ἐγὼ ταῦτα γὰρ συμφέρουθ' εἰλόμην
τουτοισί, καὶ οὐδὲν ἐξάρτεον οὐδ' ἴδιον πεποιήμαι. ἄρ' οὖν 347

5. ἀνάγκη] † ἀν ἀνάγκη Σ †.
ναίου] ἐστὶ γενναίου A et socii.

vel κατηγορίαν νῦν.

14. ἐμὲ αὐτόν] Sic ΣΠ et al.: volg. ἐμᾶυτόν.

πᾶσαν] Ita A hui: ceteri πᾶσαν.

λόγων, mox omissio καὶ, quod omittunt iidem qui articulum habent.

Sic Σ: ceteri τίμιος.

διακείμεν'] διακείμενον FIΦΩ et socii.

11. κατηγορίαν] Ita Σ: ceteri νῦν κατηγορίαν

15. καὶ

16. λόγων] Sic ΣFΦ: legebatur ante Bekk. τῶν

19. τίμιον]

6. σφοδρὸν. Conceding that Aeschines might have a case for charging him with violence of language or manner, e.g. § 150.

§§ 344, 345. *You in a private quarrel, or a mere rhetorical display:*

16. λόγων ἐπίδειξιν καὶ φωνασκίας. 'To shew how well you can talk, and that your voice is still in good training.' For φωνασκία, cp. ad Ae. in Ct. § 210, though the occurrence of ὁ τόνος τῆς φωνῆς here prevents one's pressing the contrast.

20. τὸ ταῦτά προαιρεῖσθαι τοῖς πολλοῖς is the loyalty that serves Athens her

own way,

τὸ τοὺς αὐτοὺς μισεῖν καὶ φιλεῖν οὐσπερ ἂν ἡ πατρίς, the patriotism that takes sides with Athens, in conflict, for or against whomsoever.

§§ 346, 347. *Which has no value from a bad citizen, who rejoices in the success of the public enemy.*

24. οὐδὲ τῆς ἀσφαλείας κ.τ.λ. As his hope of security rests upon different grounds, so he does not look to its realisation *either* to come in the same way. If he looks to Philip for safety, he is safest when Philip succeeds.

- οὐδὲ σύ; καὶ πῶς; ὃς εὐθέως μετὰ τὴν μάχην πρεσβευτῆς ἐπορεύον πρὸς Φίλιππον, ὃς ἦν τῶν ἐν ἐκείνοις τοῖς χρόνοις συμφορῶν αἴτιος τῇ πατρίδι, καὶ ταύτ' ἀρνούμενος πάντα τὸν
- 348** ἔμπροσθε χρόνον ταύτην τὴν χρεῖαν, ὡς πάντες ἴσασιν. καίτοι τίς ὁ τὴν πόλιν ἐξαπατῶν; οὐχ ὁ μὴ λέγων ἃ φρονεῖ; τῷ δ' 5 ὁ κῆρυξ καταρᾶται δικαίως; οὐ τῷ τοιούτῳ; τί δὲ μέιζον ἔχει τις ἂν εἰπεῖν ἀδίκημα κατ' ἀνδρὸς ῥήτορος ἢ εἰ μὴ ταῦτ' αὖ φρο-
- 349** νεῖ καὶ λέγει; σὺ τοίνυν οὗτος εὐρέθης. εἶτα σὺ φθέγγει καὶ βλέπειν εἰς τὰ τουτωνὶ πρόσωπα τολμᾶς; πότερ' οὐχ ἡγεῖ γιγνώσκειν αὐτοὺς ὅστις εἶ; ἢ τοσοῦτον ὕπνον καὶ λήθην ἅπαν- 10 τας ἔχειν ὥστ' οὐ μεμνησθαι τοὺς λόγους οὓς ἐδημηγόρεις ἐν τῷ πολέμῳ, καταρώμενος καὶ διομνύμενος μὴδὲν εἶναι σοὶ καὶ Φιλίππῳ πρᾶγμα, ἀλλ' ἐμὲ τὴν αἰτίαν σοὶ ταύτην ἐπάγειν
- 350** τῆς ἰδίας ἕνεκ' ἐχθρας, οὐκ οὖσαν ἀληθῆ. ὡς δ' ἀπηγγέλη τάχισθ' ἡ μάχη, οὐδὲν τούτων φροντίσας εὐθέως ὠμολόγεις καὶ 15 προσεποιοῦ φίλιαν, καὶ ξενίαν εἶναί σοι πρὸς αὐτὸν, τῇ μισθαρνίᾳ ταῦτα μετατιθέμενος τὰ ὀνόματα· ἐκ ποίας γὰρ ἴσης ἢ δικαίας προφάσεως Αἰσχίνῃ τῷ Γλαυκοθέας τῆς τυμπανιστρίας
- 351** ξένος ἢ φίλος ἢ γνώριμος ἦν Φίλιππος; ἐγὼ μὲν οὐχ ὀρῶ, ἀλλ' ἐμισθώθης ἐπὶ τῷ τὰ τουτωνὶ συμφέροντα διαφθεῖρειν. 20 ἀλλ' ὁμῶς οὕτω φανερώς αὐτὸς εἰλημμένος προδότης καὶ κατὰ σαυτοῦ μηνυτῆς ἐπὶ τοῖς συμβάσι γεγονὼς ἐμοὶ

2. ἐν] Om. Σ.

δικαίως γρ. ΣΦφ: *legebatur ante Bekk.* καταρᾶται δικαίως καθ' ἐκάστην ἐκκλησίαν.

7. ἥ] Om. F p A et socii.

Sic ΣΑ k: *volg. et Bekk. δήμῳ.*

9. τουτωνὶ] τούτων ΣΤ r B. et S.

12. πολέμῳ]

6. καταρᾶται δικαίως] καταρᾶται καθ' ἐκάστην ἐκκλησίαν

15. εὐθέως] Sic pr. Σ: *volg. et Bekk. εὐθύς.*

1. πρεσβευτῆς: Ac. in Ct. § 228.

3. ἀρνούμενος: vide ad § 349.

§§ 348-351. *You are convicted of insincerity, having denied connection with Philip till the battle, and proclaimed it afterwards, in a form absurd from its arrogance.*

6. ὁ κῆρυξ καταρᾶται: cp. above, § 165 fin. The reading given as a γρ. in three MSS., καθ' ἐκάστην ἐκκλησίαν, is no doubt a gloss, and right as a gloss. We may suppose that it was a part of the ceremonial of opening the Assembly, to proclaim a curse on every one who should not utter his sincere views.

8. οὗτος. Not merely τοιούτος, but *the* typical specimen of the class described, ὁ τὴν πόλιν ἐξαπατῶν, ὁ μὴ λέγων ἃ φρονεῖ, ὁ τοιούτος ὃν ὁ κῆρυξ καταρᾶται δικαίως.

11. ὥστ' οὐ μεμνησθαι. οὐ, not μὴ, because the infinitive is only due to the

oratio obliqua: the sentiment attributed to Aeschines is, τοσοῦτος ὕπνος καὶ λήθη ἅπαντας ἔχει, ὥστ' οὐ μέμνηται. Cp. Shilleto's D. de F. L. Exc.12. μὴδὲν εἶναι σοὶ καὶ Φιλίππῳ πρᾶγμα. Aeschines did not directly contradict himself, if we may press Demosthenes' words. What he denied was that he had any practical connection or communication with Philip; what he affirmed was, that he had with him the relation of personal hospitality, the *φιλία* of § 350.17. ἐκ ποίας γὰρ . . προφάσεως. The *πρόφασις* was, that he and his colleagues had received Philip's hospitality on the embassy.

18. Γλαυκοθέας. He admits it was her real name: admits also, perhaps, that Atrometus was not altogether a parent to be ashamed of.

λοιδορεῖ καὶ ὀνειδίζεις ταῦτα, ὧν πάντας μᾶλλον αἰτίους εὐρήσεις.

285

Πολλὰ καὶ καλὰ καὶ μεγάλα ἡ πόλις, Αἰσχίνη, καὶ προεί- 352
λετο καὶ κατώρθωσε δι' ἐμοῦ, ὧν οὐκ ἡμνημόνησεν. σημεῖον
5 δέ' χειροτονῶν γὰρ ὁ δῆμος τὸν ἐρούντ' ἐπὶ τοῖς τετελευτηκόσι
παρ' αὐτὰ τὰ συμβάντα οὐ σέ' ἐχειροτόνησε προβληθέντα, καί-
περ εὐφωον ὄντα, οὐδὲ Δημάδην, ἄρτι πεποικηκότα τὴν εἰρήνην,
οὐδ' Ἑγήμονα, οὐδ' ἄλλον ὑμῶν οὐδένα, ἀλλ' ἐμέ. καὶ παρελ-
θόντος σοῦ καὶ Πυθοκλέους ὡμῶς καὶ ἀναιδῶς, ὦ Ζεῦ καὶ θεοί,
10 καὶ κατηγοροῦντων ἐμοῦ ταῦτα ἃ καὶ σὺ νυνὶ, καὶ λοιδορο-
μένων, ἔτ' ἄμεινον ἐχειροτόνησεν ἐμέ. τὸ δ' αἴτιον οὐκ ἀγνοεῖς 353
μὲν, ὅμως δὲ φράσω σοι καὶ γώ. ἀμφότερ' ἤδεσαν αὐτοί, τὴν
τ' ἐμὴν εὖνοιαν καὶ προθυμίαν, μεθ' ἧς τὰ πράγματ' ἔπραττον,
καὶ τὴν ὑμετέραν ἀδικίαν· ἃ γὰρ εὐθενούντων τῶν πραγμάτων
15 ἤρνεϊσθε διομνύμενοι, ταῦτ' ἐν οἷς ἔπταισεν ἡ πόλις ὡμολογή-
σατε. τοὺς οὖν ἐπὶ τοῖς κοινοῖς ἀτυχήμασιν ὧν ἐφρόνουν λα-
βόντας ἄδειαν ἐχθροὺς μὲν πάλαι, φανεροὺς δὲ τόθ' ἡγήσαντο
αὐτοῖς γεγενῆσθαι. εἶτα καὶ προσήκειν ὑπολαμβάνοντες τὸν 354
ἐρούντ' ἐπὶ τοῖς τετελευτηκόσι καὶ τὴν ἐκείνων ἀρετὴν κοσμή-
20 σοντα μὴθ' ὁμωρόφιον μὴθ' ὁμόσπονδον γεγεννημένον εἶναι τοῖς

1. μᾶλλον αἰτίους] αἰτίους μᾶλλον T p A et socii.

addebatur ἡ ἐμέ. Om. Σ.

8. Ἑγήμονα] Sic A, Ἑγῆμόνα h, Ἑγεμόνα s, ceteri, ut videtur, Ἑγέμονα. Literam ter-
tiam in rasura habet Σ.

10. ταῦτα] ταῦτα praeter Σ plerique.

12. καὶ γώ] καὶ ἐγώ Σ.

αὐτοί] Om. T p, οἱτοί A et socii Bekk. Dind.

18. ὑπολαμ-
βάνοντες] Sic ΣF: ceteri et Bekk. ὑπελάμβανον.

1. πάντας μᾶλλον αἰτίους. Possibly as in § 92, etc.: 'I did not really do as much as others to precipitate the war,' but it is more in harmony with the sense of the passage to take it, 'I did more than any man to prevent the defeat.'

§§ 352-354. *The City chose me for the funeral oration, in spite of you: it knew us both, and that my grief was sincere.*

4. σημεῖον δὲ refers quite as much to δι' ἐμοῦ as to οὐκ ἡμνημόνησεν.

5. ὁ δῆμος. According to Plato's Menexenus (p. 234 B), the senate appointed. The practice may have been changed, but it is far likelier that Plato speaks inaccurately. It is scarcely possible that Demosthenes should.

6. παρ' αὐτὰ τὰ συμβάντα. Here clearly of time.

7. Δημάδην. He not only had negotiated the peace, so as to have some claim to the people's gratitude, but had fought in the battle and been made prisoner, so as to

have the claim of a comrade to speak for the dead.

11. ἔτ' ἄμεινον. 'Yet more heartily,' in a second assembly after the charge broke down.

12. αὐτοί. So Σ and most other MSS. for the vulgate οἱτοί: 'They knew of their personal experience.' Even apart from authority, the transition, from speaking to Aeschines of the people, to speaking consciously in the presence of the people, would be harsh and inartistic.

16. ὧν ἐφρόνουν.. ἄδειαν. Exactly equivalent to the common Gallicism, 'the courage of their opinions.'

20. μὴθ' ὁμωρόφιον κ.τ.λ. Demosthenes must mean τοῖς πρὸς ἐκείνους παραταξαμένοις not merely as a designation of persons, but as implying the time after the battle: else he himself had partaken of Philip's hospitality, on the first embassy at least. Perhaps the use of γεγεννημένον εἶναι expresses this distinction: Demosthenes might be

- πρὸς ἐκείνους παραταξαμένοις, μηδ' ἐκεῖ μὲν κωμάζειν καὶ παιανίζειν ἐπὶ ταῖς τῶν Ἑλλήνων συμφοραῖς μετὰ τῶν αὐτοχείρων τοῦ φόνου, δεῦρο δ' ἐλθόντα τιμάσθαι, μηδὲ τῇ φωνῇ δακρύνειν ὑποκρινόμενον τὴν ἐκείνων τύχην, ἀλλὰ τῇ ψυχῇ συναλγεῖν.
- 355 τοῦτο δ' ἑώρων παρ' ἑαυτοῖς καὶ παρ' ἐμοί, παρὰ δ' ὑμῖν οὐ. 5 διὰ ταῦτ' ἐμ' ἐχειροτόνησαν καὶ οὐχ ὑμᾶς. καὶ οὐχ ὁ μὲν δῆμος οὕτως, οἱ δὲ τῶν τετελευτηκότων πατέρες καὶ ἀδελφοὶ οἱ ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου τόθ' αἰρεθέντες ἐπὶ τὰς ταφὰς ἄλλως πως, ἀλλὰ δέον ποιεῖν αὐτοὺς τὸ περιδείκνυν ὡς παρ' οἰκειοτάτῳ τῶν τετελευτηκότων, ὥσπερ τὰλλ' εἴωθε γίγνεσθαι, τοῦτ' ἐποί- 10
- 356 ησαν παρ' ἐμοί. εἰκότως· γένει μὲν γὰρ ἕκαστος ἐκάστῳ μᾶλλον οἰκείος ἦν ἐμοῦ, κοινῇ δὲ πᾶσιν οὐδεὶς ἐγγυτέρω· ὃ γὰρ ἐκείνους σωθῆναι καὶ κατορθῶσαι μάλιστα διέφερεν, οὗτος καὶ παθόντων ἂ μῆποτ' ὄφελον τῆς ὑπὲρ πάντων λύπης πλεί- 15 στον μετέχεν.
- 357 Λέγε δ' αὐτῷ τοῦτ' ἐπίγραμμα, ὃ δημοσίᾳ προείλετο ἡ πόλις αὐτοῖς ἐπιγράψαι, ἵν' εἰδῆς, Αἰσχίνη, καὶ ἐν αὐτῷ τούτῳ σαυτὸν ἀγνώμονα καὶ συκοφάντην ὄντα καὶ μιαιρόν. Λέγε.

ΕΠΙΓΡΑΜΜΑ.

20

- 358 Οἶδε πάτρας ἕνεκα σφετέρας εἰς δῆριν ἔθεντο
δπλα, καὶ ἀντιπάλων ὕβριν ἀπεσκέδασαν.

3. δεῦρο δ' ἐλθόντα] δεῦρ ἐλθόντα Σ, δ' om. etiam s. 4. ὑποκρινόμενον] Ita A et socii: ceteri, B. et S., ὑποκρινόμενος. 8. οἱ ὑπὸ] Ita Σ B. et S. Dind.: ceteri et Bekk. ὑπὸ.
9. οἰκειοτάτῳ τῶν] οἰκειοτάτῳ pr. Σ, οἰκειοτάτῳ Ω, οἰκειοτάτων Γ et pr. r. 13. ἐκείνους] Ita Σ F Φ: ceteri τὸ ἐκείνους. 20. Totum epigramma om. Σ A et socii.

said, as a separate past fact, *δμορόφιον καὶ δμοσπονδον γεγενῆσθαι*. Cp. ad Ae. in Ct. § 64.

4. ὑποκρινόμενον. The emphatic word, opposed to τῇ ψυχῇ συναλγεῖν. But as Aeschines was apparently the only other candidate proposed, it conveys the usual sneer at the actor.

§§ 355. 356. *The kindred of the dead chose my house for the funeral feast*:

8. ἀπρεθέντες. A committee of the relatives of the dead, appointed by the state.

9. ὡς παρ' οἰκειοτάτῳ τῶν τετελευτηκότων. They 'had to hold the supper as *it were* in the nearest kinsman's house,' in accordance with the custom in common funerals, where of course it would be the actual next kinsman who gave it.

§§ 357-359. *And the public monument repudiates your principle of judging by the event.*

16. λέγε δ' αὐτῷ. The quotation of the inscription serves to balance those quoted by Aeschines in honour of ancient worthies (in Ct. §§ 184-186, 191).

21. ἔθεντο δπλα apparently has come to be merely equivalent to *παρετάξαντο*, as in Thuc. 2. 2, *θέμενοι εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν τὰ δπλα*: and again, *θέσθαι παρ' αὐτοῖς τὰ δπλα*. But perhaps *εἰς δῆριν* is meant to recal the words from the technical sense to the literal, 'advanced their arms into battle.'

22. ἀντιπάλων ὕβριν ἀπεσκέδασαν. 'Put away the reproach of their enemies,' made it impossible for even their enemies to despise or insult them. It would have been absurd to speak of 'scattering the enemies' pride,' in reference to the two earlier successes, when the object of the whole piece is to confess that all is lost but honour.

μαρνάμενοι δ' ἀρετῆς καὶ δειμάτων οὐκ ἐσάωσαν
 ψυχὰς, ἀλλ' Ἀἰδὼν κοινὸν ἔθεντο βραβή,
 οὐνεκεν Ἑλλήνων, ὥς μὴ ζυγὸν αὐχένι θέτες
 δουλοσύνης στυγερὰν ἀμφὶς ἔχουσιν ὕβριν.
 5 γαῖα δὲ πατρὶς ἔχει κόλποις τῶν πλείστα καμόντων
 σώματ', ἐπεὶ θνητοῖς ἐκ Διὸς ἦδε κρίσις.
 μηδὲν ἁμαρτεῖν ἐστὶ θεῶν καὶ πάντα κατορθοῦν,
 ἐν βιοτῇ μοῖραν δ' οὐ τι φυγεῖν ἔπορεν.

Ἀκούεις, Αἰσχίνη, καὶ ἐν αὐτῷ τούτῳ μηδὲν ἁμαρτεῖν ἐστὶ 359
 10 θεοῦ καὶ πάντα κατορθοῦν; οὐ τῷ συμβούλῳ τὴν τοῦ κατορ-

1. δειμάτων] Al. λήματος: vid. annot.

proximo §, quamvis hic libri omnes pluralem habent. θεοῦ sane citant Liban. et anon. ap. Suidam. Probabile est, θεοῦ fuisse in illo epigrammatis apographo, quo Demosthenes vel potius scriba dicasterii usus est, θεῶν ex anthologia nescio qua in archetypum venisse familiae eorum codd. qui soli id habent.

8. ἔπορεν] ἔπορον Ω et corr. γ, μερόπων Dissen. Vid. annot.

9. Post τούτῳ Volg. et Bekk. add. οἱ τό: om. ΣΑ et socii. τὸ in Σ 'a m. tertia est insertum, sed rursus deletum' Dind.

10. θεοῦ] Ita ΣΤΑ et socii: nempe omnes praeter Υ, qui supra θεῶν habent, id quod Demosthenis dixit suo textui accommodaverunt.

οὐ τῷ . . κατορθοῦν] Ob homoeoteuton om. pr. Σ.

1. μαρνάμενοι . . ὕβριν. 'And in the battle, they did not save their lives, but chose Death to judge in behalf of Greece, whether the soldiers of freedom or slavery (κοινὸν) were brave or cowards; trusting that his judgment would deliver Greece.' Valckenar's emendation ἀρετῆς καὶ λήματος is meant to be translated, 'fighting the fight of courage and spirit;' no really parallel example is adduced of that construction. It seems therefore necessary to make ἀρετῆς καὶ δειμάτων depend on βραβή, by a hyperbaton, or in other words, taking οὐκ ἐσάωσαν ψυχὰς ἀλλ' as parenthetical. On either view, the quatrain is a crabbed cramped conceit.

3. ζυγὸν αὐχένι θέτες. One would have expected θέμενοι, but the active makes the idea of *voluntary* submission more prominent.

4. ἀμφὶς. As in Od. 3. 186, ζυγὸν ἀμφὶς ἔχοντες, of the yoke pressing evenly all round and over the neck.

6. ἐπεὶ . . ἦδε κρίσις. The connection is, 'We bury them honourably, though unsuccessful, because Zeus' decree permits uniform success only to the gods,' so that it is not their fault that they had it not. Some put a full stop after κρίσις, which would imply that ἦδε referred to the preceding words, 'this (viz. that their souls should go to Hades, and their bodies to the tomb) is the decree of Zeus for mortals,' but there is no need to depart from its usual sense.

7. θεῶν. In the next section the best MSS. have θεοῦ, and so Dindorf now reads here. But Zeus could hardly be said to assign the lot of God as he might of the

gods: where the line is quoted out of the context, θεοῦ makes sense.

8. ἐν βιοτῇ must mean, 'in mortal life,' unless we adopt the conjecture μερόπων for ἔπορεν or -ρον. It would have no point connected with the former line, as in Bekker's punctuation, πάντα κατορθοῦν ἐν βιοτῇ: the unsuitness of the word to be used of the gods, illustrates the way in which it comes to imply mortality.

μοῖραν δ' οὐ τι φυγεῖν. The obvious translation is, 'But in human life he has given no means of escaping fate;' but it seems to suit the sense better, if one could give to φυγεῖν the sense 'to flee from,' so that the line should mean, 'But to meet fate fearlessly is the best he allows to man.' Demosthenes' paraphrase alone determines against this.

ἔπορεν. 'He [Zeus] granted:' if we read ἔπορον with some MSS., the subject must be θεοί. From the latter reading some have conjectured μερόπων, which makes clearer what must in any case be the sense. Conjecture has a freer scope in this piece than in the body of the speech, as Σ and most of the third family of MSS. omit it. Those which have it, no doubt took it from an anthology.

10. οὐ τῷ συμβούλῳ . . τοῖς θεοῖς. Probably not so much a paraphrase of the line which he has quoted (which clearly must mean, 'God succeeds in *his own* designs'), as of the one following it, of which it fixes the sense. The plural τοῖς θεοῖς would support the reading ἔπορον, but that we have the change from θεῶν to θεοῦ in the former line.

θοῦν τοὺς ἀγωνιζομένους ἀνέθηκε δύναμιν, ἀλλὰ τοῖς θεοῖς. τί οὖν, ὃ κατάρατ', ἐμοὶ περὶ τούτων λοιδορεῖ, καὶ λέγεις ἃ σοὶ καὶ τοῖς σοῖς οἱ θεοὶ τρέψειαν εἰς κεφαλὴν;

- 360** Πολλὰ τοίνυν, ὃ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ ἄλλα κατηγορηκóτος αὐτοῦ καὶ κατεψευσμένου, μάλιστα' ἐθαύμασα πάντων, ὅτε τῶν 5 συμβεβηκóτων τότε τῇ πόλει μνησθεῖς οὐχ ὡς ἂν εὖνους καὶ δίκαιος πολίτης ἔσχε τὴν γνώμην, οὐδ' ἐδάκρυσεν, οὐδ' ἔπαθε τοιοῦτον οὐδὲν τῇ ψυχῇ, ἀλλ' ἐπάρας τὴν φωνὴν καὶ γεγηθώς καὶ λαρυγγίζων ᾤετο μὲν ἐμοῦ κατηγορεῖν δηλονότι, δείγμα δ' ἐξέφερε καθ' ἑαυτοῦ ὅτι τοῖς γεγενημένοις ἀνιστοῖς οὐδὲν 10 ὁμοίως ἔσχε τοῖς ἄλλοις. καίτοι τὸν τῶν νόμων καὶ τῆς πολιτείας φάσκοντα φροντίζειν, ὥσπερ οὗτος νυνὶ, καὶ εἰ μὴδὲν ἄλλο, τοῦτό γ' ἔχειν δεῖ, ταῦτά λυπεῖσθαι καὶ ταῦτά χαίρειν τοῖς πολλοῖς, καὶ μὴ τῇ προαιρέσει τῶν κοινῶν ἐν τῷ τῶν ἐναντίων μέρει τετάχθαι· ὃ σὺ νυνὶ πεποιηκώς εἰ φανερός, ἐμέ 15 πάντων αἴτιον καὶ δι' ἐμέ εἰς πράγματα φάσκων ἐμπεσεῖν τὴν πόλιν, οὐκ ἀπὸ τῆς ἐμῆς πολιτείας οὐδὲ προαιρέσεως ἀρξαμέ-
- 362** νων ὑμῶν τοῖς Ἑλλήσι βοηθεῖν, ἐπεὶ ἑμοίγ' εἰ τοῦτο δοθείη παρ' ὑμῶν, δι' ἐμέ ὑμᾶς ἠναντιώσθαι τῇ κατὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἀρχῇ πραττομένῃ, μείζων ἂν δοθείη δωρεὰ συμπασῶν ὧν τοῖς 20 ἄλλοις δεδοκατε. ἀλλ' οὐτ' ἂν ἐγὼ ταῦτα φήσαιμι (ἀδικοῖν γὰρ ἂν ὑμᾶς), οὐτ' ἂν ὑμεῖς εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι συγχωρήσαίτε· οὗτός τ' εἰ δίκαια ἐποίει, οὐκ ἂν ἔνεκα τῆς πρὸς ἐμέ ἔχθρας τὰ μέγιστα τῶν ὑμετέρων καλῶν ἐβλαπτε καὶ διέβαλλεν.
- 363** Ἀλλὰ τί ταῦτ' ἐπιτιμῶ, πολλῷ σχετλιώτερα ἄλλα κατη- 25

5. μάλιστα] Volg. et Bekk. ἐν μάλιστα': ἐν om. ΣΑ et socii.

et S.: ceteri, Bekk., Dind., ὅτι. 6. καὶ] οὐδὲ FΓΦΩ et socii.

ἐπὶ s (m Bekk.

13. ταῦτά] τὰ ταῦτά FΓΦΩ et socii et r.

ΣΤ p A et socii: ceteri τοσαῦτα δὲ ἐμέ.

ἑτα] Sic ΣΑ & B.

10. ὅτι] ὅτι

19. δι' ἐμέ] Ita

§§ 360-362. *He rejoiced, as his whole manner showed, at your calamities, because he could impute them to me. But that was not his only reason: he rejoiced at the success of his master. Even otherwise, did you need me to make you defend Greece?*

5. *ἑτα.* So B. and S. from ΣΑ: it seems more appropriate and vivid than the vulgate ὅτι, as the reference is obviously to Aeschines' manner at a particular part of his speech, probably §§ 133, 134.

7. *ἔπαθε .. τῇ ψυχῇ.* You would have expected him to be moved to tears: in fact he was not moved at all.

9. *δείγμα δ' ἐξέφερε.* So Mid. p. 573 extr., § 232, Plat. Laws, 788 C.

14. *τῇ προαιρέσει τῶν κοινῶν.* Not so much 'in his scheme of public life,' as 'in his sympathies and desires for the course of public events.' The charge is not merely that Aeschines advocated the policy of friendship with Macedonia, but that he gave Macedonia his *sympathy*, even when the avowed enemy of Athens; and he argues, 'when you blame me for opposing Philip's schemes of domination, you prove you shared them.'

§§ 363-365. *But he has uttered slanders yet more bitter, charging me with Philippising: whereas it was the partners of Aeschines who throughout Greece did Philip's business,*

γορηκότος αὐτοῦ καὶ κατεψευσμένου; ὃς γὰρ ἐμοῦ φιλιππισ-
 μόν, ὃ γῇ καὶ θεοί, κατηγορεῖ, τί οὗτος οὐκ ἂν εἴποι; καίτοι
 νῆ τὸν Ἡρακλέα καὶ πάντας θεοὺς, εἴ γ' ἐπ' ἀληθείας δέοι
 σκοπεῖσθαι, τὸ καταψεύδεσθαι καὶ δι' ἔχθραν τι λέγειν ἀνε-
 5 λόντας ἐκ μέσου, τίνες ὡς ἀληθῶς εἰσὶν οἷς ἂν εἰκότως καὶ
 δικαίως τὴν τῶν γεγεννημένων αἰτίαν ἐπὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν ἀναθεῖεν
 ἅπαντες, τοὺς ὁμοίους τούτῳ παρ' ἐκάστη τῶν πόλεων εὐροῖτ'
 ἂν, οὐ τοὺς ἐμοί· οἱ, ὅτ' ἦν ἀσθενῇ τὰ Φιλίππου πράγματα 364
 καὶ κομιδῇ μικρὰ, πολλάκις προλεγοντῶν ἡμῶν καὶ παρα-
 10 καλούντων καὶ διδασκόντων τὰ βέλτιστα, τῆς ἰδίας ἕνεκ' αἰ-
 σχροκερδεῖας τὰ κοινῇ συμφέροντα προῖεντο, τοὺς ὑπάρχοντας
 ἕκαστοι πολίτας ἐξαπατῶντες καὶ διαφθείροντες, ἕως δούλους
 ἐποίησαν, Θερταλούς Δάοχος, Κινέας, Θρασυδαίους, Ἀρκάδας 365
 Κερκιδᾶς, Ἰερώνυμος, Εὐκαμπίδας, Ἀργεῖους Μύρτις, Τελέδαμος,
 15 Μνασέας, Ἡλείους Εὐξίθεος, Κλεότιμος, Ἀρίσταιχος, Μεσ-
 σηνίους οἱ Φιλιάδου τοῦ θεοῖς ἐχθροῦ παῖδες Νέων καὶ Θρασύ-
 λοχος, Σικωνίους Ἀρίστρατος, Ἐπιχάρης, Κορινθίους Δεί-
 ναρχος, Δημάρατος, Μεγαρέας Πτοιόδωρος, Ἐλιξος, Περίλαος,
 Θηβαίους Τιμόλας, Θεογείτων, Ἀνεμοίτας, Εὐβόεας Ἰππαρχος,
 20 Κλείταρχος, Σωσίστρατος. ἐπιλείψει με λέγοντα ἡ ἡμέρα
 τὰ τῶν προδοτῶν ὀνόματα. οὗτοι πάντες εἰσὶν, ἄνδρες Ἀθη- 366
 ναῖοι τῶν αὐτῶν βουλευμάτων ἐν ταῖς αὐτῶν πατρίσιν ὧν περ
 οὗτοι παρ' ὑμῖν, ἄνθρωποι μιαιοὶ καὶ κολᾶκες καὶ ἀλάστορες,
 ἡκρωτηριασμένοι τὰς ἑαυτῶν ἕκαστοι πατρίδας, τὴν ἐλευθερίαν

3. θεοὺς] Ita ΣΑ et socii, ceteri τοὺς θεοὺς.

ceteri et Bekk. εὐροῖ τις ἂν, οὐχί. εὐροῖτ' etiam γρ. F.

αἰσχροκερδεῖας Σ.

13. Θρασυδαίους] Ita nunc Dind., cum Σ Θρασύδαος habeat, et

multi Θρασύδαος. Θρασύλαος T p pr. A et socii Bekk. B. et S. et Dind. in ed. Oxon.

18. Δημάρατος] Δημάρετος ΣΑ k.

19. Τιμόλας] Ita Σ: ceteri Τιμόλαος.

rationis Palatinus, Suidas et alii grammatici.

7. εὐροῖτ' ἂν, οὐ] Ita ΣΤΑ et socii,

ceteri et Bekk. εὐροῖ τις ἂν, οὐχί. εὐροῖτ' etiam γρ. F.

10. αἰσχροκερδεῖας]

13. Θρασυδαίους] Ita nunc Dind., cum Σ Θρασύδαος habeat, et

multi Θρασύδαος. Θρασύλαος T p pr. A et socii Bekk. B. et S. et Dind. in ed. Oxon.

18. Δημάρατος] Δημάρετος ΣΑ k.

19. Τιμόλας] Ita Σ: ceteri Τιμόλαος.

rationis Palatinus, Suidas et alii grammatici.

1. φιλιππισμόν. Recurring to the part of Aeschines' speech introduced by the illustration of the λογισμοὶ already commented on (Ae. in Ct. § § 60 sqq.)

3. εἰ γ' ἐπ' ἀληθείας .. ἐκ μέσου. If I could say all the truth, and not be slandered for saying it.

11. τοὺς ὑπάρχοντας ἕκαστοι πολίτας. 'The countrymen they had at home,' not 'who were on their side.' Demosthenes does not admit, whether it was the fact or no, that there was an honest Macedonian party in each state, as distinct from traitors in the pay of Macedon.

§ 365. Naturally, the spelling of several

of these proper names varies much in MSS. The only variation of much interest is 'Ἀνεμοίτας, for which one MS. of Harpocration has 'Ἀνεμύτας, which would be a Boeotian form, and is supported by Suidas and several grammarians. If it be accepted, says Dindorf, one ought to read Θεογίτων: it is as easy to say, if it be not genuine one ought to read Τιμόλαος. For Clitarchus, cp. Ae. in Ct. § 103; for Aristratr and Perilaus, § 60. It is hardly likely that the Aristratr of § 251 can be the Sicyonian.

§ 366. Men whose standard of happiness is too carnal to include bonour.

24. ἡκρωτηριασμένοι. Probably the

προπεπωκότες πρότερον μὲν Φιλίππῳ, νῦν δὲ Ἀλεξάνδρῳ, τῇ γαστρὶ μετρούντες καὶ τοῖς αἰσχίστοις τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν, τὴν δ' ἐλευθερίαν καὶ τὸ μηδένα ἔχειν δεσπότην αὐτῶν, ἃ τοῖς πρότεροις Ἑλλήσιν ὅροι τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἦσαν καὶ κανόνες, ἀνατετραφότες.

- 367 Ταύτης τοίνυν τῆς οὕτως αἰσχροῦς καὶ περιβοήτου συστάσεως καὶ κακίας, μᾶλλον δ', ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, προδοσίας, εἰ δεῖ μὴ ληρεῖν, τῆς τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐλευθερίας, ἣ τε πόλιν παρὰ πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις ἀναίτιος γέγονεν ἐκ τῶν ἐμῶν πολιτευμάτων καὶ ἐγὼ παρ' ὑμῖν. εἰτά μ' ἐρωτᾷς ἀντὶ ποίας 10
- 368 ἀρετῆς ἀξιώ τιμᾶσθαι; ἐγὼ δὴ σοι λέγω ὅτι τῶν πολιτευομένων παρὰ τοῖς Ἑλλήσι διαφθαρέντων ἀπάντων, ἀρξαμένων ἀπὸ σοῦ, πρότερον μὲν ὑπὸ Φιλίππου, νῦν δ' ὑπ' Ἀλεξάνδρου, ἐμὲ οὔτε καιρὸς οὔτε φιланθρωπία λόγων οὔτ' ἐπαγγελιῶν μέγεθος οὔτ' ἐλπίς οὔτε φόβος οὔτ' ἄλλο οὐδὲν ἐπῆρεν οὐδὲ 15 προηγάγετο ὧν ἔκρινα δικαίων καὶ συμφερόντων τῇ πατρίδι οὐδὲν προδοῦναι, οὐδ', ὅσα συμβεβούλευκα πῶποτε τουτοισί, 369 ὁμοίως ὑμῖν ὥσπερ ἀνὲν τρυτάνῃ ρέπων ἐπὶ τὸ λῆμμα συμβε-

4. ἀνατετραφότες] Sic Σ p: ceteri ἀνατετροφότες.
σοι] δέ σοι Σ B. et S.

7. ὧ] Om. A.

11. δὴ

15. Post φόβος οὔτε χάρις add. Σ a m. sec. et A et socii.

word is used with full consciousness of the metaphor, 'having mutilated her after the murder, lest her ghost should avenge the parricide,' since the correlative word *ἀλίστορες*, those exposed to be haunted by her, has just been used, and is explained by it. For this quaint superstition, cp. Ae. in Ct. § 245, and the note there: also the practice in the Norse Sagas of laying a slain man's head under his thigh, with the same object, to prevent his ghost walking.

1. *προπεπωκότες*. Here no doubt simply 'sacrificed recklessly,' as e. g. Demosth. Olynth. 3. p. 34 extr., § 26, though it would be just possible to take it, 'handed to Philip across the wine-table,' in exchange for his gold and silver cups (D. de F. L. p. 384, § 152). Yet Shilleto l. c. seems to deny this generally recognised sense of the word.

τῇ γαστρὶ . . καὶ τοῖς αἰσχίστοις. Cp. D. de F. L. p. 412, § 254, *πορνὴς ἡγόραζε καὶ λχθίας περιών* (of Philocrates).

4. ὅροι . . καὶ κανόνες. Not that they thought these the only goods, but recognised nothing as good without them.

§ 367. *Athens is pure of this treachery in Greece, and I in Athens*:

6. *αἰσχροῦς καὶ περιβοήτου*. So that the shame was great and public.

7. *μᾶλλον δ' . . ἐλευθερίας*. 'It was a

conspiracy, and a foul one; nay, in plain language, high treason against the liberties of Greece.' For *εἰ δεῖ μὴ ληρεῖν*, cp. ad Ae. in Ct. § 143.

10. *εἰτά μ' ἐρωτᾷς κ.τ.λ.* refers especially perhaps to Ae. in Ct. § 237.

§§ 368, 369. *And for this unsbaken incorruptibility, contrasting so with you, I claim bonour*,

11. *ἐγὼ δὴ σοι* seems more forcible than *ἐγὼ δέ σοι*, the reading of Σ and, of course, of B. and S. The force is not merely, 'Well, I will tell you,' but 'Why, that is just what I am telling you.'

15. *οὔτε φόβος*. There is fair authority for adding here *οὔτε χάρις*. It is not unlike Demosthenes, but perhaps makes the enumeration too long, and may be a gloss on *ἄλλο οὐδὲν*, explaining what other inducements there might be.

ἐπῆρεν, of vanity or excitement; *προηγάγετο*, of interest.

18. *ὁμοίως ὑμῖν* may be joined either with *συμβεβούλευκα* or with what follows: 'the advice I gave, as you did yours' (cp. above, § 295); or better, 'the advice I gave I never gave, as you did, from self-interest.'

ὥσπερ ἀνὲν . . λῆμμα. Cp. Dem. de Pace, p. 60, § 12, where the metaphor is more clearly worked out. The statesman

βούλευκα, ἀλλ' ἀπ' ὀρθῆς καὶ δικαίας καὶ ἀδιαφθόρου τῆς ψυχῆς, καὶ μεγίστων δὴ πραγμάτων τῶν κατ' ἐμαυτὸν ἀνθρώπων προστάς πάντα ταῦτα ὑγιῶς καὶ δικαίως πεπολίτευμαι. διὰ 370 ταῦτ' ἀξιώ τιμᾶσθαι. τὸν δὲ τειχισμὸν τοῦτον, ὃν σύ μου 5 διέσυρες, καὶ τὴν ταφρείαν ἄξια μὲν χάριτος καὶ ἐπαίνου κρίνω, πῶς γὰρ οὐ; πόρρω μέντοι πού τῶν ἐμαυτῷ πεπολιτευμένων τίθεμαι. οὐ λίθοις ἐτείχισα τὴν πόλιν οὐδὲ πλίνθοις ἐγώ, οὐδ' ἐπὶ τούτοις μέγιστον τῶν ἐμαυτοῦ φρονῶ· ἀλλ' ἐὰν τὸν ἐμὸν τειχισμὸν βούλῃ δικαίως σκοπεῖν, εὐρήσεις ὅπλα καὶ πόλεις 10 καὶ τόπους καὶ λιμένας καὶ ναῦς καὶ ἵππους καὶ πολλοὺς τοὺς ὑπὲρ τούτων ἀμυνο[ν]μένους. ταῦτα προὔβαλόμην ἐγὼ πρὸ 371 τῆς Ἀττικῆς, ὅσον ἦν ἀνθρωπίνῳ λογισμῷ δυνατόν, καὶ τούτοις ἐτείχισα τὴν χώραν, οὐχὶ τὸν κύκλον τοῦ Πειραιῶς οὐδὲ τοῦ ἄσπεως. οὐδὲ γ' ἡττήθην ἐγὼ τοῖς λογισμοῖς Φιλίππου, πολ- 15 λοῦ γε καὶ δεῖ, οὐδὲ ταῖς παρασκευαῖς, ἀλλ' οἱ τῶν συμμάχων στρατηγοὶ καὶ αἱ δυνάμεις τῇ τύχῃ. τίνες αἱ τούτων ἀποδείξεις; ἐναργεῖς καὶ φανεραί. σκοπεῖτε δέ.

1. Post ψυχῆς addebatur usque ad Bekk. τὰ πάντα μοι πέπρακται quae om. ΣΑ ks: τὰ r, ut videtur, solus habet, ut μοι om. φ.
 2. τῶν] Om. pr. Σ: inter versus est positum sed erasum.
 3. προστάς] πρὸς pr. Σ.
 4. γάρ: om. ΣΦΑ et socii.
 5. 10. ἵππους καὶ πολλοὺς] Elegantem Dindorfi conjecturam recepinus; libri πολλοὺς ἵππους καὶ habent. πολλοὺς uncis incl. Reisk. Bekk., om. Dind. in edit. Oxon.
 6. 11. ἀμυνομένους] ἀμυνομένους Bekk. et Dind. ἀμυνομένους elegantius, et Graeco idiomati accommodatius. Sed ἀμυνομένους habent ΣΦ p A et socii, quos omnes incuriae arguere vix ausim: idque receperunt B. et S. ἀγανισομένους Ω u, quod praesenti favere videtur.
 7. Post κύκλον addebatur ante Bekk. μόνον: om. ΣΑ et socii.

is conceived as standing in one scale: if personal profit is in the opposite scale to the country's interest, it carries him away into the air. 'I,' says Demosthenes, 'have always kept my equilibrium.'

§§ 370, 371. *As well as for my minor though real services in repair of the walls. Our true fortification was the alliance I gained: I did what prudence could do, though accident overpowered me.*

5. δίσχυρες: Ae. in Ct. § 237 fin.

7. οὐ λίθοις κ.τ.λ. Apparently alluded to by Ae. in Ct. § 84.

10. τόπους. Especially the Chersonese.

11. ἀμυνομένους. So the preponderance of the MSS., and Dissen refers to Isocr. de Pace, § 139, for a parallel use of the present (πολλοὺς ἐφομεν τοῖς . . συναγαγισομένους), where there is no various reading, and where the text would not be as easy to alter. But here the difference is so slight, that as the future is decidedly the commoner in this sense ('men to fight for them'), it seems

that it might be introduced without much rashness.

13. τὴν χώραν. The whole extent of Attica, not the capital only.

14. τοῖς λογισμοῖς. Plans for getting allies, and still more calculations (such as those ridiculed by Aeschines, In Ct. §§ 97 sqq.) as to the various contingents to be brought into the field: the part of a war minister, as distinct from that of a general.

15. ταῖς παρασκευαῖς. The actual raising and equipping of the estimated forces.

τῶν συμμάχων. Apparently, 'of the confederates,' including Athens herself.

16. τῇ τύχῃ. Perhaps Dissen is right in thinking that he hints his disapproval of the condemnation of Lysicles: 'Our defeat was not my fault, and only the misfortune of the generals,' whose fault it might have been. Below, § 375, he speaks of στρατηγῶν φουλότης as a possible cause of the defeat; but by omitting the article with either word, he avoids asserting that it was the real one.

- 372 Τί χρῆν τὸν εὐνουν πολίτην ποιεῖν, τί τὸν μετὰ πάσης
 προνοίας καὶ προθυμίας καὶ δικαιοσύνης ὑπὲρ τῆς πατρίδος πο-
 λιτευόμενον; οὐκ ἐκ μὲν θαλάττης τὴν Εὐβοίαν προβαλέσθαι
 πρὸ τῆς Ἀττικῆς, ἐκ δὲ τῆς μεσογείας τὴν Βοιωτίαν, ἐκ δὲ
 τῶν πρὸς Πελοπόννησον τόπων τοὺς ὁμόρους ταύτης; οὐ τὴν 5
 σιτοπομπίαν, ὅπως παρὰ πᾶσαν φιλίαν ἄχρι τοῦ Πειραιῶς
 373 κομισθήσεται, προιδέσθαι; καὶ τὰ μὲν σῶσαι τῶν ὑπαρχόντων
 ἐκπέμποντα βοηθείας καὶ λέγοντα καὶ γράφοντα τοιαῦτα, τὴν
 Προκόννησον, τὴν Χερρόνησον, τὴν Τένεδον, τὰ δ' ὅπως οἰκεία
 καὶ σύμμαχ' ὑπάρξει πρᾶξαι, τὸ Βυζάντιον, τὴν Ἀβυδον, τὴν 10
 Εὐβοίαν; καὶ τῶν μὲν τοῖς ἐχθροῖς ὑπαρχουσῶν δυνάμεων τὰς
 μεγίστας ἀφελεῖν, ὧν δ' ἐνέλειπε τῇ πόλει, ταῦτα προσθεῖναι;
 374 ταῦτα τοίνυν ἅπαντα πέπρακται τοῖς ἐμοῖς ψηφίσμασι καὶ
 τοῖς ἐμοῖς πολιτεύμασιν, ἃ καὶ βεβουλευμένα, ὧ ἄνδρες Ἀθη-
 ναῖοι, ἐὰν ἄνευ φθόνου τις βούληται σκοπεῖν, ὁρθῶς εὐρήσει 15
 καὶ πεπραγμένα πάση δικαιοσύνῃ, καὶ τὸν ἐκάστου καιρὸν οὐ
 παρεθέντα οὐδ' ἀγνοηθέντα οὐδὲ προεθέντα ὑπ' ἐμοῦ, καὶ ὅσα
 εἰς ἐνὸς ἀνδρὸς δύναμιν καὶ λογισμὸν ἦκεν, οὐδὲν ἑλλειφθέν.
 375 εἰ δὲ ἡ δαίμονός τινος ἡ τύχης ἰσχὺς ἡ στρατηγῶν φαυλότης
 ἡ τῶν προδιδόντων τὰς πόλεις ὑμῶν κακία ἡ πάντα ταῦτα ἅμα 20
 ἐλυμαίνετο τοῖς ὅλοις, ἕως ἀνέτρεψαν, τί Δημοσθένης ἀδικεῖ;
 εἰ δ' οἷος ἐγὼ παρ' ὑμῖν κατὰ τὴν ἐμαυτοῦ τάξιν, εἰς ἐν ἐκάστῃ
 376 τῶν Ἑλληνίδων πόλεων ἀνὴρ ἐγένετο, μᾶλλον δ' εἰ ἓνα ἄνδρα

6. σιτοπομπίαν] Sic optimi plerique: scribebatur σιτοπομπείαν.

Πειραιῶς]

Πειραιῶς pr. Σ, fortasse pro Πειραιῶς.

10. ὑπάρξει] Sic Σφ p A s: ceteri ὑπάρξη.

12. ἐνέλειπε] Sic ΣΤ p A: ceteri ἐνέλειπε.

13. Post τῶνινν addebatur ὑμῶν: om.

ΣΑ et socii.

17. προεθέντα] Sic ΣΤΑ et socii, παρεθέντα F p Bekk., ceteri προδο-

θέντα.

20. ἅμα] Non nisi in γρ. habet Σ, om. k B. et S.

22. εἰ δ' οἷος]

δοῖ

eios Σ, ubi lectio superscr. est a m. sec.: et ei os teste Bekk. habet etiam T. Statim usque ad Bekk. addebatur ἦν, quod om. ΣΤΑ et socii.

In the absence of any definite mention of Lysicles' condemnation in any of the contemporary orators, especially in the extant speech of Lycurgus, the alleged prosecutor, one is tempted to doubt the fact.

§§ 372-375. *I made Attica secure on every side, from invasion and from famine, leaving no weak point:*

5. τοὺς ὁμόρους ταύτης. Megara and Corinth, and perhaps Achaia. The enumeration of these neighbour states describes what he calls the fortification of Attica: the account of the arrangement for supplies, perhaps, pursues the metaphor of provisioning the fortress.

6. παρὰ πᾶσαν φιλίαν. 'Along a continuous line of friendly coast.' Byzantium,

the Chersonese, Abydos, and Tenedus, would command the exit from the Euxine more completely than any part of Philip's dominions; and the other islands southward and westward to Euboea would complete the chain of communication.

11. τῶν μὲν τοῖς ἐχθροῖς . . ἀφελεῖν. The Theban alliance, on which Philip had reckoned,

17. παρεθέντα. Negligently.

προεθέντα. Corruptly.

21. Δημοσθένης. A man, a civilian, and a patriot, opposed to δαίμονος ἡ τύχη, στρατηγῶν, τῶν προδιδόντων . . ὑμῶν, especially the last.

§ 376. *One man like me in Thessaly, one in Arcadia, would have saved Greece.*

μόνον Θετταλία καὶ ἓνα ἄνδρα Ἀρκαδία ταῦτα φρονούντα
 ἔσχεν ἐμοί, οὐδείς οὔτε τῶν ἔξω Πυλῶν Ἑλλήνων οὔτε τῶν
 εἰσω τοῖς παροῦσι κακοῖς ἐκέχρητ' ἂν, ἀλλὰ πάντες ἂν ὄντες
 ἐλεύθεροι καὶ αὐτόνομοι μετὰ πάσης ἀδείας ἀσφαλῶς ἐν εὐδαι-
 5 μονίᾳ τὰς ἑαυτῶν ᾤκουν πατρίδας, τῶν τοσούτων καὶ τοιούτων
 ἀγαθῶν ὑμῖν καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις Ἀθηναίοις ἔχοντες χάριν δι' ἐμέ.
 ἵνα δ' εἰδῆτε ὅτι πολλῶ τοῖς λόγοις ἐλάττωσι χρῶμαι τῶν 377
 ἔργων, εὐλαβούμενος τὸν φθόνον, λέγε μοι ταυτὶ καὶ ἀνάγνωθι
 λαβὼν [τὸν ἀριθμὸν τῶν βοηθειῶν κατὰ τὰ ἐμὰ ψηφίσματα].

10

ἈΡΙΘΜΟΣ ΒΟΗΘΕΙΩΝ.

Ταῦτα καὶ τοιαῦτα πράττειν, Αἰσχίνη, τὸν καλὸν καγαθὸν 378
 πολίτην δεῖ, ὃν κατορθουμένων μὲν μεγίστοις ἀναμφισβητήτως
 ὑπῆρχεν εἶναι, καὶ τὸ δικαίως προσῆν, ὡς ἐτέρως δὲ συμβάντων
 τὸ γοῦν εὐδοκιμεῖν περίεστι καὶ τὸ μηδὲνα μέμφεσθαι τὴν
 15 πόλιν μηδὲ τὴν προαίρεσιν αὐτῆς, ἀλλὰ τὴν τύχην κακίζειν
 τὴν οὕτω τὰ πράγματα κρίνασαν, οὐ μὰ Δι' οὐκ ἀποστάντα 379
 τῶν συμφερόντων τῇ πόλει, μισθώσαντα δ' αὐτὸν τοῖς ἐναν-
 τίοις, τοὺς ὑπὲρ τῶν ἐχθρῶν καιροὺς ἀντὶ τῶν τῆς πατρίδος
 θεραπεύειν, οὐδὲ τὸν μὲν πράγματ' ἄξια τῆς πόλεως ὑποστάντα
 20 λέγειν καὶ γράφειν καὶ μένειν ἐπὶ τούτων βασκαίνειν, ἂν δέ

2. ἔσχεν] Sic ΣΑ et socii: ceteri ἔσχον.

τούτων Σ: 'fort. τούτων τῶν' B. et S.

B. et S., uncis inclusit Bekk. Potest esse διττογραφία quaedam: nam in titulo

10. ἈΡΙΘΜΟΣ ΒΟΗΘΕΙΩΝ] ΚΑΤΑ ΤΑ ἙΜΑ ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑΤΑ add. ΣΤΦΑ et al. Pro-

babilius autem credimus esse volgatum.

ad Bekk.: om. ΣΤ.

om. ΣΤΦΩ et socii, B. et S.

3. ἐκέχρητ' ἐκέχρητ' Σ.

5. τῶν]

9. τὸν ἀριθμὸν .. ψηφίσματα] Om. Σ

12. Post μὲν ὃ γῆ καὶ θεοὶ addebatur usque

ad Bekk.: om. ΣΤ.

20. Post ἐπὶ τούτων Volg. Bekk. Dind. add. προελόμενον:

1. Θετταλία .. Ἀρκαδία. Philip's party in the one opened Northern Greece to him, and in the other neutralised Peloponnesus.

5. τὰς ἑαυτῶν ᾤκουν πατρίδας. As it was, individuals were exiled as well as cities reduced to subjection.

§ 377. *I understate what facts will prove.*

9. τὸν ἀριθμὸν .. ψηφίσματα. It is of course possible (vid. Consp. Lectt.) that these words got into the text from the title; but it is not like Demosthenes' general manner, nor indeed that of other orators, to leave the court to find out from the clerk what is the subject of the document.

§ 378. *The success of my policy would have secured you a just supremacy: even in failure, it saved your honour:*

12. μεγίστοις ἀναμφισβητήτως .. δικαίως. Your supremacy would have been

uncontested, your greatness undisputed.

14. περίεστι. 'Is saved,' rather than 'is won.'

15. κακίζειν might etymologically mean simply 'think it bad,' like ἀλβίζω or εὐδαιμονίζω; but by its usage it would be a stronger word than μέμφεσθαι: to deprive glorious deeds of their effect is worse than not to do them.

§ 379. *Unlike that of Aeschines, who sold himself to the enemy to libel patriots, and keep his eloquence for private quarrels,*

19. ὑποστάντα. The notion is of moving to support a burden, and so exactly equivalent to 'undertaken.'

20. μένειν ἐπὶ τούτων. Volg. and Dind. add προελόμενον. But that the MSS. are against it, it would somewhat relieve the weight of infinitives that are dependent on ὑποστάντα; and as προελόμενον implies

- τις ἰδία τι λυπήσῃ, τοῦτο μεμνήσθαι καὶ τηρεῖν, οὐδέ γ' ἡσυ-
 380 χίαν ἄγειν ἀδικον καὶ ὕπουλον, ὃ σὺ ποιεῖς πολλάκις. (ἔστι
 γὰρ, ἔστιν ἡσυχία δικαία καὶ συμφέρουσα τῇ πόλει, ἣν οἱ
 πολλοὶ τῶν πολιτῶν ὑμεῖς ἀπλῶς ἄγετε. ἀλλ' οὐ ταύτην
 οὗτος ἄγει τὴν ἡσυχίαν, πολλοῦ γε καὶ δεῖ, ἀλλ' ἀποστὰς 5
 ὅταν αὐτῷ δόξῃ τῆς πολιτείας (πολλάκις δὲ δοκεῖ) φυλάττει
 πηνίκ' ἔσεσθε μεστοὶ τοῦ συνεχῶς λέγοντος ἢ παρὰ τῆς τύχης
 τι συμβέβηκεν ἐναντίωμα ἢ ἄλλο τι δύσκολον γέγονε (πολλά
 381 δὲ τὰνθρώπινα)· εἴτ' ἐπὶ τούτῳ τῷ καιρῷ ῥήτωρ ἐξαίφνης ἐκ
 τῆς ἡσυχίας ὥσπερ πνεῦμ' ἐφάνη, καὶ πεφωνασκηκῶς καὶ συνει- 10
 λοχῶς ῥήματα καὶ λόγους συνείρει τούτους σαφῶς καὶ ἀπνευστί,
 ὄνῃσιν μὲν οὐδεμίαν φέροντας οὐδ' ἀγαθοῦ κτῆσιν οὐδενός, συμ-
 382 φορὰν δὲ τῷ τυχόντι τῶν πολιτῶν καὶ κοινὴν αἰσχύνην. καίτοι
 ταύτης τῆς μελέτης καὶ τῆς ἐπιμελείας, Αἰσχίνη, εἴπερ ἐκ ψυ-
 χῆς δικαίας ἐγίγνετο καὶ τὰ τῆς πατρίδος συμφέροντα προη- 15
 ρημένης, τοὺς καρποὺς ἔδει γενναίους καὶ καλοὺς καὶ πᾶσιν
 ὠφελίμους εἶναι, συμμαχίας πόλεων, πόρους χρημάτων, ἐμπο-
 ρίου κατασκευὴν, νόμων συμφερόντων θέσεις, τοῖς ἀποδειχθεῖσιν
 383 ἐχθροῖς ἐναντιώματα. τούτων γὰρ ἀπάντων ἦν ἐν τοῖς ἄνω
 χρόνοις ἐξέτασις, καὶ ἔδωκεν ὁ παρελθὼν χρόνος πολλὰς ἀπο- 20
 δείξεις ἀνδρὶ καλῷ τε καὶ ἀγαθῷ, ἐν οἷς οὐδαμοῦ σὺ φανήσῃ

1. οὐδέ γ' Sic ΣΤ et praeter ipsum A tertia codd. familia legebatur οὐδ'.

Sic ΣΑ k: ceteri αω.

7. πηνίκ' Sic Σ: volg. et Bekk. σπηνία'.

2. δ]

ἔσεσθε]

ἔσεσθαι Σ; legebatur ἔστέ.

ἢ om. pr. Σ.

10. ἐφάνη] ἀνεφάνη A et

socii. συναλοχῶς] συνειλοχῶς Σ et al., συνειληχῶς nonnulli.

11. συνείρει]

συνήρει Σ. ἀπνευστί] ἀπνευστεῖ Σ.

20. ἔδωκεν] † Bis habet Σ, fortasse

non fortuito †.

more of resolute persistency, one can hardly say that it would be a mere synonym.

δν δέ τις ἰδία τι λυπήσῃ: cp. above, §§ 341, 344. Here, again, Timarchus *might* be alluded to; but the offence he gave *Aeschines* could scarcely be called private, and it would be harsh to translate λυπήσῃ, 'give offence' absolutely.

§ 380. *Avoiding politics from treachery, not simplicity,*

3. ἡσυχία. Nearly equivalent to ἀπαγ-
 μουσίνη. In Demosthenes' time it was not as unpopular a temper as in Pericles' (Thuc. 2. 40).

§ 381. *And only declaiming when he knows it will be useless to Athens.*

9. ῥήτωρ .. ἐφάνη. Having all this while affected to be an ἰδιώτης. ἀνεφάνη, the reading of one group of MSS., is an obvious alteration for ἐφάνη, but would alter the sense. Demosthenes says, 'You find all of

a sudden that he is a rhetorician,' not merely 'All of a sudden he starts up as one.'

10. πεφωνασκηκῶς κ.τ.λ. 'With his voice in fine training, and phrases and topics ready culled; and strings them together so that you never miss a word, though he does not stop for breath.'

12. συμφορὰν δὲ κ.τ.λ. 'A trouble to his butt of the moment, and a disgrace to all.'

§ 382. *Diligence like yours must have served Athens much if it had been honest.*

§§ 383, 384. *But she has gained no material assistance from you,*

19. τούτων γὰρ .. ἐξέτασις. 'If he had done any such service, our memory of the past would testify to it,' even if the material benefit itself were lost now, like those of my own policy.

21. ἐν οἷς. The antecedent is to be supplied from the abstract or typical singular, ἀνδρὶ καλῷ τε καὶ ἀγαθῷ.

γεγονώς, οὐ πρῶτος, οὐ δεύτερος, οὐ τρίτος, οὐ τέταρτος, οὐ πέμπτος, οὐχ ἕκτος, οὐχ ὀποστοσοῦν, οὐκουν ἐπὶ γ' οἷς ἡ πατρὶς νῆξάνετο. τίς γάρ συμμαχία σου πράξαντος γέγονε τῇ πόλει; τίς δὲ βοήθεια ἡ κτήσις εὐνοίας ἡ δόξης; τίς δὲ 384
 5 πρεσβεία, τίς διακονία δι' ἣν ἡ πόλις ἐντιμωτέρα; τί τῶν οἰκείων ἡ τῶν Ἑλληνικῶν καὶ ξενικῶν, οἷς ἐπέστης, ἐπηνάρθωται; ποῖαι τριήρεις; ποῖα βέλη; ποῖοι νεώσοικοι; τίς ἐπισκευὴ τειχῶν; ποῖον ἵππικόν; τί τῶν ἀπάντων σὺ χρήσιμος εἶ; τίς ἡ τοῖς εὐπόροις ἡ τοῖς ἀπόροις πολιτικὴ καὶ κοινὴ
 10 βοήθεια χρημάτων; οὐδεμία. ἀλλ', ὦ τᾶν, εἰ μὴδὲν τούτων, 385
 εὐνοία γε καὶ προθυμία· ποῦ; πότε; ὅστις, ὦ πάντων ἀδικώτατε, οὐδ' ὅτε ἅπαντες, ὅσοι πώποτ' ἐφθέγγαντ' ἐπὶ τοῦ βήματος, εἰς σωτηρίαν ἐπεδίδωσαν, καὶ τὸ τελευταῖον Ἀριστόνικος τὸ συνελεγμένον εἰς τὴν ἐπιτιμίαν ἀργύριον, οὐδὲ τότε
 15 οὔτε παρηλθες οὔτ' ἐπέδωκας οὐδὲν, οὐκ ἀπορῶν, πῶς γὰρ; ὅς

2. ἐπὶ γ' οἷς] Sic ΣΑ, ἐπεὶ γε καὶ plerique utriusque familiae.

† ἐντιμωτέρα Σ †. Statim γέγονε add. volg. et Bekk. om. Σ et Alexander.

ds Σ, et variant aliquid ceteri.

Post ἐπηνάρθωται Volg. et B. et S. add. διὰ σε :

om. Σ.

10. χρημάτων; οὐδεμία] Sic Σ: χρημάτων; οὐδεμία παρὰ σοῦ & et (nisi quod περὶ pro παρὰ habet) s, χρημάτων παρὰ σοῦ; r: ceteri et Bekk. χρημάτων παρὰ σοῦ; οὐδεμία. παρὰ σοῦ post οὐδεμία ponat A an in margine tantum habet, ex Dindorfi nota parum liquet.

ὦ τᾶν] + ὦ ταν Σ †.

11. ποῦ] δρον correctus Σ teste Dind.:

nobis videbatur prima esse lectio.

μένον ponunt FΓΦΩ et socii et r.

14. ἀργύριον] Om. Σ B. et S.: post συνελεγε

15. οὔτε] Om. FΦ stv, et mox οὐδ' Φ.

1. οὐ πρῶτος, οὐ δεύτερος κ.τ.λ. Proverbial: cp. Or. ap. Schol. ad Theocr. 14. 48.

2. οὐκουν ἐπὶ γ' οἷς κ.τ.λ. If you did not discharge routine duties of patriotism, still less could you be expected to perform the extraordinary services which exalt a state.

3. τίς γάρ συμμαχία κ.τ.λ. There is a curiously close imitation of it in Dinarchus' speech against Demosthenes himself, p. 102, § 99.

4. ἡ κτήσις. Reiske quotes from Alexander a reading ἐκ τῆς σῆς, and regrets not having adopted it.

9. ἡ τοῖς εὐπόροις. According to Reiske, a mere διττογραφία. The allusion is clearly to Demosthenes' own trierarchic law, which he regards as a benefit chiefly τοῖς ἀπόροις (above, § 135); but a sound financial measure might well benefit the rich also, by a fairer adjustment of burdens within the tax-paying class, or by more efficient and economical expenditure.

§§ 385, 386. Not even your bare good will: you only come forward to insult our calamities.

10. ὦ τᾶν. Being clearly not friendly,

and hardly ironical, this use of the word seems almost fatal to the ὦ τᾶν etymology.

12. ὅτε ἅπαντες κ.τ.λ. It is disputed whether this refers to the panic after Chaeronea, or to the time of the revolt of Thebes, after Philip's death. What Aeschines says about the scanty supply of money at Athens (In Ct. § 241, etc.) makes the latter unlikely.

13. Ἀριστόνικος. Perhaps the same as the mover of the crown to Demosthenes, mentioned in §§ 103 sqq., 282. One may suppose that he had tried a motion of doubtful legality once too often.

14. εἰς τὴν ἐπιτιμίαν. To pay off a fine and recover his civic rights. Probably the fine could not be paid by instalments: he had hoped to get the whole sum together by degrees, but in the public need gave up at once all he had, and so had to begin again at the beginning. It seems hardly possible to omit ἀργύριον, though not only Σ does so, but its place varies in other MSS.

15. ὅς γε κεκληρονόμηκας κ.τ.λ. He says nothing of what he had, according to him, received from Macedon: his Boeotian estate (above, § 54) of course did not come in till afterwards.

- γε κεκληρονομῆκας μὲν τῶν Φίλωνος τοῦ κηδεστοῦ χρημάτων πλειόνων ἢ πεντεταλάντων, διτάλαντον δ' εἶχες ἔρανον δωρεάν παρὰ τῶν ἡγεμόνων τῶν συμμοριῶν ἐφ' οἷς ἐλυμήνω τὸν τριη-
 386 ραρχικὸν νόμον. ἀλλ' ἵνα μὴ λόγον ἐκ λόγου λέγων τοῦ παρόντος ἐμαυτὸν ἐκκρούσω, παραλείψω ταῦτα. ἀλλ' ὅτι γ' 5 οὐχὶ δι' ἔνδειαν οὐκ ἐπέδωκας, ἐκ τούτων δῆλον, ἀλλὰ φυλάττων τὸ μηδὲν ἐναντίον γενέσθαι παρὰ σοῦ τούτοις οἷς ἅπαντα πολιτεύει. ἐν τίσιν οὖν σὺ νεανίας καὶ πηνίκα λαμπρός; ἡνίκ' ἂν κατὰ τουτωνὶ δέῃ, ἐν τούτοις λαμπροφωνότατος, μνημονικώτατος, ὑποκριτὴς ἄριστος, τραγικὸς Θεοκρίνης. 10
 387 Εἵτα τῶν πρότερον γεγεννημένων ἀγαθῶν ἀνδρῶν μέμνησαι. καὶ καλῶς ποιεῖς. οὐ μέντοι δίκαιόν ἐστιν, ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τὴν πρὸς τοὺς τετελευτηκότας εὖνοιαν ὑπάρχουσιν προλαβόντα παρ' ὑμῶν πρὸς ἐκείνους ἐξετάζειν καὶ παραβάλλειν ἐμὲ τὸν
 388 νῦν ζῶντα μεθ' ὑμῶν. τίς γὰρ οὐκ οἶδε τῶν πάντων ὅτι τοῖς 15 μὲν ζῶσι πᾶσιν ὕπεστί τις ἢ πλείων ἢ ἐλάττων φθόνος, τοὺς τεθνεώτας δὲ οὐδὲ τῶν ἐχθρῶν οὐδεὶς ἔτι μισεῖ; οὕτως οὖν ἐχόντων τούτων τῇ φύσει, πρὸς τοὺς πρὸ ἐμαυτοῦ νῦν ἐγὼ κρίνωμαι καὶ θεωρῶμαι; μηδαμῶς· οὔτε γὰρ δίκαιον οὔτ' ἴσον, Αἰσχίνη, ἀλλὰ πρὸς σέ καὶ ἄλλον εἴ τινα βούλει τῶν ταῦτά 20
 389 σοι προηρημένων καὶ ζώντων. κάκεῖνο σκόπει. πότερον κάλλιον

2. πεντεταλάντων] In libris πέντε ταλάντων divisim scribitur.

7. παρὰ σοῦ

τούτοις] + παρὰ τούτοις pr. Σ +.

9. κατὰ τουτωνὶ δέῃ] κατὰ τούτων τι δέῃ pr.

Σ B. et S.: κατὰ τούτων εἰπεῖν τι δέῃ rec. Σ et A et socii: legebatur εἰπεῖν κατὰ τούτων τι δέῃ. Statim δέοι ΓΤΦΩ ρι.

11. ἀγαθῶν ἀνδρῶν] Ita ΣΤΦ ρ et praeter A cognati:

ceteri et Bekk. ἀνδρῶν ἀγαθῶν.

13. προλαβόντα] προσλαβόντα Fφ ριν hrs.

15. νῦν ζῶντα] Ita ΣΤΩ u et corr. v: volg. ante Bekk. συζῶντα.

17. τεθνεώτας

δε] δὲ τεθνεώτας Γ v A² k Bekk.

19. κρίνωμαι καὶ θεωρῶμαι] κρίνομαι καὶ θεω-

ροῦμαι Ω et al. A et socii: κρίνομαι etiam ΓΦ.

2. πλειόνων ἢ πεντεταλάντων. The MSS. write πέντε ταλάντων as two words, which would mean, 'more than five talents of your brother-in-law's property.' As the sense 'your brother-in-law's property of more than five talents,' is more natural and appropriate, the vulgate is no doubt right.

δωρεάν includes the sense, 'at no cost to yourself,' but is hardly a mere adverb.

3. ἐφ' οἷς ἐλυμήνω. 'Your wages for mangling:' cp. above, § 129. Apparently Demosthenes was really induced or compelled to accept modifications in the measure: Aeschines was able to take credit for having opposed him (In Ct. § 223).

7. παρὰ σοῦ τούτοις. παρὰ τούτοις, the reading of Σ, might be defended, and is probably not accidental, 'that there may be no offence given in the eyes of those ...' But the

text gives a completer and more elegant sense.

9. μνημονικώτατος. A necessary qualification to an actor or to a stagy orator.

10. Θεοκρίνης. According to Erasmus ap. H. Wolf, an actor and dramatic critic. Though the Theoclines attacked in the speech among the works of Demosthenes is represented as a συνοφάντης, there seems no likelihood that his name was already proverbial in that character.

§§ 387–389. Then you recall the memory of ancient worthies, whom of course the court ranks above me:

11. εἵτα .. μέμνησαι: Ae. in Ct. § 181; perhaps also §§ 258, 259.

12. καλῶς ποιεῖς. For they ought to be imitated, though they cannot be equalled.

15. τοῖς μὲν ζῶσι. There is the same sentiment in Thuc. 2. 42, φθόνος γὰρ τοῖς ζῶσι πρὸς πάντιπαλον.

καὶ ἄμεινον τῇ πόλει διὰ τὰς τῶν πρότερον εὐεργεσίας, οὐσας ὑπερμεγέθεις, οὐ μὲν οὖν εἴποι τις ἂν ἡλικίας, τὰς ἐπὶ τὸν παρόντα βίον γιγνομένης εἰς ἀχαριστίαν καὶ προπηλακισμὸν ἄγειν, ἢ πᾶσιν, ὅσοι τι μετ' εὐνοίας πράττουσι, τῆς παρὰ
 5 τούτων τιμῆς καὶ φιланθρωπίας μετεῖναι; καὶ μὴν εἰ καὶ τοῦτ' 390
 ἄρα δεῖ με εἰπεῖν, ἡ μὲν ἐμὴ πολιτεία καὶ προαίρεσις, ἃν τις ὀρθῶς σκοπῇ, ταῖς τῶν τότε ἐπαινουμένων ἀνδρῶν ὁμοία καὶ ταῦτ' αὖ βουλομένη φανήσεται, ἡ δὲ σὴ ταῖς τῶν τοὺς τοιοῦτους τότε συκοφαντούντων· δηλὸν γὰρ ὅτι καὶ κατ' ἐκείνους ἦσαν
 10 τινες, οἱ διασύροντες τοὺς ὄντας τότε, τοὺς δὲ πρότερον γεγενημένους ἐπῆρουν, βάσκανον πρᾶγμα καὶ ταῦτ' οὖν ποιοῦντες σοί. εἰτα λέγεις ὡς οὐδὲν ὁμοίος εἰμι ἐκείνοις ἐγώ; σὺ δ' ὁμοιος, 391
 Αἰσχίνῃ; ὁ δ' ἀδελφὸς ὁ σός; ἄλλος δὲ τις τῶν νῦν ῥητόρων; ἐγὼ μὲν γὰρ οὐδένα φημί. ἀλλὰ πρὸς τοὺς ζῶντας, ὧς χρη-
 15 στέ, ἵνα μὴδὲν ἄλλ' εἰπῶ, τὸν ζῶντα ἐξέταξε καὶ τοὺς καθ' αὐτὸν, ὥσπερ τᾶλλα πάντα, τοὺς ποιητάς, τοὺς χοροὺς, τοὺς ἀγωνιστάς. ὁ Φιλάμμων οὐχ ὅτι Γλαύκου τοῦ Καρυστίου καὶ 392
 τινων ἐτέρων πρότερον γεγενημένων ἀθλητῶν ἀσθενέστερος ἦν, ἀστεφάνωτος ἐκ τῆς Ὀλυμπίας ἀπῆει, ἀλλ' ὅτι τῶν εἰσελ-
 20 θόντων πρὸς αὐτὸν ἄριστα ἐμάχετο, ἐστεφανοῦτο καὶ νικῶν ἀνηγορεύετο. καὶ σὺ πρὸς τοὺς νῦν ὄρα με ῥήτορας, πρὸς σαυτὸν, πρὸς ὄντινα βούλει τῶν ἀπάντων· οὐδένα ἐξίσταμαι.

4. τῆς παρὰ τούτων τιμῆς] 'τῆς om. γρ. Σ, παρὰ om. ΣΤ' Bekk. Dind., τῆς τούτων τιμῆς legunt B. et S. † Nisi nos fallehamur, pr. Σ habet τῆς τιμῆς, quod si verum est, παρὰ τούτων potest esse scholium quoddam, articuli explicandi caussa †.

7. ταῖς τῶν] τις; τῶν γρ. ΣΦ.

9. ἐκείνους] Ita ΣΤ': volg. usque ad Bekk. ἐκείνους τοὺς χρό- νους.

10. οἱ διασύροντες] Sic ΣΤΥΦΩ et socii B. et S. Volgo, Bekk., Dind., οἱ δέισυρον.

13. ὁ δ'] ὁ Σ.

22. οὐδένα] Ita ΣΤ': ceteri et Bekk. οὐδεὶν.

2. ἐπὶ τὸν παρόντα βίον. Possibly, 'In order to enable the present generation to live and thrive.' But vid. Dissen, p. 450.

§ 390. But I deserve thanks when I do my little all, like them, who did more, and yet had their detractors.

9. κατ' ἐκείνους. 'In their times,' by far the most forcible sense. Most MSS. add τοὺς χρόνους here or after τινες.

ἦσαν τινες οἱ διασύροντες. So most MSS. for the vulgate οἱ δέισυρον μὲν. The construction, though less obvious, is more forcible: 'There were in their time men who reviled the living, and they no doubt also praised the men of former times, with the same spiteful temper as your own.' It is scarcely possible to retain μὲν after διασύροντες, though two MSS. have it of those that read the participle.

§ 391. I challenge comparison with you

and yours—yes, or any contemporaries.

13. ὁ δ' ἀδελφὸς ὁ σός. He had two brothers (D. de F. L. p. 415, § 262, Ae. de F. L. fin.) Probably this is Philochares, as he only seems to have been a public man.

14. πρὸς τοὺς ζῶντας . . εἶπω. I claim the advantage of being compared with my contemporaries: I will not say what *they* are, though the contrast is in my favour.

§ 392. That is enough: that is the standard in other things: that I can meet boldly.

17. Φιλάμμων: vid. ad Ae. in Ct. § 190. He is selected as an Athenian, well-known, and doubtless popular; not, as some conjecture in ignorance of his reputation, as having won in a bad year. Vid. Ar. Rhet. 3. II. 5.

Γλαύκου. He was victor in Ol. 25, and was celebrated by Simonides.

22. οὐδένα ἐξίσταμαι. As in Soph.

- 393 ὧν, ὅτε μὲν τῇ πόλει τὰ βέλτιστα ἐλέσθαι παρῆν, ἐφαιμίλλου
τῆς εἰς τὴν πατρίδα εὐνοίας ἐν κοινῷ πᾶσι κειμένης, ἐγὼ κρά-
τιστα λέγων ἐφαινόμην, καὶ τοῖς ἐμοῖς καὶ ψηφίσμασι καὶ
νόμοις καὶ πρεσβείαις ἅπαντα διωκεῖτο, ὑμῶν δὲ οὐδεὶς ἦν οὐδα-
394 μου, πλὴν εἰ τούτοις ἐπηρεάσαι τι δέοι· ἐπειδὴ δὲ α μήποτ' 5
ᾧφελε συνέβη, καὶ οὐκέτι συμβούλων, ἀλλὰ τῶν τοῖς ἐπιτατ-
τομένοις ὑπηρετούντων καὶ τῶν κατὰ τῆς πατρίδος μισθαρνεῖν
ἐτοίμων καὶ τῶν κολακεύειν ἕτερον βουλομένων ἐξέτασις ἦν, τη-
νικαῦτα σὺ καὶ τούτων ἕκαστος ἐν τάξει καὶ μέγας καὶ λαμπρὸς
ἵπποτρόφος, ἐγὼ δ' ἀσθενής, ὁμολογῶ, ἀλλ' εὖνους μᾶλλον 10
395 ὑμῶν τουτοισί. δύο δ', ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τὸν φύσει μέτριον
πολίτην ἔχειν δεῖ (οὕτω γάρ μοι περὶ ἐμαντοῦ λέγοντι ἀν-
επιφθονώτατον εἰπεῖν), ἐν μὲν ταῖς ἐξουσίαις τὴν τοῦ γενναίου
καὶ τοῦ πρωτείου τῇ πόλει προαίρεσιν διαφυλάττειν, ἐν παντὶ
δὲ καιρῷ καὶ πράξει τὴν εὖνοιαν· τούτου γὰρ ἡ φύσις κυρία, 15
τοῦ δύνασθαι δὲ καὶ ἰσχύειν ἕτερα. ταύτην τοίνυν παρ' ἐμοὶ
396 μεμενηκυῖαν εὐρήσετε ἀπλῶς. ὁρᾶτε δέ. οὐκ ἐξαιτούμενος, οὐκ
Ἀμφικτυονικὰς δίκας ἐπαγόντων, οὐκ ἀπειλούντων, οὐκ ἐπαγ-
γελλομένων, οὐχὶ τοὺς καταράτους τούτους ὥσπερ θηρία μοι
προσβαλλόντων, οὐδαμῶς ἐγὼ προδεδῶκα τὴν εἰς ὑμᾶς εὖνοιαν. 20
τὸ γὰρ ἐξ ἀρχῆς εὐθύς ὀρθὴν καὶ δικαίαν τὴν ὁδὸν τῆς πολιτείας

3. Ante ψηφίσμασι καὶ om. Bekk., cum codd. praeter ΣΤΩ ἰων.

8. ἕτερον] Sic

ΣΤ: ceteri et (quia Bekkerum fefellerat, illud in Σ legi) B. et S., ἐτέρους· Mox ἦν om. Σ B. et S.

11. Post Ἀθηναῖοι ταῦτα add. Bekk. cum omnibus praeter Σ. 14. τοῦ πρωτείου] Ita ΣΑ: τὴν πρωτείου Ω w ks: volg. ante Bekk. τὴν τοῦ πρωτείου. 16. δύνασθαι δεῖ] δεῖ δύνασθαι Ω w A et socii, et volg. usque ad Bekk.

18. Ἀμφικτυονικὰς] eis Ἀμφικτυονικὰς † Σ † ΤΑ. Mox post ἐπαγόντων, ante Bekk. addebatur μοι, quod om. ΣΩ w A et socii. οὐκ ἀπειλούντων] Om. pr. Σ, B. et S.

Aj. 92. Most MSS., surprised at the quasi-transitive construction, substitute οὐδενί.

§ 393. *I surpassed you when Athens was free to judge between us:*

1. ὧν. 'Among whom.' Note the contrast, or at least distinction, between βέλτιστα, 'what was best,' and κράτιστα, 'what was carried.' He states nothing of himself but what is undeniable.

§ 394. *And I bare Athens' fall, which is your rise.*

5. ἐπαυθῇ κ.τ.λ. supports the view that Aeschines was not paid in advance; that he was not put in a thriving condition until Athens was subdued, and Philip and Alexander had to pay for having her managed. Cp. ad § 385.

8. ἐξέτασις. When they were mustered for service.

§ 395. *I laboured for Athens when I could, and loved her always.*

12. οὕτω γὰρ κ.τ.λ. I will not boast of my devotion to you after you cast me off: I will call it only what a good citizen ought to shew.

13. ἐν μὲν ταῖς ἐξουσίαις = ὁσάκις ἐξῆν, 'In all times of opportunity.'

§ 396. *In spite of the persecution, from which the nation could hardly protect me,*

18. ἐπαγόντων clearly refers to Macedonia, τοὺς καταράτους . . . προσβαλλόντων excluding his enemies at Athens. δίκας ἐπαγόντων is a common phrase; eis δίκας ἐπαγόντων, the reading of Σ, is hardly Greek for 'bringing me into suits.'

20. προσβαλλόντων. 'Setting them on,' hardly used elsewhere in exactly this sense; but one may compare προσβαλλόντων δέμα in Eur. Ion 584.

εἰλόμην, τὰς τιμὰς, τὰς δυναστείας, τὰς εὐδοξίας τὰς τῆς πατρίδος θεραπεύειν, ταύτας αὖξιν, μετὰ τούτων εἶναι. οὐκ ἐπὶ μὲν τοῖς ἑτέρων εὐτυχήμασι φαιδρὸς ἐγὼ καὶ γεγηθὼς 397 κατὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν περιέρχομαι, τὴν δεξίαν προτείνων καὶ εὐαγγε-
 5 λίζόμενος τούτοις οὓς ἂν ἐκείσε ἀπαγγέλλειν οἶωμαι, τῶν δὲ τῆς πόλεως ἀγαθῶν πεφρικῶς ἀκούω καὶ στένων καὶ κύπτων εἰς τὴν γῆν, ὥσπερ οἱ δυσσεβεῖς οὗτοι, οἱ τὴν μὲν πόλιν διασύρουσιν, ὥσπερ οὐχ αὐτοὺς διασύροντες, ὅταν τοῦτο ποιῶσιν, ἔξω δὲ βλέπουσι, καὶ ἐν οἷς ἀτυχῆσαντων τῶν Ἑλλήνων εὐτύ-
 10 χησεν ἕτερος, ταῦτ' ἐπαινοῦσι καὶ ὅπως τὸν ἅπαντα χρόνον μενεῖ φασὶ δεῖν τηρεῖν.

Μὴ δῆτ', ὃ πάντες θεοὶ, μηδεὶς ταῦθ' ὑμῶν ἐπινεύσειεν, ἀλλὰ 398 μάλιστα μὲν καὶ τούτοις βελτίω τινὰ νοῦν καὶ φρένας ἐνθείητε, εἰ δ' ἄρ' ἔχουσιν ἀνιάτως, τούτους μὲν αὐτοὺς καθ' ἑαυτοὺς
 15 ἐξώλεις ἐν γῇ καὶ θαλάττῃ ποιήσατε, ἡμῖν δὲ τοῖς λοιποῖς τὴν ταχίστην ἀπαλλαγὴν τῶν ἐπηρτημένων φόβων δότε καὶ σωτηρίαν ἀσφαλῆ.

9. εὐτύχησεν ἕτερος] ἕτερος εὐτύχησεν Ω u A et socii.

A et socii, ceteri et Bekk. διαμενεῖ.

14. ἀνιάτως] Ita ΣΤΩ u A et socii: volg. ante Bekk. οὕτως ἀνιάτως.

ἔξώλεις καὶ πρόωλεις om. A et socii.

11. μενεῖ] Sic Σ, μένει

12. ταῦθ' ὑμῶν] ὑμῶν ταῦτ' Ω u A et socii.

15. Post ποιήσατε] Ita Σ k: ceteri ποιήσατε.

16. δότε] δοῖτε Σ.

§ 397. *I have never made the nation's humiliation my pride and hope.*

4. εὐαγγελίζόμενος. Telling it as good news, to those whom I expect to repeat to their master that I have told them. This ulterior design accounts for the middle.

7. τὴν μὲν πόλιν .. δεῖν τηρεῖν. The view censured is, 'Athens is a paltry town: the fortunes of Greece are bound up with those of the conqueror of Persia. It is a

good thing that he should put down Thebes and Sparta; and we have only to hope that Greece may continue to rule the world under him.'

§ 398. *May they who have find their due reward.*

15. ἐξώλεις .. ποιήσατε. 'Give them up to destruction,' rather than 'destroy them.'

16. τῶν ἐπηρτημένων φόβων. The victorious return of Alexander.

INDICES.

I. OF WORDS AND PHRASES.

II. OF PROPER NAMES.

The Numbers in Index I refer to the Section of the speech (according to the division of the *Oxford* Edition of Bekker's Attic Orators, which is here followed, and of which the Numerals are given in the inner margin of the present volume): those in Index II refer to the Page of this Edition. In both Indices, the letter D. after a figure indicates that the passage referred to is in one of the Documents inserted in Demosthenes' speech.

INDEX I.

A.

ἀγαθὴ τύχη, Aesch. 154.
 ἀγένητος αἰτία, Aesch. 226.
 ἀγοράσματα ἀγοράζοντος, Aesch. 224 :
 cp. 7, 9, 12, 18, 21, 24, 25, 26, 72,
 95, 96, 97, 107, 138, 148, 191, 237.
 ἀεργία, Aesch. 108.
 ἀθλίως of stupidity, Dem. 183.
 ἄθῃτα ἱερὰ, Aesch. 131, 152.
 αἰρεῖ ὁ λογισμὸς, Aesch. 59.
 ἀκατάσκευος, Aesch. 163 v.l.
 ἀκρωτηριάζω, Dem. 366.
 ἀλάστορες, *ib.*
 ἄλεις τῆς πόλεως, Aesch. 225.
 ἀλιτήριος, Aesch. 131, 157, Dem. 204.
 ἀλλὰ γὰρ, Dem. 54, 267.
 ἀμχανίη, Aesch. 184.
 ἀμπελουργεῖν, Aesch. 166.
 ἀναπιδᾶν, Aesch. 149, 172.
 ἀναποδίζειν, Aesch. 193.
 ἀναφορά, Dem. 278.
 ἀντανελεῖν, Dem. 290.
 ἀντιγραφεὺς, Aesch. 25.
 ἀπαράσκευος, Aesch. 163, si vera l.
 ἀπαρτάν, Dem. 72.
 ἀποστολεῖς, Dem. 136.
 ἀστράτευτος, Aesch. 148, 175.
 αὐτεπάγγελτος, Dem. 83.
 αὐτίκα, Dem. 54.
 αὐτοτραγικός, Dem. 302.
 ἀφοσιῶ, Aesch. 120.

B.

βακτηρία, Dem. 266.
 βαρύτης Θηβαίων, Dem. 43: cp. Aesch.
 80, Dem. 21, 23, 55.

βοᾶν, Dem. 28, 102, 156, 162, 168,
 183, 254.
 βουλεύων, Dem. 32, 35.

Γ.

γὰρ of narrative, Aesch. 58, 85, Dem.
 21, 195, 267.
 γλῶττα αὐλῶν, Aesch. 230.
 γραμματοκύφων, Dem. 265.

Δ.

δὲ redundant, in quotation, Aesch.
 126: *in apodosis*, Aesch. 69, Dem.
 161.
 διὰ τούτους οὐχὶ πεισθέντας, Dem. 40.
 διαδικασία, Aesch. 146.
 διαπολιτεύεσθαι, Aesch. 195.
 διασύρειν, Dem. 34, 277, 370.
 διατεμνηκὸτα τὴν πολιτείαν, Aesch. 208.
 διοικήσεως, ὁ ἐπὶ, Dem. 47 D.
 δυναστεία, Aesch. 3, 145, Dem. 234.
 δυσχερές, Dem. 3, 117.

Ε.

ἐβουλόμην, Aesch. 2.
 εἰ δέ μὴ ληρεῖν, Aesch. 143, Dem. 367.
 εἰ καλέσῃ, Aesch. 203, si vera l.
 εἰρηνοφύλαξ, Aesch. 159.
 εἰς ὅποτε, Aesch. 99.
 ἐκ τοῦ δικαιοτάτου τρόπου, Aesch. 3.
 ἐλκοποιεῖς, Aesch. 209.
 ἐλλεβορίζειν, Dem. 156.
 Ἕλληνες, Ἕλληνικός opposed to Athen-
 ian, Aesch. 34, 43, 49, 58, 61, 68,
 70, 71, 72, 145, 161, 228, 231, Dem.
 72, 139, 235 D.

ἕνατα, Aesch. 226.
 ἕναυλον, Aesch. 19.
 ἐξευρών, Aesch. 82.
 ἐξηρτημένος ἐπιστολὰς ἐκ δακτύλων,
 Aesch. 164.
 ἐπεισάγειν (used technically of actors),
 Aesch. 208, 232.
 ἐπὶ, Aesch. 11.
 ἐπιβολαί, Aesch. 26.
 ἐπαγγέλλεσθαι, Dem. 142, 229.
 ἐπιδίδωμι, ἐπίδοσις, Aesch. 6, 23, Dem.
 144 sq., 149, 151 D, 153, 221, 385 sq.
 ἐπὶφθῆ, Aesch. 193.
 εὐήθης, Dem. 13.
 ἐωλοκρασία, Dem. 62.

Z.

ζηλοτυπεῖν, Aesch. 212.

H.

ἡβᾶν ἐπὶ διετές, Aesch. 122.
 ἡγεμονία δικαστηρίων, Aesch. 14, 27, 29.

Θ.

θύσιτιον, Aesch. 122.

I.

ιαμβειογράφος, Dem. 178, si vera l.
 ἰδιώτης opposed to ῥήτωρ, Aesch. 215,
 253.
 ἱερομνάμων, Dem. 112 D.
 ἱερομνήμων, Aesch. 115, 122, 126, Dem.
 188, 190, 193.
 ἵνα followed by conjunctive and opta-
 tive, Dem. 23, 41.
 ἴσος = impartial, Aesch. 83, Dem. 8.

K.

καθαιρῶσι ψῆφοι, Dem. 286.
 καθεζόμενος of a Chairman, Aesch. 73:
 cp. 74.
 κακαγγελεῖν, Dem. 331.
 καλῶς ποιοῦντες, Aesch. 233, Dem. 387.
 κατὰ of praise, Aesch. 50, 124, Dem.
 273.
 καταθέμενοι χάριν, Aesch. 42.
 κατεπαγγέλλεσθαι, Aesch. 206, 224.
 κατηγορεῖν opposed to κρίνειν, Dem. 18,
 251.
 κατηγορία τοι λοιδόρια, Dem. 158.
 κρατηρίζειν, Dem. 323.

Λ.

λαρυγγίζειν, Dem. 360.

M.

μεμψιμοιρεῖν, Dem. 91 D.
 μεταμανθάνω, Aesch. 157.
 μεταξύ, Aesch. 12, 226, Dem. 32.
 μετρίως, Aesch. 9.
 μένοντας ἐξελαύνειν, Aesch. 196.
 μὴ ὅτι, Aesch. 46.
 μήτε . . οὔτε, Aesch. 38.
 οὔτε . . μήτε, Aesch. 128.
 μίσθωτος, Dem. 64 n.
 μνησικακεῖν, Aesch. 209, Dem. 116,
 118, 122, 125.

N.

ναὶ ἄλλα, Aesch. 22, 28, Dem. 313.
 ναύαρχος, Dem. 90 D, 96 D, 235 D.
 νεανίας, Dem. 172, 386.
 νεβρίζειν, Dem. 323.

O.

ὁ in irony, Aesch. 17, 77, Dem. 34,
 38, 277.
 οἰκισκος, Dem. 120.
 ὁμόςπονος, Dem. 354.
 ὁμωρόφιος, *ib.*
 ὅπων, ὁ ἐπὶ, Dem. 47 D: cp. 148 D.
 ὀποσοσοῦν, Dem. 383.
 ὀπωρώνης, Dem. 326.
 ὀρμεῖν ἐπὶ τῆς αὐτῆς, Dem. 346.
 οὐδ' ἂν εἰ τι γένοιτο, Dem. 217.
 οὔτε . . μήτε, Aesch. 128.
 μήτε . . οὔτε, Aesch. 38.

Π.

πάλαισμα δικαστηρίου, Aesch. 206.
 παρὰ of time, Dem. 15, 18.
 παραγεγραμμένοι νόμοι, Aesch. 201,
 Dem. 141.
 παραδείγματα πλάττων, Dem. 291.
 παραιτίος, Dem. 114 D.
 παράκλησις, Dem. 183.
 παραλλάσσειν, Aesch. 193.
 παρνοίας αἰρεῖν, Aesch. 156, 252.
 παρπηδᾶν, Aesch. 193.
 παράταξις, Aesch. 1, 88.
 παρατάττεσθαι, Dem. 263, 305: cp.
 Aesch. 88, Dem. 274.
 παρείας ὄφεις, Dem. 324.
 παρενοχλείσθαι, Dem. 62.
 περιγράφειν ἐκ πολιτείας, Aesch. 210.
 περιδείπνον, Dem. 355.
 περιούσιας, ἐκ, Dem. 3.
 περιπατεῖν, Aesch. 160.
 Πνύξ ἡ ἐκκλησία, Aesch. 34, Dem. 68 D.

πολιτικά δυνάμεις, Aesch. 98, Dem. 296.

πολιτικά ταῖς πόλεσιν, Dem. 306.

πολιτικὸν in ethical sense, Dem. 15.

πόρρω τῆς ἡμέρας οὐσης, Aesch. 122.

προαίρεσις, Dem. 14, 72, 244, 261, 361.

προβούλευμα, Dem. 10, 150.

πρόφασις, Aesch. 12, 49.

πρυτανεύουσα φυλή, Aesch. 4, Dem. 105 D, 209 D, 232 D.

πρωτεία, Dem. 81, 258, 265.

πυλαία, Aesch. 124, Dem. 187, 197 D, 198 D.

P.

ράτρα, Dem. 112 D.

ρήγματα, Dem. 253.

Σ.

Σειρήνες, Aesch. 229.

σιτήσεις ἐν πρυτανείῳ, Aesch. 178, 197.

σύγκλητος ἐκκλησία, Dem. 46 D, 90 D.

σύμβολαια, Dem. 266.

σύμβολον, *ib.*

σύμβουλος, Dem. 80, 116, 202 D si vera l., 241, 242, 244, 265, 268, 359, 394.

συναίτιος, Dem. 268.

συντελής, Dem. 130.

T.

τάξιν λιπεῖν, Aesch. 7, 152, 155, 159, 175, 188, 245, Dem. 223.

τινὲς invidiously, Aesch. 1, 3, 5, 7, 58, 266: redundantly with οἱ μὲν, 11.

τίς ἀγορεύειν βούλεται, Aesch. 4, Dem. 220, 243.

τόνος τῆς φωνῆς, Aesch. 210 sq., Dem. 345.

τραγῳδῶν τῇ καινῇ, Dem. 68 D.

τυγχάνειν, Aesch. 9.

Υ.

ὑπὲρ, Aesch. 10, Dem. 26.

ὑπερβολὴν ποιῆσθαι, Dem. 242.

ὑπωμοσία, Dem. 129.

Φ.

φιλιππίζειν, Aesch. 130, Dem. 226.

φιλιππισμός, Dem. 363.

φορμογραφεῖσθαι, Aesch. 166.

φωνασκεῖν, Dem. 381.

φωνασκία, Dem. 345.

Χ.

χάραξ, Dem. 109.

χορηγεῖν ἡδοναίς, Aesch. 241.

χώρα, Aesch. 146, Dem. 279.

Ψ.

ψυχρότης, Dem. 319.

Ω.

ὦνία, Aesch. 160.

ὦς ἄρα, Aesch. 13, 54, 137, Dem. 27, 66 D, 90 D.

ὥστ' οὐ, c. inf., Dem. 349.

INDEX II.

A.

Aeacus, 183.

Aeschines, son of Atrometus and Glaucis or Glaucothea, xiii sq., 97, 183 sq., 231, 239. Probably not of pure Attic blood, xiii. Born B.C. 389-88, xiv. His military career, xiv sq., 42 n; his dramatic, xiv, 203, 224, 232; his official, xv, 196 n, 232. Sent as ambassador to Megalopolis, xv sq.; to Macedonia, xvi-xviii, 30 n, 146-151. Becomes Philip's *ξένος*, xviii, 32, 157, 176 n, 239; receives lands from him and from Alexander, xviii, 153. Prosecution and counter-prosecution of Timarchus, xiv, xix, xxii sq., li, 88 n; prosecution by Demosthenes, xix sq., li, 38 n, 190 n. Opposes Demosthenes' trierarchic law, xx, 112, 251. Goes to Delphi as Pylagoras, xx sq., civ sq., 51-60, 189-194. Remains inactive afterwards, xxi, 109, 111, 145, 188 sq., 203, 205 sq., 208 sq., 223 sq., 236. Prosecutes Ctesiphon, xxi, 111; brings him to trial, xxii *et passim*. His failure, xxii, 167, 234. Anecdotes of his exile, xxii sq. His style, cxxviii, 3 n, 4 n. cr., 8 n, 13 n, 16 n, 17 n, 20 n. cr., 46 n, 53 n, 57 n, 58 n, 66 n, 72 n, 83 n, 97 n, 100 n, 104 n, 177, 183, 211, 233. His character, xxiii sq., 56 n, 100 n. Never a prominent figure in the politics of his time, viii, xxi, 190. Quotations from his works: from Timarchus, xiv, xxiii, xxviii, xxxiv,

lxiv, xciv, xcv, cxii, 10 n, 66 n, 75 n. cr., 87 n, 104 n, 109 n, 110 n, 115 n, 118 n, 128 n, 129 n, 188 n, 200 n, 215 n; from De F. L., xiii, xvi, xvii, xxxiii, xxxiv, xli, xlv, xlv, xlvii, lxxxiv, 3 n, 18 n, 24 n, 30 n, 34 n, 36 n, 38 n, 39 n, 42 n, 48 n, 60 n, 67 n, 68 n. cr., 73 n, 82 n, 84 n, 86 n, 87 n, 88 n, 89 n, 97 n, 110 n, 114 n, 121 n, 139 n, 144 n, 147 n, 149 n, 161 n, 184 n, 190 n, 217 n, 235 n, 252 n; from In Ctes., xiv, xviii, xxii, xxvi, xxvii, xxxiv, xxxvi, xlvii, xlix, lix, lx, lxi, lxii, ci, civ, cxiv, cxv, 3 n, 6 n, &c.; 136 n, 137 n, 139 n, 140 n, 147, 153, 157, 158 n, 166, 174 n, 177 n, 181, 183, 184 n, 187 n, 189 n, 190 n, 192 n, 193 n, 196 n, 199 n, 200 n, 203 n, 206 n, 211 n, 212 n, 216 n, 217 n, 218 n, 219, 220 n, 221 n, 225 n, 228, 229 n, 230 n, 237 n, 238 n, 239 n, 241 n, 244 n, 245 n, 246 n, 250 n, 251 n.

Aeschylus, 223.

Agésilas, lxxviii, lxxxvi, 143 n.

Agis, xxi, 81.

Ajax, 107 n.

Alcibiades, l, lxxv, xcii, xcix, cvi.

Alcmaeon, lxxi.

Alexander (of Epirus), 123.

Alexander (of Macedon): his difficulties on his accession, lxi, 78, 80; his relations to Greece while in Asia, xxii, 1, 2, 62 n, 65, 81 sq., 235, 253; complicated by Persian money at Athens, lxi, 121. Demands the surrender of the orators, lxii, cviii; pacified, lxii, 79. Demands

that of Harpalus, lxiii. Succeeds to Philip's local dependents, 157, 245; his personal relations with Aeschines, 32, 157; courted by him, xxii, 110 sq. His destruction of Thebes, 65, 135, 183. His restoration of exiles, xci sqq. His death, xxiii, lxxv; effects of his conquests, lxxiii; his successors, lxxxvii, cv.

Aminiadēs, 63.

Amyntor, 34 n.

Anaxinus, 113, 187.

Androtion, lxxiv, lxxvi.

Anemoetas, 244.

Antalcidas, lxxxvi.

Antipater, lxxv, 81.

Antiphanes, 48 n.

Antiphon, 114 n, 185.

Aphobetus, xviii.

Aphobus, xxvi-xxix, 86 n.

Apollodorus, xxxii sq., xxxvi, lxxvii, xcvi, 61 n, 87 n.

Archedemus, 67.

Archias, lxxv.

Archinus, 94, 99.

Aristaechmus, 244.

Aristarchus (the son of Moschus), xxxiii, xli, 110 n.

Aristarchus (the critic), cxii.

Aristides, 65, 91, 129.

Aristion, lxii, 79.

Aristodemus (the actor; or perhaps two actors) 144, 232 n.

Aristodemus (a general), 40.

Aristolaus, 208.

Aristonicus, 167, 218, 250.

Aristophanes, lxxii.

Aristophon, xv, lxxv, ci, 67, 98, 162, 164, 196, 217.

Aristophon (Κολλυτεύς), 165 D.

Aristotle, 161 n.

Aristratus (of Sicyon), 156, 244.

Aristratus (of Naxos), 208, 244 n.

Arthmius, 129.

Athenaeus, xxxiv.

Atrometus, xiii, 97, 157 D, 184.

Atticus, cxii sq.

B.

Batalus or Battalus, xxviii, 203.

Bosporichus, 169 D.

Brasidas, lxix.

Bulagoras, 197 D.

C.

Callaeschrus, 205 D.

Callias (son of Hipponicus), lxix.

Callias (Σουνιεύς), 186 D.

Callias (of Chalcis), lv sq., cviii, 41-50.

Callisthenes, c, cix, 152 D.

Callistratus, xxix, 216.

Cephalus, 98, 217, 228.

Cephisodotus, 24.

Cephisophon (advocate of the peace of Philocrates, and of the renewal of war), 144, 164.

Cephisophon (Ραμουσίσιος), 148 D, 158 D.

Cephisophon (Αναφλύστιος), 164 D, 165 D.

Cercidas, 244.

Cersobleptes, xvii, lxvii, 29, 31, 35 sq.

Chabrias, xxxiii, xxxvii, lxxxiii, 123.

Chaerondas, ci, cx, 13, 167 D.

Chares, xvii, lxvii, li, lxxxiv sq., 70 n.

Charidemus, lx, xcvi, cix, 37, 178, 179 D.

Charigenes, 50.

Cicero, xx, xlii, lxvi, xcvi, cxii, cxx, 70 n, 102 n.

Cimon, xcix, 93 n.

Cineas, 244.

Cleobule, xxv sq., xxx, 86 n.

Cleocritus, xxiii.

Cleon (the demagogue), lxxvi, lxxix, 120 n.

Cleon (Κοθωκίδης), 148 D, 158 D.

Cleon (Φαληρεύς), 186 D.

Cleopatra, 123.

Cleophon, xvii, xxxv, 73.

Cleotimus, 244.

Clinagoras, civ, 194 D.

Clisthenes, 18 n, 137 n.

Clitarchus, 50, 163, 166, 244.

Corrhagus, 81.

Cottyphus, 60 sq., 62, 193; called an Arcadian, 199 D.

Craterus, xcix sq., cx.

Creon, 203.

Cresphontes, 203.

Critias, xxv, 160 n.

Critobulus, xlvi.

Crobylus, 57, 70 n: vid. Hegesippus.

Croesus, lxxi.

Ctesiphon, xviii, xxi sqq., lxii, ci, 1-7, 8 n, 11 n, 12 n, 13 sq., 15 n, 16 sq., 23, 25, 44 sq., 50, 75 n, 76 n, 88 n, 95, 101 sq., 104 sq. n, 107 sqq., 111 n, 115 n, 117, 118, 119 n, 121 n, 122, 124, 133, 134, 137, 138 n, 140 sq., 150 n, 158 D, 167, 180 D, 212, 218, 227, 228 n, 237 n, 238.

Ctesippus, xxxiii, xxxvii.

Cyrillus, 211.

Cyrus, lxxxiii.

D.

Damagetus, xxiii, 169 D.
 Daochus, 244.
 Darius (Hystaspes), lxxxvi, 64 n.
 Darius (Codomannus), xci, 64 n, 121.
 Deiares, lxxxiv.
 Demades, xxi sq., xxiv, lxii sq., lxx, lxxiii sq., lxxviii sq., 115 n, 240.
 Demaratus, 244.
 Demetrius Phalereus, xxiii, cix.
 Demochares (uncle to Demosthenes), 86 n.
 Demochares (nephew to Demosthenes), xxvi, lxvi, xcv, cxx, 86 n, 203 n, 232 n.
 Democrates, 148 D, 205 D.
 Democritus, 164 D, 165 D.
 Demomeles, xxxi, 24, 218.
 Demon, xxxvi.
 Demoniacus (Φλευς), 179 D.
 Demoniacus (Μαραθώνιος), 186 D.
 Demophon, xxvi.
 Demosthenes (the elder), xxv sqq., 85 sq., 148 D, 158 D, 167 D, 175 D, 180 D, 203 D, 205 D.
 Demosthenes. Date of his birth, vii, xxvi. His parentage, xxv sq., 85 sqq. Circumstances of his minority, xxvi; suit against the guardians, xxvii-xxxi, 86 n. Other family quarrels, xxxi, 24. His nicknames, xxviii, 203. His oratorical discipline, xxxv sq., xliii. His associates and pupils, xxxii sq., 87, 110 n. Opposes the law of Leptines, xxxvi sq. Other early political speeches, xxxvii sqq., 142. Early speeches against Philip, xxxix sq., 155. Acts as Chorus, xli; his quarrel with Midias, xxx, xli sq., 24 sq., 89 n, 108. The Olynthiacs, xlii sqq. Serves on first Embassy to Philip, xvi, xlv sqq. Makes himself prominent in support of peace, xlvi sq., 27-37, 144. Second Embassy, xlviii, 35, 146. Separates from his colleagues, xlix sqq., 38 sqq., 146, 149. Prosecutes Aeschines, xix sq., li, 38 n, 190 n. His Euboean policy, xxxviii, xli, lv sq., 41-49, 162, 166 sq., 220, 222, 247. His trierarchic reform, xx, lvii, 112, 174 sqq. Speech on Elatea, 199-202. Period of his supremacy at Athens, lviii sqq. His behaviour at Chaeronea, lx; funeral oration, lx, 74, 240; his conduct afterwards, lx sq., 77 sqq. His ser-

vices to the fortifications, lx, 9 sq., 120, 177-180. Opposes the extraordinary Amphictyonic meeting, 60 sqq. Negotiates Theban alliance, lviii, 67 sqq., 121, 201 sqq., 213 sq., 222, 247. Repulses Philip's advances, lix, 71 sqq. Crowned on Ctesiphon's motion, xxi sq., lx, lxii, &c. Offices held by him, xxii, lx, 8 sqq. His triumph at Philip's death, lx, 37 sq., 78. Intrigues against Alexander, lxi sq., 82; receives money from Persia, lxi, 76, 87, 121. His surrender demanded by Alexander, lxii, 79, 253. Defends Ctesiphon, xxii, lxii sqq., 101-108, 133, &c. His dealings with Harpalus, lxiii sq.; his condemnation, lxiv sq. His later services, lxv. His death, lxv sq. Historical value of his writings, lxvii. In what sense to be charged with bribery, lxi sq., lxx, 50. His protests against the system, lxxi; against Athenian reliance on festival pay, lxxiv. His views on the dangers of his time, lxxxiii, 229. Merits of his anti-Macedonian policy, xci. His political ideal enunciated, 248. Less prominent in his contemporaries' eyes than in ours, vii sq., xxxii. Friendly with Demades, xxii, lxxviii. His style and oratorical manner, xxxv, xciv, 35, 48, 81 sq., 190, 221.

WORKS OF DEMOSTHENES QUOTED OR REFERRED TO:

Androtion, lxxvi, 117 n.
 Aphobus I, xxvii, xxviii, xxix, xxx.
 „ II, xxv, 85 n, 86 n.
 „ III, xxx.
 Aristocrates, xciv, xcvi, 24 n.
 Aristogiton I, 52 n.
 „ II, 63.
 De Chersoneso, liiisq., lxxiv, xc, cvi.
 De Corona, xiii, xiv, xv, xix, xx, xxi, xxviii, xxix, xxxi, xlv, li, lix, lxiiisq., xciv, xcvisq., cxxii, 4 n, 6 n, 11 n, 12 n, 13 n, 14 n, 15 n, 16 n, 18 n, 22 n, 26 n, 28 n, 31 n, 32 n, 33 n, 37 n, 40 n, 41 n, 48 n, 54 n, 55 n, 56 n, 61 n, 63 n, 65 n, 67 n, 68 n, 69 n, 70 n, 71 n, 81 n, 84 n, 95 sq., 109 n, 110 n, 112 n, 113 n, 114, 115 n, 116 n, 118 n, 122 n, 123 n, 129 n, 130 n.
 De Corona Navali, lxxvii n.
 Epitrierarchia, *ib.*

Eubulides, xxvi, lxxxi.
 De Falsa Legatione, xv, xvi, xvii, xviii, xix, xxiii, xxxiv, xlv, xlix, l, li, lxxi, lxxviii, lxxxv, c, 31 n, 34 n, 35 n, 37 n, 61 n, 78 n, 90 n.
 Leptines, xxxv, xxxvi, xciv, 83 n.
 Megalopolis, xxxvi.
 Midias, xix, xxx, xxxi, xcv sq., 55 n, 57 n, 87 n, 89 n, 99 n, 119 n.
 Nausicrates, xix.
 Olynthiac I, xlii sq.
 " II, *ib.*
 " III, *ib.*, lxxiv, lxxxiv, 48 n.
 Onetor II, xxxi.
 De Pace, xlix, lxxxviii, 43 n, 60 n.
 Philippic I, xxxix, xlv, 48.
 " II, l sq.
 " III, lvi, lxiii, lxxxiii, cvi, 129 n.
 Phormion, xxxii sq., 87.
 Rhodian Liberty, xxxviii, 20 n.
 Stephanus, xxxii sq., xcvi.
 De Symmoriis, xxxvii.
 Timocrates, 99 n.
 Doubtful or pseudepigraphous speeches ascribed to him, on Alexander's Treaty, xci sq.
 Halonnesus, lii.
 Neaera, 61 n, 199 n.
 Philippic IV, 40 n.
 De Syntaxi, xl, lxxxiii.
 Theoclines, lxxxi.
 Dexippides, xxvi.
 Dinarchus (the orator), xx, lxi, lxii, lxx, cxxix, 71 n, 80 n, 121 n, 122 n, 126 n, 250 n.
 Dinarchus (of Corinth), 244.
 Diodorus (the historian), xcix.
 Diodorus (the racer), 44.
 Diognetus, 55.
 Dion, 184.
 Diondas, 218, 227.
 Dionysius, xxiii, xxvi, xlii, xcv, cxi, cxii, cxx, cxxii, 70 n, 82 n, 117.
 Diopithes, liii, liv, 112.
 Diotimus, xcvi, 178, 179 D.
 E.
 Elpias, xlii, 183.
 Empadon, 44.
 Empusa, xiv, 184: *vid.* Glaucotea.
 Epaminondas, lxviii sqq.
 Epichares, 244.
 Epicurus, lxxi.
 Erechtheus, xxxvii.
 Eubulus (Ἀναφύστιος), viii, xv, xl, lxxiv, lxxvi, lxxxiv, cix, 10, 11, 13, 144, 148 D, 162, 164, 196, 205 n.

Eubulus (Κόρπειος), 163 D.
 Eucampidas, 244.
 Euclides, xxvi.
 Eudicus, 156.
 Eunomus, 198 D.
 Euphron, lxx.
 Eurybates, 97, 146.
 Euthycles, ci, 180 D.
 Euthydemus, 197 D.
 Euxitheus, 244.

F.

Frontinus, cii.

G.

Glaucetas, 44.
 Glaucotea or Glaucis, xiv, 183 sq., 231, 239.
 Glaucus, 96, 252.
 Gnosidemus, 50.
 Gustavus Adolphus, lxviii.
 Gylippus, cvi.
 Gylon, xxv sq., xxviii, 85.

H.

Habron, ci.
 Harmodius, 38 n.
 Harpalus, xxxiv, lxiii sq., lxviii, 87 n.
 Harpocrator, xcix, cxxi, cxxiv, 24 n. cr., 40 n. cr., 52 n, 56 n, 85 n. cr., 112 n, 168 n, 231 n.
 Hegemon, 13, 240.
 Hegemon (father of Chaerondas), 167 D.
 Hegesander, xlv, 57 n.
 Hegesippus, liii, lxxxix, 40 n, 57, 70 n, 164, 190 n.
 Hephaestion, 79 n.
 Hermippus, cx.
 Hermogenes, cxx, cxxiv, 115 n, 184 sq. n, 188 n.
 Herodian, 65 n. cr.
 Herodotus, cxl, 223 n.
 Heropythus, cvii, cx, 179 D.
 Heros, 184.
 Hesiod, 66.
 Hieronymus, 244.
 Hipparchus, 244.
 Homer, cxii, 93, 117.
 Hyperides, xxvi, xxxiv, xli, lxiii, lxx, lxx, xcii, 186, 205 n, 218.
 Hyperides (son of Callaeschus), 187 D.
 Hyperides (son of Cleander), 205 D.

I.

Ion, 189 n.
 Iphicrates, lxxxiii, 123.
 Isaeus, xxix.
 Ischander, 203 n, 232 n.
 Isocrates, xxix, lxvii, lxxii sq., lxxxii,
 lxxxiv, xcv, cxxix, 214 n, 246 n.

K.

Καλαμίτης, 184.
 Κρωβύλος, 57, 70 n.

L.

Laches, xxvi, xcv.
 Lasthenes, 156.
 Leocrates, 127.
 Leodamas (an orator), 67.
 Leodamas (admiral), 164 D, 165 D.
 Leosthenes, 158 D, 180 D.
 Leptines, xxxv, xxxvi, xxxvii.
 Libanius, 185 n.
 Longinus, 65 n.
 Lycidas, 211 n.
 Lycinus, 30.
 Lycomedes, lxx.
 Lycurgus, viii, lxi sq., ci, cxxix, 28 n,
 46 n, 120 n, 126 n, 127 n, 219 n,
 247 n.
 Lysander, lxi sq, xcii, 210 n.
 Lysias, lxxiv, 99.
 Lysicles, xiv, lx, 70 n, 247 n.

M.

Mausolus, xxxviii.
 Melantus, 227.
 Menestheus, 93.
 Merope, 203.
 Midias, xxvi, xxix, xli sq., lxxii, 24 sq.,
 35, 84 n, 89 n, 108.
 Miltiades, 91, 93 n, 94.
 Milyas, xxvii sq., xxx.
 Minos, 183.
 Mnaseas, 244.
 Mnesarchus, 41.
 Mnesiphilus, 151 D.
 Mnesithides (archon), 194 D.
 Mnesithides (ambassador), 205 D.
 Moeriades, xxvii.
 Moschus, xxxiii.
 Myrtis, 244.

N.

Nausicles, lx, xcvi, ciii, cix, 78, 178,
 179 D.

Nearchus, 198 D.
 Neocles, 163 D.
 Neon, 244.
 Neoptolemus, xcvi, 178.
 Nicias (the general), l, lxxv, cvi.
 Nicias (archon?), cxi, 187 D.
 Nicocles, 164 D, as v. l.
 Nicodemus, xxxiii, xli.
 Nicomachus (the physician), 161 n.
 Nicomachus (a witness), 187 D.

O.

Oedipus, 205 D.
 Oenomaus, xiv, 203, 224.
 Olympias, lxxxviii, 113.
 Onetor, xxix, xxxi.
 Onomarchus, lxxxvii.

P.

Pasion, xxxii.
 Patacion, 96.
 Patrocles, 175 D.
 Pausanias (of Sparta), lxxi, 93 n.
 Pausanias (the murderer of Philip),
 lx, 38 n, 111.
 Pausanias (the geographer), 94 n.
 Periander, lvii.
 Pericles, xxxv, xl, lxxiv, lxxvi, lxxviii,
 lxxxi, 84 n, 136 n, 249 n.
 Perilaus, 156, 244.
 Phalaecus, xviii, lxxxviii.
 Phalaris, cxi.
 Phanus, xxx.
 Phidias, 73, 94 n.
 Philammon, 96, 252.
 Philiadès, 244.
 Philip's importance not recognised by
 his contemporaries, vii, lxxxvi sq.
 His attitude towards Greece, xxxix,
 lxxxvii. Possible policy of Athens
 towards him, lxxxix sqq., 159 sqq.,
 204 D, 209 sq. His opponents
 there, xvi sq., xxxix, xlii sq., xc sq.
 Nature of his influence on Greek
 politics, lxix, 156 sqq. His first war
 with Athens, 25. Takes Olynthus,
 xlv. His barbarian conquests,
 lxxxvii, 62, 154, 204 D. Nature of
 his bribes, lxi sq. His partisans
 in Euboea, lv, 42 sq., 162 sq., 166;
 overthrown, lvi, 43 sq. His party
 in Thebes, xcvi, 201. His wounds,
 161 sq. Negotiations of peace of
 Philocrates, xvi sqq., xlv-xlix,
 lxxxviii, 144 sqq. Negotiations
 continued after the peace, l, lxxxix

- sqq., 40, 187. Conquest of Phocis, xviii, xlix, lxxxvii sq., 149 sqq., 154. Renewal of the war with Athens, liii sq., 40, 163 sqq. Siege of Byzantium, liv sq., 128, 163, 168 sqq. Invoked against Amphissa, xxi, lvii, 64 sq., 189 sqq. Occupies Elatea, xxi, lvii sq., 68, 193, 197 D, 199 sqq. Last negotiations for peace, lx, 72 sqq., 217. Sends to Athens to burn the docks, 185. Battle of Chaeronea, lx, 64, 71, 134. His mildness afterwards, xcii, 27, 220. His position after the battle, xxi sq., 235. His death, lx, 37 sq., 111. His daughter Cleopatra, 123. State papers ascribed to him, ci sq., cx, 152 D.
 Philistides, 163, 166.
 Philo (ἐπὶ διοικήσεως), 179 D.
 Philo (Aeschines' brother-in-law), 251.
 Philochares, 252.
 Philochorus, xlii, cv sq., cix.
 Philocrates (a συκοφάντης), 227.
 Philocrates (the ambassador), xvi, xviii, xix, xlv, xlvi, xlviii, 25 n, 26, 28 n, 29, 30, 31, 36, 38, 39, 142, 144.
 Philocrates, Peace of, xvi-xix, xxi, xxxii, xlv sqq., xcix sq., cvi, 1, 27-37, 144 n, 151, 166 n, 185 n.
 Philodemus, xxix.
 Philomelus, xv sq.
 Phocion, xxi, liv, lxii, lxx, xc sq., c, 100 n.
 Phormion (freedman of Pasion), xxxii sq., xxxvi, 87 n.
 Phormion (slave of Dion), 184.
 Photius, cxxiv.
 Phryne, xiv.
 Phrynondas, 67.
 Pindar, 93 n.
 Plato, xxix, lxxi, lxxxv, cxii, cxxi sq.
 Pliny, cxii.
 Plutarch, xxxii sq., lxvi, cxi, 37 n, 78 n, 87 n.
 Plutarchus (of Eretria), 42.
 Pollio, 113.
 Pollux, xcix.
 Polyaeus, cvii.
 Polycles, 175 D.
 Polycrates, 198 D.
 Polycritus, 164 D, 165 D.
 Polyphontes, lxxxiv.
 Prodicus, 138 n, 160 n.
 Pronaea, 52 sq., 58.
 Proxenus, xlviii.
 Ptoeodorus, 244.
 Pyrrhander, 68.
 Pythocles, 240.
 Python, li, 187.
 R.
 Rhadamanthys, 183.
 S.
 Sabazius, xiv, 231.
 Satyrus, xxxv.
 Simus (of Larissa), 156.
 Simus (Ἀναγυράσιος), 197 D.
 Simylus, 203 n, 232 (v.l. Simyccas).
 Sitalces, lxxxix, 161 n.
 Socrates (the philosopher), lxxx, 84 n, 127 n.
 Socrates (the actor), 203 n, 232.
 Solon, xxiv, lxxxix, xcvi, 3, 18 n, 52, 88, 129, 137.
 Sophocles, cxxix.
 Sosicles, 227.
 Sosistratus, 244.
 Stephanus, xcvi.
 Stratocles, 70.
 Suidas, cxxiv.
 T.
 Tacitus, lxvii.
 Taurosthenes, 41 sq.
 Teledamus, 244.
 Teledemus, 187 D.
 Themison, 41, 173.
 Themistocles (the statesman), lxxi, 91, 129, 210.
 Themistocles (archon), 30.
 Theocrines (prosecuted) lxxxix, 251 n.
 Theocrines (the actor?), 251.
 Theodorus (of Euboea), 173.
 Theodorus (the actor), 232 n.
 Theogiton, 244.
 Theognis, 63 n.
 Theophrastus (archon), 55.
 Theophrastus (the philosopher), 177 n.
 Theopompus, xxv, xxxii, lxvii, xcix.
 Thersites, 117.
 Thrason (Ἐρχεὺς), 67.
 Thrason, 187.
 Thrasybulus (Κολλυτεύς), 67.
 Thrasybulus (Στρεπτεῖς), 67 n, 99, 217.
 Thrasycles, 55.
 Thrasydaeus, 244.
 Thrasylochus (Midias' brother), xxx.
 Thrasylochus (of Messene), 244.
 Thucydides, xxix, 35 n.
 Timarchus, xiv, xix, xxii sq., li, 85 n, 232 n, 249 n.

Timocles, 48 n.

Timolas or Timolaus, 156, 244.

Timotheus, lxxxiii sq., 123.

Tromes, xiv, 183 sq.: vid. Atrometus.

Tzetzes, xxv, lxxiii.

V.

Verres, xliii.

X.

Xenophon, lxvi, lxviii.

Xerxes, 64 n.

Z.

Zeno (the philosopher), lxxi.

Zeno (a witness), 136 D.

Zenothemis, xxxvi.

Zosimus, xxxi.

72

59
70 713 AA A 30

16

—

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN



3 9015 01217 2410



